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Abstract
While example-based machine translation has long used corpus information at run-time, statistical phrase-based approaches typically include a preprocessing stage where an aligned parallel corpus is split into phrases, and parameter values are calculated for each phrase using simple relative frequency estimates. This paper describes an open source implementation of the crucial algorithms presented in (Lopez, 2008) which allow direct run-time calculation of SCFG translation rules in Joshua.

1. Introduction
A significant amount of the recent research in statistical machine translation has focused on modeling translation based on contiguous strings of words, called phrases, in the source language and corresponding phrases in the target language. Phrase-based translation (Och et al., 1999; Koehn et al., 2003; Marcu and Wong, 2002; Och and Ney, 2004) have proved to be very successful, and many state-of-the-art machine translation systems are based on these approaches.

A critical component in phrase-based translation is the estimation of a translation model from a word-aligned parallel text. A phrase table containing the source phrases, their target translations and their associated probabilities that is typically extracted in a preprocessing stage before decoding a test set (Koehn et al., 2003; Kumar et al., 2006). An example of this preprocessing approach is found in the training scripts provided as part of the open source phrase-based Moses toolkit (Koehn et al., 2007). Hierarchical phrase-based translation (Chiang, 2005) extends phrase-based transla-
tion by allowing phrases with gaps, modeled as a synchronous context-free grammar (SCFG). The original Hiero implementation (Chiang, 2007) trains its SCFG translation model in a similar preprocessing stage.

By contrast, example-based machine translation (EBMT) approaches (Nagao, 1981; Sato and Nagao, 1990; Somers, 2003) are notable for their use of aligned parallel corpora at run-time. EBMT research has successfully explored how various efficient data structures for pattern matching (Brown, 2004) can be leveraged to allow the decoder to access at decode-time portions of the training text that are most relevant to the text currently being translated. The Cunei machine translation toolkit (Phillips and Brown, 2009) is an open source, statistical EBMT system that follows this approach.

Suffix arrays are compact data structures which allow efficient pattern matching to be performed over all text in a corpus (Manber and Myers, 1990). Callison-Burch et al. (2005) and Zhang and Vogel (2005) showed that suffix arrays can be adapted to allow phrase-based translation to calculate translation options for the translation model at run-time. A subsample of occurrences of given source phrase are used to calculate translation probabilities. By accessing the target corpus and word alignment data, the phrasal translations and their associated model parameters can be calculated at run-time. Lopez (2007) showed that hierarchical phrases can also by obtained at run time using a suffix array.

This article reports on an implementation of the basic techniques described in Lopez (2008) that was incorporated into the open source machine translation system Joshua (Li et al., 2009). The implementation described here enables users of Joshua to begin translating sentences using an aligned parallel corpus without having to extract an SCFG before decoding begins. The advantages of using this implementation are that any input sentence can be decoded (making it appropriate for live demos or real world use), and that the data structures require much less disk space than full phrase tables. This comes at the cost of slower running time for the decoder itself, since phrase translations have to be calculated on the fly.

2. Related Work

While example-based machine translation has long used corpus information at run-time, statistical phrase-based approaches typically include a preprocessing stage where an aligned parallel corpus is split into phrases, and parameter values are calculated for each phrase using simple relative frequency estimates. The phrase-based decoders Pharaoh (Koehn, 2004) and Moses (Koehn et al., 2007) take this approach, providing users with scripts to estimate a translation model from a sentence-aligned parallel corpus. Similarly, Hiero (Chiang, 2007) and the syntax-augmented machine translation (SAMT) system (Zollmann and Venugopal, 2006) both require a preprocessing stage to extract a SCFG translation model. Recent work in Moses (Huang and Koehn, p.c.) provides similar functionality for extracting an SCFG-based translation model during a preprocessing stage.
Callison-Burch et al. (2005), Zhang and Vogel (2005), and Lopez (2008) all describe implementations of traditional phrase-based models extended to take advantage of suffix array data structures to extract phrase translation options at run-time. However, functional open source implementations of these have yet to be made available. Preliminary work has investigated integrating these techniques into Moses, but this work is not complete.1 Lopez (2008) provides a fast implementation of SCFG grammar extraction for Hiero which uses suffix arrays. This implementation allows Hiero to use an aligned parallel corpus at run-time in lieu of a pre-extracted SCFG. However, this implementation is not available as open source software due to intellectual property restrictions imposed by the University of Maryland. Cunei (Phillips and Brown, 2009)2 is a statistical open source EBMT system that uses suffix arrays to extract relevant phrase pairs from an aligned parallel corpus at run-time.

3. Implementation: Data Structures and Algorithms

To extract hierarchical translation rules at run-time, the decoder must have access to the aligned parallel corpus. Internally, Joshua treats all source and target words as 32-bit integers. Each unique string that is encountered is assigned a unique integer. A hash map maintains the mapping from string to integer, while a corresponding list of strings maintains the mapping from integer back to string. Together these data structures comprise the symbol table.

A corpus can be considered a simple list whose size is equal to the number of words in the corpus. Using this approach with the symbol table, Joshua stores the source corpus as an integer array. An auxiliary array, with size equal to the number of sentences in the source corpus, is maintained. Elements in this auxiliary sentence array indicate the corpus index where each sentence begins. The target corpus is likewise represented by a corpus array and corresponding sentence array.

Once the source and target corpus arrays are available, the corresponding suffix arrays can be constructed. Given a corpus array c and a symbol table, a second array is created of equal size to the corpus array. This array s is initialized such that s[x] = x. Where each integer in c represents a word string, each integer in s represents an index into c. The contents of s are sorted, using the element comparison function defined in Figure 1. After sorting, the indices of all instances in the corpus of any given phrase are located in a contiguous segment in the suffix array s.

While a phrase-based decoder can simply look up any required phrase in a suffix array, hierarchical decoders must deal with discontinuous phrases that include gaps. To deal with such phrases, Lopez (2008) defines an incremental algorithm for

---

1 Much of this preliminary work was conducted by Chris Callison-Burch, Andreas Eisele, Juri Ganitkevitch, and Adam Lopez at the Second Machine Translation Marathon in 2008.

2 http://sourceforge.net/projects/cunei
1: function Compare_Elements(index1, index2, max, corpusEnd)
2:   for i = 0; i < max; i + + do
3:     if index1 + i < corpusEnd and index2 + i > corpusEnd then
4:       return 1
5:     else if index2 + i < corpusEnd and index1 + i > corpusEnd then
6:       return -1
7:     else if corpus[index1 + i] is lexicographically < corpus[index2 + i] then
8:       return -1
9:     else if corpus[index1 + i] is lexicographically > corpus[index2 + i] then
10:    return 1
11:   end if
12: end for
13: return 0
14: end function

Figure 1. During suffix array creation, the contents of a corpus array are sorted using the element comparison function Compare_Elements

constructing a specialized trie (Fredkin, 1960) to represent the SCFG translation grammar. Given a source sentence, this algorithm constructs a prefix tree with suffix links by first examining all possible contiguous source phrases, and uses the source suffix array to look up translations for contiguous phrases. Hierarchical phrases that consist of a contiguous phrase preceded or followed by a single nonterminal X can be constructed directly from the corresponding contiguous phrase. In this manner, the tree is gradually constructed into a grammar containing contiguous phrases and simple hierarchical phrases.

More complex hierarchical phrases are constructed using the Query_Intersect function in Figure 2. This function takes two smaller phrases αα and αβ (α and β represent single words and α represents a sequence), along with the list of indices where these phrases are located. These two lists can be efficiently processed to determine the locations where the two phrases intersect to form the more complex phrase ααβ. In this way, all source hierarchical phrases can be located.

Each node in the prefix tree corresponds to a unique source phrase. Each node stores the complete list of all indices in the source corpus where that node’s phrase occurs. These locations are used in conjunction with the target corpus array and the word alignment data to construct SCFG translation rules.

Ideally, once translation rules have been extracted for a given source phrase, those rules would be stored and not calculated again. Memory constraints typically dictate that not all rules are stored. Rather than storing the translation rules for a given source phrase at the corresponding node in the prefix tree, a single least-recently-used (LRU)
Algorithm **QUERY\_INTERSECT**

**Input:** Sorted list of corpus locations matching source language pattern $\alpha\alpha$: $M_{\alpha\alpha}$

**Input:** Sorted list of corpus locations matching source language pattern $\alpha\beta$: $M_{\alpha\beta}$

1: function **QUERY\_INTERSECT**($M_{\alpha\alpha}$, $M_{\alpha\beta}$) 
2:   \[M_{a\alpha\beta} \leftarrow \emptyset\] \quad \triangleright \text{Result list is initially empty}
3:   I \leftarrow |M_{\alpha\alpha}| \quad \triangleright \text{Number of instances of pattern } \alpha\alpha \text{ in the source corpus}
4:   J \leftarrow |M_{\alpha\beta}| \quad \triangleright \text{Number of instances of pattern } \alpha\beta \text{ in the source corpus}
5:   \[i \leftarrow 0\]
6:   \[j \leftarrow 0\]
7:   \textbf{while } i < I \text{ and } j < J \textbf{ do}
8:      \textbf{while } j < J \text{ and } M_{\alpha\alpha}[i] \gg M_{\alpha\beta}[j] \textbf{ do}
9:         \[j \leftarrow j + 1\]
10: \textbf{end while}
11: \textbf{end while}
12: \[k \leftarrow i\]
13: \textbf{while } M_{\alpha\beta}[i],1 = M_{\alpha\beta}[k],1 \textbf{ do}
14:    \[\ell \leftarrow j\]
15:       \textbf{while } \ell < J \text{ and not } M_{\alpha\alpha}[i] \gg M_{\alpha\beta}[\ell] \textbf{ do}
16:          \quad \textbf{if } M_{\alpha\alpha}[i] \approx M_{\alpha\beta}[\ell] \textbf{ then}
17:              \quad \quad \text{Intersect } M_{\alpha\alpha}[i] \text{ with } M_{\alpha\beta}[\ell] \text{ and append result to } M_{a\alpha\beta}
18:          \textbf{end if}
19:       \ell \leftarrow \ell + 1
20: \textbf{end while}
21: \textbf{end while}
22: \ell \leftarrow \ell + 1
23: \textbf{end while}
24: \textbf{end while}
25: \textbf{end while}
26: \quad \textbf{return } M_{a\alpha\beta}
27: \textbf{end function}

**Result:** Sorted list of corpus locations matching source language pattern $a\alpha\beta$: $M_{a\alpha\beta}$

*Figure 2. Query intersection algorithm implemented in Joshua. This algorithm is adapted from a corrected version (Lopez, p.c.) of query intersection (Lopez, 2008).*
cache is maintained. This cache maps from source phrase to the corresponding set of translation rules.

Another technique used to save memory is the option of using memory-mapped data structures. Memory-mapped version of the corpus array, suffix array, and alignment grids data structures are implemented and used by default.

4. Using Joshua

Given a word-aligned parallel corpus, the first step in extracting a grammar, either at run-time or during a preprocessing stage, is to compile the memory-mappable data structures to binary files on disk. The `joshua.corpus.suffix_array.Compile` program takes four parameters: source corpus text file, target corpus text file, word alignments text file, and an output directory path. The output directory, by convention, is named with the suffix `.josh`. This directory stores the binary representations of the symbol table, source and target corpus arrays, and the source and target suffix arrays. These binary files are given canonical names inside the `.josh` directory, so that the decoder can use them simply by specifying the `.josh` directory in the `tm_file=` line of the Joshua configuration file.

It is often useful (especially during MERT) to extract a test set specific grammar once in a preprocessing step, since that test set will be translated many times and re-extracting the grammar each time would be wasteful. To perform this task, the program `joshua.prefix_tree.ExtractRules` can be used. When run directly, this program accepts either three arguments (a compiled `.josh` directory, file name for grammar to extract, and test file) or five arguments (source corpus text file, target corpus text file, word alignments text file, file name for grammar to extract, and test file). The following subsections document the mandatory and optional parameters that can be passed to this program through the `extractRules` ant task.

4.1. Mandatory parameters

`testFile` Path to plain text file containing a source language test file. The grammar extracted by `extractRules` will be all of the rules required to translate the sentences in this test file.

`outputFile` Path where extracted grammar will be placed. This grammar will consist of all of the rules required to translate the sentences in the test file defined in the `testFile` option.

`joshDir` Path to directory containing the binary files representing memory-mappable aligned parallel corpus.

The following parameters may be specified instead of the `joshDir` parameter.

`sourceFileName` Path to text file containing source corpus.

`targetFileName` Path to text file containing target corpus.

`alignmentsFileName` Path to text file containing word alignment data.
4.2. Optional parameters

**maxPhraseSpan** Defines the maximum span (in the source corpus) of any extracted SCFG rule. Default value is 10.

**maxPhraseLength** Defines the maximum number of tokens (terminals plus nonterminals) allowed in the source right-hand side of any extracted SCFG rule. Default value is 10.

**maxNonterminals** Defines the maximum number of nonterminal symbols allowed in the source side of any synchronous context-free rule extracted. Note: the number and type of nonterminals is the same in the source and target right-hand sides of a SCFG rule. Default value is 2.

**cacheSize** Maximum number of source phrases for which translation rules will be maintained in the least-recently-used (LRU) cache.

**encoding** Defines the file encoding scheme of the input test file and the output grammar file. Default value is UTF-8.

**ruleSampleSize** When extracting SCFG rules for a given source language phrase, this option defines the number of instances of that source phrase will be sampled from the source training corpus for use in rule extraction. Default value is 300.

**startingSentence** Defines the (1-based) sentence index in the test file where grammar extraction will begin. Default value is 1, indicating that a grammar will be extracted capable of translating sentences starting with the first sentence in the test file.

**maxTestSentences** Defines the number of sentences in the test file over which grammar extraction will be performed. Default value is Integer.MAX_VALUE. For example, given a test file of 100 sentences, the options startingSentence="51" maxTestSentences="25" would cause grammar extraction to be performed over test sentences 51–75.

The following parameters can be configured in the extractRules target to make rule extraction behave like the Hiero suffix array rule extractor (Lopez, 2008) instead of the behaving according to the rule extraction originally defined in Chiang (2005).

**sentenceInitialX** Boolean option indicates whether rules with an initial source-side nonterminal should be extracted from phrases at the start of a sentence, even though such rules do not have supporting corporal evidence. This option is provided for compatibility with Hiero’s suffix array rule extractor (Lopez, 2008), in which this setting is set to true. Default value is true.

**sentenceFinalX** Boolean option indicates whether rules with a final source-side nonterminal should be extracted from phrases at the end of a sentence, even though such rules do not have supporting corporal evidence. This option is provided for compatibility with Hiero’s suffix array rule extractor (Lopez, 2008), in which this setting is set to true. Default value is true.

**edgeXViolates** Boolean option indicates whether rules with an initial or final source-side nonterminal should be extracted when the source corpus phrase span for
the rule, discounting the initial or final nonterminal, is already equal to the maximum phrase span value. Since nonterminals conceptually correspond to elided elements in the training corpus, setting this value to true allows phrases which have a longer phrase span than the maximum allowed phrase span. This option is provided for compatibility with Hiero’s suffix array rule extractor (Lopez, 2008), in which this setting is set to true. Default value is true.

**requireTightSpans** Boolean option; if true, follow the heuristic from (Chiang, 2005): where multiple initial phrase pairs contain the same set of alignment points, consider only the smallest when performing rule extraction. For compatibility with Lopez (2008), set this parameter to false. Default value is true. Additional options can be configured in the extractRules target to change the behavior of the prefix tree.

**keepTree** Boolean option indicates whether the prefix tree should persist from sentence to sentence during grammar extraction. If set to false, a new prefix tree will be created to process each sentence in the test file. Default value is true.

**printPrefixTree** Boolean option indicates whether a representation of the prefix tree should be printed to standard output. If set to true, the tree will be printed after processing each sentence in the test file. Default value is false.
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