

Contents

Acknowledgements — v

1 Introduction — 1

- 1.1 Language regulation as a complex phenomenon — 4
- 1.2 Defining ELF — 11
 - 1.2.1 Language users in their own right — 13
 - 1.2.2 Similar to dialect contact — 14
- 1.3 English in Finnish higher education — 15
- 1.4 Structure of the book — 18

2 Language regulation — 20

- 2.1 Norms of language — 20
 - 2.1.1 Language norms as social norms — 21
 - 2.1.2 Related concepts — 24
 - 2.1.3 Normative beliefs vs. behaviour — 25
 - 2.1.4 Common vs. normative — 27
- 2.2 Language regulation as the negotiation of living norms — 29
 - 2.2.1 Defining language regulation — 30
 - 2.2.2 Prescriptive vs. living norms — 35
 - 2.2.3 Concept of community of practice — 40
 - 2.2.4 Norms and accommodation — 45
- 2.3 Summary — 49

3 Previous research on ELF and language regulation — 50

- 3.1 ELF attitude studies — 56
- 3.2 Descriptive ELF studies related to language regulation — 60
- 3.3 Studies on academic ELF — 63
- 3.4 Studies on language regulation in different languages and in L1–L2 interaction — 66
- 3.5 Summary — 69

4 Exploring an academic ELF setting in Finland: the research site and methodology — 71

- 4.1 Research site — 72
- 4.2 Research questions — 74
- 4.3 Methodological framework: two dimensions of language regulation — 75

- 4.3.1 The data — 80
- 4.3.2 Methods of analysis — 94
- 4.4 Summary — 103

- 5 Language-regulatory practices — 105**
 - 5.1 Language expert roles: who regulates language? — 106
 - 5.2 Kinds of regulation — 112
 - 5.2.1 Importance of mode: interactional commenting of speech vs. written texts — 113
 - 5.2.2 Scope of correctness: interventions relevant for determining “correctness” — 127
 - 5.2.3 Broader scope of acceptability: tacit regulation — 139
 - 5.2.4 Summary of the findings regarding kinds of regulation — 175
 - 5.3 Summary — 177

- 6 Interview accounts: perceptions of English and its regulation — 180**
 - 6.1 Language expert roles in student and teacher accounts — 182
 - 6.1.1 Students’ reliance on L1-based language expertise — 183
 - 6.1.2 Teachers’ responsibility to act as language experts? — 193
 - 6.2 Orientation to regulation in student and teacher accounts — 202
 - 6.2.1 Students’ reported experiences of ELF vs. normative beliefs — 203
 - 6.2.2 Teachers’ reports of regulating for the purpose of use — 215
 - 6.3 English instructors’ views of lowering the standards for English — 220
 - 6.4 Summary — 227

- 7 Comparisons: the construction of living norms — 232**
 - 7.1 Comparative analysis — 233
 - 7.1.1 Ideologies for comparison — 234
 - 7.1.2 Comparisons of the findings — 237
 - 7.2 Living norms: alternatives to ENL norms and standards — 243
 - 7.3 Summary — 246

- 8 Conclusions and implications — 248**
 - 8.1 Summary and relevance of the findings — 248
 - 8.1.1 Regulation in the study event interaction — 248
 - 8.1.2 Regulatory views — 250
 - 8.1.3 Comparisons and the negotiation of living norms — 252
 - 8.1.4 Evaluation of the study — 253
 - 8.2 Implications of the findings — 255
 - 8.2.1 Theoretical implications — 255

- 8.2.2 Methodological implications — 258
- 8.2.3 Practical implications — 259
- 8.3 The way ahead — 265

Appendices — 268

- Appendix A: Transcription conventions — 268
- Appendix B: Interview guides — 269
- Appendix C: Clock face activity — 282
- Appendix D: Interview transcriptions in Finnish — 284

References — 294

Index — 315

