The relationship between Julius II and Maximilian I could not be characterized as one of mutual respect. Maximilian accused the pope of being dissolute; Julius regarded Maximilian as a fool, if not actually insane. Theirs was not a classic confrontation between a medieval pope and emperor, each vying for superiority over the other as the supreme authority in Europe, even though they were at times concerned with questions such as the pope’s right to crown the emperor, and the emperor’s claim to a role in summoning a general council of the Church.

Relations between them were largely shaped by issues arising out of their participation in the Italian Wars. As cardinal and as pope, Julius was attentive to ways in which the conflicts in Italy begun by Charles VIII’s expedition to Naples in 1494 could provide opportunities to advance his own aims, and in the later years of his pontificate he made the papacy a major actor in the wars. Maximilian became intent on reaffirming imperial authority in Italy. He had much less success in this than his grandson Charles V would do. His expedition in 1496 to Lombardy and Tuscany, where he intervened indecisively in the war between Florence and Pisa, set the pattern for those he would lead to Italy during Julius’s pontificate. Provided with fewer troops than he needed from Imperial lands, and looking to his allies for subsidies they did not want to give, he suddenly abandoned the campaign he had barely begun, and hurried back to Germany with little explanation. Such behaviour could not inspire respect, let alone impose his authority in Italy. Julius’s low estimation of Maximilian’s political and military abilities was one shared by other princes and politicians.

In the early years of Julius’s pontificate following his election in late October 1503, the main question between them was whether or not Maximilian should come to Italy, and in particular whether he should come to Rome to be crowned emperor by the pope. Connected with this were the matters of how such a journey should be paid for, of whether or not Maximilian should be escorted by an army, and of his relations with the king of France and the republic of Venice. If Maximilian were to come with an army, as he would wish to do, he would need to have the backing of the empire to provide troops and money. He would also need the agreement of either Venice or King Louis XII of France, in his capacity (since 1499) as duke of Milan, to pass through their territory, or be ready to fight his way through to the Papal States.

At first, Julius encouraged Maximilian to come to Italy, but not with the prospect of his heading to Rome for coronation. What Julius had in mind was that he should threaten or attack the Venetians, to make them disgorge the territory in the Romagna which they had taken over after the collapse of Borgia power in that province of the Papal States following the death of Pope Alexander VI in August 1503. So that Maximilian could have the support of the German nation to put pressure on Venice
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to restore the lands of the Church, he ordered his nuncio in Germany to intercede to reconcile Albrecht of Bavaria and the Count Palatine, and wrote to the Count Palatine Philipp, urging him to make peace with Albrecht.\textsuperscript{1} Julius also sought to have a role in reconciling Maximilian to Louis, to free him from distractions that would hinder his journey to Italy, and was pleased when they made peace in September 1504. This did not, however, make either Maximilian or Louis any more inclined to fight the pope’s war for him.

From Maximilian’s perspective, an expedition to Italy might be undertaken to fight the Venetians, but it would be on his own account, to claim territories for Austria from them. He did hanker after going to Rome to be crowned, which was connected in his imagination to his dream of leading a crusade against the Turks.\textsuperscript{2} Consequently, he hoped that Julius would agree to his taking the money gathered into ecclesiastical coffers in Germany for a crusade. According to the Venetian ambassador in Germany in March 1504, the pope agreed to grant him the use of the crusade money on three conditions: that he came to Rome soon to be crowned, that he swore to defend the rights of the Church, and that he spent the money on acting for the Church and against the infidel. Rejecting these conditions, Maximilian was reported to have said the current troubles in Germany prevented him from going quickly to Rome, and that he did not want to enter into any obligations in return for the money, but in any case he would always defend the rights of the Church in Italy and elsewhere, as a good emperor should.\textsuperscript{3} In reply to a letter from Maximilian about these funds, Julius wrote that he did not seem to understand that they were „sacred“, dedicated to a war against the Turks. If they were to be put to any other use than for the defence of Christendom and „recuperatione urbium Sanctae Romanae Ecclesiae“, it would scandalize those who had contributed to them, who might say they had been deceived by the Apostolic See; they could not be used to pay the expenses of his coming to Italy.\textsuperscript{4} Still hoping that Maximilian would come in strength against the Venetians, Julius told him that if he found himself short of money once he was in Italy, then he could have the crusade funds, but on the promise they would be restored to pay for an expedition against the Turks.\textsuperscript{5}

Once he had realized that the Treaty of Blois of September 1504 between Maximilian and Louis would not be the prelude to a punitive expedition against Venice
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on behalf of the papacy, the pope’s attitude to the idea of Maximilian’s journey to Italy changed. Publicly, he expressed pleasure at the peace and approval of its terms, but it was evident to those who frequented the papal court that in reality he was perplexed. Sounded out in May 1505 by the Ferrarese ambassador about a report that Maximilian and Louis had agreed they should go to Italy with 4,000 lances and 16,000 infantry, Julius was unusually reticent, a response the ambassador believed was due to his thinking it would not be to his advantage.⁶ His reaction to an announcement in March 1506 from the Imperial ambassador in Rome that Maximilian was coming to be crowned was that he would be welcomed if he came unarmed.⁷ In that case, Julius told the Venetian ambassador, Maximilian would be greatly honoured; and if he were to come armed, Julius would have nothing to fear.⁸ Others were not so sure, and there was speculation in Rome, as the prospect of Maximilian coming with an army to Italy seemed more likely a year later, that the pope would take refuge in Sicily or even Venice.⁹

If Julius heard that Maximilian was announcing plans to go to Rome to become not only emperor but pope as well, might he have had cause for concern? And did Maximilian mean it? His statement to that effect in a letter to the bishop of Trent could perhaps be dismissed as a joke, but to make a similar pronouncement in instructions to his own envoy to the Swiss suggests that Maximilian was indeed playing with this idea.¹⁰ The idea that he might seek to depose Julius was not new. Cardinal Raymond Peraud had returned in late 1504 from serving as papal legate in Germany, of the opinion that if Maximilian came to Italy (which Peraud considered highly unlikely) the first thing he would attempt would be to depose the pope.¹¹ Louis XII had argued that one of Maximilian’s aims in going to Italy would be to make the pope subject to him.¹² But it is doubtful that Julius would have believed Maximilian capable of doing this, certainly of doing this unaided. Had he believed that Louis and Maximilian would join in threatening his tenure of the papal throne – as seemed a possibility for a while some years later, when Louis was promoting the schismatic council of Pisa-Milan in 1511/12 – then he might have had more cause for anxiety. News that the Swiss had agreed to provide troops to accompany Maximilian to Italy did raise the pope’s estimate of what a German army might accomplish there, but he was thinking of the Germans possibly beating the French, perhaps driving them out of Milan, not
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of their heading for Rome to threaten him.¹³ He even spoke of turning to the emperor as the protector of Holy Church against the French and Venetians.¹⁴

Maximilian told the Reichstag held at Constance in 1507 that he was planning to go to Rome, and was granted funds for that journey.¹⁵ He asked the Swiss cantons for troops, saying that he intended to be crowned in Rome, but that he wanted to take the duchy of Milan from the French en route. Appealing to them as Germans, he told them Louis planned to become emperor.¹⁶ The Swiss decided they would supply 6,000 men to accompany him to Rome, but not to despoil anyone.¹⁷ Thinking that once Swiss troops were in Italy they would not refuse to attack Milan, Maximilian tried to convince the cantons he needed these troops because he would have to pass through Venetian territory, to which he was being denied access. They decided to refuse to supply any men to him or to Louis.¹⁸ In fact, his expedition to Italy, still declared to have Rome as its goal, turned into an attempt to use troops and money provided by the empire for his coronation journey to take from the Venetians lands in the Tyrol and Friuli that he claimed belonged to the Habsburgs.

Before he entered Italy, Maximilian had himself proclaimed „Roman Emperor-elect“ in a solemn ceremony in the cathedral church of Trent on 4 February 1508. This ceremony could not be a substitute for coronation by the pope, and Julius was not prepared to agree to a coronation held in Germany, or to send a legate to conduct one.¹⁹ Indeed he had sent a legate, Cardinal Bernardino Carvajal, to persuade Maximilian not to come to Italy²⁰ – the pope had now decided an expedition by Maximilian was more likely to do harm by disturbing the peace in Italy than any good to the papacy. He had also refused a request from Maximilian for a contribution of 100,000 ducats to his expenses. His talk of invoking Imperial protection of the Church was just a way of expressing his distrust of the French and Venetians.²¹ The ceremony at Trent seems to have created little resonance in Rome; the pope and cardinals were not shocked or scandalized, at least openly. Responding to Maximilian’s notification of the event, they simply repeated Julius’s line that if he came to Italy without an army, he would be as welcome as any emperor had been to the popes of the past, but
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if he came to make war on Christians and disturb the peace of Italy, he had better not come at all.²² Any hopes Maximilian may have had, by proclaiming himself Emperor-elect, of gaining a commitment from Julius to support his journey to Rome would be disappointed. Nor was his campaign against Venice successful; it resulted in the loss of Trieste, Gorizia and Fiume to the Venetians, and ended in early June with a three-year truce, during which Venice was to retain these lands.

Relations between Julius and Maximilian entered a new phase when they became allies, as members of the League of Cambrai, in late 1508. Because he had delayed sending an envoy to Cambrai to take part in the conference there, the pope had no say in the terms of the treaty. Cardinal Georges d’Amboise, Louis XII’s chief minister, took it upon himself to include the pope in the alliance against Venice agreed by Louis, Ferdinand and Maximilian. Its purpose was for each party to it to recover all the lands they claimed which were at that time in Venetian hands. Maximilian laid claim not just to the territory lost to Venice earlier that year, but to „tout ce que lesdits Veniciens ont prins et usurpé, tant du saint-empire romain que de la maison d’Austrice“.²³ Because of his recent truce with Venice, which „sans quelque honneste occasion, il ne pourroit rompre“, it was agreed that Maximilian should make ready to send troops to help the pope, who should call on him „comme advocat et protecteur de la sainte eglise“ for aid in recovering the lands of the Church.²⁴ After considerable hesitation, Julius ratified the treaty in late March 1509, but he does not seem to have sent such a request to Maximilian.

He did send him some money, variously reported as 50,000 to 70,000 ducats, in April, with authorization to take more from funds collected for the papacy in Germany to a total of 100,000 ducats.²⁵ Maximilian soon called for more, and persisted in doing so, despite the pope’s equally persistent refusals. Soon, Julius’s interest in acting with the league ended, for after the defeat of the Venetian army by the French at Agnadello on 14 May, the Venetians decided to reduce the ranks of their enemies by surrendering the contested lands in the Romagna to the pope, and the ports they held on the Apulian coast to Ferdinand of Aragon. As Louis had rapidly taken all he claimed for the duchy of Milan (and the Duke of Ferrara and Marquis of Mantua were busily recovering lands they had lost to Venice in the past), only Maximilian was not content. The Imperial troops did not start their campaign until
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a fortnight after Agnadello, but they had then quickly taken back Gorizia and Trieste and overrun much of Friuli. As the Venetian army was drawn back to protect Venice itself, Verona, Vicenza and Padua surrendered to Maximilian, although there were no Imperial troops near. Reckoning it would be easier to recover their subject cities from Maximilian than from Louis, the Venetians gave permission for them to acknowledge Imperial sovereignty. Only Treviso held out until, in mid-July, the Venetians recovered Padua and their fightback was under way.

Maximilian infuriated Julius by blaming him for the Venetian resurgence, because he had not sent men-at-arms to support him and had heartened the Venetians by receiving their ambassadors. Julius denounced his ingratitude for the money and support given him by the papacy, and criticized him for signing his letter as imperator without adding electus, despite his not having been crowned by the pope. Reporting all this with satisfaction, the Venetian ambassadors believed the pope saw Maximilian was of small worth to him because of his arrogance, instability and „bloodsucking“ demands for money. Every thing he had done for Maximilian had been wasted, Julius complained, describing him as feckless and insolent, as never succeeding in anything he attempted. He would not give him another farthing, the pope said, and he would only send troops to support him if Louis and Ferdinand did as well. Even after a letter of apology from Maximilian, Julius was still unwilling to send him any more troops or money. Unable to continue his siege of Padua, Maximilian had to raise it on 1 October, and then made his way back to Trent. Vicenza was soon recovered by the Venetians, but French reinforcements and French money to pay the Imperial troops in Verona prevented them from recovering that city as well.

In the next years, Maximilian continued to be reliant on French troops and subsidies to prosecute the war against Venice. Ferdinand sent some aid, Julius none. The pope denied any obligation to help Maximilian further; as far as he was concerned, the league of Cambrai’s only purpose now was to attack the infidel. But for all his low estimate of Maximilian’s abilities or his utility as an ally – bestia was his epithet of choice when speaking of the emperor-elect – Julius did think it worthwhile to try to detach him from Louis, whom he now regarded as his enemy. He began trying to influence Maximilian through the electoral princes. One of his favourites among the cardinals, Francesco Alidosi, argued „che lo Imperadore, per molti rispetti, era necessitato mantenersi con la Santità del Papa; allegando che, come si alienassi da lui, le forze sue erano per diminuire assai, procedendo in buona parte da’ principi
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ecclesiastici, quali erano obbedienti a Santa Chiesa, più che nessuna altra nazione. Concludendosi che il Re de’ Romani per sé non era potente a sostenere troppo gran pondo”.³⁰ Papal briefs ordering the electors to give Maximilian what he wanted, on pain of excommunication, were sent to Germany.³¹ Lobbying the electors to favour an agreement between the Empire and Venice, the papal nuncio reported that the three ecclesiastical electors and the duke of Saxony were well-disposed.³² At the same time, Julius was declaring privately that the electors would do well for the honour of the Empire to put Maximilian in a secure place with a keeper.³³ The pope also tried to win over Maximilian’s powerful secretary, Matthaeus Lang, Bishop of Gurk, offering him benefices and a cardinal’s hat, and there were reports Lang seemed to favour an agreement.³⁴ But Maximilian was reluctant to make terms with Venice, unless he had all the territory he claimed.³⁵ He told his daughter Margaret that he intended to keep faith with Louis, and that the pope feared Maximilian and Louis going to Rome together and deposing him for his sins and the abuses committed by him and his predecessors.³⁶

From 1510, Julius had another reason to be on better terms with Maximilian – Modena, which his troops took from the Duke of Ferrara in August of that year. Ferrara itself was a fief of the papacy and Julius was angered by the duke, Alfonso d’Este, placing more value and reliance on his links to the King of France than on those to the pope. Modena, on the other hand, fell within the territory of the Empire in Italy. Had Maximilian not been at war with Venice, and an Imperial army fighting in northern Italy at the time, Julius might well have paid little attention to Modena’s imperial status. As it was, Julius spotted an opportunity to please Maximilian by recognizing imperial authority over Modena. Even before his army took Modena, he agreed to lend Maximilian 60,000 ducats with Modena and another of d’Este’s cities, Reggio, as security; he hoped that this arrangement would sow discord between Maximilian and Louis.³⁷ Once he held it, his offer changed. He would, he said, pay a census to Maximilian for Modena, if he would join Julius against France. But he was losing patience with Maximilian’s refusal to accept his mediation with Venice, exclaiming
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that he cost more than he was worth.³⁸ Maximilian suspected Julius was just stringing
him along,³⁹ and even wondered if he was trying to ally with the French and Venetians
to drive the Germans and Spanish out of Italy: „these are the fine machinations of
Holy Mother Church“.⁴⁰

As French troops under Charles d’Amboise, seigneur de Chaumont came to the
aid of Alfonso d’Este and the pope’s war against Ferrara – which he was directing
personally – ran into difficulties over the winter, in late January 1511, Julius made
an astute move. He sent the Imperial envoy with him, Veit von Fürst, together with
one of his own commanders, Marcantonio Colonna, to take possession of Modena
in the name of Maximilian; Colonna was to stay there, to hold it in Maximilian’s
name.⁴¹ Veit also wanted to take possession of Reggio, where Chaumont was based.
If Chaumont handed Reggio over to the custody of Maximilian’s envoy, he would
have to move further away from Ferrara; if he refused (as he did), the pope’s men
anticipated it would cause a breach between Maximilian and Louis.⁴² Julius ordered
the Modenese to swear homage to Maximilian, telling them that he was doing this
so that they would be spared the troubles of war („ut sub ejus nomine umbra et
authoritate omnia belli incommoda evitetis“).⁴³

Still dependent on Louis for aid in his continuing struggle against Venice, Max-
imilian distrusted the king’s intentions, for as the price of his continued aid, Louis
demanded Verona. Although he had had to let French troops take possession of the
two fortresses in the city, and relied on French forces there to help keep the Venetians
at bay, Maximilian was not willing to cede the city to the king. Louis was also inciting
Maximilian to join him in threatening Julius with an attack on his spiritual authority,
through an ecclesiastical council. In an attempt to resolve the conflicting pressures
on him and, he hoped, by a revival of the league of Cambrai or peace negotiations
to get what he wanted in territory, money and recognition of his imperial authority
from Venice, Maximilian sent Lang to Italy to organize a diplomatic conference in
Mantua.⁴⁴

While Louis and Ferdinand sent envoys to Mantua, Julius refused and asked Lang
to come to see him at Bologna. His message was that he was set on a universal peace
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1510.
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and ready to let Lang have the honour of concluding it, but he would only engage
in peace negotiations conducted at his own court. Great efforts were made to pay
Lang honour when he went to Bologna in April, and to win him over by offers of a
cardinal’s hat and benefices. (He had been created cardinal in March, but his name
had not been published with the others promoted on that occasion; he refused to
accept the promotion until peace had been concluded, and was undecided whether
he would do so even then.) Lang took care to emphasize by his demeanour that he
was the emperor’s man, and not to be won over by the pope. No progress had been
made after a fortnight of talks. Julius was not prepared to abandon the Venetians
or join his erstwhile allies against them; he had said he might be prepared not to
help them against Maximilian, but would not help Maximilian against them.

Within weeks of Lang leaving Bologna on 25 April, Julius had been forced to
leave the city by an advancing French army, who soon took the city. Louis said that
despite this victory, he was inclined to peace with the pope, provided he would abide
by the terms of the league of Cambrai and satisfy Maximilian. He did not want his
army to proceed further into the Papal States, but at just this time the other line of
attack on the pope that he had long been preparing was finally launched, with the
announcement by a group of cardinals opposed to the pope of the summons of a
general council of the Church.

Maximilian was the only other monarch to be associated with the formal convo-
cation of the council which took place in Milan on 16 May 1511. He was less than
wholehearted in his support for it. No council of the German clergy was summoned,
and he did not attempt to force them to attend or to send proctors when the council
opened in Pisa in November. Had he tried to do so, he might well have suffered a
rebuff, because they showed no wish to participate in the council or endorse its aims.
Maximilian himself was wary of the influence over the Church the council might lend
to Louis. He insisted it should be held not in Pisa but in Constance or Verona, where
he said he would attend in person. Then he sent his agreement to it being opened

45 Le Glay, Négociations diplomatiques (see note 23), vol. 1, p. 390: Andrea da Borgo to Margaret of
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46 Ibid., pp. 388, 392: Andrea da Borgo to Margaret of Austria, 13 March 1510/(11), Bourges.
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to X di Balia, 13 July 1511, Milan.
at Pisa, provided it was subsequently transferred to Verona or Mantua. Maximilian discussed with his own council „la matière de la congregation du concile general pour la reformation de l’église“; „comme premier prince de la chrestienté et advocat de l’église“ he would agree to that, he decided, but he was resolved it must be held in a place subject either to him or to the empire.

In September, Louis sent one of the dissident cardinals who had summoned the council, Federico da Sanseverino, to Maximilian to rouse him to more enthusiastic support for it, with promises for support in return for his journey to Rome – not for a coronation but to depose the pope and elect Lang (or Maximilian himself, if he wished) to the papal throne. Maximilian gave him a poor reception. He did write a letter to the Florentine government, to say that as „Ecclesiae Advocatus“, he had summoned the council with the support of Louis and some cardinals, hoping that other princes and especially the pope would join in, and urging them to send representatives to it. There was a report from a Florentine envoy sent to the dissident cardinals on their way to Pisa, that Maximilian had appointed five representatives to attend the council, including the bishops of Passau, Trieste and Trent, but if they had been appointed, they failed to appear. Another report by a Florentine envoy, from the French court, said that Maximilian had written to say he was sending Lang and other prelates to the council, and that they would be going to Pisa with Cardinal da Sanseverino. But Sanseverino had been sent back to Maximilian by the king with even more generous offers than before – 1,200 French men-at-arms, and infantry if needed, would accompany him to Rome, and 150,000 ducats could be made available to him. He could have Siena, the Romagna and Naples, and, if he wished, be elected pope in place of Julius. If Louis had believed that Maximilian was sending prelates, perhaps Lang himself, to the council, why should he have made such extravagant offers? They smack of desperation, for in fact it was evident that apart from a few French clergy and the dissident cardinals themselves, virtually no one was going to attend.

Maximilian really appeared to be more interested in his dream of becoming pope himself, which the challenge to Julius seems to have revived, than in the council. This was known: hence the messages Louis had sent by Cardinal da Sanseverino. His interest was quickened by news that in August Julius was gravely ill, near death,
it was thought. It was at this time that Maximilian wrote his curious letter to his
daughter, about how he was sending Lang to Rome to get Julius to take Maximilian
as his coadjutor in the papacy, so that when Julius died he would be assured of being
pope. He would become a priest, a saint, so that she would have to adore him after
his death. He was beginning to try to win the cardinals round to his side, which
he expected would cost him 200,000 or 300,000 ducats. Ferdinand of Aragon had
instructed his ambassador to command the Spanish cardinals to support Maximil-
ian’s becoming pope. In return for this support, he would resign the empire to their
common grandson, Charles.⁵⁹

Rather than supporting this hare-brained scheme, Ferdinand was engaged in
negotiating a league with Julius and Venice, which was concluded in early October;
its declared aim was the recovery of all the lands of the Church, including Bologna.⁶⁰
Although Ferdinand had been clear that he did not want to enter a league explicitly
directed against any other power, he, like Julius, aimed to contain if not diminish
French power in Italy, and like Julius, saw a separate peace between Maximilian and
Venice as a means to this end.⁶¹ Maximilian had received no help from either the
Empire or Ferdinand for his campaign against Venice in 1511, and Louis had provided
more men in the field than he could muster from his own resources, so he had had
a strong incentive to keep on good terms with Louis. Once the Holy League came
into being, however, Louis withdrew his men from the Veneto to concentrate on the
threat to Milan, and nearly all the ground won from the Venetians that year was
soon lost. There were signs that Maximilian would not be standing by his ally, and
the French became seriously concerned: „ils doubtent de leur destruction, comme
s’il estoit predestiné qu’ils deussent perdre l’Italie, et ont une si grant craincte que
l’empereur ne les abandonne qu’ils en pissent en leurs brayes“, as one of the secre-
taries of Maximilian’s ambassador at the French court inelegantly commented.⁶² But
Maximilian was in no hurry to commit himself to a new league, or openly break with
Louis, or make meaningful concessions to come to an accord with Venice.

He did prepare the ground for rejection of the schismatic council, which trans-
ferred to Milan in December but attracted no more support there. In January he
informed the council in Milan that he was summoning a meeting of German prelates
at Augsburg, and invited the council to send representatives to take part. Until the
Augsburg meeting was over, he would not send any clergy to Milan, not even from
his hereditary lands. All Germany was subject to him, and it would be dishonourable

---

⁵⁹ Le Glay, Correspondance (see note 36), vol. 2, pp. 38f.: Maximilian to Margaret, 18 September
[1511].
⁶⁰ Sanuto, I diarii, ed. by Fulin (see note 3), vol. 8, cols. 234–237.
⁶¹ Shaw, Julius II (see note 47), pp. 290f.
⁶² Le Glay, Négociations diplomatiques (see note 23), vol. 1, p. 468.
to him to send someone from his own lands alone, he explained.⁶³ The assembly of German prelates quickly decided that the Pisa-Milan council was schismatic, and that they should not send any representatives to it.⁶⁴

As the brilliant young French general Gaston de Foix crossed and recrossed Lombardy to ward off the attacks from the armies of the Holy League and the Swiss in the winter of 1511/12, Maximilian was still hesitating about leaving his alliance with France. He asked de Foix to send troops against Padua and Treviso, but both de Foix and Louis said the first concern of the French had to be the Spanish army and the Swiss.⁶⁵ His hereditary lands, especially the Tyrol, could not sustain the burden of the war any longer and Maximilian finally agreed to a truce with Venice until January 1513. This was concluded in Rome on 6 April 1512. Immediately after, it might have seemed that he had chosen just the wrong moment to step away from his French ally, as the Spanish and papal armies were defeated by the French at the Battle of Ravenna on 11 April. But it soon became evident that for the French it was a pyrrhic victory, not least because of the death of Gaston de Foix. With surprising speed they were driven out of Lombardy by the Venetians and the Swiss; by early July, only a few French garrisons remained; the rest of the army was back in France.

Apart from ordering landsknechts in French service to leave, Maximilian had played no part in this rout, but as the duchy of Milan was an Imperial fief he expected to have the decisive voice in determining who should rule it. The general consensus was that the new duke should be Massimiliano Sforza, the son of Lodovico Sforza. The fact that this young man had been brought up in exile in the household of Margaret of Austria should have strengthened Maximilian’s claim to dominate him and the duchy of Milan. The Swiss in Milan and the papal legate with them, Cardinal Schiner, had other ideas, and when Lang was sent to Lombardy to take charge of the preparations for the installation of the new duke, he could not assert control. Nor could he prevent the cities of Parma and Piacenza, which Julius claimed for the papacy, being handed over to papal officials. But Lang did make it clear that Maximilian would not agree to Julius taking Ferrara, as he still wished to do.

These issues complicated the negotiations for an alliance between Maximilian and the pope. Maximilian wanted the promise of help from Julius and Ferdinand against Venice, if he could not make satisfactory terms with the Venetians before the expiration of the truce. Ferdinand, concerned about the Venetians being pushed into looking for an alliance with Louis (as indeed they did) refused to agree. Julius, however, was ready to sacrifice his alliance with Venice in return for Maximilian’s

⁶³ Renaudet, Le Concile Gallican (see note 50), pp. 585f.: Francesco Pandolfini to X di Balia, 4 January 1511/(12), Milan.
⁶⁴ Ibid., pp. 610f.: Francesco Pandolfini to X di Balia, 2 February 1511/(12), Finale.
⁶⁵ Le Glay, Négociations diplomatiques (see note 23), vol. 1, pp. 482f.: Jean Le Veau to Margaret of Austria, 5 March 1512, Blois.
acquiescence in the territorial gains he had made and the further gains he still desired. He also very much wanted Maximilian to recognize the ecclesiastical council that he had opened at the Lateran on 3 May. From the beginning, there were clear indications that this council – summoned as the pope’s riposte to the council of Pisa-Milan – would be accepted as a legitimate council of the Church, but Maximilian’s formal accession to it would be a significant gain, nevertheless.

Not until November did Lang arrive in Rome, although he had been supposed to be on his way for several months. He had been given full powers to negotiate both Maximilian’s entry into the Holy League and recognition of the Lateran Council.⁶⁶ As during his visit to Bologna the year before, Lang was careful to emphasize by his behaviour his status as the representative of the Emperor, not as a dignitary of the Church. His elevation to the College of Cardinals was finally made public but he would not formally accept the main symbol of the status, the cardinal’s hat, in Rome, without Maximilian’s permission. But he did reach terms quite quickly with Julius in the end.

The treaty they concluded was between Maximilian and the pope alone, because neither the Venetians nor Ferdinand approved what was being agreed, so it was not framed as Maximilian’s accession to the league. Maximilian was to defend the pope and the papacy’s territory in Italy; Julius was to defend Maximilian and his Italian lands. As advocate of the Church, Maximilian was to revoke any mandate he had given for the convocation of any council other than the Lateran, to declare the Pisan council and all its acts null and void, and to adhere to the Lateran council. He was to give no further aid to Alfonso d’Este, duke of Ferrara, or to the Bentivoglio of Bologna. The Venetians were declared to have contravened the terms of the Holy League and to have been obstinate in refusing to accept the peace terms with Maximilian negotiated for them by the pope. Julius was to treat them as enemies, and to proceed against them with spiritual as well as secular weapons. He could not make any peace or truce with them without Maximilian’s consent, until Maximilian had recovered all the lands and cities of the Empire or the house of Austria, and everything assigned to him by the terms of the Treaty of Cambrai, or the Venetians had agreed terms acceptable to him.⁶⁷ Lang’s behaviour was not more gracious or accommodating after the publication of the treaty than it had been before. He continued to dress in German style, not as an ecclesiastic, and only grudgingly appeared at a session of the Lateran council on 4 December, to read a formal approbation of the Lateran council on behalf of Maximilian – preceded by a reading of the mandate from Maximilian giving him power on Maximilian’s behalf to suspend the council, transfer it to another location or bring it to a close. He then immediately left Rome for Lombardy.⁶⁸

⁶⁶ Wiesflecker, Kaiser Maximilian I. (see note 2), vol. 4, p. 108.
⁶⁷ Sanuto, I diarii, ed. by Fulin (see note 3), vol. 15, cols. 384–388.
⁶⁸ ASMantua, AGonzaga, b. 860: Statio Gadio to Francesco Gonzaga, 7 December 1512, Rome.
Julius published a *monitorio* against Venice in January 1513, threatening excommunication if the Venetians did not yield to Maximilian the territory he claimed under the treaty of Cambrai.⁶⁹ Whether he would have gone to war with Venice to support Maximilian is another question. His own priority was getting hold of Ferrara, and he was reported to be anxious to bring about a peace between Maximilian and Venice. He sent an envoy to Milan to speak to Cardinals Lang and Schiner and the Spanish viceroy Ramon de Cardona to urge them to bring this about.⁷⁰ But within three months of the conclusion of his treaty with Maximilian, Julius was dead.

Both Julius and Maximilian had a reputation for being impulsive, erratic, unreliable – but it is hard to imagine there would have been much mutual understanding or sympathy between them if they had ever met. Julius was reproached for a reprehensibly worldly view of the interests of the papacy, for devoting his energies and the resources of the Church to consolidating and extending the Papal States. Maximilian, on the other hand, had an elevated view of his position as emperor (or emperor-elect), and fancied becoming pope himself. Yet, even when dealing with matters that went to the heart of relations between pope and emperor – the right of the pope to crown the emperor and to legitimate the use of the title, or the role of the emperor as „advocatus ecclesiae“ and in summoning ecclesiastical councils, and his duty to defend the papacy – they did not engage in defining or debating the theory behind these rights and roles. It cannot have been palatable for Julius to hear the mandate of Lang, containing Maximilian’s assertion of authority over a council summoned by the pope, read out in his own Lateran council, but he made no protest. The issues that arose between Maximilian and Julius could have been the occasion for disputes about the respective powers and responsibilities of the emperor and the pope – but they were determined at the level of mundane politics, not of elevated principles of temporal and spiritual authority.

---
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