Preface

The idea for this collection of essays arose out of conversations I had with D. Z. Phillips in Claremont in 2000: a set of philosophical essays recognizing and arising out of something I took to be both interesting and good going on at University College, Swansea, roughly from the 1950s into the 1990s. I envisioned eight essays, each in some way taking up the work of one of eight individuals – Rush Rhees, Peter Winch, R. F. Holland, J. R. Jones, H. O. Mounce, D. Z. Phillips, İlham Dilman, and R. W. Beardsmore – each of whom taught at Swansea for a substantial period during those years, these being followed by a ninth essay providing something of an overview of the other eight essays and thereby of philosophy at Swansea during the same period.

When writing to the individuals I hoped would contribute to the collection I tried to convey what sort of essays I was looking for by observing that it would be ‘inconsistent with what seemed to me best at Swansea’ if the essays were to focus much at all on the personalities or individual ‘achievements’ of the philosophers whose work was to be under discussion. The point, I went on to say, was the work itself and a discussion of it that was carried on in the same critical and, in Simone Weil’s sense of the term, ‘impersonal’ manner that I took to be part of the strength of philosophy at Swansea, at least as I knew it.

It is for others to judge the worth of the project as an idea and the success of the essays here collected. But I should like to express my gratitude to each of the contributors, who in very different ways show in their essays a consistent appreciation of the character or, perhaps, the spirit in which philosophy was, if not always or everywhere, at least largely and consistently for several decades, pursued at Swansea. At the same time I should like to note my sense of gratitude to the individuals, both living and deceased, who are the subjects of these essays, for it seems to me that each played a significant role in creating and maintaining that quality or spirit of philosophical inquiry that I identify with the Swansea of those years.
Given the subject or subjects of the collection, a word should perhaps be said about the expression ‘Swansea School’. As Dewi Phillips noted on many occasions, this was not a term given to themselves by Swansea’s philosophers, and there is a good deal in these essays, I think, that should make evident the inappropriateness of the expression. On the other hand, as Mario von der Ruhr’s essay makes clear, there was something to be found at Swansea in those years that might rightly prompt the use of some such term. Indeed, it appears to me, as I believe it might to others who experienced philosophical discussion at Swansea – especially in the weekly meetings of the Philosophical Society founded by Rush Rhees in the 1940s and carried on into the 1990s – that what was distinctive and, I should say, quite remarkable, about that experience cannot be identified with the presence or participation of one or two individuals but belonged in some sense to the place, that is, to the department, though by no means to all of it. What indeed was distinctive I have not, after nearly thirty years as a ‘professional’ philosopher, found elsewhere; but it may at moments be evident to some in the essays that follow.

Finally, I am grateful to my colleague, Heidi Northwood, for her help with the preparation and the proofreading of the text.
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