

List of Figures

- Fig. 1.1:** Agroecosystems: A) Pasture; B) Sugarcane plantation; C) *Eucalyptus* plantation) and mixed agricultural landscape (D) containing all of them in Southeastern Brazil. — 3
- Fig. 2.1:** Study sites and sampling sites in the Corumbataí APA. — 17
- Fig. 2.2:** Frequency index of keywords for some areas (Env - Environment; Abi - Abiotic; Plan/Manag - Planning and Management; Fau - Fauna; Flo - Flora; Agri - Agriculture; Socioeco - Socioeconomy). — 21
- Fig. 3.1:** Conceptual Framework of demographic, legal, economic and technological drivers on farm land-use management. Arrows represent the influence of one variable on another. — 29
- Fig. 5.1:** Integrating microbial ecological data with environmental factors to create a comprehensive multidimensional approach. — 66
- Fig. 5.2:** Methods of sampling from field. Figures are ordinated by increasing level of complexity. (A) Random sampling (B) zig-zag (C) cross scheme (D) transect (E) geogrid and (F) radial scheme. Each diamond represents one sample or a composite sample. — 69
- Fig. 5.3:** Evolution of yield from sequencing technologies shown at the main axis (gray line). Reduction of costs along time shown at the secondary axis (brown line). Adapted from Metzker (2010) inexpensive and accurate genome information. This challenge has catalysed the development of next-generation sequencing (NGS). — 72
- Fig. 5.4:** Hierarchical organization of life. Adapted from Odum & Barret (2007). — 75
- Fig. 5.5:** Basic types of clusters linkage. (A) Represents an unrooted tree, (B) represents a rooted tree and (C) represents a network tree. Adapted from Kaufman & Roesseeuw (2005). — 78
- Fig. 6.1:** Location of the study area in Angatuba, São Paulo, South-eastern Brazil and the general vision of the sampled area on the Três Lagoas and Arca farms. — 90
- Fig. 6.2:** Ordination through Analysis of Principal Coordinates (PCoA) in two dimensions of the arboreal plant community in relation to land use and soil fertility on the Três Lagoas and Arca farms, Angatuba, São Paulo, Brazil. Pink: semi-deciduous forest; Green: cerrado; Black: cerrado *sensu stricto*; Blue: abandoned pasture; Red: eucalyptus plantation. A) Quantitative data: number of individuals per species in each sampled plot, and B) qualitative data: presence-absence data per species for each sampled plot. — 95
- Fig. 6.3:** Map of coverage of land use types and of the distribution of the different vegetation phytophysionomies in the agroforestry landscape of the Três Lagoas and Arca farms, Angatuba, southeastern Brazil. — 97
- Fig. 7.1:** Relative H' values for the IBGE annual data on crop area distribution (1991-2010) in (A) Brazil; (B) Northeast; (C) North; (D) Central-West; (E) Southeast; (F) South. Relative H' was calculated by dividing absolute H' by $\log S$. — 119
- Fig. 7.2:** Species richness (S) values for the IBGE annual data on crop area distribution (1991-2010) in (A) Brazil; (B) Northeast; (C) North; (D) Central-West; (E) Southeast; (F) South. — 120
- Fig. 7.3:** Relative H' values for the IBGE annual data on crop area distribution (1991-2010) in (A) Rio de Janeiro; (B) São Paulo; (C) Espírito Santo; (D) Minas Gerais. Relative H' was calculated dividing absolute H' by $\log S$. — 121
- Fig. 7.4:** Species richness (S) values for the IBGE annual data on crop area distribution (1990-2010) in (A) Rio de Janeiro; (B) São Paulo; (C) Minas Gerais; (D) Espírito Santo. — 122
- Fig. 7.5:** Distribution of descriptive ecological parameters over the 40 official agricultural regions of the State of São Paulo (EDR's). (A) Total cultivated area per region. (B) Species richness (S). (C) Mean municipal cultivated area in hectares. (D) Mean municipal H' values, weighted by municipal total cultivated area. (E) Mean municipal relative H' values, weighted by municipal total cultivated area. For the meaning of the region numbers, see table 7.1. All the graphics

show the data from the 1995/1996 (1995 for short, in red) and from the 2007/2008 (2007 for short, in blue) surveys, as well as the variation from 1995 to 2007 (in green). — 123

- Fig. 7.6:** Geographical distribution of crop diversity change in terms of combinations of *H'rel* and *S* across the 40 agricultural regions (EDR's) of São Paulo from the 1995/1996 LUPA to the 2007/2009 LUPA. *S* increased in all regions with a yellow circle, irrespective of the thickness of the contour. — 125
- Fig. 7.7:** Whole tuberous root and respective transversal section phenotype of 28 out of the ca. 80 sweet potato (*Ipomoea batatas*) landraces collected in the Ribeira Valley and the North Coast, both in the State of São Paulo, Brazil. There is a huge variation in shape, skin color, pulp color and skin texture. A large amount of leaf variation is also present (not shown). Photos courtesy of Hendrie F. Nunes and Gláucia B.R. Moreira. — 130
- Fig. 7.8:** The only two sweet potato varieties available in a supermarket in Piracicaba, SP, Brazil. The genetic diversity in large-scale systems is just a minute fraction of that conserved by swidden farmers. Photos courtesy of Hendrie F. Nunes and Gláucia B.R. Moreira. — 131
- Fig. 8.1:** (A) Agro-mosaic landscape with sparse natural remnants; (B) Environmental Planning Program map showing areas with need for conservation and restoration; (C) disturbed forest fragment; (D) surviving fragment of an old-growth forest; (E) 4-year-old restoration project showing arrested succession; (F) relatively more successful 3-year old project with continuing development of the target plant community. — 140
- Fig. 11.1:** Capybara herd in Piracicaba, state of São Paulo, in Southeastern Brazil. — 181
- Fig. 11.2:** Capybaras' use of space in anthropic (above) and natural (below) environments. Small black: individual capybaras. Larger light grey spots: water bodies. Larger dark grey spots: forest patches. — 182
- Fig. 11.3:** Damage caused by capybaras in sugarcane crop in Piracicaba, state of São Paulo, Brazil. — 184
- Fig. 11.4:** Damage caused by capybaras in forest restoration area. — 185
- Fig. 12.1:** Haplotypes networks of A) *Heliothis virescens*. White: haplotypes recorded only in populations from cotton, Black: haplotypes recorded only in populations from soybean, Grey: haplotypes recorded in cotton and soybean populations (modified from Albernaz et al., 2012); B) *Diatraea saccharalis*. White: haplotypes recorded only in populations from sugarcane, Black: haplotypes recorded only in populations from corn, Grey: haplotypes recorded in sugarcane and corn populations (modified from Silva-Brandão et al., 2015a). — 195
- Fig. 13.1:** Location of the study area. A) Location in South America and Brazil, highlighting the State of São Paulo. B) Corumbataí Watershed, showing the 60 stream fish sampling sites (black dots). The large sampling void (center to western portion of the watershed) is the municipality of Rio Claro. — 208
- Fig. 13.2:** Venn-diagram for unique, duplicate and common species as related to the predictors DC and DB. Number of sampling units in each group = n. Numbers indicate unique and duplicate and, when marked in bold, common and duplicate species in major groups. — 215
- Fig. 14.1:** Leaf-litter amphibians' species incidence curves, for the whole landscape and its environments. — 232
- Fig. 14.2:** Species richness and relative abundance of leaf-litter amphibians in a silvicultural landscape in Angatuba, state of São Paulo, Southeastern Brazil. In "A" and "C" black dots represent the collected data. In "B" and "D" mean and standard deviation are presented in the Analysis of Means. — 233
- Fig. 14.3:** Correspondence Analysis plot, relating the landscape unit types and species composition and relative abundance. EUC: *Eucalyptus* plantation, PAST: Abandoned pasture and VEG: Native vegetation. Calb - *Chiasmocleis albopunctata*, Ebic - *Elachistocleis cf. bicolor*, Eova - *E. cf. ovalis*, Hbin - *Haddadus binotatus*, Lfur - *Leptodactylus cf. furnarius*, Lfus - *Leptodactylus fuscus*, Llab - *L. labyrinthicus*, Llat - *L. latrans*, Lmci - *L. mystacinus*, Lmce - *L. mystaceus*,

Oame - *Odontophrynus americanus*, Pcen - *Physalaemus centralis*, Pcu - *P. cuvieri*, Pmar - *P. marmoratus*, Pnat - *Physalaemus nattereri*, Polf - *P. olfersii*, Rorn - *Rhinella ornata* and Rsch - *R. schneideri*. — 233

- Fig. 14.4:** Composition of leaf-litter amphibians by environment in a silvicultural landscape in Angatuba, state of São Paulo, Southeastern Brazil (Calb - *Chiasmocleis albopunctata*, Ebic - *Elachistocleis cf. bicolor*, Eova - *E. cf. ovalis*, Hbin - *Haddadus binotatus*, Lfur - *Leptodactylus cf. furnarius*, Lfus - *Leptodactylus fuscus*, Llab - *L. labyrinthicus*, Llat - *L. latrans*, Lmci - *L. mystacinus*, Lmce - *L. mystaceus*, Oame - *Odontophrynus americanus*, Pcen - *Physalaemus centralis*, Pcu - *P. cuvieri*, Pmar - *P. marmoratus*, Pnat - *Physalaemus nattereri*, Polf - *P. olfersii*, Rorn - *Rhinella ornata* and Rsch - *R. schneideri*). — 234
- Fig. 14.5:** Relationship between richness and relative abundance of litter amphibians and distance from nearest water body and native vegetation. — 234
- Fig. 14.6:** Temporal variation in the relative abundance of the different litter amphibians species detected in the study area (Calb - *Chiasmocleis albopunctata*, Ebic - *Elachistocleis cf. bicolor*, Eova - *E. cf. ovalis*, Hbin - *Haddadus binotatus*, Lfur - *Leptodactylus cf. furnarius*, Lfus - *Leptodactylus fuscus*, Llab - *L. labyrinthicus*, Llat - *L. latrans*, Lmci - *L. mystacinus*, Lmce - *L. mystaceus*, Oame - *Odontophrynus americanus*, Pcen - *Physalaemus centralis*, Pcu - *P. cuvieri*, Pmar - *P. marmoratus*, Pnat - *Physalaemus nattereri*, Polf - *P. olfersii*, Rorn - *Rhinella ornata* and Rsch - *R. schneideri*). The area shaded in gray represents dry season and the area without shadow represents wet season. — 235
- Fig. 14.7:** Intraspecific variation of snout-vent length of leaf-litter amphibians in different components of a silvicultural landscape in Angatuba, state of São Paulo, Southeastern Brazil. — 236
- Fig. 15.1:** Study areas in Passa Cinco River Basin located in the eastern-central region of the state of São Paulo in southeastern Brazil. The land use (Valente, 2001) is present for four micro-geographic regions sampled (F: native vegetation; E: *Eucalyptus* plantations; P: Pastures; C: Sugarcane plantations). — 245
- Fig. 15.2:** Birds' species incidence curves for the agricultural landscape components (black line: species richness estimated, red line: species richness detected, dotted line: standard deviation). — 249
- Fig. 15.3:** Birds' species incidence curves for the whole agricultural landscape (blue line: species richness estimated, red line: species richness detected, black line: standard deviation). — 249
- Fig. 15.4:** Species richness and relative abundance of birds in a agricultural landscape of Southeastern Brazil. — 249
- Fig. 15.5:** Species ordination curve of the number of species registered by descending order of abundance. — 250
- Fig. 15.6:** Non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) ordination by habitats and species, with illustration of the clusters obtained in the analysis of the clusters — 251
- Fig. 15.7:** Dendrogram of the analysis of the hierarchic clustering for similarities of richness, abundance and composition of bird species in the 16 sampled study sites, located on the Passa-Cinco River Basin, São Paulo, Brazil. Ward Clustering Method and Pearson r similarity index. Analysis of the hierarchic clustering for the agricultural habitats. — 251
- Fig. 16.1:** The Cantareira State Park (From: <http://www.tuhic.com/estacao/descricao,adriano>). — 275
- Fig. 17.1:** Study area. State of São Paulo, Brazil. Region of the study area inside State of São Paulo limits. Locations of sampled *Eucalyptus* farms and forest cover (both native and planted). A – matrix of native vegetation with small eucalypt area; B – intermediate areas of eucalypt and native vegetation; C – eucalypt matrix with small area of native vegetation. Examples are extracts of 3000 m radius from center of mammal data collecting trails. In A, B and C: Black –

Native Vegetation, Gray – Eucalypt and Light Gray – Water. State of São Paulo and Study Region are presented in GCS SIRGAS 2000, forest cover and 3000 m radius extracts are presented in SIRGAS 2000, UTM, zone 22S. — 304

- Fig. 17.2:** Numbers of species estimated by hierarchical Bayesian procedure and frequencies of estimation. Mean estimated species are represented by the red line. — 306
- Fig. 17.3:** Fitted curves of GLM models with Poisson identity function for Total Number of Species and Landscape metrics. Radius of buffer is referred in parentheses. — 309
- Fig. 17.4:** Fitted curves of GLM models with Poisson identity function for Total Number of Occurrences and Landscape metrics. Radius of buffer is referred in parentheses. — 310
- Fig. 17.5:** Fitted curves of GLM models with Poisson identity function for Herbivorous Occurrences and Landscape metrics. Radius of buffer is referred in parentheses. — 311
- Fig. 17.6:** Fitted curves of GLM models with Poisson identity function for Omnivorous Occurrences and Landscape metrics. Radius of buffer is referred in parentheses. — 312
- Fig. 17.7:** Probability of Occurrence (Psi) of *Tapirus terrestris* and *Mazama* sp. in relation to Mean Fractal Dimension of Native Forest (FDNV) and Eucalypt (FDEU) at 3000 m of buffer radius. — 313
- Fig. 17.8:** Probability of Occurrence (Psi) of *Dasypus novemcinctus* in relation to Area Weighted Fractal Dimension of Native Forest (AWFDNV) and Eucalypt (AWFDEU) at 1500m of Buffer radius and, *Cerdocyon thous* in relation to Percentage of Area Class of Native Forest (AWFDNV) and Eucalypt (AWFDEU) at 2500 m of buffer radius. — 314
- Fig. 17.9:** Probability of Occurrence (Psi) of *Leopardus* sp. in relation to Area Weighted Fractal Dimension of Native Forest (AWFDNV) and Eucalypt (AWFDEU) at 2500 m of buffer radius and, *Myrmecophaga trydactyla* in relation to Perimeter of Class of Native Forest (AWFDNV) and Eucalypt (AWFDEU) at 500 m of buffer radius. — 315