

Daniela Bulgarelli and Vaska Stancheva-Popkostadinova

5 Play in Children with Intellectual Disabilities

Intellectual disability (ID) is characterised by significantly below-average intellectual functioning and limitations in two or more areas of adaptive skills: communication, self-direction, social skills, self-care, personal independence at home or in community settings, school or work functioning, and maintenance of personal safety (Shalock et al., 2010).

Children with ID do not form a homogenous group (Brodin & Stancheva-Popkostadinova, 2009). The differences are based on the severity of intellectual disability (mild, moderate, severe, and profound) and comorbidity. The limitations in some adaptive skills often coexist with the strengths in other skills.

The biggest part of the children with ID face challenges in communication, emotion regulation, language, rapid processing of information, attention, executive functioning, and are more likely to show internalising and externalising problems.

5.1 Play in Children with ID

“The studies about medical and physical effects of different kinds of disability are predominant, and until the end of last century very little attention has been given to the way the nature of children’s play is changed by a disability” (Webb, 2003:15).

Play in children with ID is studied from different perspectives: in comparison with children without ID (Blasco et al., 1993; Lieber, 1993; Malone, 2006); home settings versus school settings (Malone, 2009); correlations between specific psychological characteristics and particular types of play (Cunningham et al., 1985; Elias & Berk, 2002; Nader-Grosbois & Vieillevoys, 2012); role of parents in parent-child play interaction (Hauser-Cram & Howell, 2003; Roarch et al., 1998); parents’ perceptions of children’s play (Malone & Landers, 2001).

The severity of ID influences the nature and characteristics of children’s play. Allen (1980) reported that play in children with ID may not emerge so naturally and informally as it does with other children, and may need to be encouraged. Comparing atypically and typically developing (TD) children, Hughes (2009) stressed that children with ID were more interested in the physical characteristics of play materials than in their representational possibilities; they were more likely to simply manipulate and handle play materials; they were more repetitive and less varied in toy play (Lender et al., 1988); finally, children were delayed in the emergence of symbolic play and were less likely to reach higher levels of sophistication.

In contrast with the previous positions, some studies by Malone et al. pointed out that the patterns of play in children with and without ID within the same context

were similar: in fact, both groups of children spent nearly equal time in functional, constructive, and pretend play during home-based independent play situation (Malone, 2009; Malone & Stoneman, 1990). Moreover, Linn, Goodman, and Lender (2000) stated that despite the frequencies of passivity and repetition, children with ID spent the majority of their time engaging in spontaneous, nonrepetitive play. This picture also emerged in a study in which mothers' were requested to describe play in their children with ID (Malone & Landers, 2001).

5.2 Cognitive Play

With respect to the cognitive dimension of play, the development of play in children with ID proceeds similarly as for TD children; it is related to the child's level of cognitive functioning; thus, delays are usually present and symbolic play appears later (Beeghly, 1998; Cicchetti & Ganiban, 1990; Fewell et al., 1997; Gowen et al., 1992; Hill & McCune-Nicolich, 1981; Hughes, 2009; Libby et al., 1997; Motti et al., 1983; Turner & Small, 1985). Play of children with ID appears to be more repetitive than TD play because of distractibility and impairment in motivation, perception, learning (Lender et al., 1998; Morgenstern, 1968).

Messier, Ferland, and Majnemer (2008) reported that in a group of children with ID between 6 and 8 years of age, play age was about 2.5 years. Their practice play, involving gross and fine motor skills, their interest in sensory elements of play, and their interest in exploration were well-established, whereas all aspects related to imitation, imagination, and dramatisation abilities were delayed. Singh, Iacono, and Gray (2014) found that 12 two- to five-year-old children with Down Syndrome mainly performed functional play and less complex symbolic play. Thus, symbolic play typically appears later in children with ID (Hughes, 2009). Children with ID between 8 and 12 years of age displayed level of symbolic play similarly to TD children of similar mental age (3-6 years; Beeghly et al., 1989; Hill & McCune-Nicholic, 1981; Motti et al., 1983; Nader-Grosbois & Vieillevoye, 2012). When involved in structured situation, in which, for instance, play objectives are defined by adults, children with ID showed higher pretend play level (Nader-Grosbois & Vieillevoye, 2012). In terms of their play with objects, children with ID seem to prefer structured materials, such as puzzles and jacks, while typical children of the same mental age prefer open-ended materials (e.g., art supplies) that allow them to be creative and imaginative.

In literature, studies on practice and symbolic play in children with ID are present, mainly because these are intended as indicators of cognitive development. On the other hand, studies about constructive and rule play in this population are uncommon because of children with IDs' difficulty in cognitive reasoning, planning of strategies and goals, and so on. In general, children with ID are less likely than other children to combine objects appropriately in play (Hughes, 2009).

It is worth noticing that children with IDs ludic attitude, consisting curiosity, initiative, pleasure, spontaneity, and participation, were found to not being related to the IQ level and cognitive functioning (Linn et al., 2000; Luttrupp & Granlund, 2010; Messier et al., 2008).

5.3 Social Play

With respect to the social dimension of play, compared to the TD children, children with ID show higher proportion of solitary play (Guralnick et al., 1996b; Guralnick & Groom, 1987a; 1987b; Kopp et al., 1992), interact less with peers, and exhibit lower levels of complexity in engagement (Guralnick et al., 2006; Luttrupp & Granlund, 2010). Moreover, they have specific problems in ludic interactions, above all, with peers. In general, social interactions are more restricted than those of comparable groups of children (Guralnick, 1997), and children with ID are less likely to initiate play with peers and have difficulties with cooperation (Luttrupp & Granlund, 2010; Messier et al., 2008).

In fact, playing with peers is a high-demanding activity from a linguistic, cognitive, and social point of view: it implies self-regulatory strategies, achieving interpersonal goals, sustaining and coordinating play sequences, resolving conflicts, processing complex social information, and so on (Guralnick 1999a; Luttrupp & Granlund, 2010; Vieillevoye & Nader-Grosbois, 2008). Consequently, with difficulties in complex interactions, children with ID have been found to be more socially included during structured activities in kindergartens (Luttrupp & Granlund, 2010).

Because of these difficulties, during ludic interaction, children with ID also spent more time in passivity, or disengagement from activity than TD children (Krakow & Kopp, 1982, 1983; Lender et al., 1998; Linn et al., 2000). In these children, passive behaviours increased according to the amount of time spent in playing. Moreover, while TD children can quickly coordinate and alternate play and social interaction with the partner, children with ID need to stop playing to interact with the partner, thus reducing the total amount of ludic interactions (Linn et al., 2000).

Children with ID have smaller social networks than TD children and rarely have best friends to play with frequently. Thus, they spend higher percentage of their social activities (including play) with adults (parents, teachers, educators) or siblings, who are more likely to adapt themselves to the cognitive and interactional level of the children with ID and can better understand their communication (de Falco et al., 2008; Luttrupp & Granlund, 2010; Moyson & Roeyers, 2012; Solish et al., 2010). Moreover, it could be difficult for TD children to understand and anticipate the reaction of children with ID, because of their difficulties in complex social interactions and in self-regulation (Ytterhus, 2003), whereas siblings, for instance, can better interpret children with ID communication and behaviour (Moyson & Roeyers, 2012).

IDs influence others' behaviours and specifically parental support during play sessions. For instance, mothers of children with ID tend to be more directive and supportive than mothers of TD children (Hauser-Cram & Howell, 2003; Roarch et al., 1998). This style was functional to support children's play: in fact, it was associated with more object play and vocalisation by children with ID (Roarch et al., 1998). It is worth noticing that among children with ID, great individual differences emerged: degree and type of disability were not strongly correlated with the child's social competence and participation (Luttrupp & Granlund, 2010).

5.4 Conclusion

The literature about play in children with ID covered more than 45 years of research and still this topic is of current interest. Some studies compared play in children with and without disabilities, others presented specific aspects of play, or play in specific disability groups.

Even if there are some controversial results, majority of the studies showed that there are more similarities than differences in play of children with ID and without ID. Despite some individual differences, both the cognitive and social complexities of play displayed by children with ID are mostly related to the development of their cognitive and social competences. Thus, supportive environments and supportive partners are important to give children with ID a chance to play for the sake of play.

References

- Allen, K. E. (1980). Mainstreaming: What we have learned? *Young Children*, 35(5), 54-63.
- Beeghly, M. (1998). Emergence of symbolic play: Perspectives from typical and atypical development. In J. A., Burack, R. M., Hodapp, & Zigler, E. (Eds). *Handbook of mental retardation and development*, (pp. 240-289). Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Beeghly, M., Perry, B. W., & Cicchetti, D. (1989). Structural and affective dimensions of play development in young children with Down syndrome. *International Journal of Behavioural Development*, 12(2), 257-277.
- Blasco, P. M., Bailey, D. B., & Burchinal, M. A. (1993). Dimensions of mastery in same-age and mixed-age integrated classrooms. *Early Childhood Research Quarterly*, 8(2), 193-206.
- Brodin, J., & Stancheva-Popkostadinova, V. (2009). Early interventions in children with intellectual disabilities. *Annual of Union of Scientists: Science, Culture and Education*, 3rd volume, 215-220.
- Cicchetti, D., & Ganiban, J. (1990). The organization and coherence of developmental processes in the infants and children with Down syndrome. In R. M. Hodapp, J. A Burack, & E. Zigler, Eds. *Issues in the developmental approach to mental retardation* (pp. 169-225). Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Cunningham, C. C., Glenn, S. M., Wilkinson, P., & Sloper, P. (1985). Mental ability, symbolic play and receptive and expressive language of young children with Down's syndrome. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 26(2), 255-265.

- De Falco, S., Esposito, G., Venuti, P., & Bornstein, M. H. (2008). Fathers' play with their Down syndrome children. *Journal of Intellectual Disability Research, 52*(6), 490-502.
- Elias, C. L., & Berk, L. E. (2002). Self-regulation in young children: Is there a role for sociodramatic play?. *Early Childhood Research Quarterly, 17*(2), 216-238.
- Fewell, R., T. Ogura, A. Wheeden (1997). The Relationship Between Play and Communication Skills in Young Children with Down Syndrome. *Topics in Early Childhood Special Education, 17*(1), 103-118.
- Gowen, J. W., Johnson-Martin, N., Goldman, B. D., & Hussey, B. (1992). Object play and exploration in children with and without disabilities: a longitudinal study. *American journal of mental retardation, 97*(1), 21-38.
- Guralnick, M. J. (1999a). Family and child influences on the peer-related social competence of young children with developmental delays. *Mental Retardation and Developmental Disabilities Research Reviews, 5*, 21-29.
- Guralnick, M. J. (2006). Peer relationships and the mental health of young children with intellectual delays. *Journal of Policy and Practice in Intellectual Disabilities, 3*(1), 49-56.
- Guralnick, M. J., Connor, R. T., Neville, B., & Hammond, M. A. (2006). Promoting the peer-related social development of young children with mild developmental delays: Effectiveness of a comprehensive intervention. *American Journal on Mental Retardation, 111*(5), 336-356.
- Guralnick, M. J., & Groom, J. M. (1985). Correlates of peer related social competence in developmentally delayed preschool children. *American Journal of Mental Deficiency, 90*, 140-150.
- Guralnick, M. J., & Groom, J. M. (1987a). The peer relations of mildly delayed and nonhandicapped preschool children in mainstreamed playgroups. *Child Development, 58*, 1556-1572.
- Hauser-Cram, P., & Howell, A. (2003). The development of young children with disabilities and their families: Implications for policies and programs. In R. M. Lerner, F. Jacobs, & D. Wertlieb (Eds.), *Handbook of applied developmental science, Vol. 1*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage, 259-279.
- Hill, P. M., & McCune-Nicolich, L. (1981). Pretend play and patterns of cognition in Down's syndrome children. *Child Development, 52*, 611-617.
- Hughes, F. (2009). Play In Special Populations. In: F. Hughes (Ed.), *Children, Play, and Development*. London, UK: SAGE Publications (pp. 183-210).
- Krakow, J. B., & Kopp, C. B. (1982). Sustained attention in young Down syndrome children. *Topics in Early Childhood Education, 2*, 32-42.
- Krakow, J. B., & Kopp, C. B. (1983). The effects of developmental delay on sustained attention in young children. *Child Development, 54*(5), 1143-1155.
- Lender, W. L., Goodman, J. F., & Linn, M. I. (1998). Repetitive activity in the play of children with mental retardation. *Journal of Early Intervention, 21*(4), 308-322.
- Libby, S., Powell, S., Messer, D., & Jordan, R. (1997). Imitation of pretend play acts by children with autism and Down syndrome. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders, 27*(4), 365-383.
- Lieber, J. (1993). A comparison of social pretend play in young children with and without disabilities. *Early Education and Development, 4*(3), 148-161.
- Linn, M. I., Goodman, J. F., & Lender, W. L. (2000). Played out? Passive behavior by children with Down syndrome during unstructured play. *Journal of Early Intervention, 23*(4), 264-278.
- Luttrupp, A., & Granlund, M. (2010). Interaction—it depends—a comparative study of interaction in preschools between children with intellectual disability and children with typical development. *Scandinavian Journal of Disability Research, 12*(3), 151-164.
- Malone, D. M. (2006). Contextually influenced patterns of play-developmental age associations for preschoolers with and without mental retardation. *Early Childhood Education Journal, 34*(3), 215-225.
- Malone, M. (2009). Patterns of Home- and Classroom-based Toy Play of Preschoolers With and Without Intellectual Disabilities. *International Journal of Disability, Development and Education, 56*(4), 333-347.

- Malone, D., & Landers, M. (2001). Mothers' Perceptions of the Toy Play of Preschoolers with Intellectual Disabilities. *International Journal of Disability, Development and Education*, 48(1), 91–102.
- Messier, J., Ferland, F., & Majnemer, A. (2008). Play behavior of school age children with intellectual disability: Their capacities, interests and attitude. *Journal of Developmental and Physical Disabilities*, 20(2), 193–207.
- Morgenstern, F. S. (1968). Psychological Handicaps in the Play of Handicapped Children. *Developmental Medicine & Child Neurology*, 10(1), 115–120.
- Motti, F., Cicchetti, D., & Sroufe, L. A. (1983). From infant affect expression to symbolic play: The coherence of development in Down syndrome children. *Child Development*, 54(5), 1168–1175.
- Moyson, T., & Roeyers, H. (2012). 'The overall quality of my life as a sibling is all right, but of course, it could always be better'. Quality of life of siblings of children with intellectual disability: the siblings' perspectives. *Journal of Intellectual Disability Research*, 56(1), 87–101.
- Nader-Grosbois, N., & Vieillevoye, S. (2012). Variability of self-regulatory strategies in children with intellectual disability and typically developing children in pretend play situations. *Journal of Intellectual Disability Research*. 56(2), 140–156.
- Roach, M. A., Barratt, M. S., Miller, J. F., & Leavitt, L. A. (1998). The structure of mother-child play: Young children with Down syndrome and typically developing children. *Developmental Psychology*, 34(1), 77–87.
- Schalock, R. L., Borthwick-Duffy, S. A., Bradley, V. J., Buntinx, W. H., Coulter, D. L., Craig, E. M., ... & Shogren, K. A. (2010). *Intellectual disability: Definition, classification, and systems of supports*. Washington, DC: American Association on Intellectual and Developmental Disabilities.
- Singh, S. J., Iacono, T., & Gray, K. M. (2014). An Investigation of the Intentional Communication and Symbolic Play Skills of Children With Down Syndrome and Cerebral Palsy in Malaysia. *Journal of Early Intervention*, 36(2), 71–89.
- Solish, A., Perry, A., & Minnes, P. (2010). Participation of children with and without disabilities in social, recreational and leisure activities. *Journal of Applied Research in Intellectual Disabilities*, 23(3), 226–236.
- Turner, I. F., & Small, J. D. (1985). Similarities and differences in behaviour between mentally handicapped and normal preschool children during play. *Child: care, health and development*, 11(6), 391–401.
- Vieillevoye, S., & Nader-Grosbois, N. (2008). Self-regulation during pretend play in children with intellectual disability and in normally developing children. *Research in Developmental Disabilities*, 29(3), 256-272.
- Webb, R. (2003). Public play provision for children with disabilities. *Bray, Ireland: Sudgradh*. Retrieved from: http://sugradh.org/news/sugradh_RP0301.pdf.
- Ytterhus, B. (2003). *Barns sociala samvaro. Inklusion och exclusion i fo"rskolan* [Social togetherness for children. Inclusion and exclusion in preschool]. Lund, S: Studentlitteratur.