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1 Cf. ANDORLINI 2008; ANDORLINI – REGGIANI 2012.
2 Cf. ANDORLINI 2017a; see below, esp. §§ 8.6–7.
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The present work is not exactly a handbook – most of the digital tools do already offer detailed instructions and help guides, to which I gladly refer –, nor just a list of tools. Recent detailed surveys of the digital papyrological scenario already exist⁵ and they do not deserve to be updated or replaced. My aim is to analyse the electronic papyrological resources in details in order to pinpoint common trends, to sketch methodological outlines and to envisage an epistemology of Digital Papyrology, in view of the possible future developments⁶.

Isabella Andorlini and Nicola Reggiani at the SoSOL Training Session, Duke University (Durham, NC), July 2010.

⁴ An update web page is prospected at http://www.papirologia.unipr.it/dp/update.html.
⁶ I must also anticipate that I will deal mostly with Greek and Latin Papyrology. Demotic will not be forgotten, since it constitutes a fundamental linguistic and cultural source for Graeco-Roman Egypt. Conversely, egyptological digital resources, though very promising and Egypt-related as well, will not be taken into consideration; for a recent survey of them, see WOUTER – VAN KEER 2014.