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Abstract: This paper is concerned with the positivity of solutions to the Cauchy problem for linear and nonlinear parabolic equations with the biharmonic operator as fourth order elliptic principal part. Generally, Cauchy problems for parabolic equations of fourth order have no positivity preserving property due to the change of sign of the fundamental solution. One has eventual local positivity for positive initial data, but on short time scales, one will in general have also regions of negativity.

The first goal of this paper is to find sufficient conditions on initial data which ensure the existence of solutions to the Cauchy problem for the linear biharmonic heat equation which are positive for all times and in the whole space.

The second goal is to apply these results to show existence of globally positive solutions to the Cauchy problem for a semilinear biharmonic parabolic equation.
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1 Introduction

This paper is concerned with the positivity of solutions to Cauchy problems for fourth order parabolic equations.

We say that a parabolic Cauchy problem has a positivity preserving property if non-negative and non-trivial initial data always yield solutions which are positive in the whole space and for any positive time. It is well known that second order parabolic Cauchy problems enjoy a positivity preserving property.

On the other hand, it follows from [1, Theorems 7.1 and 9.2] that the elliptic operator being of second order is not only sufficient but also necessary for the corresponding Cauchy problem to enjoy a positivity preserving property. This means that this property does not hold for the Cauchy problem for the biharmonic heat equation (see also [2–4]):

\[ \partial_t u + (-\Delta)^2 u = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbb{R}^N \times (0, \infty), \quad (1.1) \]
\[ u(\cdot, 0) = \varphi(\cdot) \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbb{R}^N, \quad (1.2) \]
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where $\varphi$ is a suitable measurable function and $N \geq 1$. “Suitable” means locally integrable and less than exponential growth at infinity. One should keep in mind that small times are particularly sensitive for change of sign. For large times, at least in bounded domains, the behaviour is more and more dominated by the elliptic principal part (and a strictly positive first eigenfunction would yield eventually positive solutions to the initial boundary value problem).

The loss of the positivity preserving property for (1.1)-(1.2) is reflected by the sign change of the fundamental solution $G(\cdot, t)$ of the operator $\partial_t + (-\Delta)^2$ in $\mathbb{R}^N \times (0, \infty)$ for all $t > 0$. See Section 2.1 below. Moreover, it was even shown in [3, Theorem 1] that for any non-negative and non-trivial function $\varphi \in C^\infty_0(\mathbb{R}^N)$ there exists $T > 0$ satisfying the following:

$$\inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^N} [S(t)\varphi](x) < 0 \quad (1.3)$$

for all $t \geq T$, where

$$[S(t)\varphi](x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G(x - y, t)\varphi(y) \, dy \quad (1.4)$$

solves (1.1)-(1.2) for $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times (0, \infty)$.

On the other hand, thinking of the biharmonic heat equation as a kind of linearised surface diffusion equation one would expect solutions to (1.1)-(1.2) for positive initial data to be on the whole positive. Indeed, in [3, Theorem 1], it was proved that solutions to problem (1.1)-(1.2) with non-negative non-trivial initial data $\varphi \in C^\infty_0(\mathbb{R}^N)$ are eventually locally positive, that is, for any compact set $V \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ there exists $T = T(V) > 0$ such that

$$[S(t)\varphi](x) > 0 \quad \text{for} \quad (x, t) \in V \times [T, \infty).$$

The issue of eventual local positivity was studied further in [4] for initial data with specific polynomial decay at infinity: For $\beta > 0$, initial data

$$\varphi(x) := \frac{1}{|x|^\beta + g(x)} \quad (1.5)$$

with

$$g \in A_\beta := \{ h \in C(\mathbb{R}^N) \mid h(x) > 0, \ h(x) = o(|x|^\beta) \text{ as } |x| \to \infty \}$$

were considered. It was proved in [4, Theorem 1.1] that eventual local positivity holds locally uniformly and at an explicit asymptotic decay rate. At the same time this eventual positivity cannot be expected to be global, see [4, Theorem 1.2]: For each $\beta \in (0, N)$ and $t > 1$ there exists a radially symmetric function $g \in A_\beta$ such that (1.3) holds for $\varphi$ as in (1.5).

In order to understand the underlying reason for this change of sign even for large times and how initial data could look like to avoid this, a first step was made also in [4]:

**Proposition 1.1** ([4, Proposition A.6]). Let $N = 1$ and $\varphi(x) := |x|^{-\beta}$. For $\beta > 0$ small enough, it holds that

$$[S(t)\varphi](x) > 0 \quad \text{for all} \quad (x, t) \in \mathbb{R} \times (0, \infty).$$

So, it is natural to ask the following general question like Barbatis and Gazzola in [5, Problem 13]:

**Problem A.** For $N \geq 1$, can one find suitable classes of initial data $\varphi$ such that the corresponding solutions (1.4) to (1.1)-(1.2) are globally positive?

To the best of our knowledge, the existence of globally (in space) positive solutions to (1.1)-(1.2) has received only little attention. Beside Proposition 1.1, we mention Berchio’s paper [6]. In [6, Theorem 11] she considered the initial datum $\varphi(x) := |x|^{-\beta}$ for $\beta \in (0, N)$ and introduced a right hand side with a strictly positive impact. (The reader should notice that the actual formulation of [6, Theorem 11] is not correct. A vanishing right hand side e.g. is not admissible.) In this situation she obtained eventual global positivity.

In Theorem 1.2 below we shall prove that this positivity is even global in time (i.e. even for arbitrarily small $t > 0$) and holds for the homogeneous biharmonic heat equation, provided that $\beta > 0$ is small enough.

This, however, will follow from our first result which gives an affirmative answer to Problem A.
Let $S$ be the Schwartz space and $S'$ be the space of tempered distributions. We define $[S(t)\varphi](x)$ for $\varphi \in S'$ as

$$[S(t)\varphi](x) := \langle \varphi, \phi(x, \cdot, t) \rangle$$

for $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times (0, \infty)$, where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the duality pairing between $S'$ and $S$. For $\varphi \in S'$ we denote by $\mathcal{F}[\varphi]$ the Fourier transform of $\varphi$. If $\varphi \in S'$ is even smooth then this is given by:

$$\mathcal{F}[\varphi](\xi) := (2\pi)^{-N/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{-ix \cdot \xi} \varphi(x) \, dx \quad \text{for} \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^N. \quad (1.6)$$

**Theorem 1.1.** Let $N \geq 3$ and $\varphi \in S'$. Assume that all of the following conditions hold:

(a) $e^{-t|\cdot|^p} \mathcal{F}[\varphi] \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for $t \in (0, \infty)$.

(b) $\mathcal{F}[\varphi]$ is real valued, radially symmetric and positive.

(c) $\psi(s) := s^{\beta} \mathcal{F}[\varphi](s)$ belongs to $C^1(0, \infty)$ and $\psi'(s) \leq 0$ for $s \in (0, \infty)$.

Then $[S(t)\varphi](x)$ is positive for $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times (0, \infty)$.

Theorem 1.1 gives a general sufficient condition for the existence of positive solutions to problem (1.1)-(1.2) when $N \geq 3$. We remark that for sufficiently small $0 > \beta > 0$ the function $\varphi(x) := |x|^{-\beta}$ satisfies the assumptions on Theorem 1.1 (for details, see Section 3.2 and in particular (3.15)). Taking advantage of recurrence relations we can prove for this initial datum even in any dimension $N \geq 1$:

**Theorem 1.2.** Let $N \geq 1$ and $\varphi(x) := |x|^{-\beta}$.

(i) There exist $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in (0, N)$ with $\beta_1 \leq \beta_2$ and

$$\beta_1 > \begin{cases} \frac{(N + 1)}{2} & \text{if } N \geq 3, \\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } N = 2, \\ \frac{7}{16} & \text{if } N = 1, \end{cases}$$

such that

$$[S(t)\varphi](x) > 0 \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbb{R}^N \times (0, \infty) \quad \text{if} \quad \beta \in (0, \beta_1), \quad (1.7)$$

$$\inf_{(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times (0, \infty)} [S(t)\varphi](x) < 0 \quad \text{if} \quad \beta \in (\beta_2, N). \quad (1.8)$$

(ii) Assume that $[S(t)\varphi](x) > 0$ for $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times (0, \infty)$. Then there exists $K^* = K^*(N, \beta) > 0$ such that

$$[S(t)\varphi](x) \geq \frac{K^*}{|x|^\beta + t^\beta} \quad \text{for} \quad (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times (0, \infty). \quad (1.9)$$

(iii) For any $\beta \in (0, N)$ there exists $K^* = K^*(N, \beta) > 0$ such that

$$\left|[S(t)\varphi](x)\right| \leq \frac{K^*}{|x|^\beta + t^\beta} \quad \text{for} \quad (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times (0, \infty). \quad (1.10)$$

In particular, Theorem 1.2 (i) gives an extension of Proposition 1.1. Moreover, we deduce from (1.8) that the condition $\beta \in (0, \beta_1)$ cannot be extended to $\beta \in (0, N)$.

Moreover, Theorem 1.2 is applied to show (to the best of our knowledge for the first time) the existence of global-in-time positive solutions to the Cauchy problem for the following fourth order semilinear parabolic equation:

$$\partial_t u + (-\Delta)^2 u = |u|^{p-1}u \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbb{R}^N \times (0, \infty), \quad (1.11)$$

$$u(\cdot,0) = \varepsilon \phi(\cdot) \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbb{R}^N, \quad (1.12)$$

where $N \geq 1$, $\phi > 0$ is a “suitable” measurable function, $\varepsilon > 0$ is a parameter, and

$$p > 1 + \frac{4}{N}.$$
This “super-Fujita” condition is necessary in order to have global positive solutions because Egorov and coauthors showed in [7, Theorem 1.1] finite time blow up of any positive solution in the “sub-Fujita” case $1 < p \leq 1 + 4/N$. See the ground breaking work [8] of Fujita for second order analogues.

We first make clear that we understand the notion of solution to problem (1.11)-(1.12) in the strong sense:

**Definition 1.1.** Let $\varphi$ be locally integrable and bounded at infinity and $\varepsilon > 0$. We say that $u \in C((0, \infty); BC(\mathbb{R}^N))$ is a global-in-time solution to problem (1.11)-(1.12) if $u$ satisfies

$$u(x, t) = \varepsilon[S(t)\varphi](x) + \int_0^t [S(t - s)F_p(u(s))](x) \, ds$$

for $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times (0, \infty)$, where $F_p(\xi) := |\xi|^{p-1}\xi$.

Here, $BC(\mathbb{R}^N)$ denotes the space of bounded continuous functions.

Global existence of presumably sign changing solutions for similar problems was studied first by Caristi and Mitidieri in [9]. As for eventual local positivity the following was proved in [4, Theorem 1.4]: For $\varphi$ given by (1.5) with $\beta \in (4/(p - 1), N)$ and $g \in A_\beta$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough, there exists a global-in-time solution $u$ to problem (1.11)-(1.12), which is eventually locally positive. However, to the best of our knowledge, there is no result for the existence of globally positive solutions to problem (1.11)-(1.12). Therefore, similarly to Problem A, it is also natural to ask the following question:

**Problem B.** Are there initial data $\varphi$ such that there exists a global-in-time positive solution to problem (1.11)-(1.12)?

As an application of Theorem 1.2 (ii), we have:

**Theorem 1.3.** Let $N \geq 1$ and $p > 1 + 4/N$. Set $\beta := 4/(p - 1)$ and $\varphi(x) := |x|^{-\beta}$. Assume that

$$[S(t)\varphi](x) > 0 \quad \text{for} \quad (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times (0, \infty).$$

Then for sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a global-in-time solution $u$ to problem (1.11)-(1.12) such that

$$u(x, t) \geq \frac{\varepsilon M_*}{|x|^\beta + t^{\beta/4}} \quad \text{for} \quad (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times (0, \infty),$$

where $M_* > 0$ depends only on $N$ and $p$.

Theorem 1.2 (i) implies that, for each

$$p > 1 + \frac{4}{\beta},$$

condition (1.14) holds true. Thus Theorem 1.3 gives an affirmative answer to Problem B, even though under the restriction (1.16).

Let $\varphi$ be as in Theorem 1.3. Then $\varphi$ belongs to the weak Lebesgue space $L^{r_c, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, where

$$r_c := \frac{N(p - 1)}{4} > 1.$$

The existence of a global-in-time solution to problem (1.11)-(1.12) with sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\varphi \in L^{r_c, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is obtained in [10, Theorem 3.4 and Remark 3.7] (see also [11, Theorem 1.1]). However, in order to prove Theorem 1.3, we need to study the decay of global-in-time solution to (1.11)-(1.12) (which are not necessarily positive).

**Theorem 1.4.** Let $N \geq 1$ and $p > 1 + 4/N$. Set $\beta := 4/(p - 1)$ and $\varphi(x) := |x|^{-\beta}$. Then for sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a global-in-time solution $u$ to problem (1.11)-(1.12) satisfying the following: There exists $M^* = M^*(N, p) > 0$ such that

$$|u(x, t)| \leq \frac{\varepsilon M^*}{|x|^\beta + t^{\beta/4}} \quad \text{for} \quad (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times (0, \infty).$$
Remark 1.1. It is a natural question to ask whether our results can be generalised to Cauchy problems where the biharmonic operator is replaced by the polyharmonic operator $(-\Delta)^m$ with $m > 1$. For related questions, results in this direction have already been obtained. Indeed, Ferreira and Villamizar-Roa show in [10] well-posedness for problem (1.11)-(1.12) with $(-\Delta)^m$ instead of $(-\Delta)^2$ and assuming $p > 1 + (2m)/N$. They allow even for any fractional $m > 1$. Concerning problem (1.1)-(1.2) with $(-\Delta)^m$ instead of $(-\Delta)^2$ and compactly supported nonnegative initial datum, Ferreira and Ferreira prove in [12] eventual local positivity for any fractional polyharmonic operator (i.e. $m > 1$) thereby solving [5, Problem 10] mentioned by Barbatis and Gazzola. In view of the techniques developed in these papers we are confident that the present paper can be extended to the general polyharmonic framework.

The rest of this paper is organised as follows. In Section 2 we recall several properties of the fundamental solution $G$, of the Fourier transform of radially symmetric functions, and of Bessel functions. In Section 3 we prove Theorems 1.1 and 1.2. Section 4 is devoted to the proofs of Theorems 1.3 and 1.4.

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we recall some properties of the fundamental solution $G$, of the Fourier transform of radially symmetric functions, and of Bessel functions which will be useful in order to prove our results.

2.1 Fundamental solution $G$

We collect properties of the fundamental solution $G$ without proof (for details, see e.g. [3, 4, 13]). Let $J_\mu$ be the $\mu$-th Bessel function of the first kind. Then $G$ is given by

$$G(x, t) = \frac{a_N t}{t^{N/2}} f_N \left( \frac{|x|}{t^{1/2}} \right)$$

for $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and $t > 0$, where $a_N := (2\pi)^{-N/2}$ is a normalisation constant and

$$f_N(\eta) := \eta^{1-N} \int_0^\infty e^{-\eta s} (\eta s)^{(N/2)} J_{(N-2)/2}(\eta s) \, ds$$

$$= \eta^{-N} \int_0^\infty \exp \left[-\frac{s^4}{\eta^2}\right] s^{(N/2)} J_{(N-2)/2}(s) \, ds \quad (2.1)$$

for $\eta > 0$. It is known that $f_N$ changes sign infinitely many times, see [4, Theorem 2.3].

In what follows the constants $c_i > 0$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$) depend only on $N$.

- For $t > 0$, the function $G(\cdot, t)$ belongs to Schwartz space $\mathcal{S}$. More precisely, $f_N$ satisfies
  $$f_N'(\eta) = -\eta f_{N+2}(\eta), \quad |f_N(\eta)| \leq c_1 \exp \left[-c_2 \eta^{N/3}\right], \quad \text{for} \quad \eta > 0. \quad (2.2)$$

- For $t > 0$, it holds that
  $$\mathcal{F}[G(\cdot, t)](\xi) = (2\pi)^{-N/2} e^{-|\xi|^4 t} \quad (2.3)$$

for $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N$. Here, $\mathcal{F}$ denotes the Fourier transform defined in (1.6).
2.2 Fourier transform of radially symmetric function

To show positivity of $S(t)\varphi$, we use the representation of the Fourier transform of radially symmetric functions. According to [14, Theorem 9.10.5] the Fourier transform of $f(x) = g(|x|) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is given by

$$\mathcal{F}[f](\xi) = |\xi|^{-(N-2)/2} \int_0^\infty s^{N/2} g(s) J_{(N-2)/2}(\xi s) \, ds$$

(2.4)

for $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N$. Moreover, $\mathcal{F}[f]$ is also radially symmetric. In what follows, we write $\mathcal{F}[f](\xi) = \mathcal{F}[f](|\xi|)$.

2.3 Properties of Bessel functions

We collect some properties of Bessel functions from [14, Chapter 4]. The Bessel function $J_\mu$ (of the first kind) satisfies the formulas

$$J_\mu(\eta) = \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{(-1)^k}{\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma(k+\mu+1)} \left(\frac{\eta}{2}\right)^{2k+\mu} \quad \text{if } \mu > -1,$$

(2.5)

and

$$J_\mu(\eta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(\mu+1/2)} \left(\frac{\eta}{2}\right)^\mu \int_0^\pi \cos(\eta \cos \theta) \sin^{2\mu} \theta \, d\theta \quad \text{if } \mu > -1/2,$$

(2.6)

for $\eta > 0$. See [14, (4.5.2) and Corollary 4.11.2]. In particular, we observe from (2.5) with $\mu = -1/2$ that

$$J_{-1/2}(\eta) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi \eta}} \cos \eta$$

(2.7)

for $\eta > 0$. It follows from (2.5) that

$$J_\mu(\eta) = (\mu + 1)\eta J_{\mu-1}(\eta) + J'_\mu(\eta),$$

(2.8)

$$J'_\mu(\eta) = \mu \eta^{-1} J_{\mu-1}(\eta) - J_{\mu+1}(\eta),$$

(2.9)

$$\lim_{\eta \to 0} \eta^{-\mu} J_\mu(\eta) = 2^{-\mu} \Gamma(\mu + 1)^{-1},$$

(2.10)

for $\mu > -1$. Moreover, (2.6) and (2.7) imply that if $\mu \geq -1/2$, then

$$\sup_{0 < \eta < \infty} \eta^{-\mu} |J_\mu(\eta)| < \infty.$$

(2.11)

For large $\eta$, we also have the following asymptotic expansion for $\mu > -1$:

$$J_\mu(\eta) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi \eta}} \cos \left(\eta - \frac{\mu \pi}{2} - \frac{\pi}{4}\right) + O(\eta^{-3/2}) \quad \text{as } \eta \to \infty.$$

Then we see that for $\mu \geq -1/2$

$$\sup_{0 < \eta < \infty} \eta^{1/2} |J_\mu(\eta)| < \infty.$$

(2.12)

We recall a monotonicity property of Bessel functions ([15, Theorem 5.2]). Let $\{j_{\mu,k}\}_{k=1}^\infty$ be the zeroes of $J_\mu$ satisfying

$$0 < j_{\mu,1} < j_{\mu,2} < \cdots < j_{\mu,k} < j_{\mu,k+1} < \cdots$$

and $j_{\mu,0} := 0$. Set

$$M_{\mu,k} := \int_{j_{\mu,k}}^{j_{\mu,k+1}} W(s)s^{1/2} |J_\mu(s)| \, ds, \quad k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}.$$
Proposition 2.1 ([15, Theorem 5.2]). Let $\mu \geq 1/2$. Let $W : (0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfy
\[
W(\eta) > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad W'(\eta) \leq 0 \quad \text{if} \quad \mu \geq \frac{1}{2},
\]
\[
W(\eta) > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad W'(\eta) < 0 \quad \text{if} \quad \mu = \frac{1}{2},
\]
for $\eta > 0$ and
\[
W(\eta) = O(\eta^c) \quad \text{as} \quad \eta \downarrow 0,
\]
where $c > -3/2 - \mu$. Then
\[
M_{\mu,k} > M_{\mu,k+1} \quad \text{for} \quad k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}.
\]
(2.15)

Remark 2.1. The assumption (2.14) is required to show that the integral $M_{\mu,0}$ converges (see [15, Section (ii)]). Thus (2.14) is omitted if the integral $M_{\mu,0}$ converges.

Remark 2.2. Assume that
\[
\int_0^\infty W(s)s^{1/2}|J_\mu(s)| \, ds < \infty.
\]
Then, it holds that
\[
\int_0^\infty W(s)s^{1/2}J_\mu(s) \, ds = \sum_{k=0}^\infty (-1)^k M_{\mu,k} = \sum_{k=0}^\infty (M_{\mu,2k} - M_{\mu,2k+1}).
\]
Hence (2.15) leads to the positivity of the integral in the left hand side of the above equation.

3 Existence of positive solutions to problem (1.1)-(1.2)

In this section, we prove the sufficient condition on $\varphi$ to ensure $[S(t)\varphi](x) > 0$ for $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times (0, \infty)$. In what follows, the letter $C$ denotes generic positive constants and they may have different values even within the same line.

3.1 General initial data

This section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Since by (2.3)
\[
\mathcal{F}^{-1}[G(x - \cdot, t)](\xi) = e^{ix\cdot \xi} \mathcal{F}[G(\cdot, t)](\xi) = (2\pi)^{-N/2} e^{-t|\xi|^2 + ix\cdot \xi}
\]
for \( x \in \mathbb{R}^N \) and \( \xi \in \mathbb{R}^N \), we deduce from (2.4) and the assumption in Theorem 1.1 that
\[
[S(t)\varphi](x) = \langle \varphi, G(x -, t) \rangle
= (2\pi)^{-N/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \mathcal{F}[\varphi]\xi e^{-i\xi^t \cdot x} d\xi
= (2\pi)^{-N/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \mathcal{F}[\varphi]\xi e^{-i\xi^t \cdot x} d\xi
= (2\pi)^{-N/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \mathcal{F}[\varphi]\xi e^{-i\xi^t \cdot x} d\xi
= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{-N/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \mathcal{F}[\varphi]\xi e^{-i\xi^t \cdot x} d\xi
= |x|^{-N/2} \int_0^\infty s^{N/2} \mathcal{F}[\varphi](s) e^{-st} I_{(N-2)/2}(|x|s) ds
= |x|^{-N/2} \int_0^\infty \psi(s) e^{-st} s^{1/2} I_{(N-2)/2}(|x|s) ds
= |x|^{-N/2} \int_0^\infty \psi(|x|^{-1} s) \exp \left[ - \frac{ts^4}{|x|^2} \right] s^{1/2} I_{(N-2)/2}(s) ds
\]
for \( (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times (0, \infty) \). By Proposition 2.1 and Remark 2.2 it holds that \( [S(t)\varphi](x) \) is positive for \( (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times (0, \infty) \) if \( N \geq 3 \). \( \square \)

### 3.2 Special initial data \( \varphi(x) = |x|^{-\beta} \)

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.2. To this end we consider another representation of \( S(t)\varphi \). Let \( \beta \in (0, N) \). Since \( \mathcal{F}[\varphi](x) = c_{N,\beta} |x|^{\beta-N} \) in the sense of tempered distributions (see e.g. [16, Proposition 4.64], \( c_{N,\beta} = 2^{N/2-\beta} \Gamma((N-\beta)/2)/\Gamma(\beta/2) \)), by an argument similar to that in (3.1) in the proof of Theorem 1.1 we have
\[
[S(t)\varphi](x) = c_{N,\beta} |x|^{-(N-2)/2} \int_0^\infty e^{-t^4 s^2 |x|^{2/(N-2)}} I_{(N-2)/2}(|x|s) ds
= c_{N,\beta} |x|^{-(N-2)/2} I_{(N-2)/2}(|x|^{-1} s) \int_0^\infty e^{-s^4 t^{2/(N-2)}} I_{(N-2)/2}(|x|^{-1} s) ds
\]
for \( (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times (0, \infty) \). Setting \( E(s) := e^{-t^4 s^2} \) and
\[
F_{N,\beta}(\eta) := \eta^{-(N-2)/2} \int_0^\infty E(s) s^{\beta-N/2} I_{(N-2)/2}(\eta s) ds,
\]
we see that
\[
[S(t)\varphi](x) = c_{N,\beta} |x|^{-\beta} F_{N,\beta}(|x|^{-1/4})
\]
for \( (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times (0, \infty) \). Thus, in order to prove Theorem 1.2, it suffices to show that \( F_{N,\beta} > 0 \).

The positivity statement will then be a direct consequence of Proposition 2.1 and Remark 2.2 provided that \( N \geq 3 \). In order to cover also the small dimensions \( N = 1, 2 \), we need some preparations. We remark that by a change of variables \( F_{N,\beta} \) satisfies
\[
F_{N,\beta}(\eta) = \int_0^\infty E(s) s^{\beta-N/2} I_{(N-2)/2}(s) ds
\]
for \( \eta > 0 \). We remark that \( F_{N,\beta} \) can be also defined for \( \beta \geq N \). In the following, we consider \( F_{N,\beta} \) with \( N \geq 1 \) and \( \beta > 0 \).
Since we observe from (2.5) that
\[
\lim_{s \to 0} s^{\beta-N/2} J_{N/2}(\eta s) = 0,
\]
we see that by (2.8) and (3.2)
\[
F_{N,\beta}(\eta) = \eta^{\beta-N/2} \int_0^\infty E(s)s^{\beta-N/2-k} \left[ N^2 S \frac{d}{ds} \left( J_{N/2}(\eta s) \right) \right] ds
\]
\[
= (N-\beta)\eta^{\beta-N/2} \int_0^\infty E(s)s^{\beta-N/2-k} J_{N/2}(\eta s) ds
\]
\[
+ 4\eta^{\beta-N/2} \int_0^\infty E(s)s^{\beta-N/2+3} J_{N/2}(\eta s) ds
\]
\[
= (N-\beta)F_{N+2,\beta}(\eta) + 4\eta^{\beta-N/2} \int_0^\infty E(s)s^{\beta-N/2+3} J_{N/2}(\eta s) ds,
\]
for \(\eta > 0\), \(N \geq 1\) and \(\beta > 0\). In the following two lemmas we study the asymptotic behaviour of \(F_{N,\beta}\) at 0 and at \(\infty\).

**Lemma 3.1.** For \(N \geq 1\) and \(\beta > 0\)
\[
\lim_{\eta \to \infty} \eta^{\beta-N/2} \int_0^\infty E(s)s^{\beta-N/2+3} J_{N/2}(\eta s) ds = 0.
\]

**Proof.** We prove this lemma by means of an inductive argument. Let \(N \geq 1\) and \(\beta > 0\). We first claim that for \(k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}\) there exists \(\{a_k^{l}\}_{l=0}^k \subset \mathbb{R}\) such that for \(\eta > 0\)
\[
\int_0^\infty E(s)s^{\beta-N/2+3} J_{N/2}(\eta s) ds
\]
\[
= \eta^{-k} \sum_{l=0}^k a_k^l \int_0^\infty E^{(l)}(s)s^{\beta-l+3-N/2-k} J_{N/2+k}(\eta s) ds.
\]
(3.7)

It is clear that (3.7) holds for \(k = 0\).

Assume that (3.7) holds for some \(k_0 \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}\). Similarly to (3.5), we have
\[
\int_0^\infty E(s)s^{\beta-N/2+3} J_{N/2}(\eta s) ds
\]
\[
= \eta^{-k-1} \sum_{l=0}^{k_0} a_k^l \left[ \left( N^2 + k_0 + 1 \right) \int_0^\infty E^{(l)}(s)s^{\beta-l+2-N/2-k} J_{N/2+k_0+1}(\eta s) ds 
\]
\[
+ \int_0^\infty E^{(l)}(s)s^{\beta-l+3-N/2-k} \frac{d}{ds} \left( J_{N/2+k_0+1}(\eta s) \right) ds \right] 
\]
\[
= \eta^{-k-1} \sum_{l=0}^{k_0} a_k^l \left[ (N + 2k_0 - 2 - \beta - l) \int_0^\infty E^{(l)}(s)s^{\beta-l+2-N/2-k} J_{N/2+k_0+1}(\eta s) ds 
\]
\[
- \int_0^\infty E^{(l+1)}(s)s^{\beta-l+3-N/2-k} J_{N/2+k_0+1}(\eta s) ds \right]
\]
\[
\eta^{-k-1} \left[ (N + 2k^* - 2 - \beta) a_k^* \int_0^\infty E(s) s^{\beta+3-N/2-(k+1)} J_{N/2+k+1}(\eta s) \, ds \\
+ \sum_{l=1}^k ((N + 2k^* - 2 - \beta - l) a_l^k - a_{l-1}^k) \int_0^\infty E(l)(s) s^{\beta+l+3-N/2-(k+1)} J_{N/2+k+1}(\eta s) \, ds \\
- a_k^k \int_0^\infty E(k+1)(s) s^{\beta+3-N/2} J_{N/2+k+1}(\eta s) \, ds \right]
\]

for \( \eta > 0 \). Thus (3.7) holds for \( k = k^* + 1 \). Therefore, (3.7) holds for \( k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \).

We now turn to prove (3.6). We first consider the case \( \beta \in (0, (N + 1)/2) \). It follows from (2.11) and (2.12) that

\[
\sup_{0 < \eta \leq \infty} \eta^{-y} |J_{N/2}(\eta)| < \infty, \quad y \in \left[ -\frac{1}{2}, \frac{N}{2} \right],
\]

and we have

\[
\eta^{\beta-N/2} \left[ \int_0^\infty E(s) s^{\beta-N/2+3} J_{N/2}(\eta s) \, ds \right] \leq C \eta^{\beta-N/2+y} \left[ \int_0^\infty E(s) s^{\beta-N/2+y+3} \, ds \right]
\]

for \( \eta > 0 \) and \( y \in [-1/2, N/2] \). Since \( \beta \in (0, (N + 1)/2) \), we see that

\[
I := \left[ -\frac{1}{2}, \frac{N}{2} \right] \cap \left( \frac{N}{2} - \beta - 4, \frac{N}{2} - \beta \right) \neq \emptyset.
\]

Fix \( \tilde{y} \in I \). Taking \( y = \tilde{y} \) in (3.8), we observe that the right hand side of (3.8) goes to 0 as \( \eta \to \infty \). Therefore, (3.6) holds for \( \beta \in (0, (N + 1)/2) \). Next we consider the case \( \beta \geq (N + 1)/2 \). Fix \( k \in \mathbb{N} \) such that

\[
\beta - \frac{N + 1}{2} < k < \beta - \frac{N + 1}{2} + 4.
\]

Taking \( k = \tilde{k} \) in (3.7), we observe from (2.12) that

\[
\eta^{\beta-N/2} \left[ \int_0^\infty E(s) s^{\beta-N/2+3} J_{N/2}(\eta s) \, ds \right]
\]

\[
\leq \eta^{\beta-N/2-\tilde{k}} \sum_{l=1}^\tilde{k} \left| a_l^\tilde{k} \right| \int_0^\infty |E(l)(s)| s^{\beta+l+3-N/2-\tilde{k}} J_{N/2+k}(\eta s) \, ds
\]

\[
\leq C \eta^{\beta-(N+1)/2-\tilde{k}} \sum_{l=0}^\tilde{k} \left| a_l^\tilde{k} \right| \int_0^\infty |E(l)(s)| s^{\beta+l+5/2-N/2-\tilde{k}} \, ds
\]

for \( \eta > 0 \). By (3.9) the right hand side of (3.10) goes to 0 as \( \eta \to \infty \). Thus (3.6) follows also for \( \beta \geq (N + 1)/2 \).

\[\square\]

**Lemma 3.2.** For \( N \geq 1 \) and \( \beta \in (0, N) \) there exist constants \( A_{N, \beta}, \tilde{A}_{N, \beta} > 0 \) such that

\[
\lim_{\eta \to \infty} F_{N, \beta}(\eta) = A_{N, \beta},
\]

\[
\lim_{\eta > 0} \eta^{-\beta} F_{N, \beta}(\eta) = \tilde{A}_{N, \beta}.
\]

**Proof.** We first show (3.11). We claim that

\[
\lim_{\eta \to \infty} F_{N, \beta}(\eta) \text{ exists and is positive for } N \geq 3 \text{ and } \beta \in \left( 0, \frac{N - 1}{2} \right).
\]

Since by (2.11) and (2.12)

\[
|E(\eta^{-1}s)s^{\beta-N/2} J_{(N-2)/2}(s)| \leq \begin{cases} C_\beta s^{-1} & \text{if } 0 < s \leq 1, \\
C_\beta s^{-\beta(N+1)/2} & \text{if } s > 1,
\end{cases}
\]

for \( \eta > 0 \) and \( s \). Fix \( \beta \in (0, (N + 1)/2) \) and \( N \geq 3 \) such that

\[
\beta < \frac{N + 1}{2}.
\]

Fix \( k_0 \in \mathbb{N} \) such that

\[
\beta - \frac{N + 1}{2} < k_0 < \beta - \frac{N + 1}{2} + 4.
\]

Then

\[
\eta^{\beta-N/2} \int_0^\infty E(s) s^{\beta-N/2+3} J_{N/2}(\eta s) \, ds
\]

\[
\leq \eta^{\beta-N/2-k_0} \sum_{l=0}^{k_0} \left| a_l^{k_0} \right| \int_0^\infty |E(l)(s)| s^{\beta+l+3-N/2-k_0} J_{N/2+k_0}(\eta s) \, ds
\]

\[
\leq C \eta^{\beta-(N+1)/2-k_0} \sum_{l=0}^{k_0} \left| a_l^{k_0} \right| \int_0^\infty |E(l)(s)| s^{\beta+l+5/2-N/2-k_0} \, ds
\]

for \( \eta > 0 \). By (3.9) the right hand side of (3.10) goes to 0 as \( \eta \to \infty \). Thus (3.6) follows also for \( \beta \geq (N + 1)/2 \).
we can apply the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem for the right hand side of (3.4) and obtain

\[
\lim_{\eta \to \infty} F_{N,\beta}(\eta) = A_{N,\beta} := \int_0^\infty s^{\beta-N/2} f_{(N-2)/2}(s) \, ds.
\]

Recalling that \( N \geq 3 \) and \( \beta \in (0, (N-1)/2) \), by Proposition 2.1 and Remark 2.2 we see that \( A_{N,\beta} \) is positive.

We prove the general case inductively. We claim that for \( k \in \mathbb{N} \)

\[
\lim_{\eta \to \infty} F_{N,\beta}(\eta) \text{ exists and is positive for } N \geq 1 \text{ and } \beta \in \left(0, \min\left\{N, \frac{N-1}{2} + k\right\}\right). \tag{3.14}
\]

By (3.5), (3.13) and Lemma 3.1 we see that (3.14) holds for \( k = 1 \). If (3.14) holds for some \( k_* \in \mathbb{N} \), then (3.14) with \( k = k_* + 1 \) follows from (3.5), (3.14) with \( k = k_* \) and Lemma 3.1. Hence (3.11) holds for \( N \geq 1 \) and \( \beta \in (0, N/2) \).

We prove (3.12). By (2.11) we have

\[
\left| \eta^{-(N-2)/2} E(s)s^{\beta-N/2} f_{(N-2)/2}(\eta s) \right| \leq CE(s)s^{\beta-1}
\]

for \( \eta > 0 \) and \( s > 0 \). Then by (2.10) the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem is applicable for the product of \( \eta^{-\beta} \) and the right hand side of (3.2), and we obtain

\[
\lim_{\eta \to 0} \eta^{-\beta} F_{N,\beta}(\eta) = \hat{\lambda}_{N,\beta} := \frac{1}{\Gamma(N/2)2^{(N-2)/2}} \int_0^\infty E(s)s^{\beta-1} \, ds > 0.
\]

Thus the proof of Lemma 3.2 is complete. \( \square \)

We now turn to the proof of Theorem 1.2.

**Proof of Theorem 1.2.** We first prove assertion (i). By Proposition 2.1 and Remark 2.2 (which require that \( 1/2 \leq \mu = (N-2)/2 \)) we have

\[
F_{N,\beta}(\eta) > 0 \quad \text{for } \eta > 0 \quad \text{if } N \geq 3 \quad \text{and } \beta \in (0, \beta_0], \tag{3.15}
\]

where \( \beta_0 := (N+1)/2 \) for \( N \geq 3 \).

In the case \( N = 2 \), we deduce from (2.9) that

\[
\frac{d}{d\eta} \left[ \eta^{-\beta} F_{2,\beta}(\eta) \right] = \frac{d}{d\eta} \left[ \int_0^\infty E(s)s^{\beta-1} f_0(\eta s) \, ds \right] = -\int_0^\infty E(s)s^{\beta} J_1(\eta s) \, ds = -\eta^{-\beta-1} F_{N,\beta+2}(\eta)
\]

for \( \eta > 0 \) and \( \beta > 0 \). Since we have already proved in (3.15) that \( F_{N,\beta+2}(\eta) \) is positive for \( \eta > 0 \) if \( 0 < \beta \leq 1/2 \), the map \( \eta \mapsto \eta^{-\beta} F_{2,\beta}(\eta) \) is decreasing on \((0, \infty)\). Hence it follows from Lemma 3.2 that \( F_{2,\beta} \) is positive if \( \beta \in (0, \beta_0) \), where \( \beta_0 := 1/2 \) if \( N = 2 \).

We turn to the case \( N = 1 \). By (2.7) and (3.4) we have

\[
F_{1,\beta}(\eta) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \int_0^\infty E(\eta^{-1}s)s^{\beta-1} \cos s \, ds = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \int_0^\infty \left(1 - \beta + \frac{4s^4}{\eta^6}\right) E(\eta^{-1}s)s^{\beta-2} \sin s \, ds
\]

for \( \eta > 0 \). By a direct calculation, we see that the map \( s \mapsto (1 - \beta + 4s^4)E(s)s^{\beta-2} \) is non-increasing if \( 0 < \beta \leq 7/16 \). Thus \( F_{1,\beta}(\eta) \) is positive for \( \eta > 0 \) if \( \beta \in (0, \beta_0) \), where \( \beta_0 := 7/16 \) if \( N = 1 \).
We prove that we can extend the positivity result to $\beta > \beta_0$. Assume that there exist $(\eta_m)_{m=1}^{\infty} \subset (0, \infty)$, $\{\eta_m\} m=1 \subset (0, \infty)$ such that

$$y_m \to \beta_0 \quad \text{as} \quad m \to \infty, \quad F_{N,y_m}(\eta_m) \leq 0 \quad \text{for} \quad m \in \mathbb{N}.$$ 

If $(\eta_m)_{m=1}^{\infty}$ is bounded then $\eta_m$ converges, after passing to a subsequence, to some $\eta_0 \in [0, \infty)$. Otherwise, a subsequence of $(\eta_m)_{m=1}^{\infty}$ goes to infinity. In what follows it is important that a careful inspection of the proofs of Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2 shows that the arguments are uniform with respect to $\beta$ in a neighbourhood of $\beta_0$. By an argument similar to that of the proof of Lemma 3.2, if $\eta_0 \neq 0$,

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} F_{N,y_m}(\eta_m) = \begin{cases} F_{N,\beta_0}(\eta_0) & \text{if} \quad \{\eta_m\}_{m=1}^{\infty} \text{ is bounded}, \\ A_{N,\beta_0} & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}$$

This contradicts the positivity of $F_{N,\beta_0}$ or $A_{N,\beta_0}$, respectively. In the case $\eta_0 = 0$, it follows with the same arguments as in Lemma 3.2 that

$$0 \geq \lim_{m \to \infty} \eta_m^{2\eta_m} F_{N,y_m}(\eta_m) = A_{N,\beta_0} > 0,$$

again a contradiction. Therefore, we can find $\beta_1 > \beta_0$ which satisfies (1.7). One may observe that this argument even proves that the set $\{\beta \in (0, N) : (1.7) \text{ is satisfied}\}$ is open in $(0, N)$.

Finally, we show the existence of $\beta_2$ which satisfies (1.8). Since

$$F_{N,N}(\eta) = \int_0^\infty E(\eta^{-1}s)s^{N/2} f_{(N-2)/2}(s) ds = N \eta F_N(\eta),$$

where $f_N$ is as in (2.1), $F_{N,N}$ has a nontrivial negative part. Since $F_{N,\beta}(\eta)$ is continuous with respect to $\beta$, $F_{N,\beta}$ has also a nontrivial negative part if $\beta < N$ is sufficiently close to $N$. Therefore, we obtain $\beta_2 \geq \beta_1$ which satisfies (1.8).

We prove the assertion (ii). It follows from the assumption in (ii) that $F_{N,\beta}$ is positive on $(0, \infty)$. By (3.11) in Lemma 3.2 we find $\eta_\ast > 0$ such that

$$F_{N,\beta}(\eta) \geq \frac{1}{2} A_{N,\beta} \quad \text{for} \quad \eta \geq \eta_\ast.$$ 

Since $F_{N,\beta}$ is continuous in $(0, \infty)$, we find $K_1 > 0$ such that

$$F_{N,\beta}(\eta) \geq K_1 \quad \text{for} \quad \eta \geq 1. \quad (3.16)$$

Setting $\eta = |x|^{-1/4}$, we deduce from (3.3) and (3.16) that

$$|x|^\beta [S(t)\varphi](x) \geq c_{N,\beta} K_1 \quad \text{for} \quad (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times (0, \infty) \quad \text{with} \quad |x| \geq t^{1/4}. \quad (3.17)$$

On the other hand, by (3.12) in Lemma 3.2 we find $K_2 > 0$ such that

$$\eta^\beta F_{N,\beta}(\eta) \geq K_2 \quad \text{for} \quad \eta \leq 1. \quad (3.18)$$

Setting $\eta = |x|^{-1/4}$ in (3.3) again, we have that

$$[S(t)\varphi](x) = c_{N,\beta} t^{-\beta/4} \eta^{-\beta} F_{N,\beta}(\eta). \quad (3.19)$$

Combining (3.18) with (3.19), we obtain

$$t^{\beta/4} [S(t)\varphi](x) \geq c_{N,\beta} K_2 \quad \text{for} \quad (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times (0, \infty) \quad \text{with} \quad |x| \leq t^{1/4}. \quad (3.20)$$

Let $K_* := c_{N,\beta} \min\{K_1, K_2\} > 0$. We define $\mathcal{R} : \mathbb{R}^N \times (0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ as

$$\mathcal{R}(x, t) := \begin{cases} K_* \quad \text{if} \quad |x|^{-1/4} \geq 1, \\ K_* \quad \text{if} \quad |x|^{-1/4} \leq 1. \end{cases}$$
It is clear that
\[ R(x, t) \geq \frac{K_*}{|x|^\beta + t^{\beta/4}} \quad \text{for} \quad (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times (0, \infty). \] (3.21)

Thus, by (3.17), (3.20) and (3.21), we obtain (1.9). This shows (ii).

By Lemma 3.2, (3.4) and (3.19) we also obtain (1.10) in (iii). Here, \( K^* \) in (1.10) is a constant depending only on \( N \) and \( \beta \). We remark that the upper bound holds irrespective of whether \( \|S(t)\varphi\| \) is positive or not. This proves (iii). The proof of Theorem 1.2 is complete. \( \square \)

As a direct consequence of Theorem 1.2-(i) we have:

**Corollary 3.1.** Let \( N \geq 1, \beta \in (0, N) \) and \( 1 < q < N/(N - \beta) \). For \( f \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^N) \) with \( f \geq 0 \) a.e. in \( \mathbb{R}^N \), set
\[ \psi(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{f(y)}{|x - y|^{\beta}} \, dy \]

If \( \beta \in (0, \beta_1) \), where \( \beta_1 \) is as in Theorem 1.2-(i), then \( \|S(t)\psi\| \) is positive for \( (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times (0, \infty) \) and satisfies
\[ \|S(t)\psi\| \leq C \epsilon^\frac{q}{2} \left( \frac{\epsilon}{4} + 1 \right) \|f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)} \quad \text{for} \quad (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times (0, \infty). \] (3.22)

**Proof.** By the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality (see e.g., [17, Theorem 4.3]) we see that (3.22) holds. From Fubini’s theorem we deduce that
\[ [S(t)\psi](x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G(x - y, t)|y - z|^{-\beta} f(z) \, dz \, dy \]
\[ = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G(x - y, t)|y - z|^{-\beta} \, dy \right) f(z) \, dz \]
\[ = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [S(t)\varphi](x - z)f(z) \, dz, \]

where \( \varphi(x) := |x|^{-\beta} \). Then this together with Theorem 1.2-(i) implies that
\[ [S(t)\psi](x) > 0 \quad \text{for} \quad (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times (0, \infty). \]

Thus Corollary 3.1 follows. \( \square \)

### 4 Global-in-time positive solutions to problem (1.11)-(1.12)

In this section, we consider the semilinear equation (1.11) and prove Theorem 1.3. Set
\[ H(x, t) := \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \exp \left[ -c_2 \left( \frac{|y|}{s^{1/4}} \right)^{4/3} \frac{s^{-N/4}}{|x - y|^{\beta} + (t - s)^{\beta/4}} \right] \, dy \, ds \]
for \( (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times (0, \infty) \), where \( c_2 \) is given by (2.2). We remark that the function \( H \) appears when we estimate the second term of the right hand side of (1.13) by (2.2) and
\[ |u(x, t)| \leq \frac{C}{|x|^{\beta} + t^{\beta/4}} \quad \text{for} \quad \mathbb{R}^N \times (0, \infty). \]

We first consider the decay estimate for \( H \).

**Proposition 4.1.** Let \( N, p \) and \( \beta \) be as in Theorem 1.3. Then
\[ \sup_{(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times (0, \infty)} (|x|^{\beta} + t^{\beta/4})H(x, t) < \infty. \] (4.1)
Proof. The proof is based on the argument in [4, Proposition 6.2]. We first claim that

$$\sup_{(x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times (0,\infty)} |x|^{\beta/4} H(x,t) < \infty. \quad (4.2)$$

By the change of variables $z = s^{-1/4} y$ and $\sigma = s^{-1} t$ we have

$$t^{\beta/4} H(x,t) = t^{\beta/4} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{-c_2 |z|^{4/3}} \frac{s^{-\beta p/4}}{((s^{-1/4} x - z)^{\beta} + (s^{-1} t - 1)^{\beta/4})^p} \, dz \, ds$$

$$= t^{\beta/4 + 1 - \beta p/4} \int_1^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{-c_2 |z|^{4/3}} \frac{\sigma^{\beta p/4 - 2}}{((z - \sigma^{1/4} t^{-1/4} x)^{\beta} + (\sigma - 1)^{\beta/4})^p} \, dz \, d\sigma$$

for $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times (0, \infty)$. Since $\beta = 4/(p - 1)$, we have $\beta/4 + 1 - \beta p/4 = 0$ and

$$t^{\beta/4} H(x,t) = \int_1^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} H_{N,\beta}(z, \sigma; t^{1/4} x) \, dz \, d\sigma. \quad (4.3)$$

Here, we set

$$H_{N,\beta}(z, \sigma; w) := e^{-c_2 |z|^{4/3}} \frac{\sigma^{\beta p/4 - 2}}{((z - \sigma^{1/4} w)^{\beta} + (\sigma - 1)^{\beta/4})^p}.$$ 

We estimate the right hand side of (4.3) by splitting the integral into three parts

$$A_1(w) := \int_2^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} H_{N,\beta}(z, \sigma; w) \, dz \, d\sigma,$$

$$A_2(w) := \int_1^2 \int_{\{z - \sigma^{1/4} w \leq 1/2\}} H_{N,\beta}(z, \sigma; w) \, dz \, d\sigma,$$

$$A_3(w) := \int_1^2 \int_{\{z - \sigma^{1/4} w \geq 1/2\}} H_{N,\beta}(z, \sigma; w) \, dz \, d\sigma.$$

Regarding $A_1$ and $A_2$, we have

$$A_1(w) \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{-c_2 |z|^{4/3}} \, dz \int_2^\infty \sigma^{-2} \left( \frac{\sigma}{\sigma - 1} \right)^{\beta p/4} \, d\sigma < \infty, \quad (4.4)$$

$$A_2(w) \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{-c_2 |z|^{4/3}} \, dz \int_1^2 \frac{\sigma^{\beta p/4 - 2}}{(\sigma^{1/4} + (\sigma - 1)^{\beta/4})^p} \, d\sigma < \infty. \quad (4.5)$$

We consider $A_3$. Recalling that $1 - \beta p/4 = -\beta/4 < 0$ and $0 < \beta < N$, we see that

$$A_3(w) \leq C \int_{\{\xi \leq 1/2\}} \int_1^\infty \frac{1}{(\xi^{\beta} + (\sigma - 1)^{\beta/4})^p} \, d\xi \, d\sigma \leq C \int_{\{\xi \leq 1/2\}} \int_1^\infty |\xi|^{-\beta} \, d\xi < \infty.$$

Combining (4.4), (4.5) and (4.6) with (4.3), we obtain (4.2).

We prove now that

$$\sup_{(x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times (0,\infty)} |x|^{\beta/4} H(x,t) < \infty. \quad (4.7)$$
The proof of (4.7) is based on [4, Proposition 6.2] and [18, Lemma 10]. Using the change of variables \( z = s^{-1/4}y \) and \( \sigma = (|z|/|x|)s^{1/4} \), we deduce from Fubini’s theorem that

\[
|x|^\beta H(x, t) = |x|^\beta \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{(|x - s^{1/4}y|^{\beta} + (t - s)^{\beta/4})^p} \, dz \, ds
\]

\[
= |x|^\beta \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{(|x - s^{1/4}y|^{\beta} + (t - s)^{\beta/4})^p} \, dz \, ds
\]

\[
= 4 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\exp[-c_2|z|^{4/3}]}{|z|^4} \int_0^{R|z|} \frac{\sigma^3}{\left(R^4 - \frac{\sigma^4}{|z|^4}\right)^{\beta p/4} + (\sigma - 1)^{\beta p} + \left(2\sigma \left(1 - \frac{z_1}{|z|}\right)\right)^{\beta p/2}} \, d\sigma \, dz.
\]

Without loss of generality, we may take \( x/|x| = e_1 := (1, 0, \ldots, 0) \). Since

\[
\left|e_1 - \sigma \frac{z}{|z|}\right|^\beta = \left(1 - 2 \frac{z_1}{|z|} \sigma + \sigma^2\right)^{\beta/2} = \left((\sigma - 1)^2 + 2\sigma \left(1 - \frac{z_1}{|z|}\right)\right)^{\beta/2},
\]

we have

\[
|x|^\beta H(x, t) \leq C \tilde{H}(R),
\]

where \( R := t^{1/4}/|x| \) and

\[
\tilde{H}(R) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\exp[-c_2|z|^{4/3}]}{|z|^4} \int_0^{R|z|} \frac{\sigma^3}{\left(R^4 - \frac{\sigma^4}{|z|^4}\right)^{\beta p/4} + (\sigma - 1)^{\beta p} + \left(2\sigma \left(1 - \frac{z_1}{|z|}\right)\right)^{\beta p/2}} \, d\sigma \, dz.
\]

We first consider the case \( N \geq 2 \). Putting \( z = (z_1, z') \) and changing the variables \( r = |z'| \), we reduce \( \tilde{H}(R) \) into

\[
\tilde{H}(R) = (N - 1)\omega_{N-1} \int_0^\infty \int_{-\infty}^\infty \frac{\exp[-c_2(z_1^2 + r^2)^{2/3}]}{(z_1^2 + r^2)^2} \frac{\sigma^3}{\left(R^4 - \frac{\sigma^4}{(z_1^2 + r^2)^4}\right)^{\beta p/4} + (\sigma - 1)^{\beta p} + \left(2\sigma \left(1 - \frac{z_1}{\sqrt{|z_1|^2 + r^2}}\right)\right)^{\beta p/2}} \, d\sigma \, dz_1 \, dr.
\]

Here \( \omega_{N-1} \) denotes the \((N - 1)\)-dimensional volume of \( B_1(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^{N-1} \). By changing the variable \( z_1 = rw \), we observe that

\[
\tilde{H}(R) = (N - 1)\omega_{N-1} \int_0^\infty \int_{-\infty}^\infty \frac{\exp[-c_2R^{4/3}(1 + w^2)^{2/3}]}{(1 + w^2)^2} \frac{\sigma^3}{\left(R^4 - \frac{\sigma^4}{(1 + w^2)^4}\right)^{\beta p/4} + (\sigma - 1)^{\beta p} + \left(2\sigma \left(1 - \frac{w}{\sqrt{1 + w^2}}\right)\right)^{\beta p/2}} \, d\sigma \, dw \, dr.
\]

Since

\[
1 - \frac{w}{\sqrt{1 + w^2}} = \frac{\sqrt{1 + w^2} - w}{\sqrt{1 + w^2} = \frac{1}{(\sqrt{1 + w^2} + w)\sqrt{1 + w^2} \geq 2(1 + w^2),}
\]

\[
R^4 - \frac{\sigma^4}{r^4(1 + w^2)^4} = \frac{(Rr \sqrt{1 + w^2} - \alpha)(Rr \sqrt{1 + w^2} + \alpha)(R^2r^2(1 + w^2) + \sigma^2)}{r^4(1 + w^2)^2} \geq \frac{R^4(\sqrt{1 + w^2} - \alpha)}{r \sqrt{1 + w^2}},
\]

...
for \( w \in \mathbb{R} \) and \( \sigma \in [0, R \sqrt{1 + w^2}] \), we have

\[
\tilde{H}(R) \leq 2(N - 1) \omega_{N - 1} \int_0^\infty \int_0^R r^{N-5} \exp\left[-c_2 r^{4/3}(1 + w^2)^{2/3}\right] \frac{\sigma^3}{(1 + w^2)^{2/3}} d\sigma dr dw.
\]

Changing the variable \( \rho = \rho(r) = r \sqrt{1 + w^2} \), we deduce from (4.8) that for \( N \geq 2 \):

\[
|x|^\beta H(x, t) \leq C \int_0^\infty \int_0^R \rho^{N-5} e^{-c_2 \rho^{6/3}} \rho^{2/3} \frac{\sigma^3}{(1 + w^2)^{2/3}} d\sigma d\rho dw \leq C \int_0^\infty \int_0^R \rho^{N-5} e^{-c_2 \rho^{6/3}} \rho^{2/3} d\sigma d\rho dw.
\]

Next we consider the case where \( N = 1 \). Since

\[
R^4 - \frac{\sigma^4}{|z|^4} = (R|z| + \sigma)(R|z| - \sigma)(R^2|z|^2 + \sigma^2) \geq \frac{R^3(R|z| - \sigma)}{|z|},
\]

for \( \sigma \in [0, R|z|] \), we have

\[
\tilde{H}(R) \leq 2 \int_0^R \int_0^\infty z^{-4} e^{-c_2 z^{4/3}} \frac{\sigma^3}{(1 + w^2)^{2/3}} d\sigma dz \leq C \int_0^R \int_0^\infty z^{-4} e^{-c_2 z^{4/3}} \frac{\sigma^3}{(1 + w^2)^{2/3}} d\sigma dz.
\]

This together with (4.8) implies that in the case \( N = 1 \):

\[
|x|^\beta H(x, t) \leq C \int_0^R \int_0^\infty z^{-4} e^{-c_2 z^{4/3}} \frac{\sigma^3}{(1 + w^2)^{2/3}} d\sigma dz.
\]

We recall that \( R = t^{1/4} / |x| \). Then it follows from [4, Lemmas 7.1, 7.2] with \( \beta = 4/(p - 1) \) that the right hand sides of (4.9) and (4.10) are bounded. Thus (4.7) follows. Combining (4.2) with (4.7), we obtain (4.1). This completes the proof.

We now prove Theorems 1.3 and 1.4.

**Proof of Theorem 1.4.** Let \( \epsilon > 0 \). We define a closed subset \( (X, \|\cdot\|) \) of the corresponding Banach space as follows:

\[
X := \left\{ v \in C(\mathbb{R}^N \times (0, \infty)) \mid \|v\| \leq 2 \epsilon \right\},
\]

\[
\|v\| := \sup_{(x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times (0, \infty)} (|x|^\beta + t^{\beta/4}) |v(x, t)|.
\]
Here, $K^*$ is given in (1.10). Set
\[
\Phi[v](x, t) := \epsilon[S(t)\varphi](x) + \int_0^t [S(t-s)F_p(v(\cdot, s))]\,(x)\,ds \quad \text{for} \quad v \in X.
\]

We find a fixed point of $\Phi$ on $X$ by the contraction mapping theorem. By (1.10), (2.2) and Proposition 4.1 we have
\[
\begin{align*}
(x|^{\beta} + t^{\beta/4})|\Phi[v](x, t)| & \leq K^*\epsilon + (x|^{\beta} + t^{\beta/4})\int_0^t |S(t-s)F_p(v(s))|\,ds \\
& \leq K^*\epsilon + C\epsilon^p(x|^{\beta} + t^{\beta/4})H(x, t) \\
& \leq K^*\epsilon(1 + Ce^{p-1})
\end{align*}
\]

for $v \in X$ and $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times (0, \infty)$. Choosing $\epsilon > 0$ sufficiently small, we see that
\[
\|\Phi[v]\| = \sup_{(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times (0, \infty)} ((x|^{\beta} + t^{\beta/4})|\Phi[v](x, t)| \leq 2\epsilon K^*
\]

for $v \in X$. By an argument similar to that in (4.11), choosing $\epsilon$ sufficiently small we have
\[
\|\Phi[v] - \Phi[w]\| \leq \frac{1}{2}\|v - w\|
\]

for $v, w \in X$. Thanks to (4.11) and (4.12) we obtain a unique fixed point $u \in X$ of $\Phi$ by the contraction mapping theorem. Since $u \in X$, we obtain (1.17). \hfill $\Box$

**Proof of Theorem 1.3.** Assume that $|S(t)\varphi|(x) > 0$ for $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times (0, \infty)$. It follows from the same argument as in (4.11) that $u$ satisfies
\[
\left|\int_0^t [S(t-s)F_p(u(\cdot, s))]\,(x)\,ds\right| \leq \frac{C\epsilon^p}{x|^{\beta} + t^{\beta/4}}
\]

for $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times (0, \infty)$. This together with (1.9) implies that
\[
u(x, t) \geq \frac{\epsilon(K_* - C\epsilon^{p-1})}{x|^{\beta} + t^{\beta/4}}
\]

for $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times (0, \infty)$. Taking $\epsilon > 0$ small enough, we obtain (1.15). Therefore, the proof of Theorem 1.3 is complete. \hfill $\Box$
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