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Method for assessment of matching of total ankle joint endoprostheses based on CT data

Abstract: The investigation of matching of endoprosthesis tibial components to the bone cross section is of interest for the manufacturer as well as for the surgeon. On the one hand, a systemic design of the prosthesis and the assortment is possible, on the other hand, a better matching implantation is enabled on the basis of experience of this study.

CT sections were segmented manually using a CAD system and fitted by spline functions, then superseded with cross sections of the tibial component of a modified Hintermann H3 prosthesis.

The principal moments of inertia, the direction of the principal axes and the area of the section were evaluated. Based on the relative differences of the principal moments of inertia, recommendations for application of the different prosthesis size and its selection with the surgery can be made.
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1 Introduction

The application of current total ankle joint prostheses requires a systematic investigation of tibial component matching to bone section geometry based on CT data.

With the Hintermann H3 prosthesis [1] this can be achieved by matching different prosthesis sizes to CT sections. An investigation of matching accuracy is required to obtain a good matching of prosthesis to bone as well as to keep the number of prostheses sizes as well as the costs within a reasonable limit.

With a significant difference of prosthesis component section compared with the bone section, instability of prosthesis components is to be expected. Since a good match will result with good ingrowth behaviour to a high bending stiffness of the bone-prosthesis combination, the principal moments of inertia and the principal axis orientation were investigated as an indicator for the prosthesis match. Moreover, the demand for the different prosthesis sizes had to be balanced, and the relative difference of minimum and maximum moments of inertia had to be investigated.

2 Material and method

Using 436 CT data sets of tibia cross sections, different characteristic values of the implantations were examined:
• the relative differences of maximum and minimum moment of inertia
• the frequency of usage of different prosthesis sizes
• the cross section area
• the angle between principal axis and axis of CT coordinate system.

Especially, a low relative difference between the principal moments of inertia is characteristic for approximately circular or quadratic cross sections.
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Figure 1: Cross section of tibia in resection plane with approximation by splines
CT images of the bone cross section in the resection plane were imported into a CAD system and segmented manually (Fig. 1). The cross section contour was fitted by spline functions and manually superimposed by the given tibial component section. The contact area as well as the principal axis and moments of inertia could be determined thereby (Fig. 2). Under the assumption of an equally good contact between bone and prosthesis surface, the contact area is essential for the implant ingrowth and thereby for the combination of bone and prosthesis.

3 Results and discussion

The following essential results were found: The cross section area results show an approximately symmetric distribution around an average of about 1000 mm². The given five prosthesis sizes covered the whole area range. Corresponding to the frequency distribution the middle prosthesis sizes are appropriate in the most cases (Fig. 3). The relative difference of the principal moments of inertia was less than 40% in 95% of all implantations (Fig. 4). Hence, in the most cases quadratic or circular contact areas are to be expected. The initial hypothesis that the angle of the principal axes to the CT coordinate system would be in the most cases approximately 0° or 90° could not be confirmed due to the accidental position of the most patients in CT.

4 Conclusion

Concluding it can be stated that the geometric evaluation of tibial cross section area together with the prosthesis cross section can give valuable information on a better design of the tibial component as well as for stability and ingrowth behaviour. Furtheron, a relevant hint is given with respect to the corresponding size distribution.
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