R. D. Bedse, J. K. Sonber*, K. Sairam, T. S. R. Ch. Murthy and R. C. Hubli

Processing and Characterization of CrB₂-Based Novel Composites

Abstract: This paper presents the results of investigations carried out on processing and characterization of CrB₂-based novel composites. Niobium metal powder was used as additive to form new composites. Hardness and fracture toughness of chromium boride increased by addition of niobium. CrB₂ composites were prepared by addition of 2.5, 10 and 20 wt.% Nb. Density of higher than 95% ρ_{th} was achieved in all the samples. Hot pressed samples were analyzed to contain reaction products of NbB₂, Cr₂B₃ and Cr₃B₄ phases along with CrB₂. Hardness of CrB₂ composite was increased from 18.46 GPa to 21.89 GPa by increasing the Nb content from 2.5 to 10 wt.%. Fracture toughness of composites prepared by addition of 2.5 and 10% was measured as 3.11 and 3.38 MPa.m^{1/2} respectively. Addition of 20% Nb resulted in increased fracture toughness of 4.32 MPa.m^{1/2}.

Keywords: CrB₂, densification, niobium, hardness, fracture toughness, hot pressing

DOI 10.1515/htmp-2014-0084

Received July 23, 2014; accepted October 16, 2014

Introduction

CrB₂ is a potential candidate for structural applications, which requires high temperature strength and stability under severe conditions [1]. It has high melting point (2,200°C), high hardness (~20 GPa) and good wear resistance [2, 3]. Chromium diboride has considerable potential for hard coatings on cutting tools and as a protective coating for material exposed to wear and corrosion [4, 5]. It fulfills the function of a protective layer in chromium alloys and stainless steels [5, 6]. CrB₂ is also used as

additive for improvement in properties of high performance ceramic materials like borides and carbides [7–9].

Most of the literature on CrB₂ is related to thin film formations [10–12], whereas literature on bulk processing of CrB₂ is very limited. In bulk form borides can be processed by powder metallurgy route. Chromium borides can be synthesized by (a) reaction between elemental boron and chromium powder [1, 13] (b) borothermic reduction of Cr₂O₃ [14] (c) boron carbide reduction of Cr₂O₃ [15].

Usually, densification of boride powders is extremely difficult due to presence of covalent bonding and low self-diffusivity. High temperature and external pressure is required to get dense shapes of borides. Pressureless sintering and hot pressing of CrB₂ have been studied by Iizumi et al. [16] Hiroki et al. [17] have studied sintering of CrB powder by hot isostatic pressing. In this study, investigations were carried out on processing and characterization of CrB₂-based composite. Niobium metal powder was used as additive to form new composites. Nb had been used as sinter additive to ZrB₂ and was found to increase the mechanical properties of boride [18]. As per author's knowledge, there is no report on effect of Nb addition on microstructure and properties of CrB₂.

Experimental

Starting material

In-house synthesized CrB₂ (D₅₀: 6.7 μ m, “C”: 0.9 wt.%, “O”: 0.6 wt.%) and commercial Nb (99.7% pure) powder were used as starting materials. CrB₂ powder was prepared by boron carbide reduction of Cr₂O₃ in presence of carbon. Preparation details of CrB₂ powder are presented elsewhere [15]. Figure 1 presents the XRD pattern of the starting powders.

Densification and characterization

For densification, weighed quantities of fine chromium diboride and Nb powder were mixed thoroughly using a motorized mortar and pestle in dry condition for 1 h to obtain samples of different compositions. Figure 2(a) and (b) presents the particle size distribution of CrB₂ and Nb

*Corresponding author: J. K. Sonber, Materials Processing Division, Bhabha Atomic Research Centre, Mumbai 400 085, India, E-mail: jitendra@barc.gov.in

R. D. Bedse: E-mail: bedse@barc.gov.in, K. Sairam:

E-mail: sairamk@barc.gov.in, T. S. R. Ch. Murthy:

E-mail: murthi@barc.gov.in, R. C. Hubli: E-mail: rchubli@barc.gov.in, Materials Processing Division, Bhabha Atomic Research Centre, Mumbai 400 085, India

Figure 1: XRD pattern of starting CrB₂ and Nb powder.

Figure 2: Particle size distribution of (a) CrB₂ and (b) Nb powder.

powders respectively. CrB₂ powder has tri-modal distribution and particle size in the range of 0.1 μm to 20 μm. Median particle diameter was measured as 6.79 μm. Distribution of Niobium powder was monomodal and

the particle size ranges from 10 μm to 70 μm. Median particle diameter was measured as 28.16 μm.

The powder mixtures were then loaded in a high density graphite die (12 mm dia) and hot pressed at a temperature of 1,600°C under a pressure of 35 MPa for 2 h in a high vacuum (1×10^{-5} mbar) chamber. The pellets were ejected from the die after cooling and the density measured by liquid displacement method. Densified samples were polished to mirror finish using diamond powder of various grades from 15 to 0.25 μm in an auto polisher (Laboforce-3, Struers). Microhardness was measured on the polished surface at a load of 100 g and dwell time of 10 s. The indentation fracture toughness (K_{IC}) data were evaluated by crack length measurement of the crack pattern formed around Vickers indents (using 10 Kg load), adopting the model formulation proposed by Anstis et al. [19],

$$K_{IC} = 0.016(E/H)^{1/2} P/c^{3/2}$$

where E = Young's modulus, H = Vickers's hardness, P = Applied indentation load, c = Half crack length.

Elastic modulus of the samples was measured by ultrasonic method. The reported value of hardness and fracture toughness are the average of five measured values. Polished and fractured surfaces of dense pellets were analyzed by scanning electron microscope and energy dispersive spectroscopy (EDS). X-ray diffraction was also used for phase analysis.

Results and discussion

Densification

Table 1 summarizes the results of densification experiments carried out by hot pressing. CrB₂ powder containing 0, 2.5, 10 and 20 wt.% Nb was hot pressed. A density of higher than 95% ρ_{th} was achieved in all the composites consolidated by hot pressing at 1,600°C and 34 MPa pressure. For monolithic CrB₂, only 89.42% density was obtained under similar hot pressing condition. The enhanced densification by addition of Nb could be due to reaction sintering caused by reaction between CrB₂ and Nb which resulted in formation of NbB₂. More details of the reaction are discussed in Section "Phase Analysis and Microstructure". Iizumi et al. [16] have reported that chromium borides (Cr₂B, CrB and CrB₂) obtained by solid state reaction of Cr and B in the temperature range of 1,400 to 1,500°C. These powders [16] could not be consolidated by pressureless sintering process and were densified by hot pressing at about 1,700°C.

Table 1: Effect of Nb addition on densification and properties of CrB₂.

Sample	Temperature (°C)	Pressure	Density	Hardness (GPa)	K_{IC} (MPa.m ^{1/2})	Elastic modulus (GPa)
CrB ₂	1,600	34 MPa	89.42	11.45	3.10	481
CrB ₂ + 2.5% Nb	1,600	34 MPa	98.66	18.46	3.11	510
CrB ₂ + 10% Nb	1,600	34 MPa	98.32	21.89	3.38	559
CrB ₂ + 20% Nb	1,600	34 MPa	96.9	17.34	4.32	570

Phase analysis and microstructure

XRD patterns of sintered CrB₂ pellet processed with different content are shown in Figure 3. It indicates the presence of crystalline CrB₂ and the reaction products such as Cr₂B₃, Cr₃B₄ and NbB₂. The presence of NbB₂ in XRD pattern indicates that niobium is converted into niobium boride. Niobium boride formation takes place due to reaction of niobium with chromium boride. Required boron to form NbB₂ will be obtained from the matrix CrB₂. As a result, boron-deficient chromium boride such as Cr₂B₃ and Cr₃B₄ is formed. The formation of NbB₂, Cr₂B₃ and Cr₃B₄ can be explained by following possible reactions:

Figure 3: XRD pattern of hot pressed CrB₂ composites prepared by addition of Nb.

Reaction (1) is thermodynamically feasible at hot pressing temperature of 1,400°C in vacuum (1×10^{-5} mbar). Gibbs free energy change obtained using FactSage database for reaction 1 is presented in Figure 4. It shows that free energy change is negative for all the temperature. Elemental chromium, which formed as per reaction (1), reacts with CrB₂ and forms Cr₃B₄ (reaction 2) and Cr₂B₃ (reaction 3). Simultaneously, CrB₂ and Nb can react and result in formation of NbB₂, Cr₂B₃ and Cr₃B₄ as per reaction (4). Thermodynamic calculations for reactions (2 to 4) could not be computed as data for Cr₃B₄ and Cr₂B₃ are not available.

Figure 4: Free energy change for reaction between CrB₂ and Niobium (calculated using FactSage software).

From XRD pattern, it is observed that as the percentage of niobium increases in chromium boride, the intensity of niobium boride is higher in composite material.

Figure 5 presents the BSE image of CrB₂-based composite prepared by addition of 20% Nb, which shows the presence of gray matrix and in which, second phase (lighter shade) is dispersed. In EDS spectra, the gray matrix was analyzed to contain only Cr and B indicating that, it is CrB₂. The lighter shade was analyzed to contain mainly Nb in which very little amount of Cr (~4 atom %) is present. Chromium, which formed as per the reaction (1), could be diffused into Nb. Other phases such as Cr₃B₄ and Cr₂B₃ could not be seen in microstructure, due to

Figure 5: SEM image and elemental analysis of different phases in CrB₂ composite prepared by addition of 20% Nb.

difference in atomic number is not sufficient to distinguish the contrast of different chromium boride phases.

Mechanical properties and fractography

Measured Vickers hardness, fracture toughness and elastic modulus of CrB₂ composites are presented in Table 1.

Hardness of CrB₂ prepared with 2.5% Nb was measured as 18.46 GPa which increases to 21.89 GPa with increasing Niobium content to 10 mass%. This could be due to the higher hardness (25.5 GPa) of NbB₂ phase as compared to monolithic CrB₂ (22 GPa) [2]. Further increase of Nb content decreased the hardness to 17.34 GPa. This could be attributed to the formation of Cr₂B₃ and Cr₃B₄ phases in significant amounts. Hardness of monolithic CrB₂ was measured as 11.45 GPa. The low hardness obtained is due to the lower density (89.42%) of the sample. Microhardness of single crystal CrB₂ has been reported as 22.6 GPa (1N load) by Okada et al. [20]. Sonber et al. [15] have reported hardness of hot pressed CrB₂ as 22 GPa.

Fracture toughness of monolithic CrB₂ was measured as 3.10 MPa.m^{1/2}. Fracture toughness of composites prepared by addition of 2.5 and 10 wt.% Nb was measured as 3.11 and 3.38 MPa.m^{1/2} respectively. Addition of 20% Nb resulted in increased fracture toughness of 4.32 MPa.m^{1/2}. The increase in the fracture toughness is due to presence of second phase. Figure 6 presents the fracture surfaces of CrB₂ composites. Dense surfaces were observed in all the composites. The mode of fracture is observed to be transgranular.

Reinforcement of metallic particles in ceramic material enhances fracture toughness of ceramics. Addition of Nb

Figure 6: Fracture surface of CrB₂ composites prepared by addition of (a) 2.5% Nb (b) 10% Nb (c) 20% Nb.

and Mo into ZrB₂ has been reported to result in increased fracture toughness [18, 21]. This enhancement is due to plastic deformation of metals which consumes available energy. In the present study, Niobium metal was added to CrB₂ matrix to form new composite. During hot pressing, Nb has reacted with CrB₂ and formed NbB₂ and thus in composite, ductile Nb metal is not present. However, presence of NbB₂ resulted in enhancement of hardness and fracture toughness but effect is not as high as achieved by Nb addition in ZrB₂ by Sun et al. [18].

Conclusion

CrB₂-based novel composite has been developed by addition of Niobium powder. Hot pressing of CrB₂ + Nb mixture at 1,600°C and 34 MPa pressure resulted in a density higher than 95% ρ_{th} . Monolithic CrB₂ was densified to 89.42% under similar hot pressing condition. The enhanced densification of CrB₂ by addition of Nb is attributed to reaction sintering. During hot pressing, Nb reacts with CrB₂ and results in the formation of NbB₂, Cr₂B₃ and Cr₃B₄. Hardness of CrB₂ composite prepared by addition of 2.5% Nb was measured as 18.46 GPa which increases to 21.89 GPa by increasing Nb content to 10%. Fracture toughness of composites containing 2.5 and 10% Nb was measured as 3.11 and 3.38 MPa.m^{1/2} respectively. Addition of 20% Nb resulted in increased fracture toughness of 4.32 MPa.m^{1/2}.

References

1. Iizumi K, Kudaka K, Maezawa D, Sasaki T. Mechanochemical synthesis of chromium borides. *J Ceram Soc Jpn* 1999;107:491–3.
2. Baucio ML. ASM engineered materials reference book. Materials Park, OH: ASM International, 1994.
3. Audronis M, Rosli ZM, Leyland A, Kelly PJ, Matthews A. Tribological behaviour of pulsed magnetron sputtered CrB₂ coatings examined by reciprocating sliding wear testing against aluminium alloy and steel. *Surf Coat Technol* 2008;202:1470–8.
4. Dahm KL, Jordan LR, Haase J, Dearnley PA. Magnetron sputter deposition of chromium diboride coatings. *Surf Coat Technol* 1998;108–109:413–18.
5. Jordan LR, Betts AJ, Dahm KL, Dearnley PA, Wright GA. Corrosion and passivation mechanism of chromium diboride coatings on stainless steel. *Corros Sci* 2005;47:1085–96.
6. Gordienko SP, Evtushok TM, Rookitskaya EA, Zhunkovskii GL. Thermodynamic analysis of chemical reaction between components of the Cr-CrB₂-CrSi₂ system with air at elevated temperatures. *Powder Metall Met Ceram* 2003;42:408.
7. Murthy TSRCh, Sonber JK, Subramanian C, Hubli RC, Krishnamurthy N, Suri AK. Densification and Oxidation behavior of a novel TiB₂-MoSi₂-CrB₂ composite. *Int J Refract Met Hard Mater* 2013;36:243–53.
8. Yamada S, Hirao K, Yamauchi Y, Kanzaki S. B₄C-CrB₂ composites with improved mechanical properties. *J Eur Ceram Soc* 2003;23:561–5.
9. Murthy TSRCh, Sonber JK, Subramanian C, Fotedar RK, Gonal MR, Suri AK. Effect of CrB₂ addition on densification, properties and oxidation resistance of TiB₂. *Int J Refract Met Hard Mater* 2009;27:976–84.
10. Jha M, Marka S, Ghanashyam Krishna M, Ganguli AK. Multifunctional nanocrystalline chromium boride thin films. *Mater Lett* 2012;73:220–2.
11. Choi HS, Park B, Lee JJ. CrB₂ coatings deposited by inductively coupled plasma assisted DC magnetron sputtering. *Surf Coat Technol* 2007;202:982–6.
12. Jayaraman S, Klein EJ, Yang Y, Kim DY, Girolami GS, Abelson JR. Chromium diboride thin films by low temperature chemical vapor deposition. *J Vac Sci Technol A* 2005;23:631–3.
13. Iizumi K, Kudaka K. Synthesis and sintering of chromium borides via solid state reactions and mechanochemical processes of chromium-amorphous boron mixed powder. *Chem Soc Jpn Chem Ind Chem J* 2000;6:379–80.
14. Peshev P, Bliznakov G, Leyarovska L. On the preparation of some chromium, molybdenum and tungsten borides. *J Less Common Met* 1967;13:241–7.
15. Sonber JK, Murthy TSRCh, Subramanian C, Kumar S, Fotedar RK, Suri AK. Investigation on synthesis, pressureless sintering and hot pressing of chromium diboride. *Int J Refract Met Hard Mater* 2009;27:912–18.
16. Iizumi K, Maezawa D, Sasaki T, Kudaka K. Reactive sintering of CrB₂ via mechanochemical processing of chromium and boron powders. *J Jpn Soc Powder Powder Metall* 2000;47:3–8.
17. Hiroki Y, Yoshinaka M, Hirota K, Yamaguchi O. Hot isostatic pressing of CrB prepared by self propagating high temperature synthesis. *J Jpn Soc Powder Powder Metall* 2003;50:367–71.
18. Sun X, Han W, Hu P, Wang Z, Zhang X. Microstructure and mechanical properties of ZrB₂-Nb composite. *Int J Refract Met Hard Mater* 2010;28:472–4.
19. Anstis GR, Chantikul P, Lawn BR, Marshall DB. A critical evaluation of indentation techniques for measuring fracture toughness. I: Direct crack measurements. *J Am Ceram Soc* 1981;64:533–8.
20. Okada S, Kudou K, Iizumi K, Kudaka K, Higashi I, Lundstrom T. Single-crystal growth and properties of CrB, Cr₃B₄, Cr₂B₃ and CrB₂ from high-temperature aluminum solutions. *J Cryst Growth* 1996;166:429–35.
21. Wang H, Chen D, Wang CA, Zhang R, Fang D. Preparation and characterization of high-toughness ZrB₂/Mo composites by hot-pressing process. *Int J Refract Met Hard Mater* 2009;27:1024–6.