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Abstract: The use of agricultural wastes as additives for other valuable products has been the focus of many research efforts because of their availability, inherent properties, and environmental friendliness. Palm kernel shells (PKS) and coconut shells (CNS) are agricultural solid end products obtainable from the cracking of their fruit nuts. In this study, PKS and CNS were used to produce abrasive sandpaper following established procedures. The mechanical properties of the sandpaper produced were established. Material for application in abrasive operations must exhibit maximum hardness and compressive strength, minimal wear rate, water absorption, and density. Results showed a 20% and 25% increment in specimen hardness values for PKS and CNS respectively in samples with polyester resin content weight composition range of 7.8–22.2% based on sieve size of 250 µm. Similarly, 29.23% and 32.44% increment for PKS and CNS respectively was recorded for a sieve size of 420 µm. Samples with a high percentage of binder exhibit better wear characteristics for both PKS and CNS for the investigated parameters. As the percentage weight composition of PKS and CNS samples increases in the abrasive sandpaper composites, the water absorption properties decrease for both 250 µm and 420 µm sieve sizes. Samples compressive strength increases as the percentage weight composition of binder increases over a range of 7.8 to 22.2% for both 250 µm and 420 µm sieve sizes studied. Similarly, as the percentage weight composition of PSK and CNS increases, the sample exhibit high density for both sieve sizes. These characteristics affirmed the suitability of the abrasive composites made with PKS and CNS for frictional applications.
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1 Introduction

An increase in population coupled with a corresponding increase in demand for agricultural products has resulted in enormous generations of agricultural wastes that pose a challenge to farmers in terms of their proper handling, management, and disposal. Most often in Nigeria’s farming perspective, these wastes have near-zero commercial or economic usage hence constituting a menace to the environment [1]. Their alternative utilization as raw materials for other valuable products has recently gained attention among researchers. Hence, an increased interest in the effective and efficient ways of appropriating agricultural wastes as an alternative source of raw materials [2, 3]. Agricultural wastes are made up of organic compounds from living or dead plants like rice husk and straw, oil palm shell, coconut shell, sugarcane bagasse, maize cob and husk, groundnut shell, etc. The huge volume of these generated annually is to a very great extent not adequately used in Nigeria [1, 4]. The proper utilization of these wastes for other essential products will be of economic benefit to the farmers as they can serve as an additional source of income, and also will help in the protection of the environment [5, 6].

The need to convert these agricultural wastes into industrial consumables such as sandpaper is worth undertaking because of the significance of abrasive machining to the manufacturing sector economy.

Palm oil and coconut plants belong to the same palm family with almost the same taxonomic hierarchy. They are domestic plants that are well suited to Nigeria’s ecoclimatic conditions. Products from these plants are in high demand because of inherent distinctive nutrients and wide industrial applicabilities for varied domestics products. The global palm oil production outlook shows Nigeria as the fifth-largest producer. A major challenge associated with palm fruits and coconuts processing is the huge volume
of biomass produced in the process [7]. These are palm bunches, palm fibre, and palm kernel, and coconut shell [8]. Some of these byproducts have been used in combination with other raw materials for the production of useful products like particleboard, concrete, car brake pads, sandpaper, briquette, biofuel, that have found applications in building and highway construction, automotive industry, as electrical insulators, lagging and packaging materials, and feedstock for power generations [6, 9–14].

Sandpapers are papers with friction or abrasive material bound to the surface. They consist of abrasive particles of a single layer attached to a flexible backing material by a bonding agent [5]. They are primarily used for sanding and smoothing in wood, metal, sculptural, and architectural works. An abrasive is used to form or finish a workpiece through rubbing which leads to part of the workpiece being worn off by friction. Processing of abrasive materials involved furnace treatment, pulverization, and sifting into different grain sizes known as grits [15]. They possess important physical properties such as hardness, toughness, brittleness, failure characteristics, purity, shape, size, and the uniformity of the grains. Abrasive materials could be obtained naturally or synthetically produced. Natural abrasive materials such as alumina silicate mineral, chalk, lime, diatomite, diamond, silica, and kaolinite can be used to manufacture abrasive grains [16]. The synthetics are those that are usually produced with controlled quantities and compositions. The synthetic abrasive materials have purity as an important feature that has significant relevance to their efficiency [17]. The most pronounced synthetic abrasive materials based on usage are aluminum oxide, silicon carbide, and Cubic Boron Nitride (CBN). Aluminum oxide and silicon carbide are the most prominent materials being utilized recently. Some researchers have developed abrasive sandpapers using locally sourced materials. Obot et al. [18] carried out a comparative analysis of abrasive sandpaper produced from the periwinkle shell and palm kernel shell. Wai and Lilly [19] also researched the production of emery cloth/sandpaper from locally sourced materials while Odior and Oyawale [20] investigated the preparation and production of silicon carbide abrasives with materials that were locally sourced. These affirmed the potential inherent in agricultural waste materials in the manufacturing of abrasive sandpapers for domestic and commercial uses.

Using palm kernel and coconut shells as abrasive materials would be an eco-friendly solution to the waste management challenges of these palms biomass. As different materials exhibit different behaviour because of their grain mass compositions, establishing their mechanical properties is a requisite approach for their application and performance. Hence, this study developed abrasive sandpaper using palm kernel and coconut shells. The formed samples were subjected to mechanical tests to establish their hardness, wear rate (WR), water absorption (WA), compressive strength (CS), and density (D).

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Experimental materials and equipment used

The experimental materials are palm kernel shell (PSK), coconut shell (CNS), polyester resin (binder), Methyl Ethyl Ketone Peroxide, MEKP (hardener), cobalt naphthalene (accelerator), water, SAE 60 automotive engine oil, and containers.

Similarly, major equipment and tools for samples formation and mechanical behaviour analyses are Universal Testing Machine (INSTRON, Model 3369, USA), Rockwell micro hardness machine (LECO, LM700AT, USA), Taber abrasion tester (TST, Model TSE-A016, China), pin on disk machine (Sushant Engineers, Model GST-120, India), ball miling machine, mechanical mixer, vibrating sieving machine, aluminum moulds, electronic weighing balance (CAC, Model CAC-25, India), scrapper, hand gloves, metal files, steel spatula, and stirrer.

![Figure 1: Procedural steps followed for abrasive sandpaper production](image-url)
2.2 Research conceptual framework

The steps for the production of abrasive sandpaper from PKS and CNS are as depicted in Figure 1. This relates the raw materials for composites formation to the inherent mechanical properties exhibited by them following standard procedures for sample production and analysis.

2.3 Preparation of material samples and abrasive sandpaper specimen production

Experimental specimens were painstakingly prepared following established procedures to remove contaminants that may impede the solid formation of abrasive sandpaper [21–24]. Raw materials for sample preparation were sourced from a farm at Igbo Owu and Oja Oba market in Kwara State. They were suspended in a solution of caustic soda (NaOH) for 24 hours to remove the oil remnant left after oil processing procedures [9]. This was followed by thorough washing with clean water and sun-drying for 5 days of the experimental specimens. Oven drying of the sample was also done at 100°C for 5 hours until a constant moisture level was attained. Samples were fed into a ball milling machine to form the needed powder which was sieved using 250 µm and 420 µm sieve sizes (ASTM E11) to sort the grain sizes into FEPA abrasive grits of P60 and P40 standard grits respectively [25].

Other constituent elements of the abrasive composites were graded and stored properly in well-labeled transparent glass containers for easy identification. The production of the abrasive specimen followed the technique of powder metallurgy as used by [18]. The sample mass composition is presented in Table 1 and Figure 2 shows the specimen grits based on 250 µm and 420 µm sieve sizes.

The required quantity of milled PKS and CNS samples were measured using a digital weighing balance which was discharged into a clean separate plastic container. The measure of the right proportion of binder, accelerator, and hardener was added in succession to the PKS and CNS samples in their separate containers. Thorough mixing was done for 15 minutes to achieve homogeneity of the prepared specimens. The paste-like uniform mixture obtained was fed into the mould cavities powdered talc for easy component removal after casting. A fixed pressure of 10.5 N/mm² at room temperature was used to compact the specimen. The samples were left under compression for 24 hours after which they were gently removed and left to cool for 80 to 120 minutes. Specimens having high binder content tend to exhibit resistance to the flow of paste and composites elements also influence the curing duration. The specimens produced were stored in a well-ventilated room for 21 days for full strength attainment before performing the mechanical tests to ascertain the suitability of the formulation for frictional applications [26].

2.4 Compression mould development

The developed mould of dimensions 65 mm diameter by 45 mm depth for the production of the abrasive sandpaper was operated manually with a constant pressure of 10.5 N/mm². Figure 3 shows the schematic and design drawings of the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PKS/CNS (g)</th>
<th>Resin (g)</th>
<th>Hardener (g)</th>
<th>Accelerator (g)</th>
<th>Total Mass (g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>50.4</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>66.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52.8</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>66.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55.2</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>66.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57.6</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>66.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60.0</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>66.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.5 Mechanical properties test techniques

Standard tests were used to determine the empirical values of the vital abrasive analytical parameters.

2.5.1 Hardness test method

The PKS and CNS composites hardness characteristics were established following procedures in the American Society of Testing and Materials (ASTM V03.01-2020). The Rockwell hardness tester on “B” scale (38506) with a 1.56 mm steel ball indenter minor load of 10 kg, a major load of 100 kg, and a standard block of hardness value of 101.2HRB was used. Hardness affirmed resistance to indentation, determined by measuring the indentation permanent depth. The Rockwell method measures the permanent depth of indentation produced by a force/load on an indenter. The steps involve a preliminary test force also known as the preload or minor load, \( F_0 \) is applied to a sample using a diamond or ball indenter. This minor load breaks through the sur-
face to lessen the surface finish effects. Holding the preload for a specified dwell time, the baseline depth of indentation is measured. Thereafter, an additional load known as the major load, $F_1$, is added to attain the total required test force. This load is maintained for a pre-determined duration known as the dwell time to permit elastic recovery. This major load is then released, returning to the preliminary load. After holding the preliminary test force for a specific dwell time, the final depth of indentation is measured. The difference in the baseline and final depth measurements give the Rockwell hardness value of the specimen, which was converted to a hardness number. The preliminary test force and the indenter were removed from the test samples (Equation 1).

$$HR = E - e$$  

1. $F_0$ – Preliminary minor load  
2. $F_1$ – Additional major load  
3. $F$ – Total load  
4. $e$ – permanent increase in depth of penetration due to the major load $F_1$ measured in units of mm  
5. $E$ – a constant depending on the form of indenter (100 units for diamond indenter and 130 units for steel ball indenter)  
6. $D$ – diameter of steel ball  
7. $HR$ – Rockwell hardness.

### 2.5.2 Wear resistance test

A pin on disk machine following ASTM V03.02-2020 was employed to measure the wear rate of the sample. This involves sliding it over a cast iron surface at 40, 60, 80, 100, and 120 kg loads, sliding speed of 2.4 m/s for 20 minutes at 50°C and 150°C. Weight quantity of sample was measured using a digital weighing balance with 0.0001 g accuracy. The test procedures involve pressing the pin against the counterpart rotating against a cast iron disk with a counter surface roughness of 0.3 μm by applying the load. A friction deflecting arm linked to a strain gauge hetro, loaded the specimens vertically into the rotating hardened cast iron disk. After a run through a fixed sliding length, the specimens were removed, cleaned, dried, and weighed again to calculate the loss in weight as a result of the wear resistance test. The difference in weight as measured before and after the evaluations affirm the wear of the sample as estimated using Equation (2) [21].

$$\text{Wear rate} = \frac{\Delta W}{S} = \frac{W_x - W_y}{S}$$  

Where

- $\Delta W$ – difference in weight of the sample before and after the test (mg)  
- $S$ – total sliding distance (m)  
- $W_x$ – initial weight of the sample (mg)  
- $W_y$ – final weight of the sample (mg).

### 2.5.3 Water absorption test

Specimen initial weight was measured and recorded as $W_1$ before soaking in distilled water for 24 hours. Thereafter, the specimens were removed, carefully cleaned to remove water droplets on the surface, weighed again, and recorded as $W_2$. The weight difference from the initial and final measurements was used to calculate the absorption rate using Equation 3, adopting the procedures followed by [21].

$$\% \text{ water absorption} = \frac{W_2 - W_1}{W_1} \times 100\%$$  

Where:

- $W_1$ – sample initial weight  
- $W_2$ – sample final weight.

### 2.5.4 Compression test

This test defined the maximum compressive load a material can withstand before fracturing, known as the compressive strength. A Tensometer was used to establish the compressive strength of the composites. The compressive force which was loaded continuously until failure happened was applied to the samples. The force at which failure happened was recorded as the compressive strength of the material [27].

### 2.5.5 Density test

Archimedes’ principle was adopted when measuring the density of the abrasive sandpaper composites produced. The buoyant force on a submerged object is equal to the weight of the fluid displaced. This effective mass underwater was its actual mass minus the mass of the fluid displaced. The difference between the actual and effective mass depicts the mass of water displaced and permits the calculation of the volume of an irregular shaped body. Therefore, the average density is the mass per unit volume of the sample (Equation 4).

$$\text{Density (ρ)} = \frac{\text{Mass}}{\text{Volume}} = \frac{M}{V}$$  

Where

- $M$ – total mass of the sample
- $V$ – volume of the sample.
3 Results and discussion

The mechanical test results were analyzed to affirm the potential suitability of abrasive composites from PSK and CNS for frictional applications. The test data show the physico-mechanical properties of the developed abrasive material considering the defined manufacturing procedures employed.

3.1 Rockwell hardness test

Rockwell hardness performance output as determined from the test evaluations on the PKS and CNS showed that composites hardness increases with increase binder concentration from 7.8 to 22.2 wt%. A 9 HRB was obtained as the highest for 250 μm sieve size while samples formed from 420 μm sieve size show a reduced hardness value. Similarly, the CNS samples with 250 μm sieve grade size exhibit the highest hardness of 8.40 HRB compared with 420 μm sieve grade size with a hardness of 7.92 HRB. This affirmed the influence of particle size on hardness characteristics of composites. Deductions from the performance investigation on the composites abrasive sandpaper revealed that the hardness values of PKS and CNS increase proportionally as the percentage weight of binder increases as depicted in Figures 5 and 6. This observed phenomenon may be linked to the compact interfacial bonding of the polyester resin binding the composites grain particles firmly together. Therefore, based on the sieve sizes used for the grits preparation, samples with 250 μm size performed better with 9 HRB than 8.4 HRB for 420 μm size for composites obtained from PSK. CNS abrasive composites exhibit the same trend with hardness values of 8.75 HRB and 792 HRB for both 250 μm and 420 μm sieve sizes with 22.2 wt% polyester resin respectively. A similar inverse relationship between hardness and particle size was reported by [28] in the investigation of abrasive sandpaper hardness developed from composites using palm kernel and periwinkle shells.

3.2 Wear resistance test

The mean coefficients of friction for each of the sieve size of PKS and CNS compositions for the specimens produced are as presented in Figures 7–14 with the parameters used. The wear process is characterized by fracture, tribochemical effects, and plastic flow. The transition between regions dominated by each of these effects often results in changes in wear rates with the applied load. The wear rate on the composites produced from PSK and CNS were per-
formed at the two temperatures to understand their wear characteristics under heat resulting from the frictional application or action of the sandpaper. Based on the grit sizes of 250 µm and 420 µm at different concentrations of the palm kernel and coconut shells, specimens were evaluated. From the performance output, it was affirmed that wear rate increased with increasing palm kernel and coconut shell grains percentage, the load applied, and temperature and reduces with a decrease in the particle sizes of the biomass grits. The previous study also documents similar trends as exhibited by the matrix composites to wear [28]. However, there was a decrease in the wear rate as the agro-wastes content of the sample reduces. The positive impact of the binder concentration at minimizing the wear rate of the sample was observed with 75.4 wt% of PKS and CNS at 22.2 wt% binder composition depicting the most wear-resistant characteristics. The increased interfacial bonding between the agro-materials and the binder induced this property. These relationships among hardness, wear resistance, and compressive strength with increased binder quantity was also emphasized in recent studies [17, 28].

3.3 Water absorption test

PKS and CNS as a natural fiber possess strong hydrophilic behaviour with many hydroxyl groups (-OH) in the fibers. This peculiar nature of these materials enhances water uptake by these lignocellulosic materials due to the formation of hydrogen bonds between the fillers and water molecules. These hydroxyl (-OH) groups make the materials exhibit low water resistance. Figures 15 and 16 show the water absorption test results of the developed abrasive sandpaper which tend to increase as the sieve sizes increase from 250 µm to 420 µm in the formulation. This increase in water absorption rate may be as a result of the decrease in the
interfacial bonding between the binder and filler element that produced an increase in porosity as confirmed by [20]. The highest water absorption value for the 250 µm particle size of developed PKS based abrasive sandpaper was 7.53% as against the 250 µm particle size of CNS based abrasive sandpaper with the highest absorption rate of 7.76%. A 5.03% water absorption value was affirmed in a study for wear properties of palm kernel reinforced composites for brake pad applications [12]. The difference may be as a result of the different constituent matrix formulations. PKS and the CNS composites have affinity to absorb water as a result of negative interaction with the grains and the resin binder.

3.4 Compressive strength test

Compressive strength behaviour exhibited based on the sieve sizes examined showed that the 250 µm grit displayed the lowest compressive strength as compared to samples produced from 420 µm sieve size. Composites with fine particles tend to induce brittleness which can reduce the compressive strength of the specimens. But increase in the amount of binder concentration from 7.8 to 22.2 wt.% in the composites help to increase the compressive strength of the material. The maximum compressive strengths gotten were 5.16 N/mm² and 4.98 N/mm² for 420 µm particle size of palm kernel and coconut shells with 22.2 wt.% polyester resin respectively. However, the highest compressive strength values of 5.02 N/mm² and 4.93 N/mm² were recorded for 250 µm particle size of palm kernel and coconut shells with 22.2 wt% polyester resin respectively (Figures 17 and 18).

3.5 Density test

Figures 19 and 20 show the variation of density with particle weight percentage variations. It was observed that an inverse relationship exists between density and percentage resin composition confirming an interaction between particle grains and the binder. Similarly, density also decreases with increasing particle weight compositions. Also, an inverse relationship was observed between density and
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4 Conclusions

The study investigated the suitability of composites abrasive sandpaper produced from agro-based materials for frictional applications. Two sieve sizes of 250 μm and 420 μm were used to produce the sample grits for the PKS and CNS that were mixed with polyester resin, cobalt naphthalene accelerator, and methyl ethyl ketone peroxide catalyst. The following conclusions can be drawn from the mechanical properties performance evaluations of the specimens:

1. Hardness increases as the percentage weight composition of the binder increases for the grit sizes studied.
2. Samples with a high percentage of binder exhibit better wear characteristics for the 250 μm and 420 μm sieve sizes.
3. An increase in PKS and CNS percentage weight compositions along with the binder in the composites results in decrease water absorption properties.
4. Samples compressive strength increases as the percentage weight composition of binder increases over a range of 7.8 to 22.2% for both 250 μm and 420 μm sieve sizes studied.
5. As the percentage weight composition of PSK and CNS increases, the sample exhibit high density for both sieve sizes.
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