SARS-CoV-2 in pregnancy and possible transfer of immunity: assessment of peripartal maternal and neonatal antibody levels and a longitudinal follow-up
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Abstract

Objectives: In the current Severe Acute Respiratory Distress Coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) pandemic there is still great uncertainty about the effects of an infection in pregnancy especially regarding a possible fetal transmission of antibodies to SARS-CoV-2 and the longevity of this immunity.

Methods: Sixteen women who were infected with SARS-CoV-2 during pregnancy and their offspring were included. The antibody response to SARS-CoV-2 was measured in mother and umbilical cord blood peripartum and in a follow-up examination 6–11 weeks after birth. Medical history, symptoms regarding SARS-CoV-2, obstetric and neonatal information were queried following recommendations by the WHO.

Results: A total of 73% of the women and one third of the infants developed antibodies to SARS-CoV-2 spike (S) protein receptor binding domain (RBD), with a long interval between infection and birth proving favorable for a transplacental transfer of antibodies to the neonates. All infants showed declining or vanishing antibody-titers in the follow-up examination, while the titers of their mothers were stable or even increased.

Conclusions: Our results demonstrate that transplacental transfer of SARS-CoV-2-specific antibodies is possible, but also indicate that the immunity that may be gained as a result might decrease in newborns postpartum. This provides important evidence that could be useful for further studies covering vaccination during pregnancy.
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Introduction

In the end of 2019 the Severe Acute Respiratory Distress Coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) emerged in China. Soon the virus and the associated illness known as COVID-19 (Coronavirus disease 2019) became a global pandemic [1]. The rapid spread raised concerns over a potentially vulnerable group: pregnant women. Due to physiological changes during pregnancy, which make them more susceptible for complications of respiratory infections, pregnant women were thought to be at a higher risk for adverse outcomes of COVID-19 [2, 3]. Other coronavirus diseases like MERS-CoV (Middle East Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus) and SARS-CoV-1 showed significantly more severe courses in this group [4]. Fortunately, the
largest proportion of pregnant women infected with SARS-CoV-2 showed asymptomatic or mild courses. However, there are individual case reports of severe courses of COVID-19 in pregnancy [3, 5, 6]. Furthermore, current data shows higher rates of intensive care unit (ICU) admissions, invasive ventilation and death in pregnant women compared with nonpregnant women with COVID-19 [32]. Another concern raised was the risk an infection could pose to the unborn child. If the vertical transmission of the virus is possible and which consequences it would have is still not fully clarified but the risk seems to be relatively small [2, 7]. But even with the ground breaking research performed in the last months, the long-term consequences of an infection with SARS-CoV-2 during pregnancy for mother and child including a possible transfer of immunity stay mostly unknown. With this study the maternal and neonatal outcome and antibody response after a SARS-CoV-2 infection during pregnancy will be explored.

Materials and methods

The study was designed as a prospective longitudinal study focusing on the immune response to SARS-CoV-2 in mother and child after an infection with the virus during pregnancy. It includes all patients who presented with a SARS-CoV-2-infection during pregnancy at the university maternal hospital St. Hedwig in Regensburg, Germany from April to December 2020. A reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) and/or antibody testing in maternal serum was performed in every patient admitted to the hospital as an admission screening to detect an ongoing or former infection with SARS-CoV-2 [8]. RT-PCR was performed according to the protocol established by Drosten et al. [9]. Additionally, the antibody response in umbilical cord blood was measured. Symptoms and risk factors of SARS-CoV-2 were queried with a standardized questionnaire following recommendations issued by the World Health Organization in order to standardize research in SARS-CoV-2-infections [10, 11]. Medical history, obstetrical and neonatal information was available. In postpartum follow-up-examinations six to twelve weeks after delivery maternal, paternal and child serum and breast milk was tested for antibody response to SARS-CoV-2. RT-PCR was performed in mother, father and child and they were screened for residual symptoms. Figure 1 gives an overview of the chronological course of the study.

The detection of antibodies was performed via Elecsys® Anti-SARS-CoV-2 S RBD assay (Roche, Switzerland) which tests for antibodies to the SARS-CoV-2 spike (S) protein receptor binding domain (RBD). The assay was performed according to the manufacturer’s protocol. It detects predominantly immunoglobulin (Ig)G, but also includes IgA and IgM [12]. A measured value above 0.8 U/mL is considered positive. The breast milk samples were centrifuged and the supernatant was used for the assay. In five neonatal and four paternal samples IgG to SARS-CoV-2 was detected instead using IgG enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) from EUROIMMUN AG (Lübeck, Germany). The cut-off value for a positive result in IgG is above 1.2 U/mL. The study has been approved by the Ethics Committee of the University of Regensburg (reference number: 20-1828-101). All participating parents provided written informed consent.

The data handling and evaluation were performed using Microsoft Excel 2019 (Microsoft, USA). Due to the low number of study participants statistical evaluation was omitted.

Results

In total 16 women and their children were included in the study. All women were tested positive for SARS-CoV-2 during pregnancy with none of them infected with a variant of concern (VOC) such as B.1.1.7 or B.1.351. One patient was excluded because the suspected SARS-CoV-2 infection was not confirmed by RT-PCR. 27% of the patients were overweight (body mass index [BMI] 25.0 to <30) and 21% were...
obese (BMI 30.0 or higher) before pregnancy. Apart from that none of them had severe pre-existing conditions, especially no cardiopulmonary diseases. Regarding pregnancy complications, two of the women were diagnosed with preeclampsia, one associated with intrauterine growth retardation (IUGR). Eight were infected with SARS-CoV-2 prepartum and seven peripartum (maximum 14 days before birth, Figure 1 and Table 1). Among the prepartum infections two were in the first and in the second trimester respectively and four took place in the third trimester (Table 1). For all patients the origin of infection could not be precisely determined. Two just returned from travel abroad and eight hat close relatives who tested positive for SARS-CoV-2. The majority of the women had mild courses of COVID-19, mild dyspnea and headache being the most common reported symptom (27%) followed by anosmia and rhinorrhea (20%). Other symptoms included cough, arthralgia, myalgia, fatigue and conjunctivitis. Over half of the participants were completely asymptomatic. In one case (Patient ID 14 in Table 1) the woman presented at GW (gestational weeks) 30 + 2 with severe dyspnea and was tested SARS-CoV-2 positive. Because of the deteriorating general condition with repeated drops in oxygen saturation a cesarean section (c-section) was performed at GW 30 + 2. Following the surgery, the patient was admitted to intermediate care unit. Oxygen supplementation but no invasive or non-invasive ventilation was applied. The patient could be transferred to the general ward after three days and was released ten days after the c-section. She showed no residual symptoms in the postpartum follow-up. In the cohort seven patients delivered via c-section, six via

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient ID</th>
<th>GW when testing positive for SARS-CoV-2</th>
<th>GW at time of birth</th>
<th>Anti-SARS-CoV-2, U/mL IgG, U/mL (EUROIMMUN ELISA)</th>
<th>Postpartum control</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GW</td>
<td></td>
<td>Peripartum mother</td>
<td>Umbilical cord blood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SARS-CoV-2 -infection in first trimester</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>61.6</td>
<td>102.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>&lt;0.40</td>
<td>/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SARS-CoV-2 -infection in second trimester</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>&gt;250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>&gt;250</td>
<td>/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SARS-CoV-2 -infection in third trimester</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>44.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>&lt;0.40</td>
<td>&lt;0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>2.86</td>
<td>1.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>21.38</td>
<td>&lt;0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SARS-CoV-2 -infection in third trimester – peripartum (14 days before birth to birth)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>&lt;0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>&lt;0.40</td>
<td>&lt;0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>93.90</td>
<td>IgG 9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>&lt;0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>&lt;0.40</td>
<td>&lt;0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>&lt;0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
spontaneous and two via instrumental vaginal delivery. Except from case 14 the obstetric management was not affected by the SARS-CoV-2-infection. In addition to case 14, there were two other preterm births, both in the 37th week of gestation. The APGAR scores of all children were adequate, only the aforementioned child delivered at GW 30 + 2 had an 1 min Apgar of 6. The umbilical cord arterial pH was above 7.10 in all neonates. Three children required admission to the neonatal unit. Causes of admission were preterm birth and/or hyperbilirubinaemia. None of the neonates were tested positive for SARS-CoV-2 in RT-PCR. Eleven women took part in the follow-up at the end of their puerperium, ten of them were accompanied by their child. All of them reported no residual symptoms of COVID-19 and the children showed normal development in the medical check-ups. The detected antibody levels against SARS-CoV-2 S RBD can be found in Table 1 and an overview of all participating families is shown in Figure 2. Peripartum eight women had elevated antibody levels against SARS-CoV-2 S RBD. In four of these women SARS-CoV-2 S antibodies could be detected in the breast milk (n=9). In the umbilical cord blood of five neonates elevated antibody levels could be detected (n=12), all born to antibody-positive mothers (Figure 3).

The elevated antibody levels persisted in the postpartum follow-up in all mothers and some of the infants but whereas the maternal antibody load was stable or increased (Figure 4), the children showed a lower antibody response to SARS-CoV-2 S RBD in the follow-up examination compared to the measurements in umbilical cord blood.

In two cases (5 and 7) in which the infection had occurred in the third trimester, no elevated antibody titers could be detected in the follow-up examination of the infant. In half of the women who were infected with SARS-CoV-2 peripartum no antibodies against SARS-CoV-2 S RBD could be detected neither in maternal nor in umbilical cord blood but all women except for one (case 6) showed a positive antibody response in the follow-up examination. However, the children of those mothers showed no antibody response to

Figure 2: Antibody levels to SARS-CoV-2 in all participating families peripartum and in the postpartum follow-up. The majority of mothers developed antibodies at the time of follow-up while the antibody status of the infant seemed to depend on the height of the maternal antibody level at birth and the time between birth and the infection with SARS-CoV-2. Most of the fathers were tested positive for antibodies to SARS-CoV-2 (Circle [mother], triangle [infant], father [rectangle], positive: Yellow, negative: Grey, not done: clear).

Figure 3: SARS-CoV-2 S RBD antibodies peripartum in mother and child. In this chart, only those cases are shown in which the women showed a positive antibody response peripartum and measurements from mother and child were available. All but one infant showed lower or the same antibody levels in umbilical cord blood compared to their mothers.
Discussion

Pregnant women and neonates are a group particularly susceptible to complications of respiratory infections [6, 13, 14]. Therefore, during the current pandemic it is important to explore the mechanisms of immunity in this vulnerable group. In this study of 15 women who were tested SARS-CoV-2 positive during pregnancy all but one patient showed mild to asymptomatic courses of COVID-19 and reported no residual symptoms in the postpartum follow-up. In the literature the majority of pregnant women had mild to asymptomatic courses [2, 3, 7, 15]. With regard to the neonatal outcome several studies suggest a higher prevalence of premature birth, low birth weight and c-section compared to SARS-CoV-2 negative mothers [3, 6, 15, 16]. Four out of seven women who were tested positive for SARS-CoV-2 within 14 days before birth delivered by c-section and there were three preterm births in our study. However, only one c-section before term was due to a severe course of COVID-19. Apgar scores in newborns of SARS-CoV-2-positive mothers appear to be mostly adequate, which is also confirmed by our study [15, 17].

In our study, the majority of mothers who tested positive for antibodies at the time of delivery had been infected with SARS-CoV-2 for more than 14 days before giving birth. And those who had not yet developed an antibody response to SARS-CoV-2 at birth, developed a positive antibody-response in the follow-up at the end of puerperium except for one women who remained negative. This fits well with an average seroconversion time for SARS-CoV-2 specific IgG of 12–14 days after symptom onset and a peak at around three to seven weeks post symptom onset [18, 19]. It has also been shown that some patients tested positive for SARS-CoV-2 in RT-PCR remain negative regarding specific antibodies, which could explain the seronegative results for SARS-CoV-2 S RBD for cases 2 (data only up to delivery), and 6 [18, 20].

Vertical transmission could not be detected in any case, but our study results suggest a transplacental transmission of antibodies that might favor transient immunity in the newborn. A high maternal antibody titer and a long time period between maternal infection and birth seem to favor the transfer of antibodies to the fetus. Those findings align with current literature [21–24]. In addition to diaplacental transfer of specific antibodies we noted a robust transfer via breastmilk in those mothers with high titers at birth, which is in line with recent data [25, 26] and supports the recommendations to continue breastfeeding during mild-to-moderate maternal COVID-19 disease.

We also see some evidence that transplacental transfer of maternal antibodies to SARS-CoV-2 appears to be impaired. The antibody levels in cord blood were lower than in maternal blood in all but one case. This is in contrast to the fact that the maternal-to-cord ratio in IgG antibodies is known to be close to or above 1.0 [27].

Edlow et al. also found an inefficient transfer of maternal antibodies to SARS-CoV-2, particularly in third
trimester infections [14, 22]. On the other hand, Flannery et al. [23] found the cord-to-maternal transfer ratio of antibodies to SARS-CoV-2 to be adequate.

More than three quarters of the fathers showed positive antibody levels to SARS-CoV-2. However, Madewell et al. [28] estimated the secondary transmission rate in households and families for this infection at about 16.6%. The lower transmission rate could be justified by the closer contact between partners compared to household members, though another study found a 37% risk for parturients to be antibody-positive if their partner tested seropositive for SARS-CoV-2 [29].

All children showed a decline in antibodies to SARS-CoV-2 S RBD in the follow-up examination. Moreover, two infants born to mothers infected with SARS-CoV-2 in the third trimester tested antibody-negative in the follow-up. This is consistent with findings from Gao et al. [30] who found decreasing SARS-CoV-2-specific IgG-levels in 11 neonates born to seropositive mothers. The possible transplacental transfer of antibody protection and its longevity is particularly relevant with regard to a possible vaccination of pregnant women against SARS-CoV-2. A recently published single case study describes a positive antibody titer to SARS-CoV-2 in umbilical cord blood after vaccination of the mother at 32 weeks of gestation [31]. However, our results indicate that vaccination against SARS-CoV-2 (as soon as it is deemed safe in pregnancy) might be advisable to be administered in the first or second trimester to allow for possible transmission of immunity to the child. To further validate our findings and gain even greater insight into SARS-CoV-2 infections in pregnancy and, in particular, the transmission and longevity of immunity to the newborn, further studies with higher case numbers are needed.
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