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Abstract: When two nonorthogonal resonances are coupled to the same radiation channel, avoided crossing arises and a bound state in the continuum (BIC) appears with appropriate conditions in parametric space. This paper presents numerical and analytical results on the properties of avoided crossing and BIC due to the coupled guided-mode resonances in one-dimensional (1D) leaky-mode photonic lattices with slab geometry. In symmetric photonic lattices with up-down mirror symmetry, Friedrich–Wintgen BICs with infinite lifetime are accompanied by avoided crossings due to the coupling between two guided modes with the same transverse parity. In asymmetric photonic lattices with broken up-down mirror symmetry, quasi-BICs with finite lifetime appear with avoided crossings because radiating waves from different modes cannot be completely eliminated. We also show that unidirectional-BICs are accompanied by avoided crossings due to guided-mode resonances with different transverse parities in asymmetric photonic lattices. The Q factor of a unidirectional-BIC is finite, but its radiation power in the upward or downward direction is significantly smaller than that in the opposite direction. Our results may be helpful in engineering BICs and avoided crossings in diverse photonic systems that support leaky modes.
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1 Introduction

The ability to confine light to limited regions is of fundamental importance in both basic science and practical applications. Conventionally, electromagnetic waves can be localized in photonic structures by separating specific eigenmodes away from the continuum of radiating modes. This mode separation can typically be achieved through metallic mirrors, total internal reflections at dielectric interfaces [1], and photonic band gaps in periodic structures [2, 3]. Optical bound states in the continuum (BICs) are special electromagnetic states that remain well localized in photonic structures even though they coexist with outgoing waves that can carry electromagnetic energy away from the photonic structure [4–8]. Diverse types of BICs have been implemented in various photonic systems, including metasurfaces [9–11], photonic crystals [12–17], plasmonic structures [18], and fiber Bragg gratings [19].

Recently, robust BICs in subwavelength photonic crystal slab geometry have attracted much attention because they are associated with interesting topological physical phenomena [20–23] as well as practical applications, such as lasers [24, 25], sensors [26, 27], and filters [28].

BICs found in slab-type photonic lattices so far can be split into three categories: (i) symmetry-protected BICs, (ii) single-resonance parametric BICs, and (iii) Friedrich–Wintgen BICs. Symmetry-protected BICs appear at the Γ point (the center of the Brillouin zone) due to the symmetry mismatch between their mode profiles and those of external plane waves [29, 30]. Single-resonance parametric BICs are found at generic k points along dispersion curves when the relevant coupling to the radiation continuum completely vanishes [31]. Friedrich–Wintgen BICs, which are generally found in the vicinity of the avoided crossing of two dispersion curves, arise because of the destructive interference of two guided-mode resonances coupled to the same radiation channel [32]. BICs and avoided crossings have been extensively studied in diverse photonic platforms thus far. Historically, Friedrich and Wintgen presented a general formalism to find BICs in quantum
systems in 1985 [33]. Recently, it has been shown that the Friedrich–Wintgen formalism is valid to describe optical BICs in photonic structures [34–37]. The aim of the present paper is to address the fundamental properties of avoided crossings and BICs due to coupled guided-mode resonances in one-dimensional (1D) leaky-mode photonic lattices.

When two nonorthogonal resonances generate avoided crossings, BICs with infinite lifetimes appear in parametric space, and the conditions for Friedrich–Wintgen BICs can generally be fulfilled through the fine tuning of structural parameters. In photonic lattice slabs, however, the Friedrich–Wintgen BIC can be found near the avoided crossing in the photonic band structure without the fine tuning of structural parameters. In this study, we investigated BICs and avoided crossing due to two different waveguide modes in photonic lattice slabs with symmetric and asymmetric cladding layers through finite element method (FEM) simulations and temporal coupled-mode formalism. We show that the avoided crossings in photonic lattices with asymmetric cladding layers support only quasi-BICs with a finite value of Q factor, whereas the avoided crossings with symmetric cladding structures support true-BICs with infinite Q factor. We also show that unidirectional-BICs are accompanied by avoided crossings due to two guided-mode resonances with different transverse parities in asymmetric photonic lattices. The Q factor of the unidirectional-BIC is finite but its radiation power in the upward or downward direction is significantly smaller than that in the opposite direction.

2 Lattice structure and perspective

Figure 1 illustrates a 1D photonic lattice and the attendant schematic photonic band structures including avoided crossings. As shown in Figure 1(a), we model a 1D photonic lattice consisting of high ($\epsilon_h$) and low ($\epsilon_i$) dielectric constant media. A single periodic layer of thickness $d$ is enclosed by a substrate medium (lower cladding) of dielectric constant $\epsilon_s$ and cover (upper cladding) of $\epsilon_c$. The period of the lattice is $\Lambda$ and width of high dielectric constant medium is $\rho\Lambda$. This simple lattice supports multiple TE-polarized guided modes, and each mode has its own dispersion curve because the thickness $d = 1.30\:\Lambda$ is thick enough and its average dielectric constant $\epsilon_{\text{avg}} = \epsilon_i + \rho(\epsilon_h - \epsilon_i) = 6.00$ is larger than $\epsilon_s$ and $\epsilon_c$ [38]. In dielectric slab waveguides with symmetric (asymmetric) cladding layers $\epsilon_s = \epsilon_c (\epsilon_s \neq \epsilon_c)$, as schematically illustrated in Figure 1(a), guided modes are classified into two categories by their transverse-mode profiles [39]. Even (even-like) modes $TE_{m=0,2,4,\ldots}$ have even (even-like) transverse electric field profiles, and odd (odd-like) modes $TE_{m=1,3,5,\ldots}$ have odd (odd-like) transverse field profiles with symmetric (asymmetric) cladding layers. In photonic lattices with asymmetric cladding layers, as shown in Figure 1(b), avoided crossings $\text{AC}_{mn}$ (in red circles) due to $TE_m$ and $TE_n$ modes arise when $0 < \rho < 1$ and $\Delta\epsilon = \epsilon_h - \epsilon_i > 0$. In photonic lattices with symmetric cladding layers, as shown in Figure 1(c), two even modes generate avoided crossing $\text{AC}_{02}$ (in red circle), but dispersion curves due to even and odd modes cross each other ($C_{01}$ and $C_{02}$ in blue circles) because even and odd modes are perfectly orthogonal in symmetric waveguide structures. In this study, we limited our attention to the avoided crossings $\text{AC}_{01}$ and $\text{AC}_{02}$ in asymmetric photonic lattices ($\epsilon_s = 2.25$ and $\epsilon_c = 1.00$) and $\text{AC}_{02}$ in symmetric photonic lattices ($\epsilon_s = \epsilon_c = 2.25$) because these simplest cases clearly demonstrate the key properties of the avoided crossings and BICs in photonic lattice slabs. We consider the avoided crossings only in the white region where quasi-guided modes can couple to external plane waves effectively and generate diverse zero-order spectral
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responses [40–42]. In the yellow region below the light line in the substrate, guided modes are nonleaky and not associated with BICs [43]. In the gray region above the folded light line, guided modes are less practical because they generate higher-order diffracted waves outside the lattice [44].

3 Results and discussion

Figure 2(a) shows the evolution of the avoided crossing $\text{AC}_{02}$ due to TE$_0$ and TE$_2$ modes under variation of $\rho$ in the photonic lattice with symmetric cladding layers. As seen in Figure 2(a), a band gap opens at $k_c$ where two uncoupled dispersion curves cross each other, and its size increases as the value of $\rho$ increases from zero. However, the gap size decreases and becomes zero as $\rho$ is further increased. The bands remain closed for a while in spite of the additional increase in $\rho$. The band gap reopens and its size grows again, decreases, and approaches zero when $\rho$ is further increased and approaches 1. The insets of Figure 2(a) depicting magnified views of the dispersion curves near the crossing point $k_c$ indicate that the degenerate point $k_d$ where the bands closes is slightly different from $k_c$ in general. As $\rho$ increases, the relative position of $k_d$ changes from the right to left side of $k_c$. These band dynamics are associated with the band transition of the Friedrich–Wintgen BIC, as seen by the simulated $Q$ factors plotted in Figure 2(b). As $\rho$ increases from zero, the Friedrich–Wintgen BICs with $Q$ factors larger than $10^9$ appear at $k_p$ near the crossing point $k_c$. The distance between the location of the BIC and crossing point $|k_c - k_p|$ increases, decreases, and becomes zero when $\rho = 0.444$. However, the distance increases again, decreases, and approaches zero as $\rho$ is further increased and approaches 1. The Friedrich–Wintgen BIC across the band gap under the variation of $\rho$ by passing through the degenerate point $k_b = k_c = k_d$ where two dispersion curves cross as straight lines. The spatial electric field ($E_y$) distributions plotted in the insets of Figure 2(b) show that the Friedrich–Wintgen BICs, that have TE$_0$-like field distributions, are well localized in the lattice without radiative loss, whereas leaky modes in the opposite band branch with TE$_2$-like field distributions are radiative outside the lattice.

Figure 3(a) illustrates the evolution of the avoided crossing $\text{AC}_{02}$ due to TE$_0$ and TE$_2$ modes of photonic lattices with asymmetric cladding layers. The band dynamics shown in Figure 3(a) is the same as that in Figure 2(a). As $\rho$ varies from 0 to 1, the band gap opens at $k_c$, closes at $k_d$, reopens, and vanishes with $\rho = 1$. Figure 2: Avoided crossings and BICs due to TE$_0$ and TE$_2$ modes in leaky-mode photonic lattices with symmetric cladding layers. (a) Finite element method (FEM) simulated dispersion relations near avoided crossings for five different values of $\rho$. Here, $k_p$ denotes the wavenumber in free space and $K = 2\pi/\Lambda$ is the magnitude of the grating vector. Insets illustrate magnified views of dispersion curves near the crossing points. (b) Simulated $Q$ factors of guided modes in upper and lower bands. Insets with blue and red colors represent spatial electric field ($E_y$) distributions of BICs and leaky modes at the $y = 0$ plane. Vertical dotted lines denote the mirror plane in the computational cell. In the FEM analysis, we use structural parameters $\epsilon_{\text{avg}} = 6.00$, $\Delta\epsilon = 1.00$, $d = 1.30 \Lambda$, and $\epsilon_s = \epsilon_c = 2.25$. 
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under variation of \( \rho \), there exists a finite range of \( \rho \) in which the bands remain closed. The degenerate point \( k_d \) becomes the same as \( k_c \) when the two dispersion curves cross as straight lines. In the closed band states with \( k_c \neq k_d \), two dispersion curves have low curvatures, as clearly seen in the insets in Figures 2(a) and 3(a). The most noticeable effect of asymmetric cladding layers on the avoided crossings can be found by comparing the simulated \( Q \) factors illustrated in Figure 3(b) with those in Figure 2(b). There exist quasi-BICs with TE\( 0 \)-like spatial electric field distributions around the crossing point \( k_b \) in Figure 3(b). The \( Q \) factors of the quasi-BICs in Figure 3(b) are saturated to finite values less than \( 10^7 \) at \( k_b \), whereas the \( Q \) values of the Friedrich–Wintgen BICs in Figure 2(b) seem to diverge to infinity at \( k_b \). The quasi-BICs also pass through the degenerate point \( k_b = k_c = k_d \) and across the band gap under variation of \( \rho \), as do the Friedrich–Wintgen BICs.

The dynamics of avoided crossing and the band transition of the bound states illustrated in Figures 2 and 3 can be understood from the temporal coupled-mode theory describing the interference of two different resonances in the same resonator [45]. When two leaky waveguide modes \( \text{TE}_m \) and \( \text{TE}_n \) with complex frequencies \( \Omega_m = \omega_m - i\gamma_m \) and \( \Omega_n = \omega_n - i\gamma_n \), respectively, are excited in the photonic lattice shown in Figure 1(a) by the incoming waves \( |s_1\rangle \), two resonance amplitudes \( A = (A_m, A_n)^T \) evolve in time as

\[
dA/dt = -i[H + D^T]|s_1\rangle,
\]

with the Hamiltonian \( H \) and coupling matrix \( D \) given by

\[
H = \begin{pmatrix} \omega_m & a \\ \alpha & \omega_n \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} y_m & \beta \\ \bar{\beta} & y_n \end{pmatrix},
\]

and

\[
D = \begin{pmatrix} d_{mj} & d_{n1} \\ d_{dn1} & d_{dn2} \end{pmatrix},
\]

where \( a \) denotes the near-field coupling between the guided modes and \( \beta \) represents the interference of radiating waves through far-field coupling. Matrix elements \( d_{mj} \) and \( d_{n1} \) represent the radiative coupling of \( \text{TE}_m \) and \( \text{TE}_n \) modes to the port \( j \), respectively. Eigenmodes of the Hamiltonian are a linear combination of \( \text{TE}_m \) and \( \text{TE}_n \) modes, and from the determinant condition \( |H - \Omega| = 0 \), the corresponding eigenvalues are given by

\[
\Omega(k_c) = \Omega(k_c) \pm \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{[\Delta \Omega(k_c)]^2 + 4(|a|^2 + |\beta|^2)},
\]

where \( \Omega = (\Omega_m + \Omega_n)/2 \) and \( \Delta \Omega = \Omega_m - \Omega_n \). From Eq. (3), we obtain avoided band structures in \( k \) space. Equation (3) indicates that the real parts of the two eigenvalues are degenerate, and the avoided band closes when the real part in the square root \( \chi = (\Delta \omega)^2 - (\Delta \gamma)^2 + 4(|a|^2 - |\beta|^2) \) is a negative value and the imaginary part \( y = -2(\Delta \omega \cdot \Delta \gamma + 4a\beta) \) is zero. When \( a = 0 \) with \( 0 < \rho < 1 \), the band closes at \( k_z = k_c \) because \( y = 0 \) with \( \Delta \omega(k_c) = 0 \) and \( x = -(\Delta \gamma)^2 - |\beta|^2 \) is negative.
In Figure 2(a) with \( \rho_0 = 0.444 \) and Figure 3(a) with \( \rho_0 = 0.432 \), two dispersion curves cross as straight lines at \( k_c = k_d \) because near-field coupling vanishes with \( \alpha = 0 \). For a given value of \( \rho \), in the weakly modulated photonic lattice considered herein, the magnitudes of \( \alpha, \beta, \) and \( \Delta \omega = \gamma_m - \gamma_n \) are small and could be approximated as constant values near \( k_c \), but \( \Delta \omega = \omega_m - \omega_n \) changes from zero to some finite value as a function of \( k_c \). When \( \alpha \beta > 0 \) is slightly deviated from zero with the variation of \( \rho \), the two conditions \( y = 0 \) and \( \omega = \omega_m \) can be fulfilled simultaneously at \( k_c = k_d > k_c \) where \( \Delta \omega \Delta \gamma < 0 \), as shown in Figures 2(a) and 3(a) with \( \rho = 0.40 \). When \( \alpha \beta < 0 \), on the other hand, bands can be closed at \( k_c = k_d < k_c \) where \( \Delta \omega \Delta \gamma < 0 \) as shown in Figures 2(a) and 3(a) with \( \rho = 0.50 \). The avoided band opens when the two conditions cannot be fulfilled simultaneously as \( |\alpha \beta| \) is further increased with \( 0 < \rho < 1 \).

Formation of the Friedrich–Wintgen BICs in Figure 2(c) and quasi-BICs in Figure 3(c) can be seen by determining \( \beta \) in terms of decay rates. Due to the principle of energy conservation and time-reversal symmetry, the photonic structure shown in Figure 1(a) supports the relation \( D^T D = 2I \), and by solving the relation, we have

\[
|d_{m1}|^2 + |d_{m2}|^2 = 2\gamma_{m1} + 2\gamma_{m2},
\]

\[
|d_{n1}|^2 + |d_{n2}|^2 = 2\gamma_{n1} + 2\gamma_{n2},
\]

\[
|d_{n1}||d_{m1}|e^{i(\theta_{m1} - \theta_{n1})} + |d_{n2}||d_{m2}|e^{i(\theta_{m2} - \theta_{n2})} = 2\beta,
\]

where \( \theta_{m1} \) and \( \theta_{m2} \) represent the phase angles of \( d_{m1} \) and \( d_{m2} \), respectively, and \( \gamma_{m1} \) and \( \gamma_{m2} \) denote the decay rates of TE\(_m\) and TE\(_n\) mode to the port \( j \), respectively [35, 45]. Considering the avoided crossings between two even (even-like) modes shown in Figure 2 (Figure 3), phase angles at port 1 and port 2 satisfy the relation \( \exp(i(\theta_{m1} - \theta_{n1})) = \exp(i(\theta_{m2} - \theta_{n2})) = \pm 1 \), as conceptually illustrated in Figure 4. Moreover, it is reasonable to conjecture from Eqs. (4) and (5) that \( |d_{m1}| = \sqrt{2\gamma_{m1}} \) and \( |d_{n1}| = \sqrt{2\gamma_{n1}} \). Hence, the far-field couplings between two even modes \( \beta_{e-e} \) and between two even-like modes \( \beta_{e-l} \) can be written as

\[
\beta_{e-e} = \pm \sqrt{\gamma_{m1}\gamma_{m2}},
\]

\[
\beta_{e-l} = \pm (\sqrt{\gamma_{m1}\gamma_{m2}} + \sqrt{\gamma_{n1}\gamma_{n2}}).
\]

In Eq. (7), we used \( \gamma_{m1} = \gamma_{m2} = \gamma_n/2 \) and \( \gamma_{m1} = \gamma_{m2} = \gamma_m/2 \). Coupled guided-mode resonance results in two hybrid eigenmodes. The anti-phase mode with \( \beta < 0 \) shown in Figure 4(a) can be a BIC or quasi-BIC because radiating waves from TE\(_0\) and TE\(_2\) modes interfere destructively at the two radiation ports simultaneously, and the in-phase mode with \( \beta > 0 \) in Figure 4(b) becomes more lossy because radiating waves interact constructively.

Maximal or minimal values of imaginary parts in the eigenvalues of the hybrid eigenmodes can be obtained when the two complex values \( \Delta \omega \) and \( \alpha - i\beta \) in the square root of Eq. (3) are in phase, i.e.,

\[
\frac{\Delta \omega}{\alpha} = \frac{\beta}{\alpha}.
\]

With Eq. (9), Eq. (3) can be rewritten as

\[
\Omega(k_c) = \Omega(k_d) \pm \mu(\alpha/\beta - i),
\]

where \( \mu = \sqrt{(\Delta \omega)^2 + 4\gamma^2/2} \) is a real positive value. In the photonic lattice with symmetric cladding layers, by Eq. (7), \( \mu = 0.444 \). When \( \alpha = 0 \), the Friedrich–Wintgen BICs with the anti-phase modes appear at \( k_c = k_b < k_c < k_d \) or at the lower (upper) band branch, as shown in Figure 2(b) with \( \rho = 0.444 \). When \( \alpha/\beta > 0 \), the quasibICs appear at \( k_c = k_b < k_c < k_d \) or at the lower (upper) band branch, as shown Figure 3(b) with \( \rho > 0 \). In the photonic lattice with asymmetric cladding layers, by Eq. (8), \( \mu \) is slightly different from \( (\gamma_m + \gamma_n)/2 \).

When two guided modes with different transverse parities (TE\(_0\) and TE\(_2\)) are coupling, as noted in Figure 5, radiating waves from different modes interfere constructively at one of the two radiation ports, while they interact destructively at the other port. Because Eqs. (4)–(6) are valid for the coupling between two waveguide modes with different spatial parities, except that

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{(a)} & \quad \beta < 0 \\
\text{(b)} & \quad \beta > 0 \\
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{(a)} & \quad \text{destructive} & \text{conductive} \\
\text{(b)} & \quad \text{conductive} & \text{destructive} \\
\end{align*}
\]
exp(iθ_{n1} - iθ_{m1}) = -exp(iθ_{n2} - iθ_{m2}) = \pm 1, 
the far-field coupling between an even and an odd mode and between an even-like and odd-like mode can be written as 

$$
\beta_{e-o} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_{el-ol} = \pm \sqrt{\gamma_{n1}\gamma_{m1} - \gamma_{n2}\gamma_{m2}},
$$

(11)

respectively, where we set $\beta < 0$ ($\beta > 0$) when the radiating waves interfere destructively (constructively) at the port 1, for convenience. In the symmetric photonic lattices with $\beta_{e-o} = 0$, near-field coupling $\alpha$ is also zero because the overlap integral of the even and odd modes is zero [45]. Two dispersion curves for the even and odd modes cross each other, and there is no band gap, as schematically represented in Figure 1(c). In photonic lattices with asymmetric cladding layers, on the other hand, avoided crossings due to TE_{0} and TE_{1} modes take place because $\alpha \neq 0$ and $\beta \neq 0$ in general, and their properties can also be described by Eq. (3). Through FEM simulations, we verified that a band gap opens at $k_c$, closes at $k_d$, closed band state remains for a while, reopens, and vanishes under variation of $\rho$ from 0 to 1. However, there cannot be a BIC or quasi-BIC due to the phase mismatch of the radiating waves at one of the two radiating ports, as shown in Figure 5. Instead, we found that there exists a unidirectional-BIC whose decay rate at one port is suppressed by the destructive interference, whereas decay to the opposite port is enhanced by constructive interaction. Figure 6(a–c) shows the simulated band structures, $Q$ factors, and power ratios $P_j/P_1$, where $P_j$ represents the radiation power to port $j$, respectively, when $\rho = 0.385$ and 0.583. Because the coupling strengths between even-like and odd-like modes are weak, as can be seen in Figure 6(a), two dispersion curves cross as like straight lines at $k_d \sim k_c$ in the closed band states. Simulated $Q$ factors in Figure 6(b) show that there is no BIC or quasi-BIC. However, Figure 6(c) shows that there exist unidirectional-BICs whose radiation power to the port 1 or port 2 is significantly larger (up to 40 dB) than that to the opposite port. The spatial electric field distributions in the insets of Figure 6(c) demonstrate that unidirectional-BICs radiate to the only downward (upward) direction when $\rho = 0.385$ ($\rho = 0.573$), but leaky modes on the opposite band branches radiate to the upward and downward directions simultaneously. Here, we showed that unidirectional radiation can be enabled by unidirectional-BICs accompanied by avoided crossings. Very recently, unidirectional radiation has also been realized by utilizing the topological nature of BICs [46]. We believe that the unidirectional radiation associated with BICs in planar photonic lattices is interesting and could be utilized to increase the efficiency of diverse optical devices, such as vertically emitting lasers and grating couplers. Studies on the BICs and avoided crossings herein are limited to analytical and numerical
investigations. However, the current state-of-the-art nanofabrication technology can realize our results experimentally and this may be the issue of our future work.

4 Conclusion

In conclusion, we have investigated avoided crossings and BICs in 1D leaky-mode photonic lattices through FEM simulations and temporal coupled-mode theory. When two guided-mode resonances are coupled, photonic band gaps arise by avoided crossings and BICs appearing in photonic band structures without the fine tuning of structural parameters. The widths of avoided band gaps vary by lattice parameters. In particular, there exist closed band states in which avoided bands remain closed under variation of fill parameters. The widths of avoided band gaps vary by lattice parameters and temporal coupled-mode theory. When two BICs in 1D leaky-mode photonic lattices through FEM simulations. However, the current state-of-the-art nanofabrication technology can realize our results experimentally and this may be the issue of our future work. This work was also supported in part by the Gwangju Institute of Science and Technology (GIST) Research Institute (GRI) in 2020. The authors declare no conflicts of interest regarding this article.
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