Kong Mun Lo, See Mun Lee and Edward R.T. Tiekink*

Crystal structure of octa(4-chlorobenzyl)-dichlorido-bis(μ_2 -methanolato)-bis(μ_3 -oxo)-tetratin(IV), $C_{58}H_{54}Cl_{10}O_4Sn_4$

Abstract

$C_{58}H_{54}Cl_{10}O_4Sn_4$, monoclinic, $C2/c$ (no. 15), $a = 27.6269(8)$ Å, $b = 9.0623(2)$ Å, $c = 24.7099(7)$ Å, $\beta = 103.070(1)^\circ$, $V = 6026.2(3)$ Å³, $Z = 4$, $R_{gt}(F) = 0.0259$, $wR_{ref}(F^2) = 0.0671$, $T = 296(2)$ K.

CCDC no.: 1952887

The molecular structure is shown in the figure. Table 1 contains crystallographic data and Table 2 contains the list of the atoms including atomic coordinates and displacement parameters.

Table 1: Data collection and handling.

Crystal:	Colourless prism
Size:	$0.25 \times 0.16 \times 0.08$ mm
Wavelength:	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation (0.71073 Å)
μ :	2.13 mm^{-1}
Diffractometer, scan mode:	Bruker SMART APEX, ω
$\theta_{\max}$, completeness:	28.4° , >99%
$N(hkl)_{\text{measured}}$, $N(hkl)_{\text{unique}}$, R_{int} :	29767, 7513, 0.030
Criterion for I_{obs} , $N(hkl)_{\text{gt}}$:	$I_{\text{obs}} > 2 \sigma(I_{\text{obs}})$, 6540
$N(\text{param})_{\text{refined}}$:	344
Programs:	Bruker [1], SHELX [2, 3], WinGX/ORTEP [4]

Source of material

All chemicals and solvents were used as purchased without purification. The melting point was determined on a Mel-temp II digital melting point apparatus and was uncorrected. The IR spectrum was obtained on a Bruker Vertex 70v FTIR Spectrometer from 4000 to 400 cm^{-1} .

Di(4-chlorobenzyl)tin dichloride was synthesised by the direct reaction of 4-chlorobenzyl chloride (Aldrich) and metallic tin powder (Merck) in toluene according to a literature procedure [5]. The filtrate was evaporated slowly until a white precipitate was formed. The precipitate was recrystallised from a methanol/acetone mixture. The title compound was a side-product obtained from the slow evaporation of the solvent. Yield: 0.10 g (6%). **M.pt.**: >553 K. **IR** (cm^{-1}) 1600 (m) $\nu(\text{C}-\text{C})$, 1030 (m) $\nu(\text{C}-\text{O})$, 481 (w) $\nu(\text{Sn}-\text{O})$.

<https://doi.org/10.1515/ncrs-2019-0562>

Received August 5, 2019; accepted September 11, 2019; available online September 19, 2019

*Corresponding author: Edward R.T. Tiekink, Research Centre for Crystalline Materials, School of Science and Technology, Sunway University, 47500 Bandar Sunway, Selangor Darul Ehsan, Malaysia, e-mail: edwardt@sunway.edu.my. <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1401-1520>

Kong Mun Lo and See Mun Lee: Research Centre for Crystalline Materials, School of Science and Technology, Sunway University, 47500 Bandar Sunway, Selangor Darul Ehsan, Malaysia

Table 2: Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (Å²).

Atom	<i>x</i>	<i>y</i>	<i>z</i>	<i>U</i> _{iso} */ <i>U</i> _{eq}
Sn1	0.28382(2)	0.09464(2)	0.51373(2)	0.01240(5)
Sn2	0.35949(2)	0.39261(2)	0.54324(2)	0.01416(5)
Cl1	0.32328(2)	0.64554(6)	0.52778(2)	0.01874(12)
Cl2	0.21795(3)	0.11632(8)	0.20353(3)	0.03083(15)
Cl3	0.44166(3)	0.05831(8)	0.78394(3)	0.03302(16)
Cl4	0.43851(3)	1.02366(7)	0.41920(3)	0.02916(15)
Cl5	0.59962(2)	0.65961(8)	0.68503(3)	0.03175(16)
O1	0.28876(6)	0.32071(18)	0.51904(6)	0.0151(3)
O2	0.36120(6)	0.15357(18)	0.54604(7)	0.0179(3)
C1	0.30167(10)	−0.0227(3)	0.44479(10)	0.0212(5)
H1A	0.3375	−0.0185	0.4497	0.025*
H1B	0.2932	−0.1254	0.4487	0.025*
C2	0.27957(9)	0.0201(3)	0.38591(10)	0.0171(5)
C3	0.30439(10)	0.1126(3)	0.35636(11)	0.0250(6)
H3	0.3341	0.1562	0.3746	0.030*
C4	0.28612(10)	0.1415(3)	0.30044(11)	0.0283(6)
H4	0.3035	0.2025	0.2813	0.034*
C5	0.24184(9)	0.0787(3)	0.27361(10)	0.0203(5)
C6	0.21583(9)	−0.0130(3)	0.30154(10)	0.0214(5)
H6	0.1859	−0.0552	0.2831	0.026*
C7	0.23493(9)	−0.0411(3)	0.35720(10)	0.0204(5)
H7	0.2174	−0.1027	0.3761	0.025*
C8	0.26934(9)	−0.0071(3)	0.58719(10)	0.0180(5)
H8A	0.2399	0.0378	0.5954	0.022*
H8B	0.2622	−0.1108	0.5796	0.022*
C9	0.31108(9)	0.0057(3)	0.63739(9)	0.0166(5)
C10	0.31552(10)	0.1290(3)	0.67192(10)	0.0214(5)
H10	0.2915	0.2026	0.6640	0.026*
C11	0.35463(10)	0.1448(3)	0.71744(10)	0.0229(5)
H11	0.3568	0.2274	0.7402	0.027*
C12	0.39037(9)	0.0363(3)	0.72869(10)	0.0201(5)
C13	0.38665(10)	−0.0894(3)	0.69660(11)	0.0228(5)
H13	0.4104	−0.1635	0.7053	0.027*
C14	0.34687(9)	−0.1040(3)	0.65100(11)	0.0199(5)
H14	0.3442	−0.1886	0.6293	0.024*
C15	0.40175(9)	0.3973(3)	0.48001(11)	0.0200(5)
H15A	0.4329	0.3457	0.4937	0.024*
H15B	0.3835	0.3441	0.4477	0.024*
C16	0.41286(9)	0.5497(3)	0.46241(10)	0.0167(5)
C17	0.38299(9)	0.6166(3)	0.41628(10)	0.0203(5)
H17	0.3569	0.5633	0.3946	0.024*
C18	0.39088(10)	0.7609(3)	0.40153(11)	0.0220(5)
H18	0.3708	0.8038	0.3702	0.026*
C19	0.42937(9)	0.8390(3)	0.43467(11)	0.0200(5)
C20	0.46052(9)	0.7758(3)	0.48062(11)	0.0216(5)
H20	0.4868	0.8292	0.5019	0.026*
C21	0.45181(9)	0.6317(3)	0.49424(11)	0.0207(5)
H21	0.4723	0.5886	0.5253	0.025*
C22	0.39069(9)	0.4209(3)	0.63046(11)	0.0227(5)
H22A	0.3698	0.4880	0.6456	0.027*
H22B	0.3905	0.3265	0.6489	0.027*
C23	0.44279(9)	0.4798(3)	0.64324(10)	0.0182(5)
C24	0.45245(9)	0.6287(3)	0.63588(11)	0.0224(5)
H24	0.4261	0.6917	0.6216	0.027*
C25	0.50026(9)	0.6849(3)	0.64925(11)	0.0230(5)
H25	0.5060	0.7849	0.6450	0.028*

Table 2 (continued)

Atom	<i>x</i>	<i>y</i>	<i>z</i>	<i>U</i> _{iso} */ <i>U</i> _{eq}
C26	0.53920(9)	0.5898(3)	0.66893(11)	0.0216(5)
C27	0.53144(10)	0.4429(3)	0.67577(13)	0.0331(7)
H27	0.5582	0.3801	0.6889	0.040*
C28	0.48326(10)	0.3883(3)	0.66297(13)	0.0296(6)
H28	0.4780	0.2882	0.6677	0.035*
C29	0.40411(10)	0.0615(3)	0.55986(12)	0.0257(6)
H29A	0.4276	0.0910	0.5386	0.039*
H29B	0.3946	−0.0393	0.5516	0.039*
H29C	0.4190	0.0710	0.5987	0.039*

Experimental details

The C-bound H atoms were geometrically placed (C–H = 0.93–0.97 Å) and refined as riding with $U_{\text{iso}}(\text{H}) = 1.2–1.5U_{\text{eq}}(\text{C})$. Owing to poor agreement, two reflections, i.e. (2 0 0) and (−2 0 2), were omitted from the final cycles of refinement. The maximum and minimum residual electron density peaks of 1.01 and 0.39 e Å^{−3}, respectively, were located 0.87 and 1.18 Å from the Sn1 and O1 atoms, respectively.

Comment

Hydrolysis products can be a regular synthetic outcome when performing reactions with diorganotin dichloride molecules in the presence of adventitious moisture [6, 7]. In this context, the title organotin cluster [{{{(4-ClPh)₂SnCl}}}{(4-ClPh)₂Sn}(OMe)}O]₂, (I), was isolated during a recrystallisation of (4-ClPh)₂SnCl₂. Herein, the crystal and molecular structures of (I) are described. We note that the structure of the unchlorinated benzyl analogue has also been reported in the literature [8].

The molecular structure of centrosymmetric (I) is shown in the figure (70% displacement ellipsoids; unlabelled atoms are related by the symmetry operation (i) 1/2 − *x*, 1/2 − *y*, 1 − *z*). The central Sn₂O₂ core is disposed about a centre of inversion and the endocyclic Sn1 atom forms similar Sn1–O1 [2.0555(16) Å] and Sn1–O1ⁱ [2.1286(15) Å] bond lengths. The internal bond angles in the core, i.e. O1–Sn1–O1ⁱ [73.04(7)°] and Sn1–O1–Sn1ⁱ [106.96(7)°] indicate the shape of the core is that of a distorted rhombus. The oxo-O1 atom forms three Sn–O1 bonds, being also connected to the exocyclic Sn2 atom [Sn2–O1 = 2.0180(15) Å]. The methoxide anion bridges the Sn1 and Sn2 atoms, forming almost symmetric Sn–O2 bonds [Sn1–O2 = 2.1727(16) Å and Sn2–O2 = 2.1676(16) Å]. The penta-coordinate geometry for the Sn1 atom is completed by two methylene-C atoms of the benzyl substituents, and that for the Sn2 atom also by two methylene-C atoms as well as the chloride [Sn2–Cl1 = 2.4955(6) Å]. The C₃O₂ donor set about the Sn1 atom defines a highly distorted geometry. This is quantified by the value of $\tau = 0.33$ compared with 0.0 and 1.0 for ideal square-pyramidal and

trigonal-bipyramidal coordination geometries, respectively [9]. A distortion towards a trigonal-bipyramidal geometry is noted for the C_2ClO_2 donor set about the Sn_2 atom for which $\tau = 0.56$. Overall, the sequence of three edge-shared Sn_2O_2 has the form of a shewed ladder.

No directional interactions occurring in the crystal of (I) are indicated in PLATON [10]. Reflecting the relatively large number of chloride atoms at the peripheral of the molecule there are many weak $C-H \cdots Cl$ interactions with the closest being a benzyl-phenyl- $C-H \cdots Cl$ (benzyl-phenyl) [$C20-H20 \cdots Cl4^{ii}$: $H20 \cdots Cl4^{ii} = 2.83 \text{ \AA}$, $C20 \cdots Cl4^{ii} = 3.754(3) \text{ \AA}$ with angle at $H20 = 171^\circ$ for symmetry operation (ii) $1-x, 2-y, 1-z$] interaction. Also, there are weak $Cl \cdots Cl$ contacts [$Cl3 \cdots Cl4^{iii} = 3.4441(10) \text{ \AA}$ for (iii) $x, 1-y, 1/2+z$]. Globally, the tetratin oxo clusters stack in columns along the b -axis and are connected into supramolecular layers in the bc -plane by $Cl \cdots Cl$ interactions. The aforementioned benzyl-phenyl- $C-H \cdots Cl$ (benzyl-phenyl) interactions connect the molecules along the a -axis direction.

The Hirshfeld surfaces and two-dimensional fingerprint (full and delineated) plots were also calculated in order to probe the molecular packing further. For this purpose, Crystal Explorer 17 [11] was employed using standard procedures [12]. This analysis points to the significance of $Cl \cdots H/H \cdots Cl$ contacts which contribute 41.6% of all contacts to the Hirshfeld surface. The next greatest contribution comes from $H \cdots H$ [33.2%] contacts. Except for $C \cdots H/H \cdots C$ contacts [14.9%], the remaining contacts contribute relatively small percentages, i.e. $C \cdots C$ [4.1%], $Cl \cdots C/C \cdots Cl$ [3.2%] and $Cl \cdots Cl$ [3.1%].

Acknowledgements: Sunway University Sdn Bhd is thanked for financial support of this work through Grant no. STR-RCTR-RCCM-001-2019.

References

1. Bruker. SADABS, APEX2 and SAINT. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, WI, USA (2008).
2. Sheldrick, G. M.: A short history of SHELX. *Acta Crystallogr. A* **64** (2008) 112–122.
3. Sheldrick, G. M.: Crystal structure refinement with SHELXL. *Acta Crystallogr. C* **71** (2015) 3–8.
4. Farrugia, L. J.: WinGX and ORTEP for Windows: an update. *J. Appl. Crystallogr.* **45** (2012) 849–854.
5. Sisido, K.; Takeda, Y.; Kinugawa, Z.: Direct synthesis of organotin compounds I. di- and tribenzyltin chlorides. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **83** (1961) 538–541.
6. Dakternieks, D.; Jurkschat, J.; van Dreumel, S.; Tiekink, E. R. T.: Molecular dynamics within diorganotin systems: solution and solid state studies of new mixed distannoxane dimers $[tBu_2(Cl)SnOSn(Cl)R_2]_2$. *Inorg. Chem.* **36** (1997) 2023–2029.
7. Lo, K. M.; Lee, S. M.; Tiekink, E. R. T.: Crystal structure of dichlorido-octamethyl-bis(μ_3 -oxido)-bis(μ_2 -2-(phenylamino)ethanolato- $\kappa^2 O:O$)tetratin(IV), $C_{24}H_{44}Cl_2N_2O_4Sn_4$. *Z. Kristallogr. NCS* **235** (2019) 193–195.
8. Ma, C.-L.; Li, F.: Synthesis and crystal structures of three ladder distannoxane dimers $[(PhCH_2)_2(Cl)SnOSn(X)(CH_2Ph)_2]_2$ ($X = Cl, OMe, OEt$). *Chin. J. Chem.* **21** (2003) 146–152.
9. Addison, A. W.; Rao, T. N.; Reedijk, J.; van Rijn, J.; Verschoor, G. C.: Synthesis, structure, and spectroscopic properties of copper(II) compounds containing nitrogen–sulphur donor ligands; the crystal and molecular structure of aqua[1,7-bis(*N*-methylbenzimidazol-2'-yl)-2,6-dithiaheptane]-copper(II) perchlorate. *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* (1984) 1349–1356.
10. Spek, A. L.: Structure validation in chemical crystallography. *Acta Crystallogr. D* **65** (2009) 148–155.
11. Turner, M. J.; McKinnon, J. J.; Wolff, S. K.; Grimwood, D. J.; Spackman, P. R.; Jayatilaka, D.; Spackman, M. A.: Crystal Explorer v17. The University of Western Australia, Australia (2017).
12. Tan, S. L.; Jotani, M. M.; Tiekink, E. R. T.: Utilizing Hirshfeld surface calculations, non-covalent interaction (NCI) plots and the calculation of interaction energies in the analysis of molecular packing. *Acta Crystallogr. E* **75** (2019) 308–318.