See Mun Lee, Kong Mun Lo and Edward R.T. Tiekink*

Crystal structure of (4-fluorobenzyl- κC)(bis(2-hydroxyethyl) carbamodithioato- $\kappa^2 S, S'$)(2,2'-imino-diethanolato- $\kappa^3 N, O, O'$)tin(IV), $C_{16}H_{25}FN_2O_4S_2Sn$

Table 1: Data collection and handling.

Crystal:	Colourless block
Size:	0.15 × 0.13 × 0.09 mm
Wavelength:	Cu K α radiation (1.54184 Å)
μ :	12.7 mm ⁻¹
Diffractometer, scan mode:	XtaLAB Synergy, ω
$\theta_{\max}$, completeness:	67.1°, >99%
$N(hkl)_{\text{measured}}$, $N(hkl)_{\text{unique}}$, R_{int} :	23919, 3496, 0.037
Criterion for I_{obs} , $N(hkl)_{\text{gt}}$:	$I_{\text{obs}} > 2 \sigma(I_{\text{obs}})$, 3360
$N(\text{param})_{\text{refined}}$:	244
Programs:	CrysAlis ^{PRO} [1], SHELX [2, 3], WinGX/ORTEP [4]

Table 2: Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (Å²).

Atom	x	y	z	$U_{\text{iso}}^*/U_{\text{eq}}$
Sn	0.33289(2)	0.30356(2)	0.40915(2)	0.00921(6)
S1	0.45184(4)	0.42766(4)	0.30926(3)	0.01390(11)
S2	0.43980(5)	0.19570(4)	0.28780(3)	0.01364(11)
F1	0.89803(12)	0.11300(13)	0.48966(10)	0.0395(4)
O1	0.82094(13)	0.37685(12)	0.25974(10)	0.0211(3)
H1O	0.814(3)	0.4281(15)	0.2963(16)	0.032*
O2	0.70132(15)	0.05587(12)	0.14059(10)	0.0237(3)
H2O	0.714(3)	0.066(2)	0.0834(9)	0.036*
O3	0.23599(12)	0.42597(11)	0.45757(9)	0.0141(3)
O4	0.23611(13)	0.17524(11)	0.44008(9)	0.0140(3)
N1	0.57226(16)	0.31851(13)	0.18900(12)	0.0125(3)
N2	0.17021(16)	0.31533(13)	0.28969(12)	0.0138(4)
H2N	0.186(2)	0.3042(17)	0.2303(9)	0.017*
C1	0.49787(18)	0.31489(15)	0.25473(14)	0.0120(4)
C2	0.62724(18)	0.41761(16)	0.16144(14)	0.0155(4)
H2A	0.608042	0.474740	0.205356	0.019*
H2B	0.591835	0.436800	0.094210	0.019*
C3	0.76312(18)	0.40906(17)	0.16683(14)	0.0169(4)
H3A	0.781936	0.357754	0.117387	0.020*
H3B	0.795647	0.478432	0.150766	0.020*
C4	0.59808(18)	0.22320(17)	0.13462(14)	0.0143(4)
H4A	0.622423	0.244796	0.071707	0.017*
H4B	0.523444	0.180959	0.120198	0.017*
C5	0.69692(19)	0.15421(17)	0.18930(13)	0.0180(4)
H5A	0.775576	0.190412	0.192691	0.022*
H5B	0.680542	0.142172	0.256673	0.022*
C6	0.12578(18)	0.45534(18)	0.40019(14)	0.0190(4)
H6A	0.114626	0.532545	0.404697	0.023*
H6B	0.058086	0.420405	0.426005	0.023*

<https://doi.org/10.1515/ncrs-2019-0711>

Received September 20, 2019; accepted October 24, 2019; available online November 8, 2019

Abstract

$C_{16}H_{25}FN_2O_4S_2Sn$, monoclinic, $P2_1/n$ (no. 14), $a = 11.2227(1)$ Å, $b = 12.6793(1)$ Å, $c = 13.8731(1)$ Å, $\beta = 98.282(1)^\circ$, $V = 1953.50(3)$ Å³, $Z = 4$, $R_{\text{gt}}(F) = 0.0198$, $wR_{\text{ref}}(F^2) = 0.0507$, $T = 100(2)$ K.

CCDC no.: 1961138

The molecular structure is shown in the figure. Table 1 contains crystallographic data and Table 2 contains the list of the atoms including atomic coordinates and displacement parameters.

Source of material

All chemicals and solvents were used as purchased without purification. The melting point was determined using a

Corresponding author: Edward R.T. Tiekink, Research Centre for Crystalline Materials, School of Science and Technology, Sunway University, 47500 Bandar Sunway, Selangor Darul Ehsan, Malaysia, e-mail: edwardt@sunway.edu.my. <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1401-1520>

See Mun Lee and Kong Mun Lo: Research Centre for Crystalline Materials, School of Science and Technology, Sunway University, 47500 Bandar Sunway, Selangor Darul Ehsan, Malaysia

Table 2 (continued)

Atom	x	y	z	U_{iso}^*/U_{eq}
C7	0.12406(18)	0.42442(16)	0.29391(14)	0.0172(4)
H7A	0.040837	0.428557	0.258913	0.021*
H7B	0.175099	0.473432	0.262229	0.021*
C8	0.08373(19)	0.23244(18)	0.31027(15)	0.0200(4)
H8A	0.035943	0.208386	0.248615	0.024*
H8B	0.027632	0.261438	0.352446	0.024*
C9	0.15280(18)	0.14039(17)	0.36075(13)	0.0178(4)
H9A	0.095653	0.090324	0.384188	0.021*
H9B	0.196040	0.102765	0.313713	0.021*
C10	0.45975(19)	0.30397(15)	0.54237(14)	0.0146(4)
H10A	0.474012	0.377766	0.564355	0.017*
H10B	0.423600	0.266020	0.593405	0.017*
C11	0.57793(17)	0.25412(17)	0.53222(13)	0.0135(4)
C12	0.59430(19)	0.14486(17)	0.54397(13)	0.0182(4)
H12	0.530336	0.103075	0.561198	0.022*
C13	0.7017(2)	0.09657(18)	0.53102(14)	0.0235(5)
H13	0.712656	0.022774	0.540184	0.028*
C14	0.79167(19)	0.1590(2)	0.50448(15)	0.0250(5)
C15	0.78049(19)	0.2663(2)	0.49133(15)	0.0240(5)
H15	0.844476	0.306889	0.472588	0.029*
C16	0.6726(2)	0.31362(17)	0.50629(15)	0.0181(4)
H16	0.663481	0.387772	0.498653	0.022*

Mel-temp II digital melting point apparatus and was uncorrected. The IR spectrum was obtained on a Bruker Vertex 70v FTIR Spectrometer. The ¹H and ¹³C{¹H} spectra were recorded at room temperature in DMSO-d₆ solution on a Bruker Ascend 400 MHz NMR spectrometer with chemical shifts relative to tetramethylsilane.

Di(4-fluorobenzyl)tin dichloride was synthesized by the direct reaction of 4-fluorobenzyl chloride (Merck) and metallic tin powder (Merck) in toluene according to a literature procedure [5]. The dithiocarbamate ligand was prepared *in situ* (methanol; 15 mL) from the reaction of CS₂ (Merck, 0.25 mmol) with diethanolamine (Merck, 0.25 mmol) and NaOH (0.02 mL; 50% w/v); CS₂ was added dropwise into the methanol solution. The resulting solution was kept at 273 K for 1 h. Next, di(4-fluorobenzyl)tin dichloride (Merck, 0.25 mmol, 0.10 g) in methanol (10 mL) was added into the solution and the resulting mixture was stirred for 3 h. The filtrate was evaporated until an off-white precipitate was obtained. The precipitate was washed with *n*-hexane and recrystallised from a methanol-acetone solution. Crystals of the title compound were obtained from the slow evaporation of the solvent; the 2,2'-imino-diethanolate di-anion is derived from diethanolamine. Yield: 0.010 g (7.8%). **M.pt.**: 377–379 K. **IR** (cm⁻¹): 3360(br) ν(NH, OH), 1501(m) ν(CN), 1064(s) ν(CS), 1022(s) ν(CS). **¹H NMR** (DMSO-d₆, ppm): δ 2.95 (s, 2H, CH₂), 3.42–3.50 (m, 8H, NCH₂), 3.65–3.73 (m, 8H, OCH₂), 4.88 (br, 3H, OH, NH), 6.91–7.30 (m, 4H, Ph-H). **¹³C{¹H} NMR**

(DMSO-d₆, ppm): δ 50.0 (CH₂), 58.4 (NCH₂), 59.0 (NCH₂), 62.1 (OCH₂), 66.9 (OCH₂), 114.5, 128.5, 130.1, 138.5 (Ph-C), 187.5 (CS).

Experimental details

The C-bound H atoms were geometrically placed (C–H = 0.95–0.99 Å) and refined as riding with $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(C)$. The O- and N-bound H-atoms were located in a difference Fourier map but, were refined with distance restraints of O–H = 0.84 ± 0.01 Å and N–H = 0.88 ± 0.01 Å, respectively, and with $U_{iso}(H)$ set to 1.5 $U_{eq}(O)$ and 1.2 $U_{eq}(N)$, respectively.

Comment

The 1,1-dithiolate, e.g. dithiocarbamate (⁻S₂CNR₂), compounds of the zinc-triad elements [5], main group elements [6, 7], including tin [8], are well-known to form secondary M···S bonding interactions in their crystal structures [9, 10]. However, when concurrently bound to potentially bidentate, bridging bi-pyridyl ligands, with the aim of increasing the dimensionality of the supramolecular association, the secondary bonding is generally lost, as amply demonstrated in the structural chemistry of the zinc-triad 1,1-dithiolates [11]. One way of overcoming this limitation is to introduce hydrogen bonding functionality in the R groups, e.g. hydroxyethyl groups, as the resultant hydrogen bonding can lead to one-, two- and, sometimes, three-dimensional aggregation in the solid-state [5–8, 11]. In the title mixed ligand, organotin compound, (4-FC₆H₄CH₂)Sn[OCH₂CH₂N(H)CH₂CH₂O][S₂CN(CH₂CH₂OH)₂], (I), the dithiocarbamate ligand bears two hydroxyethyl groups, each capable of hydrogen bonding. Herein, the crystal and molecular structures of (I) are described along with an analysis of the calculated Hirshfeld surfaces.

The molecular structure of (I) is shown in the figure (70% displacement ellipsoids). The tin atom is coordinated by the methylene-carbon atom of the 4-fluorobenzyl substituent, two sulphur atoms of the dithiocarbamate ligand along with the imino-nitrogen and two ethoxide-oxygen atoms of the di-anionic, tridentate 2,2'-imino-diethanolate ligand. The dithiocarbamate ligand chelates in a symmetric mode as seen in the equivalence of the Sn–S1 [2.5906(5) Å] and Sn–S2 [2.5934(5) Å] bond lengths; this equivalence is reflected in the equality of the associated C–S bonds [C1–S1, S2 = 1.731(2) and 1.734(2) Å]. There is a small disparity in the Sn–O3 [2.0624(13) Å] and Sn–O4 [2.0360(14) Å] bond lengths despite each oxygen atom being approximately trans to a thiolate-sulphur atom; the Sn–N2 bond length = 2.2870(17) Å. The resultant CNO₂S₂ donor set defines an approximate octahedron with the major distortion related to the acute S1–Sn–S2 chelate angle of 69.564(15)°. The N1–Sn–C10 [167.65(7)°] and, in particular, S1–Sn–O4 [158.40(4)°]

and $S2-Sn-O3$ [$157.41(4)^\circ$] trans angles deviate from the ideal 180° . To a first approximation, the O_2S_2 atoms define a square-plane [r.m.s. deviation = $0.0348 \text{ \AA}$] and the Sn atom lies $0.2859(6)$ above this plane in the direction of the 4-fluorobenzyl-C10 atom. The tridentate mode of coordination for the 2,2'-imino-diethanolate ligand gives rise to two five-membered Sn,O,N,C_2 rings, which adopt distinct conformations. Thus, the $O3$ -chelate is twisted about the $C7-N2$ bond. By contrast, the $O4$ -ring has an envelope conformation with the flap atom, C9, lying $0.555(3) \text{ \AA}$ out of the least-squares plane through the remaining four atoms [r.m.s. deviation = $0.044 \text{ \AA}$].

Tin(II), tin(IV) and organotin(IV) dithiocarbamate compounds are well known in the literature with coordination modes ranging from symmetric as in (I) to effectively monodentate in triorganotin derivatives but often adopt asymmetric modes of coordination [8]. By contrast, there is only one literature precedent for a tin compound with the 2,2'-imino-diethanolate ligand, namely in the structure of $Sn(OCH_2CH_2N(H)CH_2CH_2O)(CH_2Si(Me)_2OSi(Me)_2CH_2)$ [12].

The crystal of (I) features conventional $O-H \cdots O$ hydrogen bonding leading to a supramolecular layer parallel to $(1\ 0\ 1)$. Thus, hydroxy- $O-H \cdots O$ (hydroxy) [$O1-H1o \cdots O2^i$: $H1o \cdots O2^i = 1.86(2) \text{ \AA}$, $O1 \cdots O2^i = 2.688(2) \text{ \AA}$ with angle at $H1o = 171(2)^\circ$ for symmetry operation (i) $3/2 - x, 1/2 + y, 1/2 - z$] and hydroxy- $O-H \cdots O$ (ethanolate) [$O2-H2o \cdots O3^{ii}$: $H2o \cdots O3^{ii} = 1.801(15) \text{ \AA}$, $O2 \cdots O3^{ii} = 2.6336(19) \text{ \AA}$ with angle at $H2o = 174(3)^\circ$ for (ii) $1/2 + x, 1/2 - y, -1/2 + z$] hydrogen bonds feature in the molecular packing. The formation of the latter hydrogen bonding interaction provides a rationale for the elongation of the $Sn-O3$ bond length with respect to the $Sn-O4$ bond. The connections between layers to consolidate the three-dimensional molecular packing are imino- $N-H \cdots \pi$ (phenyl) interactions [$N2-H2n \cdots Cg(C11-C16)^{iii}$: $H2n \cdots Cg(C11-C16)^{iii} = 2.946(13) \text{ \AA}$, $N2 \cdots Cg(C11-C16)^{iii} = 3.8061(18) \text{ \AA}$ with angle at $H2n = 166.7(18)^\circ$ for (iii) $-1/2 + x, 1/2 - y, -1/2 + z$].

In order to analyse the molecular packing further, in particular the influence of other non-covalent interactions operating in the crystal of (I), an analysis of the calculated Hirshfeld surfaces/two-dimensional fingerprint plots (overall and individual surface contacts) was conducted employing Crystal Explorer 17 [13] and literature procedures [14]. Reflecting the significant $O-H \cdots O$ hydrogen bonding interactions along with some $C-H \cdots O$ contacts shorter than the sum of the respective van der Waals radii in the crystal, $O \cdots H/H \cdots O$ contacts, at 17.8%, are a major contributor to surface contacts, as are $C \cdots H/H \cdots C$ contacts [7.0%] but, neither match the dominance of $H \cdots H$ contacts, i.e. 57.5%.

The other major contributions come from $F \cdots H/H \cdots F$ [9.2%] and $S \cdots H/H \cdots S$ [7.1%], with some $F \cdots H$ contacts within distances less than the sum of their van der Waals radii.

Acknowledgements: Sunway University Sdn Bhd is thanked for financial support of this work through Grant No. STR-RCRTR-RCCM-001-2019.

References

1. Agilent Technologies: CrysAlis^{PRO}. Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA (2010).
2. Sheldrick, G. M.: A short history of SHELX. *Acta Crystallogr. A* **64** (2008) 112–122.
3. Sheldrick, G. M.: Crystal structure refinement with SHELXL. *Acta Crystallogr. C* **71** (2015) 3–8.
4. Farrugia, L. J.: WinGX and ORTEP for Windows: an update. *J. Appl. Crystallogr.* **45** (2012) 849–854.
5. Tiekink, E. R. T.: Exploring the topological landscape exhibited by binary zinc-triad 1,1-dithiolates. *Crystals* **8** (2018) article no. 292 (34 pages).
6. Tiekink, E. R. T.: Aggregation patterns in the crystal structures of organometallic Group XV 1,1-dithiolates: the influence of the Lewis acidity of the central atom, metal- and ligand-bound steric bulk, and coordination potential of the 1,1-dithiolate ligands upon supramolecular architecture. *CrystEngComm* **8** (2006) 104–118.
7. Lai, C. S.; Tiekink, E. R. T.: Prevalence of intermolecular $Bi \cdots S$ interactions in bismuth dithiocarbamate compounds: $Bi(S_2CNR_2)_3$. *Z. Kristallogr.* **222** (2007) 532–538.
8. Tiekink, E. R. T.: Tin dithiocarbamates: applications and structures. *Appl. Organomet. Chem.* **22** (2008) 533–550.
9. Alcock, N. W.: Secondary bonding to nonmetallic elements. *Adv. Inorg. Chem. Radiochem.* **15** (1972) 1–58.
10. Tiekink, E. R. T.: Supramolecular assembly based on “emerging” intermolecular interactions of particular interest to coordination chemists. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **345** (2017) 209–228.
11. Tiekink, E. R. T.: Perplexing coordination behaviour of potentially bridging bipyridyl-type ligands in the coordination chemistry of zinc and cadmium 1,1-dithiolate compounds. *Crystals* **8** (2018) article no. 18 (29 pages).
12. Gurkova, S. N.; Tandura, S. N.; Gusev, A. I.; Alekseev, N. V.; Chernyshev, A. E.; Stepina, E. M.; Kovaleva, E. A.; Grachev, A. A.; Shiryaev, V. I.: Synthesis and structure of a spirostannocane: 2,2,4,4-tetramethyl-2,4-disila-6-stanna-3,7,13-trioxa-10-aza-spiro[5.7]tridecane. *Metalloorg. Khim. (in Russ.)* **1** (1988) 839–845.
13. Turner, M. J.; McKinnon, J. J.; Wolff, S. K.; Grimwood, D. J.; Spackman, P. R.; Jayatilaka, D.; Spackman, M. A.: *Crystal Explorer v17*. The University of Western Australia, Australia (2017).
14. Tan, S. L.; Jotani, M. M.; Tiekink, E. R. T.: Utilizing Hirshfeld surface calculations, non-covalent interaction (NCI) plots and the calculation of interaction energies in the analysis of molecular packing. *Acta Crystallogr. E* **75** (2019) 308–318.