Transcriptome analysis of tertiary lymphoid structures (TLSs)-related genes reveals prognostic value and immunotherapeutic potential in cancer
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Abstract

Objectives: Tertiary lymphoid structures (TLSs) are increasingly recognized as pivotal formations in anti-tumor immunity, with many mature TLSs relating to favorable prognosis in a variety of cancers. Analysis of the transcriptome data provided an opportunity to investigate the relationship between TLSs and the clinical outcomes of patients.

Methods: We performed transcriptome analysis to examine the expression patterns of 79 TLS-related genes within 32 cancers from The Cancer Genome Atlas, correlating these patterns to the clinical outcomes of patients. To improve the analysis, we developed a novel prognostic signature (TLSig) and validated its robust performance via multiple independent cancer cohorts.

Results: Our results demonstrated that the expression of TLS-related genes exhibited significant heterogeneity across cancers; and that TLSig was significantly associated with patient prognosis within most cancer types. Specifically, TLSig expressed potential prediction of whether patients would benefit from immunotherapy and presented significant interactions with epithelial to mesenchymal transition (EMT) and the cell cycle. Moreover, a difference in the distribution of TLSs between tumor and nontumor tissues was observed, which was validated in hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) samples. We further investigated the potential

Conclusion: In summary, our results demonstrated the prognostic value of TLSs in predicting patient prognosis and provided new insights into the molecular mechanism of TLSs in cancer.
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Introduction

In recent decades, researchers have revealed information regarding cellular and molecular determinants linked to cancer progression and metastasis [1, 2]. Although the possibility of detecting some cancers at an early stage holds promise for favorable clinical outcomes before the disease spreads, it is clearly not sufficient, and the accuracy of predicting the clinical prognosis of cancer patients remains a major challenge. In general, significant variations among different tumor types with regard to initiation, progression, and metastasis are existent. As a result, constructing a comprehensive predictive system that describes cancer prognosis from the perspective of focusing on the nature of the tumor is a challenging task. However, the immune microenvironment of different tumor types shares some commonalities and plays a crucial role in tumor development. Immune cells may serve as the link between the tumor parenchyma and the immune microenvironment. Tertiary lymphoid structures (TLSs) are immune cell aggregates that form in nonlymphoid tissues [3, 4], which are critical in the antitumor immune response and are closely related to tumor occurrence and metastasis. A growing amount of evidence indicates that the density of TLSs is associated with longer overall survival (OS) and disease-free survival (DFS) in several solid tumors. Additionally, TLSs in tumor tissues play an essential role in antitumor immune responses and are strongly associated with the prognosis of immunotherapy [5–7]. Considering these attributes, TLS-related
genes may serve to distinguish between tumor genotype and phenotype by establishing a prognostic signature.

In tumors, alterations in the expression and function of human leucocyte antigen (HLA)-I molecules are frequently identified, which provide a mechanism for tumor cells to evade immune surveillance [8]. In some malignancies, these abnormalities are significantly associated with higher tumor stage, higher grade, disease progression and reduction of patient survival, as well as failure of CD8+ T-cell-based immunotherapy [9–11]. Moreover, tumor cells express nonclassical HLA-I molecules in the tumor microenvironment as one of the strategies to evade the effect of cytotoxic activity from the immune system, and overexpression of HLA-G and HLA-E is commonly observed in a variety of malignancies [8]. Based on the similarity between TLSs and HLA in terms of tumor immunity as well as prognosis, the potential relationship between them remains to be further explored.

For the present study, we took a global view of the mRNA expression levels of TLS-related genes to assess their comprehensive relevance to tumor microenvironment (TME) heterogeneity and clinical prognosis at the pan-cancer level. Considering the close association of TLSs with tumor prognosis and immunotherapy, we developed a novel prognostic signature, TLSig, using TLS-related genes and demonstrated its predictive capacity for pan-cancer prognosis. In addition, on the basis of the analysis results of TLSig, we further validated the distribution differences of TLSs and explored the relationship between TLSs and HLA in hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) samples. Our findings indicated the potential connection between TLSs, the TME, and the prognosis of pan-cancer.

Materials and methods

Data source and preprocessing

This study utilized data from The Cancer Genome Atlas Project (TCGA), which included transcriptome expression data from 32 tumors (n=10,942) and corresponding clinical information (except for acute myeloid leukemia (LAML)). Additionally, we collected the METABRIC cohort from Biobank, which included expression profiles and clinical information from 1,904 breast invasive carcinoma (BRCA) patients [12], as well as data from Chin et al. for 112 breast cancer patients [13]. Furthermore, we obtained data from the GEO database for breast cancers GSE20685 [14] and GSE21653 [15], as well as GSE8894 [16], GSE31210 [17], and GSE30219 [18] in the lung adenocarcinoma (LUAD) dataset, GSE19188 [19] in the lung squamous cell carcinoma (LUSC) dataset, and GSE41613 [20] data from the oral squamous cell carcinoma (OSCC) dataset. Lastly, we obtained data from the “IMvigor210” cohort [21], GSE91061 cohort [22] and GSE35222 cohort [23] for cancer immunotherapy. See Table S2.

Calculation of enrichment score

To analyze the enrichment score (ES), we performed a gene set variation analysis using the “GSVA” software package (version 1.40.1; Universitat Pompeu Fabra; Barcelona, Catalonia, Spain) [24], where the list of TLSs, EMT and cell cycle-related genes is provided in Table S1.

Construction of prognostic TLS-related signature

LASSO algorithm is a linear regression method aimed at feature selection and model sparsification. We utilized LASSO model to find relatively linearly independent sets of genes to construct our prognostic signature. We divided the TCGA dataset into training and testing sets in a 5:5 ratio, and used the LASSO algorithm to process the training set data for TLS-related genes to ensure the simplicity of the model and reduce the risk of overfitting.

Then, we used a multivariate Cox proportional hazards regression model to link the expression of each gene in the TLS-related signature and the OS of patients in the training set. As shown in the following formula:

\[ \text{TLS score (patient)} = \sum_{i=1}^{35} \text{coef}(g_i) \times \text{Expr}(g_i). \]

where 35 represents the number of TLS-related signature genes, Expr (gi) represents the normalized expression value of gene i, and coef (gi) represents the corresponding coefficient estimated by the multiple Cox regression model (see Table S3). Patients were divided into high- and low-risk stratifications using the optimal cutoff inferred by the surv_cutpoint function in the “survminer” package (version 0.4.9; Datanovia; Montpellier, France) [25].

Functional enrichment analysis

The biological processes associated with differentially expressed genes (DEGs) in the high- and low-risk groups were investigated. The hit function from “clusterProfiler” R package (version 4.0.5; Jinan University; Guangzhou, China) [26] was applied to convert gene symbols. Significant enrichment was defined as gene ontology (GO) terms with adjusted p-values less than 0.01.

Pathological sections of HCC

A total of 41 pathological sections from patients with HCC were collected in this study, and were obtained from Nanjing Drum Tower Hospital, Nanjing, China (Ethical approval number: 2021-566-02). The section of each sample contained the tumor region as well as the peritumoral region. All patients had pathologically confirmed HCC and underwent curative resection.

Immunofluorescence

Immunofluorescence (IF) staining was performed on pathological sections of 41 HCC samples. Primary antibodies were divided into two groups: CD3, CD20, CD21, and CD23 for TLS; HLA-ABC, HLA-DR+DP+DQ, HLA-E, and HLA-G for HLA (see Table S4). HLA-ABC represents the classical HLA-I molecule; HLA-DR+DP+DQ represents the HLA-II molecule; HLA-E and HLA-G represent non-classical HLA-I molecules.
Staining protocols were based on the antibody manufacturer’s protocol. Slides were scanned and imaged by the Vectra3.0 System (version 3.0.5; PerkinElmer; Hopkinton, MA, USA).

Quantification of TLSs and calculation of TLS density

TLSs were detected by the combination of different marker signals on the whole slide images (WSIs), as described previously [27]. The surface areas of the different regions were measured using ImageJ software (version 1.0; National Institutes of Health; Bethesda, MD, USA) [28], and the density of TLSs was calculated as the number of TLSs per square mm² in the peritumor and intratumor regions.

Calculation of HLA positive rate

Five areas were randomly selected in peritumoral or tumoral regions of each WSI, which were imaged as multispectral HPFs (200×). To calculate the positive rate of HLA in different regions for each sample, the cell segmentation algorithm of Inform software (version 2.6.0; Akoya Biosciences; Marlborough, MA, USA) was trained to identify cells expressing HLA-ABC, HLA-D, HLA-E and HLA-G. The number of HLA positive cells and the total number of cells were calculated for each HPF image. The number of HLA-positive cells and the total number of cells were summed for the five HPF images in the peritumoral or tumoral region, and the ratio of the two represents the positivity rate of the corresponding HLA molecule in the peritumoral or tumoral region.

Statistical analysis

Pearson and Wilcoxon rank tests were utilized to measure the similarity or difference. Additionally, the survival probabilities of patients were assessed using Kaplan–Meier analysis, and the log-rank test was used to determine any differences between the groups. A nomogram was created and validated by combining multiple predictive indicators to present the results of the multivariable Cox regression analysis in a clear and understandable way. ns: not significant; * p≤0.05; ** p≤0.01; *** p≤0.001. All statistical analyses were conducted using R software (version 4.2.2; R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria).

Results

Heterogeneity and prognostic value of TLSs across cancers

Based on previous studies in TLS, 79 TLS-related genes [3–5, 29–37] were collected and incorporated into our study, which were composed of chemokine genes and immune cell genes. They are mainly associated with the neogenesis of TLSs and the function of immune cells in TLSs. These TLS-related genes were analyzed in a total of 10,942 samples collected from 32 tumors in the TCGA database (Figure 1A; Table S1), and the results indicated that the distribution of TLSs exhibited significant heterogeneity among different cancer types (Figure 1B). Moreover, the TLS enrichment score (ES) was calculated for the 32 tumors, revealing significant differences among different cancer types (Figure 1C; see Materials and Methods). Some tumors, such as lymphoid, diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBC), LUSC, and kidney renal clear-cell carcinoma (KIRC), presented high ESs, hinting toward a frequent presence of TLS, while other tumors, such as glioblastoma multiforme (GBM) and uveal melanoma (UVM), exhibited low ESs, which was consistent with the characteristics of immune privilege (Figure 1C). Further analysis showed that the high-ES group had a longer OS than the low-ES group in pan-cancer (ps≤0.0001, t-test) (Figure 1D).

Developing a TLS-related signature for pan-cancer clinical prognosis

To obtain a reliable and effective TLS-related signature for predicting the prognosis of pan-cancer, we conducted LASSO regression analysis (Figure S1A and B), and only 35 genes remained effective. We first investigated the expression landscape of these 35 genes in pan-cancer and found significant differences among tumors, indicating the heterogeneity of expression patterns, which was consistent with our previous results (Figure 1B and 2A). To investigate the expression effects on prognosis, univariate Cox regression model based on training pan-cancer cohorts was conducted, demonstrating that all 35 genes were significantly associated with the prognosis (Figure 2B; ps≤0.0001, log-rank test) and the per-cancer Cox analyses are shown in Figure S2. Based on these selected TLS-related genes, we constructed a prognostic signature for pan-cancer, namely TLSig. As expected, in the training cohort and testing cohort, TLSig conveniently stratified patients into high- and low-risk groups with significantly different OS (ps≤0.0001, log-rank test) utilizing the optimal cutoff point, indicating a worse prognosis in the high-risk group (Figure 2C and D).

Next, a negative relationship was observed between the TLS risk score and the TLS enrichment score. This suggested that low-risk groups had better prognoses and higher TLS enrichment scores, which aligns with previous findings (Figures 1D, 2E and F). The impact of TLSig on prognosis of each cancer type was also examined in both the training and testing groups, and the results indicated that the TLS risk score was mostly associated with poor prognosis across various cancer types (Figures S3 and S4). Specifically, it was found to be significant for LUSC, KIRC, HNSC, liver hepatocellular carcinoma (LIHC), and BRCA (Figure 2G and Figures S3 and S4). Notably, there was no significant impact on the prognosis of GBM, testicular germ cell tumor (TGCT), or...
UVM, suggesting that TLSs face difficulty in generating an independent microenvironment in immune-privilege cancers.

**Evaluation of TLSig’s prognostic performance in independent publicly available cancer cohorts**

To assess the prognostic performance of TLSig, multiple independent cancer cohorts were analyzed, which presented similar trends. Specifically, validation results from four external breast cancer cohorts indicated that TLSig was significantly linked to patient prognosis, which was consistent with the results from the TCGA cohort. This suggests that TLSig is a reliable predictor of prognosis for breast cancer patients (Figure 3A–D; Table S2). Furthermore, external datasets for lung adenocarcinoma (LUAD), LUSC, and OSCC were also analyzed, and the results were as expected, except for the GSE31210 cohort (LUAD). In the GSE31210 cohort, no significant difference was observed, although presented with the same trend (Figure 3E–I).

Given the widespread interest in the role of TLSs in immunotherapy [5–7], the predictive value of TLSig was tested in the IMvigor210 cohort, GSE91061 cohort and GSE135222 cohort. As expected, there was a potentially better prognosis in the low-risk group, as demonstrated by the KM curve (Figure 3J–L; Table S2). Additionally, the distribution of CR/PR and SD/PD in both groups revealed that a higher percentage of the low-risk group (IMvigor210 cohort: 31.5 vs. 12.5 %; GSE91061 cohort: 52.6 vs. 0 % and GSE135222 cohort: 85.7 vs. 0 %) benefited from immunotherapy (Figure 3M–O).
Figure 2: Construction of a TLS-related signature for pan-cancer. (A) Heatmap shows the expression levels of 35 selected TLS genes in a wide range of tumors, where cancers without normal samples were excluded from consideration. Red indicates significantly upregulated expression in tumors compared to normal samples, while green indicates significantly downregulated expression. Gray indicates no significant difference in expression. (B)
Overall, these results suggest that TLSig has the potential for clinical application in predicting prognosis for pan-cancer and its immune response.

Construction of a prognostic nomogram model based on the TLS risk score for pan-cancer

To provide an intuitive and quantifiable approach to facilitate the clinical prediction of patient survival probabilities, we developed a comprehensive nomogram prediction model that integrates various clinicopathological characteristics, including TLS risk scores, patient age, and cancer type, as shown in Figure 4A. To validate the accuracy of the nomogram, we plotted the calibration curve for 3-year DFS (disease-free survival) and compared it with the standard curve. The results indicated that the prediction of survival probability was closely related to the actual survival (Figure 4B).

To further evaluate the effectiveness of our model, we calculated the AUC of TLSig and nomogram prediction in both the training and testing cohorts. As shown in Figure 4C and D, the AUC of the nomogram prediction was higher than that of the TLS risk score alone in both cohorts (training set: 0.710 vs. 0.698, testing set: 0.730 vs. 0.699). This suggested that the predictive efficiency of the nomogram would be improved if clinicopathological factors were included.

Association of TLSig with biological processes of cancers

To investigate the relationship between TLSig and tumor malignant characteristics, we carried out a comprehensive analysis of the correlation between TLS risk scores, epithelial to mesenchymal transition (EMT), and the cell cycle. Our analysis revealed that there were statistically significant positive correlations between the TLS risk score and EMT ($R=0.21$, $p<0.0001$) as well as the cell cycle ($R=0.28$, $p<0.0001$) in the entire TCGA pan-cancer cohort (Figure 5A and B). Furthermore, we examined individual tumors and discovered extremely significant positive correlations between TLS risk scores and EMT, or the cell cycle, in LIHC and breast cancer (BRCA) (Figure 5C). The higher the abundance of TLSs in LIHC and BRCA suggests that there is a more active immune response compared to other cancers. In summary, our findings indicate that tumors with a higher TLS risk score (i.e., a lower abundance of TLSs) exhibit more active cell proliferation and aggressive tumor cells within the microenvironment.

In addition, we identified DEGs in the high and low risk groups. The GO analysis revealed that high-risk DEGs were mainly abundant in cellular modified amino acid and phenol-containing compound metabolic processes, while low-risk DEGs were more associated with humoral immune response and defense response to bacterium (Figure 5D).

Differential distribution of TLSs in tumor and nontumor tissues of HCC

Further calculation of TLS risk scores for different types of tumor samples compared with nontumor samples revealed that there were significant differences between the different types of tumors. We also observed that tumor samples from LIHC presented higher TLS risk scores than nontumor samples, implying the presence of fewer TLSs in tumor samples (Figure 6A).

To validate the results of the analysis of LIHC, we first utilized the 12-Chemokine signature [38] to analyze tumor and nontumor samples of LIHC in TCGA dataset. Our results demonstrated that the expression level of 12 genes in tumor samples of LIHC was lower overall than that in nontumor samples, which was in line with the results of the previous analysis using the TLS risk score and suggested that the TLS risk score could accurately reflect the expression of TLSs (Figure 6B).

Subsequently, immunofluorescence (IF) staining (CD3, CD20, CD21, CD23 on the same sections for TLSs) was conducted on 41 pathological sections of HCC samples (Figure S5A), and the statistical results showed that the density of TLSs was higher in the peritumoral region (nontumor tissue) than in tumor tissue in 39 samples (Figure 6C–F), which was consistent with the results of the previous analysis. Together, these findings indicate that TLSs are less susceptible
Figure 3: Validate the performance of TLSig on other independent publicly available cohorts. (A–L) KM curves show the differences in prognosis between the high and low risk groups as portrayed by the TLS risk scores in 12 independent cohorts. The p-values were evaluated by the log-rank test. (M–O) Stack bar plot shows the comparisons of the disease treatment effect between the high-risk and low-risk groups in the cohorts for immunotherapy. Red indicates complete or partial remission of the disease (CR/PR), while blue indicates disease stability or progression (SD/PD).
to form in tumor tissues compared to nontumor tissues of HCC.

**HCC may affect the formation of TLSs by regulating HLA expression**

To investigate the potential factors for the difference in TLS distribution, we further analyzed the differential expression of HLA. Multiplex immunostaining (HLA-ABC, HLA-D, HLA-E, HLA-G) was performed on 41 identical pathological sections of HCC samples (Figure 7A and Figure S5B). Statistical analysis revealed that tumor tissues presented higher expression of HLA-E and HLA-G compared to peritumoral regions (nontumor tissues), and HLA-ABC and HLA-D were downregulated in tumor tissues, without differences in the coexpression of HLA-E and HLA-G between them (Figure 7B–F).

The TLS density and HLA positive rate for each sample are summarized in Figure 7G. After comparing the TLS density with the expression of each HLA molecule in tumor tissues and peritumoral regions for each sample, we found that the trend of TLS density in paired tumor and nontumor tissues tended to be the same as the trend of HLA-ABC expression, and the opposite trend of HLA-E and HLA-G (i.e., when the TLS density in tumor tissues was lower than that in nontumor tissues, its corresponding HLA-ABC expression in tumor tissues was lower than that in nontumor tissues, and HLA-E and/or HLA-G expression were higher than that in nontumor tissues), and the results of the chi-square test were statistically significant (Figure 7H).

---

**Figure 4:** Predictive efficiency of the TLS risk score and nomogram in pan-cancer. (A and B) The nomogram shows the performance of a multivariate Cox regression analysis by combining multiple predictors, including TLS risk score, age, and cancer type. (B) The correlation between predicted and actual values is revealed by a calibration curve that demonstrates the concordance between the nomogram-predicted probability of 3-year DFS and the actual 3-year DFS. (C and D) ROC curves show the clinical benefits of the TLS risk score at 3, and 5 years in TCGA training (C) and TCGA testing (D) cohorts and the clinical benefits of the nomogram at 5 years in TCGA training (C) and TCGA testing (D) cohorts.
Figure 5: Associations between TLS risk scores and biological processes of cancers. (A) Two plots show the correlation between the cell-cycling enrichment score and EMT enrichment score with the TLS risk score for the total sample of 32 cancer types. $R$ represents the correlation coefficient, and $p$-value was determined by t-test. (B and C) Scatter plots show the correlations between the cell-cycling score (B) and EMT score (C) with the TLS risk score for each cancer type in the TCGA dataset, except for LAML. (D) GSEA shows the top three enriched GO terms in the high-risk (upper panel) and low-risk (lower panel) groups.
Figure 6: The heterogeneous distribution of TLSs in tumor and nontumor tissues of HCC. (A) Comparison of the differences in TLS risk scores between tumor and nontumor samples across cancers. (B) Differences in the expression of 12 genes between tumor and nontumor samples of LIHC. (C and D) Statistical analysis of TLS density in HCC sections. Blue dots indicate the density of TLSs in the tumor tissues, and orange dots indicate the density of TLSs in the peritumoral regions. (E and F) Representative images of HCC that present TLSs in the tumoral and peritumoral regions by immunofluorescence staining. Each boxed area represents one TLS.
Figure 7: Differences in the expression of HLA between tumor and nontumor tissues of HCC. (A) Flow chart of HLA expression analysis. (B–F) Paired statistical analysis of HLA expression in HCC sections. Blue dots indicate the positive rate of HLA in the tumor tissues, and orange dots indicate the positive rate of HLA in the peritumoral regions. (G) Bubble plot of TLS density and HLA expression data. (H) Representative images of HCC showing the expression of different HLA and TLS densities in the tumoral and peritumoral regions.
In summary, we speculated that HCC might inhibit the formation of TLSs and evade immune surveillance by downregulating HLA-ABC and upregulating HLA-E and/or HLA-G.

Discussion

Accurately predicting the prognosis of cancer patients through biomarker screenings remains a challenge. However, increasing evidence indicates that TLSs play a crucial role in both tumor prognosis and antitumor immunity [3, 4, 39, 40]. To improve prognosis assessment based on TLS properties, we developed TLSig, a prognostic signature that utilizes TLS-related genes.

Our findings showed that TLSs were unevenly distributed across most tumors, largely due to the inherent diversity of tumor types. Specifically, certain malignant tumors developed in immune-privileged organs where immune cells struggle to infiltrate [41–43], resulting in weak expression of the TLS signature. This phenomenon was most frequently observed in the brain, such as in GBM, lower-grade glioma (LGG), and UVM. Conversely, TGCT, which was also developed in an immune-privileged organ, exhibited notably high expressions of TLS-related gene features. This phenomenon requires further investigation. Furthermore, adrenal gland tumors, such as adrenocortical carcinoma (ACC), pheochromocytoma, and paraganglioma (PCPG), showed low expression of TLSs due to the immunosuppressive effects of corticosteroids produced by the adrenal gland [44].

Using the established TLS-related signature that predicts pan-cancer prognosis, we observed that the low-risk group had a better prognosis. A correlation analysis between TLS enrichment scores and TLS risk scores showed that the lower the risk, the higher the enrichment score. In the low-risk group, where more TLSs were present, patients had a better prognosis, consistent with previous studies [3, 4]. However, the combination of survival curves for each tumor showed no significant correlation or even an opposite trend in the survival analysis for GBM, TGCT, and UVM. This challenge of accurately describing the prognosis of TLSig is understandable due to the immune privilege of the organ where TLSs are located. In terms of immunotherapy, the good predictivity of TLSs for immunotherapy has been confirmed in several tumors in recent years [5–7, 45–47]. In the immunotherapy IMvigor210 dataset, better responses to immunotherapy in the low-risk group were validated. Finally, the analysis showed a significant positive correlation between TLS risk scores and the ES of EMT and the cell cycle. Thus, more abundant TLSs were associated with lower EMT and cell cycle activity, suggesting that TLSs may control tumor invasion and metastasis by affecting EMT and the cell cycle.

The results of both the bioinformatics analysis and the experiments showed that in HCC, there were fewer TLSs in the tumor tissues than in the nontumor tissues. TLSs are essentially aggregates of lymphocytes, mainly T cells and B cells [4], which cannot develop without an immune response [48]. The tumor microenvironment of HCC presents obvious immunosuppressive properties [49]. From this perspective, it is easy to understand that there are fewer TLSs in tumor tissues than in nontumor tissues, and similar results have been reported [50]. Furthermore, we observed differential HLA expression between tumor and nontumor tissues of HCC, and the trend of the differences in HLA-ABC, HLA-E, and HLA-G between tumor and nontumor tissues are well matched with the trend of the differences in TLS from the section data. Given that tumor cells usually evade immune surveillance by regulating the expression of HLA molecules [8], we speculate that HCC cells affect the formation of TLSs by regulating the expression of HLA.

Conclusions

In summary, our results demonstrated that TLS-related genes were strongly related to prognosis and provided new insights into prognostic prediction for pan-cancer. We validated the distribution differences of TLSs and explored the relationship between TLSs and HLA in HCC samples. Currently, the potential mechanisms of interaction between TLSs and the TME are unclear, and more extensive studies need to be conducted.
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