

Research Article

Open Access

Special Issue: 5th International Conference on Matrix Analysis and Applications

Jiyuan Tao

A Lie product type formula in Euclidean Jordan algebras

DOI 10.1515/spma-2016-0025

Received March 19, 2015; accepted June 2, 2016

Abstract: In this paper, we state and prove an analog of Lie product formula in the setting of Euclidean Jordan algebras.

Keywords: Euclidean Jordan algebra, Lie product formula

MSC: 15A33, 17C20, 17C55

1 Introduction

For two $n \times n$ complex matrices A and B , the Lie product formula [5] asserts that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (\exp(A/k)\exp(B/k))^k = \exp(A + B).$$

Our objective in this paper is to state and prove an analog of this formula in the setting of Euclidean Jordan algebras.

2 Preliminaries

Throughout this paper, we let V be a Euclidean Jordan algebra of rank r and $K := \{x \circ x : x \in V\}$ be the cone of squares in V . We use the notation $x \geq 0$ ($x > 0$) when $x \in K$ (respectively, $x \in K^\circ$ (=interior (K))). We recall some concepts, properties, and results used in this paper. Most of these can be found in [2].

A Euclidean Jordan algebra V is a finite dimensional real Hilbert space that carries an inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and a bilinear Jordan product $x \circ y : V \times V \rightarrow V$ satisfying the following conditions:

- (i) $x \circ y = y \circ x$ for all $x, y \in V$;
- (ii) $x \circ (x^2 \circ y) = x^2 \circ (x \circ y)$ for all $x, y \in V$ where $x^2 := x \circ x$; and
- (iii) $\langle x \circ y, z \rangle = \langle y, x \circ z \rangle$ for all $x, y, z \in V$.

In addition, we assume that there is an element $e \in V$ (called the *unit* element) such that $x \circ e = x$ for all $x \in V$. The (trace) inner product is defined by $\langle x, y \rangle := \text{trace}(x \circ y)$ for any $x, y \in V$.

A Euclidean Jordan algebra is said to be *simple* if it is not a direct sum of two (non-trivial) Euclidean Jordan algebras. It is well known that any nonzero Euclidean Jordan algebra is a product of simple Euclidean Jordan algebras and every simple algebra is isomorphic to one of the algebras given below:

Jiyuan Tao: Department of Mathematical Sciences, Loyola University Maryland, Baltimore, MD 21210, USA, E-mail: jtiao@loyola.edu

- (i) The algebra S^n of $n \times n$ real symmetric matrices with trace inner product and the Jordan product $X \circ Y = \frac{1}{2}(XY + YX)$;
- (ii) The algebra \mathcal{H}^n of all $n \times n$ complex Hermitian matrices with trace inner product and the Jordan product $X \circ Y = \frac{1}{2}(XY + YX)$;
- (iii) The algebra \mathcal{Q}^n of all $n \times n$ quaternion Hermitian matrices with (real) trace inner product and the Jordan product $X \circ Y = \frac{1}{2}(XY + YX)$;
- (iv) The algebra \mathcal{O}^3 of all 3×3 octonion Hermitian matrices with (real) trace inner product and the Jordan product $X \circ Y = \frac{1}{2}(XY + YX)$;
- (v) The Jordan spin algebra \mathcal{L}^n ($n \geq 3$) quadratic forms in R^n with standard inner product and the Jordan product

$$x \circ y := (x^T y, x_1 y_2 + y_1 x_2, \dots, x_1 y_n + y_1 x_n)^T.$$

The spectral decomposition For $x \in V$, there exists a Jordan frame $\{e_1, \dots, e_r\}$ and real numbers $\lambda_1(x), \dots, \lambda_r(x)$ such that

$$x = \lambda_1(x)e_1 + \dots + \lambda_r(x)e_r. \tag{1}$$

The numbers $\lambda_i(x)$ are called the eigenvalues of x .

For a given $a \in V$, the *quadratic representation* $P_a : V \rightarrow V$ is defined respectively by

$$P_a(x) := 2a \circ (a \circ x) - a^2 \circ x.$$

Given (1), the Frobenius norm and spectral norm of x are respectively defined by

$$\|x\| := \sqrt{\langle x, x \rangle} = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i^2(x)} \quad \text{and} \quad \|x\|_\infty = \max_{1 \leq i \leq r} |\lambda_i(x)|. \tag{2}$$

Proposition 1. (see Lemma 2.9, [6]) For any $x, y \in V$, $\|x \circ y\| \leq \|x\| \|y\|$.

Proposition 2. (see Theorem 2, [7]) For any $x, y \in V$, $\|x \circ y\| \leq \|x\|_\infty \|y\|$.

(2), Proposition 1, and Proposition 2 immediately yield the following proposition.

Proposition 3. Let $x, y \in V$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

- (a) $\|x \circ y\|_\infty \leq \|x \circ y\| \leq \|x\|_\infty \|y\| \leq \|x\| \|y\|$.
- (b) $\|x^n\| \leq \|x\|^n$, where $x^n = x \circ x \circ \dots \circ x$.
- (c) $\|x^n\|_\infty = \|x\|_\infty^n$.

Proposition 4. (Theorem 4.1, [8], Corollary 1, [3]) Let V be any Euclidean Jordan algebra. Then

$$\|x + y\|_\infty \leq \|x\|_\infty + \|y\|_\infty.$$

Suppose that f is analytic on \mathbb{R} , i.e., $f(t) = \sum_0^\infty \alpha_n t^n$ and $x = \sum_1^r \lambda_i(x)e_i$. Then

$$f(x) = \sum_1^r \left(\sum_{n=0}^\infty \alpha_n \lambda_i^n(x) \right) e_i = \sum_1^r f(\lambda_i(x)) e_i.$$

3 Majorization

Given a vector $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r)$ in \mathbb{R}^r , we write $x^\downarrow := (x_1^\downarrow, x_2^\downarrow, \dots, x_r^\downarrow)$ for the vector obtained by rearranging the components of x in the decreasing order. For two vectors $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r)$ and $y = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_r)$ in

\mathbb{R}^r , we say that x is *majorized* by y and write $x \prec y$ if

$$\sum_{i=1}^k x_i^\downarrow \leq \sum_{i=1}^k y_i^\downarrow \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots, r-1)$$

and

$$\sum_{i=1}^r x_i^\downarrow = \sum_{i=1}^r y_i^\downarrow,$$

and we say that x is *weakly majorized* by y and write $x \prec_w y$ if

$$\sum_{i=1}^k x_i^\downarrow \leq \sum_{i=1}^k y_i^\downarrow \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots, r-1, r).$$

When $x \geq 0$ and $y \geq 0$, we say that x is **log-majorized** by y and write $x \prec_{\log} y$ if

$$\prod_{i=1}^k x_i^\downarrow \leq \prod_{i=1}^k y_i^\downarrow \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots, r-1)$$

and

$$\prod_{i=1}^r x_i^\downarrow = \prod_{i=1}^r y_i^\downarrow.$$

It is well known that $x \prec_{\log} y \Rightarrow x \prec_w y$.

Theorem 1. ([1], Theorem II. 3.1) For $x = (x_1^\downarrow, x_2^\downarrow, \dots, x_r^\downarrow)$ and $y = (y_1^\downarrow, y_2^\downarrow, \dots, y_r^\downarrow)$ in \mathbb{R}^r and for any convex function $\phi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, the following two conditions are equivalent:

$$x \prec y. \tag{3}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^r \phi(x_i) \leq \sum_{i=1}^r \phi(y_i). \tag{4}$$

If ϕ is a strictly convex on \mathbb{R} , then equality in (4) holds if and only if $x_i^\downarrow = y_i^\downarrow$ for all i .

4 The Main Result

Theorem 2. Let V be a Euclidean Jordan algebra. Suppose that f and g are analytic on \mathbb{R} (that is, they have power series expansions valid on all of \mathbb{R}) with $f(0) = 1 = g(0)$. Then, for any $a, b \in V$,

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (f(a/k) \circ g(b/k))^k = \exp(f'(0)a + g'(0)b).$$

The proof of Theorem 2 can be given following Herzog's argument in [4].

Lemma 1. If $a, b \in V$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\|(a + b)^k - a^k\| \leq (\|a\|_\infty + \|b\|)^k - \|a\|_\infty^k$.

Proof. We use induction to prove this inequality. It is obvious when $k = 1$. Suppose that the inequality holds for $k - 1$. Then by Proposition 3,

$$\begin{aligned} \|(a + b)^k - a^k\| &= \|a \circ [(a + b)^{k-1} - a^{k-1}] + b \circ [(a + b)^{k-1} - a^{k-1}] + b \circ a^{k-1}\| \\ &\leq \|a \circ [(a + b)^{k-1} - a^{k-1}]\| + \|b \circ [(a + b)^{k-1} - a^{k-1}]\| + \|b \circ a^{k-1}\| \\ &\leq \|a\|_\infty \|(a + b)^{k-1} - a^{k-1}\| + \|b\| \|(a + b)^{k-1} - a^{k-1}\| + \|b\| \|a^{k-1}\|_\infty \\ &\leq \|a\|_\infty [(\|a\|_\infty + \|b\|)^{k-1} - \|a\|_\infty^{k-1}] + \|b\| [(\|a\|_\infty + \|b\|)^{k-1} - \|a\|_\infty^{k-1}] + \|b\| \|a\|_\infty^{k-1} \\ &= (\|a\|_\infty + \|b\|)^k - \|a\|_\infty^k. \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 2. Let $a \in V$. Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (e + \frac{a}{n})^n = \exp(a)$.

Proof. Writing the spectral decomposition for a as $a = \sum_1^r \lambda_i(a)e_i$, we have

$$\exp(a) = \sum_1^r \exp(\lambda_i(a))e_i \text{ and } (e + \frac{a}{n})^n = \sum_i^r (1 + \frac{\lambda_i(a)}{n})^n e_i.$$

Since $(1 + \frac{\lambda_i(a)}{n})^n \rightarrow \exp(\lambda_i(a))$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (e + \frac{a}{n})^n = \exp(a)$. □

Proof of Theorem 2. Let

$$f(t) = \sum_0^\infty \alpha_n t^n \text{ and } g(t) = \sum_0^\infty \beta_n t^n.$$

$a, b \in V$, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$f(a/k) = e + f'(0)a/k + u_k \text{ and } g(b/k) = e + g'(0)b/k + v_k,$$

where $u_k = \sum_{n=2}^\infty \alpha_n \frac{a^n}{k^n}$ and $v_k = \sum_{n=2}^\infty \beta_n \frac{b^n}{k^n}$. Thus,

$$\|k^2 u_k\| \leq \sum_{n=2}^\infty |\alpha_n| \frac{\|a\|^n}{k^{n-2}} \leq \sum_{n=2}^\infty |\alpha_n| \|a\|^n < +\infty \text{ and } \|k^2 v_k\| \leq \sum_{n=2}^\infty |\beta_n| \frac{\|b\|^n}{k^{n-2}} \leq \sum_{n=2}^\infty |\beta_n| \|b\|^n < +\infty.$$

Hence,

$$f(a/k) \circ g(b/k) = (e + f'(0)a/k + u_k) \circ (e + g'(0)b/k + v_k) = e + \frac{f'(0)a + g'(0)b}{k} + w_k,$$

where $w_k = u_k + v_k + \frac{1}{k}(f'(0)a \circ v_k + g'(0)b \circ u_k) + \frac{f'(0)g'(0)}{k^2} a \circ b + u_k \circ v_k$. It is easy to verify that $\{k^2 w_k\}$ is a bounded sequence in V . So, there exists a $M > 0$ such that $\|w_k\| \leq \frac{M}{k^2}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Now, letting $c = f'(0)a + g'(0)b$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|(f(a/k) \circ g(b/k))^k - (e + \frac{c}{k})^k\| &= \|(e + \frac{c}{k} + w_k)^k - (e + \frac{c}{k})^k\| \\ &\leq (\|e + \frac{c}{k}\|_\infty + \|w_k\|)^k - \|e + \frac{c}{k}\|_\infty^k \\ &\leq (\|e + \frac{c}{k}\|_\infty + \frac{M}{k^2})^k - \|e + \frac{c}{k}\|_\infty^k \\ &= k(\beta(k))^{k-1} \frac{M}{k^2} \\ &= \frac{M(\beta(k))^{k-1}}{k}, \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

where $\beta(k) \in (\|e + \frac{c}{k}\|_\infty, \|e + \frac{c}{k}\|_\infty + \frac{M}{k^2})$. Note that the first inequality is from Lemma 1 and (5) is from the Mean Value Theorem. Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq (\beta(k))^{k-1} &\leq (\|e + \frac{c}{k}\|_\infty + \frac{M}{k^2})^{k-1} \leq (\|e\|_\infty + \frac{\|c\|_\infty}{k} + \frac{M}{k^2})^{k-1} \\ &\leq (1 + \frac{\|c\|_\infty + M}{k})^k \rightarrow \exp(\|c\|_\infty + M). \end{aligned}$$

Note that the third inequality is from Proposition 4. Hence, $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{M(\beta(k))^{k-1}}{k} = 0$. Therefore,

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (f(a/k) \circ (exp(b/k))^k) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (e + \frac{c}{k})^k = \exp(f'(0)a + g'(0)b).$$

Note that the last equality is from Lemma 2. □

Putting $f(t) = g(t) = \exp(t)$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$, Theorem 2 immediately yields the following corollary.

Corollary 1. For any $a, b \in V$, $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (exp(a/k) \circ exp(b/k))^k = exp(a + b)$.

4.1 An application

Lemma 3. (see Proposition III 5.3, [1]) Let A be an $n \times n$ complex matrix. Then

$$\operatorname{Re}(\lambda^\downarrow(A)) \prec \lambda^\downarrow(\operatorname{Re}(A)),$$

where $\operatorname{Re}(A) = \frac{A+A^*}{2}$.

Theorem 3. Let $V = \mathcal{S}^n$ or \mathcal{H}^n or \mathcal{Q}_n and $0 < x, s \in V$. Then

$$\lambda^\downarrow((P_{x^{1/2}}(s)) \prec \lambda^\downarrow(x \circ s).$$

Proof. (i) When $V = \mathcal{S}^n$ or $V = \mathcal{H}^n$, $P_X(Y) = XYX$ for $X, Y \in V$.

Since $X \circ S = \frac{XS+SX}{2}$, by Lemma 3, we have $\operatorname{Re}(\lambda^\downarrow(XS)) \prec \lambda^\downarrow(X \circ S)$. Since $X, S \succ 0$,

$$\lambda_i(XS) = \lambda_i(X^{1/2}X^{1/2}S) = \lambda_i(X^{1/2}SX^{1/2}) = \lambda_i(P_{X^{1/2}}(S)).$$

Thus, $\lambda^\downarrow(P_{X^{1/2}}(S)) \prec \lambda^\downarrow(X \circ S)$.

(ii) When $V = \mathcal{Q}^n$, $P_X(Y) = XYX$ for $X, Y \in \mathcal{Q}^n$

For an $n \times n$ quaternion matrix A , we write $A = A_1 + A_2j$, where A_1, A_2 are $n \times n$ complex matrices. The complex adjoint matrix of A is defined by $\chi_A := \begin{bmatrix} A_1 & A_2 \\ -\overline{A_2} & \overline{A_1} \end{bmatrix}$. It is well known (e.g., Theorem 4.2, [10]) that χ_A is Hermitian if and only if A is Hermitian and the eigenvalues of A coincide with the eigenvalues of χ_A (see Theorem 5.4 and Corollary 5.1, [10]) when A is Hermitian. Now, $P_{X^{1/2}}(S) = X^{1/2}SX^{1/2}$. By Theorem 4.2, [10], we have $\chi_{X^{1/2}SX^{1/2}} = \chi_{X^{1/2}}\chi_S\chi_{X^{1/2}} = (\chi_X)^{1/2}\chi_S(\chi_X)^{1/2}$ and $\chi_{(XS+SX)/2} = (\chi_X\chi_S + \chi_S\chi_X)/2$. Therefore, the result follows by Case (i). □

Corollary 2. Let $V = \mathcal{S}^n$ or \mathcal{H}^n or \mathcal{Q}_n and $0 < x, s \in V$. Then

$$\operatorname{tr}((x \circ s)^{2^k}) \geq \operatorname{tr}((P_{x^{1/2}}(s))^{2^k}) \text{ for } k \in \mathcal{N}.$$

Proof. Since for any fixed $k \in \mathcal{N}$, $f(t) = t^{2^k}$ is a convex function, by Theorem 3 and Theorem 1, we have

$$\operatorname{tr}((x \circ s)^{2^k}) \geq \operatorname{tr}((P_{x^{1/2}}(s))^{2^k}).$$

□

Lemma 4. Let $A, B \in \mathcal{Q}^n$. Then

$$\lambda^{2^k}(\exp(A+B)) \prec_{\log} \lambda^{2^k}(\exp(A/2)\exp(B)\exp(A/2)) \text{ for } k \in \mathcal{N}.$$

Proof. First we show that for any $C \in \mathcal{Q}^n$ $\exp(\chi_C) = \chi_{\exp(C)}$. From Corollary 6.1 in [10], for $C \in \mathcal{Q}^n$, there exists a unitary U such that

$$C = U^* \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & \lambda_n \end{bmatrix} U.$$

Thus,

$$\exp(C) = U^* \begin{bmatrix} \exp(\lambda_1) & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \exp(\lambda_2) & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & \exp(\lambda_n) \end{bmatrix} U \text{ and } \chi_C = \chi_U^* \chi_D \chi_U,$$

where $D = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & \lambda_n \end{bmatrix}$. Note that χ_D is a $2n \times 2n$ diagonal matrix with the same eigenvalues (including multiplicities) as D by item 7 in Theorem 4.2, [10]. Hence,

$$\chi_{\exp(C)} = \chi_U^* \begin{bmatrix} \exp(\lambda_1) & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \exp(\lambda_2) & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & \exp(\lambda_n) \end{bmatrix} \chi_U \text{ and } \exp(\chi_C) = \chi_U^* \begin{bmatrix} \exp(\lambda_1) & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \exp(\lambda_2) & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & \exp(\lambda_n) \end{bmatrix} \chi_U.$$

Thus, $\exp(\chi_C) = \chi_{\exp(C)}$. Now, from Theorem 2.10 in [9], we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(\exp(\chi_A + \chi_B)) &\prec_{\log} \lambda(\exp(\chi_A/2)\exp(\chi_B)\exp(\chi_A/2)) \Rightarrow \\ \lambda(\exp(\chi_{A+B})) &\prec_{\log} \lambda(\chi_{\exp(A/2)}\chi_{\exp(B)}\chi_{\exp(A/2)}) \Rightarrow \\ \lambda(\chi_{\exp(A+B)}) &\prec_{\log} \lambda(\chi_{\exp(A/2)\exp(B)\exp(A/2)}) \Rightarrow \\ \lambda(\exp(A + B)) &\prec_{\log} \lambda(\exp(A/2)\exp(B)\exp(A/2)) \Rightarrow \\ \lambda^{2^k}(\exp(A + B)) &\prec_{\log} \lambda^{2^k}(\exp(A/2)\exp(B)\exp(A/2)). \end{aligned}$$

We note that the second to last implication uses the fact that the eigenvalues of $C \in \mathcal{Q}^n$ coincide with the eigenvalues of χ_C (see Theorem 5.4 and Corollary 5.1, [10]). □

Since log-majorization implies weak majorization, Lemma 4 immediately yields the following corollary.

Corollary 3. *Let $A, B \in \mathcal{Q}^n$. Then*

$$\text{tr}(\exp(A + B))^{2^k} \leq \text{tr}((\exp(A/2)\exp(B)\exp(A/2))^{2^k}) \text{ for } k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Theorem 4. *Suppose that $A, B \in \mathcal{Q}^n$. Then*

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \text{tr}((\exp(A/2^{k+1})\exp(B/2^k)\exp(A/2^{k+1}))^{2^k}) = \text{tr}(\exp(A + B)).$$

Proof. Taking $\exp(A/2^k)$ as x and $\exp(B/2^k)$ as s in Corollary 2 and taking $\exp(A/2^k)$ as A and $\exp(B/2^k)$ as B in Corollary 3, we have

$$\text{tr}((\exp(A/2^k) \circ \exp(B/2^k))^{2^k}) \geq \text{tr}((\exp(A/2^{k+1})\exp(B/2^k)\exp(A/2^{k+1}))^{2^k}) \geq \text{tr}(\exp(A + B)).$$

Thus, by Corollary 1 and the Squeeze theorem,

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \text{tr}((\exp(A/2^{k+1})\exp(B/2^k)\exp(A/2^{k+1}))^{2^k}) = \text{tr}(\exp(A + B)).$$

□

References

- [1] R. Bhatia, *Matrix Analysis*, Springer Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1997.
- [2] J. Faraut and A. Korányi, *Analysis on Symmetric Cones*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1994.
- [3] M.S. Gowda, Positive and doubly stochastic maps, and majorization in Euclidean Jordan algebras, Technical Report, Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Maryland Baltimore County, Baltimore, MD 21250, 2016.
- [4] Gerd Herzog, A proof of Lie’s product formula, *The American Mathematical Monthly*, Vol. 121, No.3, 254-257, 2014.
- [5] S. Lie and F. Engel, *Theorie der transformationsgruppen*, 3 vols. Teubner, Leipzig, 1888.
- [6] B.K. Rangarajan, Polynomial convergence of infeasible-interior-point methods over symmetric cones, *SIAM J. Optim.*, 16, 1211-1229, 2006.

- [7] J.F. Sturm, Similarity and other spectral relations for symmetric cones, *Linear Alg. Appl.*, 312, 135-154, 2000.
- [8] J. Tao, L. Kong, Z. Luo, and N. Xiu, Some majorization inequalities in Euclidean Jordan algebras, *Linear Alg. Appl.*, 461, 92-122 (2014).
- [9] X. Zhan, *Matrix Inequalities*, Springer, 2002.
- [10] F. Zhang, Quaternions and matrices of quaternions, *Linear Alg. Appl.* 251, 21-27 (1997).