

Research Article

Open Access

Nurettin Bağırmaç*, İlhan İçen, and Abdullah F. Özcan

Topological Rough Groups

DOI 10.1515/taa-2016-0004

Received February 5, 2016; accepted December 13, 2016

Abstract: The concept of topological group is a simple combination of the concepts of abstract group and topological space. The purpose of this paper is to combine the concepts of topological space and rough groups; called topological rough groups on an approximation space.

Keywords: Rough sets, rough group, rough subgroup, topological group, topological rough group

MSC: 03E99, 20A05, 22A99

1 Introduction

Rough sets were introduced by Z. Pawlak [18] in 1982 as a powerful mathematical tool for uncertain data while modeling the problems in computer science, medical science, data analysis and many other diverse fields [19, 21, 26]. In recent years, the rough sets has been combined with some mathematical theories such as algebra and topology [1, 8–10, 13, 15, 17, 20, 24, 25, 30, 31]. Algebraic structures of rough sets have been studied by many authors, for example, Bonikowaski [4], Iwinski [7], and Pomykala and Pomykala [22]. In 1994, Biswas and Nanda [3] introduced the notion of rough group and rough subgroups that their notion depends on the upper approximation and does not depend on the lower approximation. Miao et al. [16] improve definitions of rough group and rough subgroup, and prove their new properties. On the other hand, Kuroki and Wang [11] presented some properties of the lower and upper approximations with respect to the normal subgroups in 1996. In addition, some properties of the lower and the upper approximations with respect to the normal subgroups were studied in [5, 14, 27–29]. Also, Kuroki [12], introduced the notion of a rough ideal in a semigroup. Bağırmaç and Özcan [2], studied the notion of rough semigroup on approximation spaces. Davvaz [6], introduced the notion of rough subring with respect to an ideal of a ring.

The main purpose of this paper is to introduce topological rough groups, which extends the notion of a topological group to include the algebraic structures of rough groups in [3] and [16]. In section 2, some basic notions of rough sets, rough groups and topological groups are given. In section 3, new definition of topological rough group is introduced and its properties are given ; and some examples for topological rough groups are given. In section 4, topological rough (normal) subgroup are defined and some properties of them are proved. Finally, our conclusions are presented.

***Corresponding Author: Nurettin Bağırmaç:** Mardin Artuklu University, Vocational Higher Schools of Mardin, Mardin, Turkey, E-mail: nurettinbagirmaz@artuklu.edu.tr

İlhan İçen: Inonu University, Science and Art Faculty, Department of Mathematics, Malatya, Turkey, E-mail: ilhan.icen@inonu.edu.tr

Abdullah F. Özcan: Inonu University, Science and Art Faculty, Department of Mathematics, Malatya, Turkey, E-mail: abduallah.ozcan@inonu.edu.tr

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we are going to list some definitions and results about rough sets, rough groups and topological groups used in this paper.

Definition 1. [18] Let U be a finite non-empty set called universe and R be a family equivalence relation on U . The pair (U, R) is called an approximation space.

Definition 2. [18] Let U be a universe and R be an equivalence relation on U . We denote the equivalence class of object x in R by $[x]_R$.

Definition 3. [18] Let (U, R) be an approximation space and X be a subset of U .

The sets

$$(1) \bar{X} = \{x \mid [x]_R \cap X \neq \emptyset\},$$

$$(2) \underline{X} = \{x \mid [x]_R \subseteq X\},$$

are called upper approximation and lower approximation of X in (U, R) , respectively.

Let $X, Y \subset U$, where U is a universe. Then, the approximations have the following properties:

$$(1) \underline{X} \subset X \subset \bar{X},$$

$$(2) \emptyset = \bar{\emptyset} = \emptyset, \underline{U} = \bar{U} = U,$$

$$(3) \underline{X} \cap \underline{Y} = \underline{X \cap Y},$$

$$(4) \bar{X} \cap \bar{Y} \subset \overline{X \cap Y},$$

$$(5) \underline{X} \cup \underline{Y} \subset \underline{X \cup Y},$$

$$(6) \bar{X} \cup \bar{Y} = \overline{X \cup Y},$$

$$(7) X \subset Y \text{ if and only if } \underline{X} \subset \underline{Y}, \bar{X} \subset \bar{Y}.$$

Let (U, R) be an approximation space and $(*)$ be a binary operation defined on U . In the present paper onwards, we shall write xy instead of $x * y$, $\forall x, y \in U$. If X and Y are two subsets of a group G , we denote by XY the subset composed of all the elements of the form xy , where $x \in X$, $y \in Y$. We denote by X^{-1} the subset composed of all the elements of the form x^{-1} , where $x \in X$. If (X, T) is a topological space and $x \in X$, we write $\mathcal{N}(x)$ for the set of neighborhood of x .

Definition 4. [23] A topological group is a group $(G, *)$ together with a topology on G that satisfies the following two properties:

(1) the mapping $f : G \times G \rightarrow G$ defined by $f(x, y) = xy$ is continuous when $G \times G$ is endowed with the product topology;

(2) the inverse mapping $g : G \rightarrow G$ defined by $g(x) = x^{-1}$ is continuous.

We remark that item (1) is equivalent to the statement that, whenever $W \subseteq G$ is open, and $W \in \mathcal{N}(x_1x_2)$, then there exist open sets V_1 and V_2 such that $V_1 \in \mathcal{N}(x_1)$; $V_2 \in \mathcal{N}(x_2)$ and $V_1V_2 = \{x_1x_2 : x_1 \in V_1; x_2 \in V_2 \subseteq W\} \subseteq W$. Also, item (2) is equivalent to showing that whenever $V \subseteq G$ is open, then $V^{-1} = \{x^{-1} \mid x \in V\} \in \mathcal{N}(x^{-1})$ is open.

Let G be a topological group and let H be a subgroup of G . Then H becomes a topological group when endowed with the topology induced by G .

Definition 5. [3] Let $S = (U, R)$ be an approximation space and $(*)$ be a binary operation defined on U . A subset G of universe U is called a rough group if the following properties are satisfied:

$$(1) \forall x, y \in G, xy \in \bar{G},$$

$$(2) \text{Associativity property holds in } \bar{G},$$

$$(3) \exists e \in \bar{G} \text{ such that } \forall x \in G, xe = ex = x, \text{ where } e \text{ is called the rough identity element of rough group } G.$$

$$(4) \forall x \in G, \exists y \in G \text{ such that } xy = yx = e, \text{ where } y \text{ is called the rough inverse element of } x \text{ in } G, \text{ we denote it by } x^{-1}.$$

Definition 6. [3] A non-empty subset H of rough group G is called its rough subgroup, if it is a rough group itself with respect to operation in G .

Remark 7. [3] There is only one guaranteed trivial rough subgroup of rough group G , i.e. G itself. A necessary and sufficient condition for $\{e\}$ to be a trivial rough subgroup of rough group G is $e \in G$.

Definition 8. [3] A necessary and sufficient condition for a subset H of a rough group G to be a rough subgroup is that:

- (1) $\forall x, y \in H, xy \in \bar{H}$,
- (2) $\forall x \in H, x^{-1} \in H$.

Definition 9. [16] A rough subgroup N of rough group G is called a rough normal subgroup, if $\forall a \in G, a * N = N * a$.

3 Topological rough group

In this section, we are going to give a definition of topological rough group and its related properties.

Definition 10. A topological rough group is a rough group $(G, *)$ together with a topology T on \bar{G} satisfying the following two properties:

- (a) the mapping $f : G \times G \rightarrow \bar{G}$ defined by $f(x, y) = xy$ is continuous with respect to product topology on $G \times G$ and the topology T_G on G induced by T ,
- (b) the inverse mapping $g : G \rightarrow G$ defined by $g(x) = x^{-1}$ is continuous with respect to the topology T_G on G induced by T .

We remark that item (1) is equivalent to the statement that, whenever $W \subseteq \bar{G}$ is open and $W \in \mathcal{N}(x_1x_2)$, then there exist open sets $V_1 \subseteq G$ and $V_2 \subseteq G$ such that $V_1 \in \mathcal{N}(x_1)$; $V_2 \in \mathcal{N}(x_2)$ and $V_1V_2 = \{x_1x_2 : x_1 \in V_1; x_2 \in V_2 \subseteq W\} \subseteq W$. Also, item (2) is equivalent to showing that whenever $V \subseteq G$ is open, then $V^{-1} = \{x^{-1} \mid x \in V\} \in \mathcal{N}(x^{-1})$ is open.

Example 11. Let $U = \{\bar{0}, \bar{1}, \bar{2}\}$ be a set of surplus class with respect to module 3 and $(*)$ be the plus of surplus class. A classification of U is $U/R = \{E_1, E_2\}$, where $E_1 = \{\bar{0}, \bar{1}\}$, $E_2 = \{\bar{2}\}$. Let $G = \{\bar{1}, \bar{2}\}$, then $\bar{G} = \{E_1, E_2\} = U$.

From Definition 5, since the conditions

- (1) $\forall x, y \in G, xy \in \bar{G}$,
 - (2) Association property holds in \bar{G} ,
 - (3) $\bar{1} * \bar{2} = \bar{0} \in \bar{G}$,
 - (4) $\bar{1}^{-1} = \bar{2} \in G, \bar{2}^{-1} = \bar{1} \in G$,
- hold, G is a rough group.

Let $T = \{\emptyset, \bar{G}, \{\bar{1}\}, \{\bar{2}\}, \{\bar{1}, \bar{2}\}\}$ is a topology on \bar{G} , then $T_G = \{\emptyset, G, \{\bar{1}\}, \{\bar{2}\}\}$ is a relative topology on G .

From Definition 10, since the conditions

- (a) $\bar{1} * \bar{1} = \bar{2}$, for all $W \in \mathcal{N}(\bar{2}) \in T$, then there exists open set $U = \{\bar{1}\} \in \mathcal{N}(\bar{1}) \in T_G$ such that $U * U \subseteq W$,
- $\bar{2} * \bar{2} = \bar{1}$, for all $W \in \mathcal{N}(\bar{1}) \in T$, then there exists open set $U = \{\bar{2}\} \in \mathcal{N}(\bar{2}) \in T_G$ such that $U * U \subseteq W$,
- $\bar{1} * \bar{2} = \bar{0}$, for all $W \in \mathcal{N}(\bar{0}) \in T$, then there exist open sets $U = \{\bar{1}\} \in \mathcal{N}(\bar{1}) \in T_G$ and $V = \{\bar{2}\} \in \mathcal{N}(\bar{2}) \in T_G$ such that $U * V \subseteq W$,
- (b) $\bar{1}^{-1} = \bar{2}$, for all $W \in \mathcal{N}(\bar{2}) \in T_G$, then there exists open set

$U = \{\bar{1}\} \in \mathcal{N}(\bar{1}) \in T_G$ such that $U^{-1} = \{\bar{2}\} \subseteq W$,
 $\bar{2}^{-1} = \bar{1}$, for all $W \in \mathcal{N}(\bar{1}) \in T_G$, then there exists open set
 $U = \{\bar{2}\} \in \mathcal{N}(\bar{2}) \in T_G$ such that $U^{-1} = \{\bar{1}\} \subseteq W$,
 hold, G is a topological rough group.

Example 12. Let U be the set of all permutation of S_4 and $(*)$ be the multiplication operation of permutation. A classification of U is $U/R = \{E_1, E_2, E_3, E_4\}$, where

$$\begin{aligned} E_1 &= \{(1), (12), (13), (14), (23), (24), (34)\}, \\ E_2 &= \{(123), (132), (124), (142), (134), (143), (234), (243)\}, \\ E_3 &= \{(1234), (1243), (1324), (1342), (1423), (1432)\}, \\ E_4 &= \{(12)(34), (13)(24), (14)(23)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $G = \{(12), (123), (132)\}$, then $\bar{G} = E_1 \cup E_2$.

From Definition 5, since the conditions

- (1) $\forall x, y \in G, xy \in \bar{G}$,
- (2) Association property holds in \bar{G} ,
- (3) $(12) * (12) = (1) \in \bar{G}$,
- (4) $(12)^{-1} = (12) \in G, (123)^{-1} = (132) \in G$,

hold, G is a rough group.

Let $T = \{\emptyset, \bar{G}, \{(12)\}, \{(1), (123), (132)\}, \{(1), (12), (123), (132)\}\}$ is a topology on \bar{G} , then $T_G = \{\emptyset, G, \{(12)\}, \{(123), (132)\}\}$ is a relative topology on G .

From Definition 10, since the conditions

(a). $(12) * (12) = (1)$, for all $W \in \mathcal{N}((1)) \in T$, then there exists open set $U \in \mathcal{N}((12)) \in T_G$ such that $U.U \subseteq W$,

$(12) * (123) = (23)$, for all $W \in \mathcal{N}((23)) \in T$, then there exist open sets $U \in \mathcal{N}((12)) \in T_G$ and $V \in \mathcal{N}((123)) \in T_G$ such that $U.V \subseteq W$,

$(12) * (132) = (13)$, for all $\forall W \in \mathcal{N}((13)) \in T$, then there exist open sets $U \in \mathcal{N}((12)) \in T_G$ and $V \in \mathcal{N}((132)) \in T_G$ such that $U.V \subseteq W$,

$(123) * (132) = (1)$, for all $\forall W \in \mathcal{N}((1)) \in T$, then there exist open set $U \in \mathcal{N}((123)) \in T_G$ and $V \in \mathcal{N}((132)) \in T_G$ such that $U.V \subseteq W$,

(b) $(12)^{-1} = (12)$, for all $W \in \mathcal{N}((12)) \in T_G$, then there exist open set $U \in \mathcal{N}((12)) \in T_G$ such that $U^{-1} \subseteq W$,

$(123)^{-1} = (132)$, for all $W \in \mathcal{N}((132)) \in T_G$, then there exist open set $U \in \mathcal{N}((123)) \in T_G$ such that $U^{-1} \subseteq W$,

hold, G is a topological rough group.

Proposition 13. Let G be a topological rough group and fix $a \in G$. Then

- (a) The map $L_a : G \rightarrow \bar{G}$ defined by $L_a(x) = ax$ is one-to-one and continuous, for every $x \in G$;
- (b) The map $R_a : G \rightarrow \bar{G}$ defined by $R_a(x) = xa$ is one-to-one and continuous, for every $x \in G$;
- (c) The map $f : G \rightarrow G$ defined by $f(x) = x^{-1}$ is a homeomorphism, for every $x \in G$.

Proof. (a) For every $x_1, x_2 \in G$, then $L_a(x_1) = L_a(x_2) \Rightarrow ax_1 = ax_2$. Since $a \in G$ then $a^{-1} \in G \subseteq \bar{G}$. Thus $a^{-1}(ax_1) = a^{-1}(ax_2) \Rightarrow x_1 = x_2$. Hence L_a is one-to-one.

For every $x \in G$ then $L_a(x) = ax$. Let $W \in \mathcal{N}(ax)$. Then, from Definition 10 item (a) $\exists U \in \mathcal{N}(a) \in T_G$ and $\exists V \in \mathcal{N}(x) \in T_G$ such that $UV \subseteq W$. Since, $aV \subseteq UV \subseteq W$, then $L_a(V) = aV \subseteq W$. Therefore L_a is continuous on x . Since x be any element of G , then L_a is continuous on G .

(b) Similarly proof item (1).

(c) First, the map $f : G \rightarrow G$ defined by $f(x) = x^{-1}$ is one-to-one and onto. Then, from Definition 10 item (b) f is continuous. The continuity of the inverse mapping $f^{-1}(x) = x^{-1}$ can be proved in the same way. \square

Remark 14. The maps L_a and R_a , defined in previous proposition, are not onto. Furthermore, the maps L_a and R_a are not open. Let $G = \{\bar{1}, \bar{2}\}$, $T = \{\emptyset, \bar{G}, \{\bar{1}\}, \{\bar{2}\}, \{\bar{1}, \bar{2}\}\}$ and $T_G = \{\emptyset, G, \{\bar{1}\}, \{\bar{2}\}\}$ from the Example

11, while fix $\bar{1} \in G$ and $V = \{\bar{2}\} \in T_G$. Thus $V \in T_G$ is open, but then $L_{\bar{1}}(\{\bar{2}\}) = \bar{1} \oplus \{\bar{2}\} = \{\bar{0}\}$ is not open in T .

Proposition 15. Let G be a topological rough group. Then

$$G = G^{-1}.$$

Proof. By definition rough group and topological rough group are clear. \square

Proposition 16. Let G be a topological rough group and $V \subseteq G$. Then

$$V \text{ is open (closed)} \Leftrightarrow V^{-1} \text{ is open (closed)}.$$

Proof. Let $V \subseteq G$ is open (closed). Since by Proposition 13 item (c) the map $f : G \rightarrow G$ defined by $f(x) = x^{-1}$ is a homeomorphism, then $f(V) = V^{-1}$ is open (closed). \square

Proposition 17. Let G be a topological rough group and $W \subseteq \bar{G}$ be an open subset with $e \in W$. Then there exists an open set V , with $e \in V$ such that $V = V^{-1}$ and $VV \subseteq W$.

Proof. Since $f : G \times G \rightarrow \bar{G}$ is continuous, $f^{-1}(W)$ is open in $G \times G$ and $ee = e \in W \in T$. Hence, there exist open sets $V_1, V_2 \in T_G$ with $e \in V_1, e \in V_2$ such that $V_1 V_2 \subseteq W$. By the above proposition V_1^{-1}, V_2^{-1} are also open, hence, $V = V_1 \cap V_2 \cap V_1^{-1} \cap V_2^{-1}$ is also open, $e \in V$, $V = V^{-1}$ and $VV \subseteq V_1 V_2 \subseteq W$. \square

Proposition 18. Let G be a topological rough group. Let $G, \{e\}$ be open subsets of \bar{G} . Then;

(a) If $e \in G$, then $\{e\}$ is open in space G ,

(b) If $e \notin G$, then there exists an open subset $V \subseteq G$ such that $V = V^{-1}$.

Proof. (a) Let $e \in G$. Since $f : G \times G \rightarrow \bar{G}$ is continuous, $f^{-1}(\{e\})$ is open in $G \times G$ and $ee = e \in \{e\} \in T$. Then, there exist open sets $V_1, V_2 \in T_G$ with $e \in V_1, e \in V_2$ such that $V_1 V_2 \subseteq \{e\}$. Thus, if $U \neq \{e\}$ then $U \cdot U \not\subseteq \{e\}$, and so $V_1 = V_2 = \{e\}$.

(b) Let $e \notin G$. Since G is a topological rough group and $\{e\} \subset \bar{G}$ is an open subset, then from Definition 10 item (a) $f^{-1}(\{e\})$ is open in $G \times G$. So, for all $a, b \in G$, $ab = e$, then there exist open sets $V_1 \in \mathcal{N}(a)$ and $V_2 \in \mathcal{N}(b)$ such that $V_1 V_2 \subseteq \{e\}$. Thus, if $V_1 V_2 \neq \emptyset$, then $V_1 V_2 = \{e\}$. Hence $V_1 = \{a\}$, $V_2 = \{b\}$ and $V_1 = V_2^{-1}$. \square

The following two propositions it gives the relationship between the topological rough groups and topological groups.

Proposition 19. Let G be a topological rough group. If $G = \bar{G}$, then G is a topological group.

Proof. Let G be a topological rough group and $G = \bar{G}$.

$$(1) \forall x, y \in G, xy \in \bar{G} = G.$$

$$(2) \forall x, y, z \in G, (xy)z = x(yz), \text{ association property holds in } \bar{G} = G.$$

$$(3) e \in G.$$

$$(4) \forall x \in G, x^{-1} \in G.$$

Thus G is a group.

Since G is a topological rough group and $G = \bar{G}$, we have the maps

$$f : G \times G \rightarrow G, (x, y) \mapsto x \cdot y$$

$$g : G \rightarrow G, x \mapsto x^{-1}$$

are continuous. Hence G is a topological group. \square

Proposition 20. Let (G, T_1) be a topological group and (\bar{G}, T) be a topological space. Then G is a topological rough group if and only if the topology T_1 and the topology T_G on G induced by T same topologies.

Proof. From Definition 10 and Definition 4 are clear. \square

3.1 Topological rough subgroups and topological rough normal subgroups

In this section we introduce the concept of topological rough subgroups and topological rough normal subgroups. We consider the relative topology on a rough subgroup.

Definition 21. Let G be a topological rough group and let H be a subgroup of G . Then, H is called a topological rough subgroup of G if

- (1) the mapping $f_H : H \times H \rightarrow \bar{H}$ defined by $f_H(x, y) = xy$ is continuous where \bar{H} carries the topology induced by \bar{G} .
- (2) the inverse mapping $g_H : H \rightarrow H$ defined by $g_H(x) = x^{-1}$ is continuous.

Proposition 22. Let G be a topological rough group. Then, every rough subgroup H of G with relative topology is a topological rough subgroup.

Proof. Since the restriction of mapping $f : G \times G \rightarrow \bar{G}$, $f_H : H \times H \rightarrow \bar{H}$, and inverse to H are continuous the result holds. \square

Proposition 23. Let G be a topological rough group. If H is a topological rough subgroup of G , then the topological closure of H , H^c , is a topological rough subgroup of G .

Proof. Let H is a topological subgroup of G . Suppose that $x, y \in H^c$. We then prove that $xy \in \overline{H^c}$ and $x^{-1} \in H^c$. Let W be a neighborhood of the element xy . Then there exist neighborhoods U and V of the elements x and y such that $UV \subseteq W$. Since $x \in H^c$ and $y \in H^c$ there exist elements a and b of H such that

$$a \in U \cap H \Rightarrow a \in U \cap \bar{H} \text{ and } b \in V \cap H \Rightarrow b \in V \cap \bar{H}.$$

Thus we have

$$ab \in UV \text{ and } ab \in \bar{H}.$$

This implies that

$$ab \in UV \cap \bar{H}.$$

Therefore $UV \cap \bar{H} \neq \emptyset$ and so $W \cap \bar{H} \neq \emptyset$. Hence $xy \in \overline{H^c}$.

Let $x \in H^c$. Let W be a neighborhood of the element x^{-1} . Then there exist neighborhoods U of the element x such that $U^{-1} \subseteq W$, where $U^{-1} = \{x^{-1} \mid x \in U\}$. Since $x \in H^c$ there exist elements a of H such that $a \in U$. Thus $a \in U \cap H$. This implies that $a^{-1} \in U^{-1} \cap H$ and so $U^{-1} \cap H \neq \emptyset$. Hence $x^{-1} \in H^c$.

This prove H^c is a topological rough subgroup of G . \square

Remark 24. It is known that if H is a group then for any $p \in H$, $p.H = H$. But if H is a rough group since composition operation defined in \bar{H} , for any $p \in H$, $p.H \neq H$. Therefore in a topological group if a H subgroup is open also it is closed but this is not valid for a topological rough group. Since in a topological rough group as it is stated in Remark 14 $p.H$, may not be open neighborhood of p .

Proposition 25. Let H_1 and H_2 be two topological rough subgroups of the topological rough group G . A sufficient condition for intersection of two topological rough subgroups of a topological rough group to be a topological rough subgroup is $\overline{H_1 \cap H_2} = \overline{H_1} \cap \overline{H_2}$.

Proof. Suppose H_1 and H_2 are two topological rough subgroups of G . It is obvious that $H_1 \cap H_2 \subset G$. Consider $x, y \in H_1 \cap H_2$. Because H_1 and H_2 are rough subgroups, we have $xy \in \overline{H_1}$, $xy \in \overline{H_2}$, and $x^{-1} \in H_1$, $x^{-1} \in H_2$, i.e. $xy \in \overline{H_1} \cap \overline{H_2}$ and $x^{-1} \in H_1 \cap H_2$. Assuming $\overline{H_1 \cap H_2} = \overline{H_1} \cap \overline{H_2}$, we have $xy \in \overline{H_1 \cap H_2}$ and $x^{-1} \in H_1 \cap H_2$. Thus $H_1 \cap H_2$ is a rough subgroup of G . Hence with Proposition 22, $H_1 \cap H_2$ is a topological subgroup of G . \square

Proposition 26. *Let K be a topological rough subgroup of the topological rough group G and H be any nonempty subsets of G . If H be a topological rough subgroup of K , then also H be a topological rough subgroup of G .*

Proof. Let $H \subset G$ and H be a topological rough subgroup of K . Thus, since $\forall x, y \in H$ we have $xy \in \overline{H}$ and so $\forall z \in H$ we have $z^{-1} \in H$. Hence H is a topological rough subgroup of G .

Let us show that H is a topological rough subgroup. Since G is a topological rough group and K is a topological rough subgroup we can take topological spaces (\overline{G}, T) and $(\overline{K}, T_{\overline{K}})$. On the other hand since H is a topological rough subgroup of K , topological space $(\overline{H}, T_{\overline{K}|_{\overline{H}}})$ exists. Hence the topologies $T_{\overline{H}}$ and $T_{\overline{K}|_{\overline{H}}}$ on \overline{H} induced by T are same then H is topological rough subgroup of G . \square

Proposition 27. *Let H_1 and H_2 are two topological rough subgroup of the topological rough group G and let $\overline{H_1 H_2} = \overline{H_1 H_2}$. Then $H_1 H_2$ be a topological rough subgroup of the topological rough group G if and only if $H_1 H_2 = H_2 H_1$*

Note that $H_1 H_2 = \{h_1 h_2 \mid h_1 \in H_1, h_2 \in H_2\}$.

Proof. (\Rightarrow) Let $H_1 H_2$ be a topological rough subgroup of G . We then prove that $H_1 H_2 = H_2 H_1$.

Suppose that

$$h_2 h_1 \in H_2 H_1 \Rightarrow (h_2 h_1)^{-1} = h_1^{-1} h_2^{-1} \in H_1 H_2.$$

Since $H_1 H_2$ is a rough subgroup then

$$\left(h_1^{-1} h_2^{-1}\right)^{-1} = h_2 h_1 \in H_1 H_2.$$

Thus $H_2 H_1 \subseteq H_1 H_2$.

Again suppose that $x \in H_1 H_2$. Since $H_1 H_2$ is a rough subgroup, $x^{-1} = h_1 h_2 \in H_1 H_2$, where $h_1 \in H_1$ and $h_2 \in H_2$. Thus $x = h_2^{-1} h_1^{-1} \in H_2 H_1$ and so $H_1 H_2 \subseteq H_2 H_1$. Hence $H_1 H_2 = H_2 H_1$.

(\Leftarrow) Let $H_1 H_2 = H_2 H_1$. We then prove that $H_1 H_2$ be a topological rough of G . Suppose that $h_1 h_2, g_1 g_2 \in H_1 H_2$. Then

$$(h_1 h_2)(g_1 g_2) = h_1 (h_2 g_1) g_2 = h_1 (g_1 h_2) g_2 = (h_1 g_1)(h_2 g_2) \in \overline{H_1 H_2}.$$

Since $\overline{H_1 H_2} = \overline{H_1 H_2}$ we have $(h_1 h_2)(g_1 g_2) \in \overline{H_1 H_2}$.

Suppose that $h_1 h_2 \in H_1 H_2$. Then

$$(h_1 h_2)^{-1} = h_2^{-1} h_1^{-1} \in H_2 H_1.$$

Since $H_1 H_2 = H_2 H_1$ we have $(h_1 h_2)^{-1} \in H_1 H_2$. \square

Definition 28. *Let G be a topological rough group and let N be a normal subgroup of G . Then, N is called a topological rough normal subgroup of G if $\forall a \in G, aN = Na$.*

Proposition 29. *Let H_1 and H_2 are two topological rough normal subgroups of the topological rough group G and let $\overline{H_1 H_2} = \overline{H_1 H_2}$. Then $H_1 H_2$ be a topological rough normal subgroup of the topological rough group G*

Proof. We already know by Proposition 27 that $H_1 H_2$ is a topological rough subgroup of G . It suffices to show that $H_1 H_2$ is normal. Let a be any elements of G . Since $a(H_1 H_2) = (aH_1)H_2 = (H_1 a)H_2 = H_1(aH_2) = H_1(H_2 a) = (H_1 H_2)a$, then from Definition 28 that $H_1 H_2$ is a topological rough normal subgroup of G . \square

4 Conclusion

In this paper, we deal with one of the newest argument from rough set theory namely topological rough groups. We have studied the concept of topological rough groups. Then, we present the concept of topo-

logical rough subgroups. By considering the relative topology on rough subgroups, we prove some properties of them.

References

- [1] A. A. Allam, M. Y. Bakeir, E. A. Abo-Tabl, Some Methods for Generating Topologies by Relations, *Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc.* (2) 31(1) (2008), 35–45.
- [2] N. Bağırılmaz, A. F. Özcan, Rough semigroups on approximation spaces, *International Journal of Algebra*, Vol. 9, 2015, no. 7, 339-350.
- [3] R. Biswas, S. Nanda, Rough groups and rough subgroups, *Bull. Polish Acad. Sci. Math* 42 (1994) 251–254.
- [4] Z. Bonikowaski, Algebraic structures of rough sets, in: W.P. Ziarko (Ed.), *Rough Sets, Fuzzy Sets and Knowledge Discovery*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1995, pp. 242–247.
- [5] W. Cheng, Z. Mo, J. Wang, Notes on “the lower and upper approximations in a fuzzy group” and “rough ideals in semigroups”, *Inform. Sci.* 177(2007)5134-5140.
- [6] B. Davvaz, Roughness in rings, *Inform. Sci.* 164 (2004) 147–163.
- [7] T. Iwinski, Algebraic approach to rough sets, *Bull. Polish Acad. Sci. Math.* 35 (1987) 673–683.
- [8] J. Jarvinen, Properties of Rough Approximations, *J. Adv. Comput. Intel. and Intelligent Inform.* Vol.9 No.5 (2005) 502-505.
- [9] J. Jarvinen, On the Structure of Rough Approximations, *Fund. Inform.* 53 (2002) 135-153.
- [10] M. Kondo, On the structure of generalized rough sets, *Inform. Sci.* 176 (2006) 589–600.
- [11] N. Kuroki, P.P. Wang, The lower and upper approximations in a fuzzy group, *Information Sciences* 90 (1996) 203–220.
- [12] N. Kuroki, Rough ideals in semigroups, *Inform. Sci.* 100 (1997) 139–163.
- [13] E.F. Lashin et al, Rough set theory for topological spaces, *Int. J. Approx. Reason.* 40 (2005) 35–43.
- [14] F. Li, Z. Zhang, The Homomorphisms and Operations of Rough Groups, *The Scientific World Journal*, Volume 2014, Article ID 507972, 6 pages.
- [15] Z. Li, T. Xie, Q. Li, Topological structure of generalized rough sets, *Comput. Math. with Appl.* 63 (2012) 1066–1071.
- [16] D. Miao, S. Han, D. Li, and L. Sun, Rough Group, Rough Subgroup and Their Properties, D. Ślkezak et al. (Eds.): *RSFDGrC 2005*, LNAI 3641, pp. 104–113, 2005. © Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2005.
- [17] A. F. Özcan, N. Bağırılmaz, H. Taşbozan, İ. İçen, Topologies and Approximation Operators Induced by Binary Relations, *IECMSA-2013*, Sarajevo, Bosnia and Herzegovina, August 2013.
- [18] Z. Pawlak, Rough sets, *Int. J. Comput. Inform. Sci.* 11 (1982) 341–356.
- [19] Z. Pawlak, Rough sets and intelligent data analysis, *Inform. Sci.* 147 (2002) 1-12.
- [20] Z. Pei, D. Pei, L. Zheng, Topology vs generalized rough sets, *Int. J. Approx. Reason.* 52 (2011) 231–239.
- [21] L. Polkowski and A. Skowron, Eds., *Rough Sets and Current Trends in Computing*, vol. 1424, Springer, Berlin, Germany, 1998.
- [22] J. Pomykala, J.A. Pomykala, The stone algebra of rough sets, *Bull. Polish Acad. Sci. Math.* 36 (1998) 495–508.
- [23] Pontryagin, Lev S., *Topological groups*, Princeton Univ. Press (1958) (Translated from Russian).
- [24] A.S. Salama, M.M.E. Abd El-Monsef, New topological approach of rough set generalizations, *Internat. J. Computer Math.* Vol. 8, No.7, (2011) 1347-1357.
- [25] A. Skowron, On the topology in information systems, *Bull. Polish Acad. Sci. Math.* 36 (1988) 477–480.
- [26] M. L. Thivagar, C. Richard, N. R. Paul, *Mathematical Innovations of a Modern Topology in Medical Events*, *Internat. J. Inform. Sci.* 2(4) (2012) 33-36.
- [27] C.Z. Wang, D.G. Chen, A short note on some properties of rough groups, *Comput. Math. Appl.* 59(2010)431-436.
- [28] Z. Wang, L. Shu, The lower and upper approximations in a group, *International Journal of Mathematical and Computational Sciences*, 6 (2012), 158-162.
- [29] C. Wang, D. Chen, Q. Hu, *On rough approximations of groups*, *Int. J. Mach. Learn. & Cyber.* DOI 10.1007/s13042-012-0108-6, Springer-Verlag 2012.
- [30] M. Vlach, Algebraic and Topological Aspects of Rough Set Theory, Fourth International Workshop on Computational Intelligence Applications, IEEE SCM Hiroshima Chapter, Hiroshima University, Japan, December, 2008.
- [31] A. Wiweger, On topological rough sets, *Bull. Polish Acad. Sci. Math.* 37 (1988) 51–62.