Studies on the PMR Spectra of Oxetanes

IV. 2-(4-Halophenyl) oxetanes

JUKKA JOKISAARI and ERKKI RAHKAMAA

Laboratory of Molecular Spectroscopy, Department of Physics, University of Oulu

and HEIKKI MALO

Department of Chemistry, University of Oulu, Oulu, Finland

(Z. Naturforsch. 26 a, 973—978 [1971]; received 28 February 1971)

The 60 MHz PMR spectra of 2-(4-iodophenyl)oxetane, 2-(4-bromophenyl)oxetane, 2-(4-fluorophenyl)oxetane, and 2-(4-chlorophenyl)oxetane have been analysed for the oxetane ring protons and the phenyl protons. In the three first mentioned compounds the spectra show a coupling of 0.46–0.63 Hz between the benzyl proton and the phenyl protons in *ortho* position relative to the oxetane ring, the other proton-proton couplings between the oxetane and phenyl parts being zero in the error limits.

In the oxetane part, the halogen substituent in the *para* position of the phenyl ring mainly affects the chemical shifts of the protons with a slight effect on the proton-proton couplings.

The *ortho* and *meta* couplings of the phenyl protons increase and the *para* coupling decreases with growing electronegativity of the substituent, in the order iodine, bromine, fluorine. The phenyl protons in 2-(4-chlorophenyl)oxetane produce a sharp singlet, which shows that they are chemically equivalent. This proves that chlorine and the oxetane group affect the shieldings of the phenyl protons similarly, which is supported by comparing the chemical shift differences in 2-(4-halophenyl)oxetanes to the ones in *para*-dihalo-substituted benzenes.

Introduction

The PMR investigations of oxetanes (trimethylene oxides) are relatively few. PRIMAS, FREI, and GÜNTARD¹ and LIPPERT and PRIGGE² have reported the chemical shift values of oxetane protons. FERRETTI³, and LOZACH and BRAILLON⁴ have analyzed the PMR spectrum of oxetane. The values of the *vicinal* and *geminal* proton-proton couplings of 2,2-d₂-oxetane have been obtained in the work of LUSTIG and collaborators⁵. YATES and SZABO⁶ noted the values of the chemical shifts and *geminal* couplings for 3-substituted-oxetan-3-ols. SAMITOV and co-workers⁷ have considered the configurations and conformations of 2-methyloxetane, 2,3-dimethyloxetane and 2-methyl-3-isobutyloxetane using 100 MHz PMR spectra.

The complete analysis of the PMR spectrum of planar ⁸⁻¹² oxetane itself is possible only with very high resolution. Owing to the anisotropy of the magnetic susceptibility and probably also to the structural changes of the oxetane ring, produced by substitution, the 2-substituted oxetanes produce more numerous resolved lines than oxetane itself in the PMR spectra recorded with the usual resolution of 0.3–0.4 Hz, thus making a complete spectral analysis possible.

In the previous parts of this investigation the 60 MHz PMR spectra of 2-phenyloxetane¹³, 2-(4-methylphenyl)-oxetane, 2-(2,4-dimethylphenyl)oxetane, 2-(2,4,6-trimethylphenyl)oxetane¹⁴, and 2-methyloxetane¹⁵ have been analyzed determining all the chemical shifts and proton-proton couplings

¹ H. PRIMAS, K. FREI, and Hs. H. GÜNTARD, *Helv. Chim. Acta* **41**, 35 [1958].

² E. LIPPERT and H. PRIGGE, *Ber. Bunsenges.* **67**, 415 [1963].

³ J. A. FERRETTI, Ph. D. Thesis, Diss. Abstr. **26**, 7060 [1966].

⁴ R. LOZACH and B. BRAILLON, *Bull. Soc. Chim. France* **1967**, 748; *J. Chim. Phys. Physicochim. Biol.* **67**, 340 [1970].

⁵ E. LUSTIG, E. P. RAGELIS, and N. DUY, *Spectrochim. Acta* **23 A**, 133 [1967].

⁶ P. YATES and A. G. SZABO, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1965**, 485.

⁷ Yu. Yu. SAMITOV, A. V. BOGATSKII, and G. A. FILIP, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* **192**, 138 [1970].

⁸ A. DANTI, W. J. LAFFERTY, and R. C. LORD, *J. Chem. Phys.* **33**, 294 [1960].

⁹ S. I. CHAN, J. ZINN, and W. D. GWINN, *J. Chem. Phys.* **33**, 295 [1960].

¹⁰ S. I. CHAN, J. ZINN, J. FERNANDEZ, and W. D. GWINN, *J. Chem. Phys.* **33**, 1643 [1960].

¹¹ S. I. CHAN, J. ZINN, and W. D. GWINN, *J. Chem. Phys.* **34**, 1319 [1961].

¹² S. I. CHAN, T. R. BORBERS, J. W. RUSSELL, H. L. STRAUSS, and W. D. GWINN, *J. Chem. Phys.* **44**, 1103 [1966].

¹³ J. JOKISAARI, E. RAHKAMAA, and P. O. I. VIRTANEN, *Suomen Kemistilehti B* **43**, 14 [1970].

¹⁴ J. JOKISAARI and H. RUOTSALAINEN, *Z. Naturforsch.* **25 a**, 1655 [1970].

¹⁵ J. JOKISAARI, *Phil. lic. thesis*, University of Oulu, Oulu 1970.

in the oxetane parts. The present work contains the analyses of the 60 MHz spectra of the oxetane and phenyl protons in 2-(4-halophenyl)oxetanes.

Experimental Methods

Materials

The oxetanes were synthesized by the method of SEARLES et al.¹⁶ starting from halobenzenes and 3-chloropropionyl chloride. The ketones were reduced with lithium aluminium hydride to alcohols, which were acetylated with acetyl chloride. The acetates were treated with hot alkali, giving substituted phenyloxetanes. All ketones and alcohols were purified by crystallization or distillation. The acetates and oxetanes were purified by distillation. The boiling points ($^{\circ}\text{C}/\text{mm Hg}$) and refractive indexes (n_D^{20}) of the used compounds¹⁷ were as follows: 2-(4-iodophenyl)-oxetane (I) 115/0.15, 1.6188; 2-(4-bromophenyl)oxetane (II) 111–112/0.40, 1.5730; 2-(4-fluorophenyl)oxetane (III) 99.5–100/17, 1.5088; 2-(4-chlorophenyl)oxetane (IV) 89/0.15, 1.5477.

The PMR spectra show traces of unidentified impurity, the resonances, however, having no disturbing effect on the spectral analysis. All the compounds were dissolved into 10 mole per cent carbon tetrachloride solutions, and a small amount of TMS was added for internal reference.

Recording of Spectra

The spectra of the four oxetane derivatives were recorded at room temperature on a Varian A-60 spectrometer four times in both sweep directions. The spectra were calibrated by producing the TMS sidebands with a Krohn-Hite 4100 push-button oscillator. The values of calibration frequencies were determined as averages of several successive readings of an Advance TC 9 frequency counter.

The experimental line frequencies used for calculating the chemical shifts and couplings by iterative process were taken as averages of six best measurements. The inaccuracy of the experimental line frequencies, caused on one hand by the inaccuracy of the frequency counter, and on the other by the uncertainty in the measurements, can be regarded as ± 0.10 Hz or better.

Computer Programs

The theoretical spectra were calculated using programs ABCDEIT¹⁸, SIX¹⁵, and LAOCN 3¹⁹. The shape of the triplet of the benzyl proton was calculated by the program MUOTO, which uses the Lorentzian line shape function and which has been written in this laboratory. Computations were performed on IBM 360/30 and /50 systems.

Spectral Analysis

Owing to the chemical shift differences induced by the 2-substituent, the spectrum of the oxetane protons, whose numbering is given in Fig. 1, can

Fig. 1. Numbering used for protons in the 2-(4-halophenyl)oxetanes.

be treated as an ABCDE spin system. The treatment as a 5-spin system is possible, as the couplings of the protons with the substituent can be ignored. Then the four phenyl protons form an AA'BB' system. When a coupling between the phenyl and oxetane protons exists, the above mentioned grouping in two separate non-interacting AA'BB' and ABCDE systems is not possible, and the 9-spin system can be analyzed by subspectral division¹⁴.

The spectra of protons 2, 3 and 4, 5 in oxetane, shown in Figs. 2 and 3, were analyzed as the BCDE part of the ABCDE system, without using the lines produced by proton 1, or the benzyl proton, in the iterative process, because only the triplet composed of three line groups was resolvable (see Fig. 4). The chemical shifts and couplings given by this analysis are collected in Table 1. The root mean square error, which illustrates the agreement between the spectrum calculated with these parameters and the experimental spectrum, was computed by LAOCN 3 and was 0.049–0.060, with 62–64 lines in the fitting.

The phenyl proton spectra of (I) and (II) given in Figs. 5 and 6, and of compound (III) presented in Fig. 7, were analyzed as the AA'BB' and AA'BB'X parts of AA'BB'C and AA'BB'CX spin systems, respectively. The lines of proton 1, corresponding to part C, were not included in the iterative process. The values of the parameters obtained from the analyses of the phenyl protons are presented in Table 2.

¹⁶ S. SEARLES, JR., K. A. POLLART, and E. F. LUTZ, J. Amer. Chem. Soc. **79**, 948 [1957].

¹⁷ P. O. I. VIRTANEN, H. MALO, and H. RUOTSALAINEN, Suomen Kemistilehti **B** **43**, 512 [1970].

¹⁸ J. JOKISAARI and A. SIICALUOMA, Suomen Kemistilehti **B** **43**, 11 [1970].

¹⁹ S. CASTELLANO and A. A. BOTHNER-BY, J. Chem. Phys. **41**, 3863 [1964].

Fig. 2. Observed and calculated spectra of protons 2 and 3 in a) iodo, b) bromo, c) chloro, and d) fluoro derivatives.

In the iterative analyses of the phenyl protons of (I) and (II) were included 31 and 32 assigned lines, and the rms errors corresponding to the best fittings were 0.072 and 0.068. In the phenyl proton spectrum of (III) 56 assigned lines produced rms error 0.078. The good agreement between the experimental and theoretical spectra (cf. Figs. 2, 3, 5–7), the latter calculated with the parameters given in Tables 1 and 2, supports the assumption that the couplings with the phenyl protons other than J_{16} and J_{17} have only a slight effect on the structures of the spectra.

Thus it is possible to calculate the triplet produced by proton 1 in compounds (I) – (III) as the A part of an ABCDD' spin system. The protons 1, 2 and 3 form the ABC part, and protons 6 and 7 the DD' part. The values of the chemical shifts and the couplings used in these calculations were taken from Tables 1 and 2. In Fig. 4 are shown the theoretical spectra computed with these parameters.

The respective triplet of 2-(4-chlorophenyl)oxetane (IV) was obtained using parameters in Table 1 as the A part of an ABCD spin system, in which proton 5 corresponds to D. This treatment is due to the fact that there are no couplings between the phenyl and oxetane protons in compound (IV).

Fig. 3. Observed and calculated spectra of protons 4 and 5 in a) iodo, b) bromo, c) chloro, and d) fluoro derivatives.

Fig. 4. Triplet of benzyl proton in a) iodo, b) bromo, c) chloro, and d) fluoro derivatives. At the top experimental spectrum and at the bottom calculated line spectrum. Between them triplet computed with 0.4 Hz=half-width of a single spectral line.

Fig. 5. Observed and calculated spectra of phenyl protons in 2-(4-iodophenyl)oxetane. Resonances marked by arrows are due to impurity.

That is shown by the narrow singlet of the phenyl protons in position 435.66 Hz relative to TMS (half-width 0.4 Hz equal to the half-width of the TMS line). Another aim was to include the effect of the coupling $J_{15} = -0.16$ Hz on the calculated spectrum. The value of 0.4 Hz was used as a half-width of a single spectral line in calculating the theoretical shape of the triplet.

Table 1. Chemical shifts ^a and spin-spin couplings of protons in oxetane ring with their error limits ^b.

	F	Cl	Substituent X in phenyl ring		H ^c	CH ₃ ^d
			Br	J		
ν_1	338.12 ± 0.05	336.64 ± 0.05	336.34 ± 0.05	336.45 ± 0.05	347.61 ± 0.10	335.60 ± 0.10
ν_2	150.66 ± 0.02	148.67 ± 0.02	149.11 ± 0.01	149.88 ± 0.02	157.97 ± 0.05	149.97 ± 0.05
ν_3	174.94 ± 0.01	174.84 ± 0.01	175.91 ± 0.01	177.05 ± 0.01	178.73 ± 0.05	172.00 ± 0.05
ν_4	269.85 ± 0.02	269.45 ± 0.02	269.97 ± 0.02	270.79 ± 0.01	277.40 ± 0.05	268.38 ± 0.05
ν_5	280.30 ± 0.02	279.85 ± 0.02	280.39 ± 0.02	281.21 ± 0.02	287.36 ± 0.05	277.95 ± 0.05
J_{12}	7.17 ± 0.03	7.11 ± 0.03	7.10 ± 0.03	7.06 ± 0.03	7.31 ± 0.05	7.17 ± 0.05
J_{13}	7.80 ± 0.02	7.88 ± 0.03	7.93 ± 0.02	8.01 ± 0.03	7.79 ± 0.05	7.98 ± 0.05
J_{14}	-0.03 ± 0.02	-0.04 ± 0.03	-0.02 ± 0.02	-0.02 ± 0.03	-0.02 ± 0.05	-0.03 ± 0.05
J_{15}	0.06 ± 0.03	-0.16 ± 0.03	-0.07 ± 0.03	-0.11 ± 0.03	-0.03 ± 0.05	-0.03 ± 0.05
J_{23}	-10.83 ± 0.02	-10.84 ± 0.02	-10.82 ± 0.01	-10.79 ± 0.02	-10.91 ± 0.05	-10.76 ± 0.05
J_{24}	9.13 ± 0.02	9.11 ± 0.02	9.11 ± 0.02	9.10 ± 0.02	9.15 ± 0.05	9.12 ± 0.05
J_{25}	7.85 ± 0.02	7.82 ± 0.02	7.85 ± 0.02	7.78 ± 0.02	7.74 ± 0.05	7.75 ± 0.05
J_{34}	5.63 ± 0.02	5.67 ± 0.02	5.70 ± 0.02	5.72 ± 0.02	5.62 ± 0.05	5.62 ± 0.05
J_{35}	8.05 ± 0.02	8.06 ± 0.02	8.03 ± 0.02	8.04 ± 0.02	8.19 ± 0.05	8.11 ± 0.05
J_{45}	-5.70 ± 0.02	-5.75 ± 0.02	-5.75 ± 0.02	-5.79 ± 0.02	-5.75 ± 0.05	-5.70 ± 0.05

^a In Hz relative to internal TMS.

^b The error limits given for parameters of halogen-substituted 2-phenyloxetanes are probable errors produced by LAOCN 3. Estimated error limits have been used for ν_1 as well as the parameters in the two last columns.

^c From Ref. ¹³. ^d From Ref. ¹⁴.

Table 2. Proton chemical shifts ^a, proton-proton and proton-fluorine couplings in phenyl ring with their error limits ^b.

	Substituent X in phenyl ring		
	F	Br	J
$\nu_{6,7}$	438.24 ± 0.02	431.95 ± 0.02	424.74 ± 0.02
$\nu_{8,9}$	418.64 ± 0.02	445.20 ± 0.02	458.30 ± 0.02
$J_{68}^p = J_{79}^p$	0.30 ± 0.02	0.41 ± 0.03	0.42 ± 0.02
J_{89}^m	2.62 ± 0.02	2.03 ± 0.03	1.96 ± 0.04
J_{67}^m	2.47 ± 0.02	2.32 ± 0.04	2.08 ± 0.04
$J_{69}^o = J_{78}^o$	8.48 ± 0.03	8.36 ± 0.03	8.07 ± 0.03
$J_{16} = J_{17}$	0.63 ± 0.02	0.46 ± 0.04	0.57 ± 0.03
J_{HF}^o	8.64 ± 0.03	—	—
J_{HF}^m	5.28 ± 0.03	—	—

^a In Hz relative to internal TMS.^b Probable errors given by LAOCN 3.

the substituent (see Table 1). However, in 2-phenyloxetane ¹³ J_{12} is greater and J_{13} smaller than the respective couplings in 2-(4-iodophenyl) oxetane, although the electronegativity of hydrogen is smaller than that of iodine. On the contrary, with decreasing electronegativity of the substituent, the sum of the *trans* and *cis* couplings J_{12} and J_{13} , increases:

Sum	F	Cl	Br	I	H
$J_{12} + J_{13}$	14.97	14.99	15.03	15.07	15.10 Hz

The diagonal couplings J_{14} and J_{15} are nearly zero and their error limits are apparently greater than the probable errors produced by LAOCN 3 given in Table 1, since the components of the triplet are not included in the iteration.

The *geminal* couplings J_{23} and J_{45} are practically independent of the halogen substituent, and their magnitudes correspond to the values found for oxetane ^{3,4} and 2,2-d₂-oxetane ⁵. The previous parts of this series have shown that the *geminal* coupling J_{23} in methyl-substituted 2-phenyloxetanes ¹⁴ and in 2-methyloxetane ¹⁵ varies between -10.42 Hz and -10.77 Hz. Instead, the *geminal* coupling J_{45} remains, in the error limits, equal to the corresponding coupling in the halogen substituted 2-phenyloxetanes studied in the present work. Thus the inductive effect of the 2-substituent is reduced relative to the distance, having no further effect on the coupling between the protons 4 and 5, nor on their H—C—H angle ²⁰.

²⁰ A. A. BOTHNER-BY, in: *Advances in Magnetic Resonance*, Vol. 1, Ed. J. S. WAUGH, Academic Press, New York 1965, p. 195.

Fig. 6. Observed and calculated spectra of phenyl protons in 2-(4-bromophenyl) oxetane. Resonances marked by arrows are due to impurity.

Fig. 7. Observed and calculated spectra of phenyl protons in 2-(4-fluorophenyl) oxetane. Peaks marked by arrows are due to noise.

Discussion

Oxetane Protons

The halogen substituent affects primarily the couplings J_{12} and J_{13} . The former increases and the latter decreases with growing electronegativity of

The *vicinal cis* couplings (J_{24} and J_{35}) and the *trans* couplings (J_{25} and J_{34}) are practically equal in all the compounds presented in Table 1.

As to the chemical shifts, the most prominent changes appear in ν_1 . That can be interpreted to be partly due to the differences of the electron density of the benzyl carbon between the substitutions, and partly to the stronger effect of the differences in the anisotropy of the magnetic susceptibility of the phenyl ring, caused by the substitution, on the benzyl proton than on the other oxetane protons.

We can state that in all the 2-(4-halophenyl)oxetanes the oxetane protons resonate at a greater value of the applied magnetic field than the corresponding protons in 2-phenyloxetane and, excluding proton 2, at a lower field than the corresponding protons in 2-(4-methylphenyl)oxetane. It is probable that the shieldings of the protons in the oxetane ring in the different halogen substitutions are principally due to the changes in the ring current, caused by halogens. The magnetic anisotropy of the halogen substituent seems to be a minor factor²¹.

Phenyl Protons

The phenyl proton couplings in Table 2 are in good agreement with the ranges obtained by previous investigations^{20, 22-24}:

$$J^o = 6 - 8 \text{ Hz}, \quad J^m = 1 - 3 \text{ Hz} \quad \text{and} \quad J^p < 1 \text{ Hz}.$$

The results (cf. Table 2) show that the *ortho* and *meta* couplings between the protons in the phenyl ring increase and the *para* coupling decreases with the growing electronegativity of the substituent in the order: iodine, bromine, fluorine. The *meta* coupling $J_{89}^m > J_{67}^m$ in the fluoro derivative (III) and $J_{89}^m < J_{67}^m$ in iodo and bromo derivatives (I) - (II).

CASTELLANO et al.^{25, 26} have stated that in mono-substituted benzenes and in N-substituted pyridines the *ortho* coupling of the substituent-sided protons and the *meta* coupling between them increases and the *para* coupling decreases with the growing elec-

tronegativity of the substituent. But as for the coupling in the same compounds corresponding to the coupling J_{67}^m in phenyloxetanes, they have found that it presents a less definite and more scattered behavior.

If the electronegativity of the oxetane group is assumed to correspond to that of chlorine, as the PMR spectrum of 2-(4-chlorophenyl)oxetane seems to imply, the one of the two *meta* couplings J_{67}^m and J_{89}^m between the protons which are on the side of the more electronegative *para* substituent is greater.

Table 3. Chemical shift differences^a $\Delta^{XOx} = \nu_{6,7} - \nu_{8,9}$ of phenyl protons in 2-(4-halophenyl)oxetanes, and chemical shift differences^a Δ^{XY} between protons on the side of substituents X and Y measured by DIEHL²² in *para*-dihalosubstituted benzenes (^a In ppm, ^b = $-\Delta^{FCl}$).

Δ^{FOx}	+0.33	Δ^{BrOx}	-0.22	Δ^{IOx}	-0.56
Δ^{ClF}^b	-0.32	Δ^{BrCl}	-0.22	Δ^{ICl}	-0.53

The chemical shift equivalence of the phenyl protons in the chloro derivative proves that the effects of chlorine and the oxetane group on the shieldings of the phenyl protons are equal. This observation is supported by the chemical shift differences Δ^{XOx} between the halogen- and oxetane-sided phenyl protons in compounds (I) - (III). These are collected in Table 3. These differences are practically equal to the ones measured by DIEHL²² in *para*-dihalosubstituted benzenes, in which chlorine is in the same position as oxetane in the compounds that were investigated in the present work.

Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank Prof. P. TUOMIKOSKI for helpful discussions on the manuscript and Mr. E. PÄÄKÖNEN for assistance in the English text. Miss M.-L. PERKKIÖ, Miss A. HARVALA, Mr. Y. KURTH, and Mr. M. LAURONEN are acknowledged for skilful help in the measurements. The investigation was financially supported by the Finnish National Research Council for Sciences.

²¹ More information concerning the effect of anisotropy may be obtained after analyzing the spectra of 2-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)oxetane and 2-(2,4-dichlorophenyl)oxetane (under investigation in this lab.).

²² P. DIEHL, *Helv. Chim. Acta* **44**, 829 [1961].

²³ G. W. SMITH, *J. Mol. Spectrosc.* **12**, 146 [1964].

²⁴ K. HAYAMIZU and O. YAMAMOTO, *J. Mol. Spectrosc.* **25**, 422 [1968].

²⁵ S. CASTELLANO and C. SUN, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.* **88**, 4741 [1966].

²⁶ S. CASTELLANO and R. KOSTELNIK, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.* **90**, 141 [1968].