The Isotope Effect for Electromigration of Sodium Ions in Molten Sodium Nitrate

NOBUFUSA SAITO, KATSUMI HIRANO, KOHEI OKUYAMA, and ISAO OKADA *

Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, University of Tokyo, Hongo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo, Japan

(Z. Naturforsch. 27 a, 288—293 [1972]; received 9 July 1971)

The relative difference ($\Delta b/b$) between the internal electromigration mobilities of ^{22}Na and ^{24}Na in molten NaNO_3 has been measured in the range 340–515 °C. The internal mass effect, $\mu_{\text{int}} = (\Delta b/b)/(\Delta m/m)$ is -0.056 at 340 °C (melting point 308 °C), -0.079 at 435 °C and -0.068 at 515 °C. The errors in μ_{int} are ± 0.002 .

Previous studies of isotope effects of counter-current electromigration in molten salts have included the alkali nitrates¹ and thallium nitrate². For NaNO_3 , SAITO and coworkers³ measured the mobility difference between the radioactive isotope ^{22}Na and the stable isotope ^{23}Na . The accuracy of that experiment was, however, low, because the specific activity measurement was based on the assumption that the sodium nitrate was pure, while a certain contamination due to thermal decomposition, corrosion of the packing material, etc. could hardly be avoided.

The purpose of the present work is to re-determine the mass effect by using two radioactive isotopes ^{22}Na and ^{24}Na which should enable a higher accuracy, with the object of comparing with the results obtained by zone electromigration⁴ and, at the same time, to confirm the basic assumption that there is no difference whether the mass effect is determined for a tracer isotope (^{22}Na) and a dominating isotope (^{23}Na), or for two tracer isotopes (^{22}Na and ^{24}Na). Another aim of this work is to measure the temperature dependence of the mass effect.

I. Experimental

A separation tube of Vycor which had been packed with quartz powder (100–150 mesh) was inserted into molten sodium nitrate containing both ^{22}Na and ^{24}Na (Fig. 1 B). After the molten salt had risen up to the top of the diaphragm of quartz powder, the separation tube was transferred into another vessel containing molten non-radioactive sodium nitrate (Fig. 1 A), and the electromigration was started. A platinum wire and an aluminum tube were used as the anode and the cathode, respectively. During electromigration, a mixture of nitrogen dioxide and oxygen was bubbled through the cathode tube. After electromigration the separation tube was taken out, allowed to cool, cleaned

thoroughly on the outside wall and cut into sections of 10–15 mm length.

Fig. 1. Electromigration cell for molten NaNO_3 . A) Electromigration cell. B) Vessel for filling molten radioactive NaNO_3 into the separation tube.

1, 13. Mixture of NO_2 and O_2 ; 2, 5, 12. Silicone stopper; 3, 15. Pyrex glass tube; 4. Stainless steel tube; 6. Quartz vessel (Int. diam.: 5.5 cm, Height: 30 cm); 7. Aluminum tube (Int. diam.: 9 mm); 8, 23. Electric furnace; 9. Pyrex glass sheath for the thermocouple; 10. Thermocouple; 11. Molten NaNO_3 ; 14. Stainless steel wire; 16. Platinum wire (Diam.: 1 mm); 17. Quartz wool (Thickness: ca. 5 mm); 18. Separation tube (Vycor glass); 19. Molten NaNO_3 containing ^{22}Na and ^{24}Na ; 20. Diaphragm (Quartz powder); 21. Pyrex glass vessel; 22. Quartz frit (Thickness: ca. 5 mm).

The separation tube was placed so that the top of the diaphragm should be about 3 cm below the surface of the molten salt outside the tube, and the temperature was measured in the molten salt at ca. 10 cm depth.

* Present address: Department of Physics, Chalmers University of Technology, Göteborg, Sweden, on leave from Tokyo University.

The decay of radioactivity in each section was followed with a well-type NaI(Tl) scintillation counter for more than 90 hr, that is, six times the half life of ²⁴Na. At the time t , the radioactivity A_i (counts per minute, cpm) in the section i is given by

$$A_i = C_i^{22} \exp\{-\lambda_{22} t\} + C_i^{24} \exp\{-\lambda_{24} t\}, \quad (1)$$

where λ_{22} and λ_{24} are the decay constants of ²²Na and ²⁴Na, respectively ($\lambda_{22} = 5.11 \times 10^{-7} \text{ min}^{-1}$ and $\lambda_{24} = 7.70 \times 10^{-4} \text{ min}^{-1}$), and C_i^{22} and C_i^{24} are the cpm of ²²Na and ²⁴Na at $t=0$, respectively. From Eq. (1), C_i^{22} and C_i^{24} for an arbitrary reference time were obtained with a least squares fit of $A_i(t)$.

After radioactivity counting, the salt in each section was dissolved in distilled water and filtrated from the quartz powder. An aliquot of the solution was thoroughly eluted through an anion exchanger of Cl-form, and the eluted chloride ion was titrated with a silver nitrate solution. Other aliquots were used for the determination of nitrate and oxide ion concentration.

II. Results

By plotting the count ratio ($a_i = C_i^{24}/C_i^{22}$) in each section against the distance of the section from the anode, it was checked that the initial ratio remained unchanged in an extended part of the tube. Detailed electromigration results for one experiment are shown in Table 1.

In the previous investigation³, the quartz powder and the salt were packed one after the other a little at a time into the separation tube. However, with the present procedure, the separation tube could be packed more densely and uniformly, which made the effective diffusion coefficients (cf. Table 2) lower than in the previous experiment [$D_{\text{eff}} = (6-13) \cdot 10^{-5} \text{ cm}^2/\text{sec}$ at $340-380^\circ\text{C}$]. A smaller effective diffusion coefficient makes the mass effect measurement more accurate.

The relative internal mobility difference between ²²Na and ²⁴Na was calculated according to the equation⁵

$$(b_{22} - b_{24})/b_{\text{Na}} = \sum_i \{2(a_i - a_0)/(a_i + a_0)\} N_i F/Q \quad (2)$$

where $a_i = C_i^{24}/C_i^{22}$: the subscript 0 refers to a reference sample, N_i is the number of equivalents of NaNO₃ in the section i , Q the transported charge, and F the Faraday constant. The summation was made from the section nearest to the anode to the section where no isotopic enrichment of ²⁴Na was detected.

The results are tabulated in Table 2.

III. Discussion

The internal mass effect at 340°C , i. e. approximately 5% (in $^\circ\text{K}$) above the melting point, is calculated to be -0.056 ± 0.002 (weighted mean from experiments Nos. 1, 2 and 3). The error is the standard deviation of the mean. This value, measured for ²²Na and ²⁴Na, agrees with the previously measured one for ²²Na and ²³Na³ within experimental error, although in the latter case the precision was low [$\mu_{\text{int}} = -0.045 \pm 0.006$ (standard deviation) in the previous work].

This internal mass effect for NaNO₃ fits quite well with the empirical rule

$$-\mu_{\text{int}} = 0.0848/(1 + m_+/46), \quad (3)$$

which LUNDÉN and EKHED⁶ have proposed for pure molten alkali nitrates at temperatures 5% above the melting points on the basis of the mass effect data of LiNO₃⁶, KNO₃^{7,8}, and RbNO₃^{8,9}. Here m_+ is the mass of the cation. Another empirical relation

Table 1. Isotopic distribution after electromigration in NaNO₃ (Expt. No. 3).

Section No. ^a	Length [mm]	²² Na [cpm]	²⁴ Na [cpm]	Count ratio ²⁴ Na/ ²² Na ^b	Quantity of total anion ^c (10 ⁻⁴ equiv.)	Quantity of nitrite (10 ⁻⁵ equiv.)	%
1	14	1492	7295	4.89 ± 0.03	9.30 ± 0.10	0.6	1
2	12	2150	8086	3.76 ± 0.02	10.95 ± 0.03	1.2	1
3	13	2303	8256	3.59 ± 0.01	11.05 ± 0.01	0.4	0
4	13	2494	8939	3.58 ± 0.01	14.95	4.9	3
5	14	2793	9845	3.53 ± 0.01	13.75	4.9	3
6	14	2734	9743	3.56 ± 0.01	12.40	5.3	4
7	17	3213	10872	3.38 ± 0.01	16.95	4.4	3
8	13	1886	5897	3.13 ± 0.01	15.15	4.9	3
9	15	651	1704	2.62 ± 0.02	21.80	6.1	3
Un-electromigrated reference sample				3.59 ± 0.01			

^a Sections are numbered from the anode side.

^b The errors correspond to the standard deviations of the mean for radioactivity counting.

^c The errors are the mean deviations of several chemical analyses. Since the quantities in the sections from No. 4 to No. 9 were not needed for calculation of the mass effect, they were measured only once.

Table 2. Data of experiments and results.

Experiment No.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Temperature [°C] ^a	340 ± 3	340 ± 3	350 ± 5	430 ± 10	440 ± 10	510 ± 10	515 ± 5
Voltage [V]	50	50	75	60–80	60–70	40	40–50
Electric current [mA]	90–100	90–100	70–90	85–100	90–100	90–100	90–100
Duration [hr] ^b	26	24	21	24	24	24.5	24
Transported charge [coulomb]	7980	8390	6940	7850	9020	7370	8110
Separation tube (diaphragm)							
Length [mm]	121	132	126	143	137	114	136
Int. diam. [mm]	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
Nitrite concn. ^c [%]	—	2–3	0–2	—	4–6	4–9	2–7
Length of enrichment ^d							
top	39	32	26	42	35	30	46
bottom [mm]	30	38	45	76	57	50	58
D_{eff} (Na in NaNO ₃) ^e	5.2	3.8	2.9	6.5	4.5	3.3 ^f	7.8
D_{self} [$\times 10^{-5}$ cm ² /sec]	2.25	2.25	2.37	3.44	3.59	4.63	4.71
Relative internal mobility	0.0053	0.0050	0.0046	0.0067	0.0070	0.0045 ^f	0.0060
difference ^g ($b_{22}-b_{24}$)/ b_{Na}	± 0.0001	± 0.0002	± 0.0001	± 0.002.0	± 0.0003	± 0.0001	± 0.0001
Internal mass effect ^g	0.061	0.058	0.053	0.077	0.081	0.052 ^f	0.068
$-\mu_{\text{int}}$	± 0.002	± 0.002	± 0.001	± 0.002	± 0.003	± 0.001	± 0.001

^a The temperature was measured in the molten salt in which the separation tube was immersed. The temperature difference between the inside and the outside of the tube was estimated to be about 1 °C, according to the equation presented by HERZOG and KLEMM *.

^b In Exp. Nos. 3 and 6, electromigration was interrupted for about 2 hours.

^c The nitrate content was measured for the sections near the anode where ²⁴Na was enriched, and the highest and the lowest values in these sections are given here. The oxide content was less than 1 mole %.

^d Enrichment of ²⁴Na and ²²Na was detected at the regions in the top and the bottom part of the separation tube, respectively.

^e The effective diffusion coefficients were evaluated according to the relation $D_{\text{eff}} = l^2/\pi t$ **, where l is the length of the region in which ²⁴Na was enriched, and t is the duration of electromigration. The self-diffusion coefficients, which were estimated according to Ref. ***, are given here for a comparison.

^f Since in Exp. No. 6, D_{eff} is calculated to be lower than D_{self} , which is impossible theoretically, a little amount of enriched ²⁴Na seems to have streamed or leaked out. Therefore, the values of $\Delta b/b$ and μ_{int} in Exp. No. 6 are likely to be only a lower limit.

^g The errors given are the standard deviations of the mean resulting from the errors in radioactivity counting and chemical analysis, which are calculated according to the equation presented in Ref. 2.

* W. HERZOG and A. KLEMM, Z. Naturforsch. **13a**, 7 [1958]. *** J. C. TH. KWAK and J. A. A. KETELAAR, J. Phys. Chem.

** A. KLEMM, Z. Phys. **123**, 10 [1944].

73, 94 [1969].

between the mass effect and the cationic radius r_+ proposed by them ⁶ is

$$-\mu_{\text{int}} = 0.0523/r_+. \quad (4)$$

According to Eqs. (3) and (4), the mass effect for NaNO₃ is calculated to be -0.057 and -0.054 , respectively, where $r_+ = 0.97$ Å is adopted.

From the present internal mass effect, the external mass effect is evaluated to be -0.079 ± 0.002 at 340 °C according to the relation $\mu_{\text{ext}} = \mu_{\text{int}}/t_+$ ¹⁰, where t_+ is the external transport number of sodium ion in NaNO₃ ($t_+ = 0.71 \pm 0.01$ l. c.^{11,12}). This value agrees well with that measured with zone electromigration ($\mu_{\text{ext}} = -0.081$ at 360 °C for pure NaNO₃⁴). Sodium nitrate is the only case, for which the isotope effect in zone electromigration of pure molten salts has been measured. Therefore, it is interesting to note that this agreement supports the expectation¹⁰ that the mass

effects from both kinds of electromigration should agree with each other.

The mass effect has been measured at three different temperatures and was found to be higher at 435 °C than at either lower (340 °C) or higher (515 °C) temperature. Although it cannot be clearly concluded from the present data where the maximum of the mass effect occurs, it is deduced that a maximum point exists around 440 °C. If one assumes that the mass effect increases monotonically in the range 340–440 °C, the temperature dependence is roughly expressed in that range by

$$-\mu_{\text{int}} = (0.0495 \pm 0.0024) + (0.000229 \pm 0.000037)(t' - 310), \quad (5)$$

where t' is the temperature in °C.

A maximum for the mass effect of sodium has also been found with zone electromigration⁴ in a mixture of 45 mole % NaNO₃ and 55 mole % KNO₃,

studied over the range 253–435 °C. The highest values were found at about 370 °C. In order to interpret the maximum of the external mass effect, CHEMLA and coworkers⁴ have assumed the existence of the species Na^+ and NaNO_3^0 . Extending this model, we assume the existence of species such as Na^+ , NaNO_3^0 , Na_2NO_3^+ and $\text{Na}(\text{NO}_3)_2^-$. Various studies have shown that there are strong ionic interactions in molten nitrates. For example, Raman spectra of molten alkali nitrates have been interpreted in terms of localized ion-pair formation¹³, while on the other hand infrared results have been explained in terms of the existence of a quasi-crystalline structure^{14, 15}.

In general, the ratio of the net external electromigration velocities of two isotopes is given by

$$\alpha = \sum_i u_i x_i / \sum_i u_i' x_i', \quad (6)$$

where x_i and x_i' are the proportions of the species i containing light and heavy isotopes, respectively, and $\sum_i x_i = 1$, $\sum_i x_i' = 1$. The u 's are the external velocities of the species indicated by the super- and subscripts, respectively.

Now, let us define k_i as the equilibrium constant of the isotopic exchange reaction $1' + i \rightleftharpoons 1 + i'$ (the symbols here represent the species).

$$k_i = x_1 x_i' / x_1' x_i. \quad (7)$$

From Eqs. (6) and (7), it follows that

$$\alpha = \frac{\sum_i u_i x_i}{\sum_i h_i^{-1} k_i u_i x_i} \left\{ 1 + \sum_i x_i (k_i - 1) \right\}, \quad (8)$$

where h_i is defined as $h_i = u_i / u_i'$.

If $\bar{u} = \sum_i u_i x_i$ is introduced, it follows from Eq. (8) by giving the linear approximation of the expansion in powers of the small quantities $(h_i - 1)$, $(k_i - 1)$ ¹⁶

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha - 1 &= (\Delta m / m) (\mu_{\text{int}} / t_+) \\ &= \sum_i x_i \left\{ (u_i / \bar{u}) (h_i - 1) + (1 - u_i / \bar{u}) (k_i - 1) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Now, if one specifies $1 = {}^{22}\text{Na}$, $2 = {}^{22}\text{Na}^{23}\text{NaNO}_3$, $3 = {}^{22}\text{NaNO}_3$, $4 = {}^{22}\text{Na}(\text{NO}_3)_2$, and $i' =$ the corresponding species of ${}^{24}\text{Na}$, and supposes $u_3 = u_3' = 0$, one gets

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha - 1 &= x_1 \left\{ \frac{u_1}{\bar{u}} (h_1 - 1) \right\} \\ &+ x_2 \left\{ \frac{u_2}{\bar{u}} (h_2 - 1) + \left(1 - \frac{u_2}{\bar{u}} \right) (k_2 - 1) \right\} \\ &+ x_3 (k_3 - 1) \\ &+ x_4 \left\{ \frac{u_4}{\bar{u}} (h_4 - 1) + \left(1 - \frac{u_4}{\bar{u}} \right) (k_4 - 1) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Even if one assumes that $|k_2 - 1|$, $|k_3 - 1|$, and $|k_4 - 1|$ have such a high value as 0.03 at 440 °C due to quantum effects, $(\alpha - 1)$ will decrease by 3% at most, as seen from Eq. (10), with the vanishing of $|k_i - 1|$ at higher temperatures, while μ_{int} actually decreases by about 15% in the range 440 to 515 °C. Thus, the decrease of μ_{int} in this temperature range cannot be attributed mainly to the decrease of the quantum effect.

If, for simplicity, the terms $(k_i - 1)$ are neglected in Eq. (10), one obtains

$$\alpha - 1 = \sum_i x_i (u_i / u_1) (h_i - 1) / \sum_i x_i (u_i / u_1), \quad (11)$$

where $u_3 = 0$ and $u_4 < 0$. The changes of $\alpha - 1$ as a function of temperature may be caused predominantly by the changes of x_i , and it is seen from Eq. (11) that, even if the terms (u_i / u_1) and $(h_i - 1)$ are supposed to be independent of temperature, the equation provides the possibility of a complicated temperature dependence including a maximum.

In the model in which only Na^+ and NaNO_3^0 are assumed⁴, the equation corresponding to Eq. (10) is given by

$$\alpha - 1 = h_1 - 1 + x_3 (k_3 - 1), \quad x_1 + x_3 = 1. \quad (12)$$

CHEMLA and coworkers⁴ have proposed that x_3 increases and $(k_3 - 1)$ decreases with rising temperature, thus producing a maximum of α . However, their assumption that at low temperatures x_3 increases with rising temperature is difficult to accept, and, as mentioned above, at higher temperatures the decrease of the quantum effect $(k_3 - 1)$ cannot explain the large decrease of α .

As far as the internal mass effect is concerned, the possibility of a decrease of the cationic external transport number with rising temperature must be taken into consideration. Actually, for NaNO_3 , there is an indication that the cationic transport number might decrease with increasing temperature. The transport number of Na in NaNO_3 is evaluated from the mobility data¹² to be 0.71 ± 0.02 at 350 °C and 0.66 ± 0.04 at 450 °C, although there are no data available above 450 °C.

With regard to the nitrite content in the present experiments, it was 1–9 mole % and almost the same as that in the case of KNO_3 ⁷, where it has been found that the nitrite ion does not appreciably affect the mass effect for KNO_3 . Thus, it is supposed that in the present case the increase of nitrite concentration at higher temperature should not affect the mass effect appreciably.

An alternative explanation of the temperature dependence of μ_{int} in NaNO_3 is possible. According to the model presented by JORDAN and KLEMM¹⁷, $\partial \ln \mu_{\text{int}} / \partial \ln T$ is related to $\partial \ln(D_+/T\Lambda) / \partial \ln T$, where D_+ is the self-diffusion coefficient of the cation, Λ the equivalent electric conductivity, and T the absolute temperature, and both entities should have the same sign.

If we assume that the temperature dependence of both D_+ and Λ can be expressed by Arrhenius' equations, we find that

$$\partial \ln(D_+/T\Lambda) / \partial \ln T = (\Delta H_D - \Delta H_A) / RT - 1. \quad (13)$$

For those salts for which the activation energy of self-diffusion, ΔH_D , is larger than that for electric conductivity, ΔH_A , we can expect that the temperature coefficient [Eq. (13)] is positive at low temperatures and negative at high. An estimation from Eq. (13) of the temperature at which the mass effect has its maximum must be very rough, and the result is strongly influenced by the choice of data on ΔH_D and ΔH_A .

For NaNO_3 , $\partial \ln \mu_{\text{int}} / \partial \ln T$ is evaluated from the present experiments to be 2.51 ± 0.43 at

340°C. The value of $\partial \ln(D_+/T\Lambda) / \partial \ln T$, that is, $(\Delta H_D - \Delta H_A) / RT - 1$ and the temperature at which this entity changes from positive to negative are given in Table 3 according to the several sources of data on ΔH_D , where $\Delta H_A = 3110 \pm 10$ cal/mole (325–425 °C)¹⁸ is adopted. As is seen from Table 3, the sign of $\partial \ln(D_+/T\Lambda) / \partial \ln T$ changes from positive to negative at 663 ± 50 °C, if $\Delta H_D = 4970 \pm 80$ cal/mole¹⁹ is selected. This presumes that the mass effect as a function of temperature would have a maximum value at 663 ± 50 °C, while the mass effect has actually the maximum around 440 °C.

Thus, although the accuracy of the measured values for ΔH_D and ΔH_A is not high enough to prove that $\partial \ln \mu_{\text{int}} / \partial \ln T$ is correlated with $\partial \ln(D_+/T\Lambda) / \partial \ln T$ in the case of NaNO_3 , this model seems to interpret qualitatively the temperature dependence of the internal mass effect for NaNO_3 .

It is interesting to note that for NaNO_3 and RbNO_3 ²⁰ the mass effect has a maximum point as a function of temperature, while for KNO_3 the mass effect increases with rising temperature over the whole studied range⁷, and for LiNO_3 the influence of temperature is small²¹. Thus, the temperature dependence of the mass effect for alkali nitrates is complicated.

One of us (I. O.) would like to thank Professor A. LUNDÉN for helpful suggestions and a critical reading of the manuscript.

Table 3. Calculation based on the assumed correlation between electromigration mass effect, self-diffusion and conductivity, for $T=340$ °C and $\Delta H_A=3110 \pm 10$ cal/mole¹⁸.

Selected ΔH_D [cal/mole]		$(\Delta H_D - \Delta H_A) / RT - 1$	Sign change at [°C]	Ref. for ΔH_D
4060 $\pm$ 240	(320–450°C)	– 0.22 $\pm$ 0.21	180 $\pm$ 130	Tab. 2***
4300 $\pm$ 300	(350–420°C)	– 0.02 $\pm$ 0.25	330 $\pm$ 160	*
4970 $\pm$ 80	(310–380°C)	0.53 $\pm$ 0.07	663 $\pm$ 50	19
5000 $\pm$ 700	(320–400°C)	0.55 $\pm$ 0.53	680 $\pm$ 360	**
5300 $\pm$ 400	(325–358°C)	0.79 $\pm$ 0.34	830 $\pm$ 210	***

* M. K. NAGARAJAN and J. O'M. BOCKRIS, J. Phys. Chem. **70**, 1854 [1966].

** F. LANTELME and M. CHEMLA, Bull. Soc. Chim. France **1963**, 969. — F. LANTELME, Thesis, Paris 1965.

*** S. FORCHERI and V. WAGNER, Z. Naturforsch. **22a**, 1171 [1967].

¹ The data are summarized, e. g. in A. KLEMM and K. HEINZINGER, Adv. Chem. Series **89**, 246 [1969] and Ref. ⁶.

² I. OKADA and N. SAITO, Bull. Chem. Soc. Japan **43**, 394 [1970].

³ N. SAITO, I. TOMITA, and I. OKADA, J. Nucl. Sci. Technol. **3**, 140 [1966].

⁴ S. WUHL, F. LANTELME, and M. CHEMLA, J. Chim. Phys. **65**, 488 [1968].

- ⁵ Cf. A. KLEMM, Z. Naturforsch. **1**, 252 [1946].
- ⁶ A. LUNDÉN and A. EKED, Z. Naturforsch. **24a**, 892 [1969].
- ⁷ A. LUNDÉN and A. EKED, Z. Naturforsch. **23a**, 1779 [1968].
- ⁸ I. OKADA, Thesis, Tokyo University 1966.
- ⁹ A. LUNDÉN, Z. Naturforsch. **21a**, 1510 [1966].
- ¹⁰ A. LUNDÉN, Z. Naturforsch. **14a**, 801 [1959].
- ¹¹ F. R. DUKE and B. OWENS, J. Electrochem. Soc. **105**, 548 [1958].
- ¹² E. P. HONIG and J. A. A. KETELAAR, Trans. Faraday Soc. **62**, 190 [1966].
- ¹³ S. C. WAIT and A. T. WARD, J. Chem. Phys. **44**, 448 [1966]; S. C. WAIT, A. T. WARD, and G. J. JANZ, J. Chem. **45**, 133 [1966].
- ¹⁴ K. WILLIAMSON, P. LI, and J. P. DEVLIN, J. Chem. Phys. **48**, 3891 [1968].
- ¹⁵ G. H. WEGDAM, R. BONN, and J. VAN DER ELSKEN, Chem. Phys. Letters **2**, 182 [1968].
- ¹⁶ Equation (9) is derived either from Equation (8) or easily from the equation presented by A. KLEMM, Z. Naturforsch. **3a**, 127 [1948].
- ¹⁷ S. JORDAN and A. KLEMM, Z. Naturforsch. **21a**, 1584 [1966].
- ¹⁸ The activation energy is evaluated from the data of B. DE NOOIJER, Thesis, University of Amsterdam 1965.
- ¹⁹ A. S. DWORKIN, R. B. ESCUE, and E. R. VAN ARTSDALEN, J. Phys. Chem. **64**, 872 [1960].
- ²⁰ A. LUNDÉN, A. FLOBERG, and R. MATSSON, to be published.
- ²¹ For LiNO_3 , the temperature dependence of μ_{int} has been measured in two laboratories, see Refs. ⁶ and ⁸, the result being that the mass effect either is independent of the temperature ⁶, or that it decreases slightly when the temperature increases ⁸. Possible causes of the discrepancy are discussed in Ref. ⁶.

Dissociation Lifetimes of Molecular Ions Produced by Charge Exchange *

B. ANDLAUER ** and CH. OTTINGER ***

Physikalisches Institut der Universität Freiburg, Germany

(Z. Naturforsch. **27a**, 293—309 [1972]; received 27 November 1971)

Ions of the benzonitrile, benzene and thiophene molecules were produced in well-defined states of excitation by charge exchange with Xe^+ , Kr^+ , Ar^+ , CO^+ , and N_2^+ . Their subsequent unimolecular decomposition was followed, as a function of time, by allowing the dissociations to take place within a strong homogeneous draw-out field and measuring the kinetic energy of the product ions. The decompositions were found to be purely exponential within experimental error, and the corresponding decay rate constants k (for $2 \cdot 10^5 \text{ sec}^{-1} \leq k \leq 5 \cdot 10^8 \text{ sec}^{-1}$) proved to be monotonically increasing functions of the excitation energy. These are the first unambiguous measurements of this function $k(E)$ for any molecule. In the case of benzene, the reactions $\text{C}_6\text{H}_6^+ \rightarrow \text{C}_6\text{H}_5^+ + \text{H}$ and $\text{C}_6\text{H}_6^+ \rightarrow \text{C}_4\text{H}_4^+ + \text{C}_2\text{H}_2$ were found to be definitely not in competition with one another. Furthermore the dependence of the excitation energy on the impact energy was measured. A variation of the impact energy between 10 and 200 eV_{c.m.} only changes the internal energy E by about 0.1 eV. This value appears to be in qualitative agreement with calculations on near-resonant charge transfer by Gurnee and Magee.

I. Introduction

Unimolecular decompositions of excited polyatomic ions play an important role in many radiochemical and radiobiological systems as well as in organic mass spectrometry. An understanding of the host of possible concurrent and consecutive reactions has to be founded on a consistent picture of the time scale as well as the energetics of the unimolecular processes. Today still very little is known about the decomposition rate constant k of isolated excited ions, and even less about the dependence of k on the excitation energy E . In principle

the function $k(E)$ can be calculated within the framework of the quasi-equilibrium theory, henceforth abbreviated as QET¹. However, the great number of assumptions necessary for any such calculation makes an experimental determination of $k(E)$ highly desirable. In previous communications^{2,3} we have reported on a method for measuring, in a well-defined manner, the decomposition rate of ions produced by electron impact. Subsequently we have been able, by means of varying the electron energy, to extract from these measurements $k(E)$ for a few decompositions of benzonitrile, butane and heptane ions^{4,5}. However, this

* This work is a summary of the Diplomarbeit of B. ANDLAUER, Universität Freiburg 1970.

** Present address: Institut für Angewandte Festkörperphysik, Freiburg.

*** Present address: Max-Planck-Institut für Strömungsforschung, Göttingen.