The Electronic Structure of the Octa-Sulphur Molecule: An *ab Initio* Configuration Interaction Study

Michael H. Palmer and (in part) Robert H. Findlay

Department of Chemistry University of Edinburgh, Scotland

Z. Naturforsch. **38a**, 1032–1034 (1983); received May 19, 1983

An *ab initio* SCF calculation of better than double zeta quality (192 basic functions) acted as a starting point for a configuration interaction (CI) study. The final CI with 3408 configurations showed that the 3d orbital density was reduced further than the initial value of 0.1e; the SCF configuration was dominant, but an element of in – out correlation occurred, with incorporation of the orbital $1a_2$ in replacement for $1b_1$ being notable in many configurations.

Semi-empirical molecular orbital calculations [1, 2] of S_8 at the known crystal (D_{4d}) structure [3, 4] (Figure 1a) showed only a very low level of 3d_s character in the ground state single configuration calculation; thus several other authors have not included the 3d orbitals in their studies [5–7]. We now report a large sp-basis set *ab initio* calculation, both with and without d-orbitals, and including configuration interaction, in order to provide a more rigorous base-line for subsequent studies of electronically excited and ionised states. The *ab initio* basis consisted of a (12s 9p 1d) gaussian orbital basis contracted to [7s 4p 1d], i.e. one better than double zeta in the sp-part [8–11] as in previous work; this yields a free S atom energy of –397.49655 a.u. ($^3P_{3/2}$) to be compared with the Hartree-Fock limiting value of –397.505 a.u. The Cartesian Gaussian basis (3d⁶) set was combined into the usual 3d⁵ set of xy , xz , yz , $(x^2 - y^2)$ and $(3z^2 - r^2)$. Thus a total of 192 basis functions were used; the computer program was ATMOL-III (integrals and SCF) and SPLICE (CI study) implemented on the Daresbury Laboratory/ULCC Cray-1 Computer. The integrals evaluation took 1430 secs and the SCF iterations 51 seconds each.

a) *The SCF Wave-function.* The present energies (Table 1) are markedly lower than any previous calculation; while the effect of the 3d-orbitals is still quite large on an energy basis (0.36 a.u., 1 a.u. = 2626 kJ · mol^{–1}), it is much smaller than in the only previous calculation, where a minimal AO basis was

Table 1. Single configuration SCF results for S_8 .

			sp-Basis	spd-Basis		
(a) Total energy (a.u.)			−3179.9211 ^a	−3180.2840 ^b		
(b) Valence shell orbitals						
CI Se- quence No.	Sym- metry	Energy (eV)	Atomic populations			
			s	p	d	
2	3 a ₁	10.23	4.80	92.96	2.24	
16, 17	3 e ₃	10.36	2.96	93.68	3.44	
12, 13	3 e ₂	10.81	−0.90	99.40	1.50	
8, 9	3 e ₁	11.03	4.26	93.16	2.58	
5	2 b ₂	13.02	5.81	91.25	2.94	
10, 11	2 e ₂	14.06	10.48	88.40	1.12	
6, 7	2 e ₁	14.12	4.16	93.38	2.46	
1	2 a ₁	15.46	4.43	94.10	1.47	
14, 15	2 e ₃	16.26	8.78	89.45	1.77	
3	1 b ₁	16.78	0.00	94.88	5.12	
4	1 b ₂	20.67	82.95	16.69	0.36	
—	1 e ₃	23.28	76.87	21.70	1.93	
—	1 e ₂	27.42	83.94	13.86	2.20	
—	1 e ₁	30.46	91.60	7.28	1.12	
—	1 a ₁	31.61	92.40	6.88	0.72	
Total population (e)			—	5.8862	10.0038	0.1099

^a Previous total energies: –3173.5500 a.u. [12];
–3145.45970 a.u. [6].

^b Previous total energies: –3174.5755 a.u. [12].

used (1.02 a.u.) [12]. The low total atomic 3d⁵ population (0.11 e), is derived from the atomic s-population rather than the p-orbital one. Mulliken analysis of the occupied orbitals (Table 1) shows that the 3d orbitals (generally around 2 ~ 3%) reach a maximum of 5% in the $1b_1$ orbital, the only orbital of that symmetry in the valence state and one where s-orbital participation is zero by symmetry. The 3d orbitals have an effect upon the Aufbau ordering,

Reprint requests to Dr. M. H. Palmer, Department of Chemistry, University of Edinburgh, West Mains Road, Edinburgh EH9 3JJ, Scotland.

for example in the order of $3e_1$ and $3e_2$, but the effect is an indirect one, since the inclusion of $3d$ orbitals leads to a differing proportion of $3s$ and $3p$ functions in what are basically lone pair orbitals (LP). The *ab initio* calculations lead to the HOMO being $3a_1$ in contrast to the semi-empirical results, which lead to $3e_3$ (EH) [5] or $3e_1$ (CNDO, X α) [1, 2, 7].

b) *The CI Study.* The high symmetry of the molecule means that a large number of configurations are generated for each state symmetry largely through the three degenerate products:

$$E_1 \times E_1 = A_1 + A_2 + E_2,$$

$$E_2 \times E_2 = A_1 + A_2 + B_1 + B_2,$$

$$E_3 \times E_3 = A_1 + A_2 + E_2.$$

In the first phase of CI (Table 2), two sets of active electrons were used (16 and 32) in the sp-basis; the SCF wave-function was extremely dominant, but 30 configurations had eigenvectors > 0.02 . It was clear that a larger CI involving the $3d$ orbitals was required. The spd-basis SCF orbitals from the highest occupied orbital (HOMO) down to $5b_2$ [13] were used, a total of 34 active electrons; the virtual set, into which all double and single replacements were allowed, consisted of the first vacant orbital (LUMO) upwards (in aufbau order) for a total of 26 additional orbitals, yielding an active space of 43 orbitals. A total of 83004 configurations were generated for the ground state, which were reduced in number by perturbation selection [14], with varying thresholds (10^{-4} , 5×10^{-5} and 2.5×10^{-5} Hartree). The principal contributors to the ground state are the same at each level of selection (Table 3).

Table 2. Configuration interaction energy results for S_8 using both sp- and spd-basis.

Active Set		Configurations		Selection level (μH)	Final CI energy (a.u.)
Elec-trons	Vir-tuals	Total	Selected		
(a) spd-Basis					
34	27	83 004	3408	25	-3180.46288
34	27	83 004	1455	50	-3180.47038
34	27	83 004	538	100	-3180.47623
(b) sp-Basis					
32	18	35 759	1391	50	-3180.06487
16	18	9 077	899	15	-3179.95785
16	18	9 077	326	50	-3179.95913

Table 3. Principal configurations of the S_8 ground state.

(a) Wave-function

Eigenvector	Orbitals occupied
0.9552	1^2-17^2
-0.0299	$1^2, 3^2-10^2, 12^2-17^2, (11, 20), (2, 33)$
+0.0223	$1^2, 3^2-9^2, 11^2-17^2, (10, 20), (2, 32)$
+0.0216	$1^2, 2^2, 4^2, 5^2, 7^2-17^2, (3, 20), (5, 26)$
-0.0211	$2^2, 4^2-17^2, (3, 20), (1, 23)$

(b) Sequence number of orbitals

A1	1	2	18	19						
B1	3	22								
B2	4	5	23	24	25					
E1	6	7	8	9	26	27	28	29	30	31
E2	10	11	12	13	32	33	34	35	36	37
E3	14	15	16	17	38	39	40	41	42	43
A2	20	21								

The CI energy lowering relative to the spd-SCF function was about double that for the sp basis, and amounted to 0.18 a.u. Again the SCF wave-function was dominant, but the convergence of the contributing configurations was sharper; only 7 configurations had eigenvectors > 0.02 (Table 3 shows the leading terms). In both cases however, the most commonly vacated orbital from the SCF wave function was $1b_1$ (see above). In the sp-basis calculation the major replacement was $1b_1 \rightarrow 2b_1$, always accompanied by another (variable) replacement. In the spd calculation the dominance of configurations

in which $1b_1^2$ has been vacated is even more evident. Of the first eight excited configurations, six were $1b_1 \rightarrow 1a_2$ with other orbital products of B_2 symmetry: all eight of these configurations involved $1a_2$, a symmetry species which is not occupied in the SCF ground state calculation. Both $1b_1$ and $1a_2$ orbitals have a common feature – the absence of s-orbital components, and neither are rich in 3d orbitals ($1b_1$, 5.12%; $1a_2$, 8.39%). In the case of $1b_1$ (Fig. 1b) the orbital is almost entirely made up of 3p orbitals (exponent = 0.2918) largely tangential at the S atoms and bonding between pairs of adjacent atoms; in contrast, $1a_2$ (Fig. 1c) is completely antibonding between neighbouring pairs of atoms, but is confined almost exclusively to the 3p' orbitals (exponent = 0.1029). Thus the interplay of $1b_1$ and $1a_2$ yields an "in-out" correlation, i.e. an element of long range antibonding character is introduced into the correlated wave-function [15]. Calculations with the present atomic basis set on the free S atom showed the values of $\langle r^2 \rangle$ for the inner (3p) and outer (3p') components are 1.032 and 2.164 (Å) respectively; given the S–S bond length of 2.046 Å, the inner function has a value of $\langle r \rangle$ (in each lobe) near to the S–S bond midpoint, whilst the outer function has its maximum nearer the neighbouring S atom. Thus the effect of the replacement $1b_1 \rightarrow 1a_2$ is to concentrate electron density near the S–S bond midpoint.

- [1] D. J. Miller and L. C. Cusachs, *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **3**, 501 (1969).
- [2] W. R. Salaneck, N. O. Lipari, A. Paton, R. Zallen, and K. S. Liang, *Phys. Rev.* **12B**, 1483 (1975).
- [3] P. Coppens, Y. W. Yang, R. H. Blessing, W. F. Cooper, and F. K. Larsen, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.* **99**, 760 (1977).
- [4] G. S. Pawley and R. P. Rinaldi, *Acta Cryst.* **B28**, 3605 (1972).
- [5] I. Chen, *Phys. Rev.* **2B**, 1053 (1970).
- [6] G. L. Carlson and L. G. Pedersen, *J. Chem. Phys.* **62**, 4567 (1975).
- [7] N. V. Richardson and P. Weinberger, *J. Electron Spectrosc. and Rel. Phen.* **6**, 109 (1975).
- [8] M. H. Palmer, Woon Ming Lau, and N. P. C. Westwood, *Z. Naturforsch.* **37A**, 1061 (1982).
- [9] M. H. Palmer, *Z. Naturforsch.* **38A**, 74 (1982).
- [10] M. Redshaw, M. H. Palmer, and R. H. Findlay, *Z. Naturforsch.* **34A**, 220 (1978).
- [11] R. H. Findlay, M. H. Palmer, A. J. Downs, R. G. Egdell, and R. Evans, *Inorg. Chem.* **19**, 1307 (1980).
- [12] R. H. Findlay, unpublished observations, 1974.
- [13] In D_{4d} the full set of electrons occupy orbitals $1a_1-7a_1$, $1b_2-6b_2$, $1b_1$, $2b_1$ and $1e_i-8e_i$ ($i=1, 2, 3$); here a valence shell only numbering system is used [5], the highest members being $3a_1$, $3e_i$ ($i=1, 2, 3$), $2b_2$ and $1b_1$.
- [14] M. F. Guest and W. R. Rodwell, The Bonded Function CI Program, Science Research Council, Atlas Computing Division, September 1977; RL-77-110/B (and references therein).