Chemical Transformation of Crystalline Hafnium Tetrafluoride Studied by Perturbed Angular Correlation Spectroscopy

Chandi Charan C. Dey

Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics 1/AF, Bidhannagar, Kolkata – 700 064, India

Reprint requests to C. C. D.; Fax: 91 33 23374637; E-mail: chandicharan.dey@saha.ac.in

Z. Naturforsch. **64a**, 739–744 (2009); received December 12, 2008 / revised April 16, 2009

The chemical transformation of the trihydrate hafnium tetrafluoride crystal has been studied with varying temperature using the time-differential perturbed angular correlation technique. The 133–482 keV γ - γ cascade of ^{181}Ta after the β^- -decay of ^{181}Hf has been selected and a four detector BaF_2 - BaF_2 coincidence set up has been used for measurements. The crystal was produced by evaporating a solution of HfF_6^{2-} complex in HF at room temperature. Contrary to the earlier report, it has been found that the trihydrate hafnium tetrafluoride compound dehydrates directly to HfF_4 without producing any intermediate monohydrate and present results do not support the earlier idea that two water molecules of $\text{HfF}_4 \cdot 3\text{H}_2\text{O}$ are loosely bound. Present investigations exhibit a superheated state for the hafnium tetrafluoride crystal. In dehydrated HfF_4 , two different Hf sites have been observed which suggests two different structures for the anhydrous HfF_4 .

Key words: Hydrated Hafnium Tetrafluoride; Dehydration Temperature; Time-Differential Perturbed Angular Correlation; Nuclear Quadrupole Interactions.

1. Introduction

The dehydration process of crystallized trihydrate hafnium tetrafluoride is not fully understood. In fact, from earlier studies, the chemical transformation of $\text{HfF}_4 \cdot 3\text{H}_2\text{O}$ gave contradictory results. From chemical analysis study, Rickard and Water [1] showed that this compound was transformed to monohydrate hafnium fluoride ($\text{HfF}_4 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$) on heating at 373 K in vacuum and monohydrate oxifluoride ($\text{Hf}_2\text{OF}_6 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$) at 373 K in air. Gaudreau [2] observed that $\text{HfF}_4 \cdot 3\text{H}_2\text{O}$ dehydrates to $\text{HfF}_4 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$ at 353 K in air. On the other hand, Martínez et al. [3, 4], from time-differential perturbed angular correlation (TDPAC) measurements showed that final dehydrated product of $\text{HfF}_4 \cdot 3\text{H}_2\text{O}$ was HfF_4 and it was produced at the still lower temperature of 330 K. These authors [3, 4] found that in the transformation from $\text{HfF}_4 \cdot 3\text{H}_2\text{O}$ to HfF_4 , monohydrate $\text{HfF}_4 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$ was formed as an intermediate product. They studied the reverse process of hydration also and found that HfF_4 transforms back to $\text{HfF}_4 \cdot 3\text{H}_2\text{O}$ through the intermediate monohydrate and from this reverse reaction Martínez et al. [3] determined the rate constant for the hydration process. Beside this, earlier studies show discrepancies regarding the dehydration temperature of $\text{HfF}_4 \cdot 3\text{H}_2\text{O}$. For this compound, the same group [3, 4] reported two different dehydra-

tion temperature of 330 K [3] and 393 K [4]. With an aim to resolve the above discrepancies, the trihydrate hafnium tetrafluoride has been studied by TDPAC with varying temperature in the range of 298 K to 363 K after crystallizing it at room temperature. Interestingly, in the present study it has been found that dehydration of $\text{HfF}_4 \cdot 3\text{H}_2\text{O}$ starts at 343 K and it transforms to anhydrous HfF_4 completely at 363 K, but it is not routed through the intermediate $\text{HfF}_4 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$. The dehydration from $\text{HfF}_4 \cdot 3\text{H}_2\text{O}$ to HfF_4 takes place directly. The monohydrate $\text{HfF}_4 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$ was not observed in the crystallized trihydrate at any temperature from 298 to 363 K as well as in the dehydrated HfF_4 compound. So, the chemical transformation from $\text{HfF}_4 \cdot 3\text{H}_2\text{O}$ to $\text{HfF}_4 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$ observed by Gaudreau [2] or its transformation to anhydrous HfF_4 through the intermediate $\text{HfF}_4 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$ as observed by Martínez et al. [3] is not supported from present measurements. It has been found also that this trihydrate compound can remain stable in the super heated state at 343 and 353 K although its dehydration temperature has been found to be 343 K.

2. Principle of the TDPAC Technique

The time-differential perturbed angular correlation (TDPAC) technique is based on substituting a small or trace amount of radioactive isotope into a well-

defined chemical environment in a crystal [5]. The nuclear electromagnetic moments (electric quadrupole or magnetic dipole) of the probe isotope interact with the electric field gradient or the magnetic field produced at the nuclear site by the surrounding environment. Due to the interaction of the surrounding electric field gradient generated at the nuclear site with the nuclear quadrupole moment, the γ - γ angular correlation is perturbed.

The perturbation function $G_k(t)$ for $k = 2$ can be written as [6]

$$G_2(t) = \left\{ S_{20} + \sum_i^3 S_{2i} \cos(\omega_i t) \cdot \exp(-\delta \omega_i t) \exp \left[\frac{(\omega_i \tau_R)^2}{2} \right] \right\}. \quad (1)$$

In case of ^{181}Hf , a consideration of $k = 2$ only is quite reasonable because of the much smaller value of A_4 compared to A_2 ($A_2 = -0.288$, $A_4 = -0.076$ [6]) for the 133–482 keV cascade. A value of $G_2(t) = 1$ corresponds to no perturbation. The above expression for $G_2(t)$ is valid for a polycrystalline sample with $I = 5/2$ for the intermediate state. The frequencies ω_i correspond to transitions between the sublevels of the intermediate state which arise due to quadrupole interaction. Details about the TDPAC technique have been reviewed by Schatz and Weidinger [6]. In the analysis of experimental data, the source inhomogeneities arising from lattice imperfections or chemical effects have been taken into account through the first exponent in (1). Finite time resolution (τ_R) of the coincidence system has also been considered through the second exponent in (1).

If more than one interaction frequencies are present in the sample due to the existence of either various inequivalent sites within the same compound or a mixture of different compounds, the perturbation function can be written as

$$G_2(t) = \sum_i f_i G_2^i(t), \quad (2)$$

where f_i is the fraction of the i -th component. A fitting to expression (1) determine the maximum component V_{zz} of the electric field gradient through the relation

$$\omega_Q = \frac{eQV_{zz}}{4I(2I-1)\hbar}, \quad (3)$$

ω_Q is the quadrupole frequency and Q is the nuclear quadrupole moment. For an axially symmetric electric

field gradient ($\eta = 0$) this is related to ω_1 , ω_2 , and ω_3 as $\omega_Q = \omega_1/6 = \omega_2/12 = \omega_3/18$. For $\eta \neq 0$, this simple relation of $\omega_1 : \omega_2 : \omega_3 = 1 : 2 : 3$ does not hold and a more complex relation between ω_Q and ω_1 arises [3]. However, in this case also the sum rule $\omega_3 = \omega_1 + \omega_2$ holds. The asymmetry parameter is defined as the ratio $\eta = (V_{xx} - V_{yy})/V_{zz}$ and its value lies between 0 and 1.

3. Experimental

The trihydrate hafnium tetrafluoride was prepared from hafnium metal by dissolving in concentrated hydrofluoric acid and drying at room temperature. For this, a small piece of hafnium metal (Zr impurity nominally 3%) procured from M/S Alfa Aesar was activated in the reactor at Mumbai, India, through the capture of thermal neutron to produce the radioisotope ^{181}Hf . The active hafnium metal was then dissolved in concentrated hydrofluoric acid (HF) and allowed to dry at room temperature for 2–3 days. It is known that in HF, hafnium metal forms an octahedral complex of HfF_6^{2-} in the solution. After the solution is dried completely it is used for TDPAC measurements. It has been observed that when hafnium metal is dissolved in concentrated HF and dried at an elevated temperature ($\geq 35^\circ\text{C}$) the compound $\text{HfF}_4 \cdot 3\text{H}_2\text{O}$ is not produced, but an HF adducted compound $\text{HfF}_4 \cdot \text{HF} \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ is formed.

For TDPAC measurements, a four detector TDPAC spectrometer with BaF_2 detectors of crystal sizes $50.8 \times 50.8 \text{ mm}^2$ has been used. The time resolution of the experimental set up was $\sim 1 \text{ ns}$ for the selected γ -rays of ^{181}Ta . Details about the experimental set up and data analysis have been described earlier [7]. For temperature variation of the sample, it was put in contact with a close cycle circulating liquid. The temperature of the liquid was varied precisely with a controlled cooling unit of M/S Julabo, Germany, with a temperature accuracy of better than 1°C .

The experimental set up has been tested with the liquid sample of Hf metal in HF. This gives a flat perturbation as reported earlier [8]. Due to cubic symmetry of the octahedral complex HfF_6^{2-} it produces no electric field gradient at the nuclear site resulting an unperturbed angular correlation in HF.

4. Results and Discussion

The TDPAC spectrum in the crystallized sample taken at room temperature is shown in Figure 1. An analysis of the spectrum shows that it has actually

Fig. 1. TDPAC spectra (left) and the corresponding Fourier spectra (right) at different temperatures. The spectrum at 343 K taken after the measurement at 353 K shows a marked difference and indicates a dehydration of the sample. At 353 K, however, it remained stable indicating a superheated state. At 363 K, the spectrum indicates a complete dehydration.

four frequency components although the major component (54%) was found to be due to $\text{HfF}_4 \cdot 3\text{H}_2\text{O}$. The quadrupole frequency and anisotropy parameter for this component are in good agreement with the earlier reported values (Table 1). Among other components, the third strongest component (13%) can be identified as $\text{Hf}_2\text{OF}_6 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ by comparing the quadrupole frequency and the anisotropy parameter obtained with the values reported earlier by Thies et al. [9] and from their assignment of this component. In addition to these two components, two others (Table 1) have been observed. These have been identified from measurements at different temperatures up to 363 K when the sample be-

comes anhydrous. However, in the crystallized sample no component corresponding to monohydrate tetrafluoride has been observed with the characteristic values of $\omega_Q = 211$ Mrad/s and $\eta = 0.50$ [3]. More accurate values of $\omega_Q = 217.8$ (4) Mrad/s and $\eta = 0.487$ (4) for the compound $\text{HfF}_4 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$ have been found from our recent measurement. The earlier results [3], however, overlap with the new results within their errors. Since the present sample does not contain the monohydrate component, it is very suitable to study the dehydration process and to observe the dehydrated product that is produced on heating at different temperature, particularly, to determine whether $\text{HfF}_4 \cdot 3\text{H}_2\text{O}$

Table 1. Results of TDPAC measurements in hafnium tetrafluoride at different temperatures.

Temp. (K)	compound	ω_Q (Mrad/s)	η	δ (%)	site (%)	Ref. [†]
298	HfF ₄ ·3H ₂ O	201.8(2)	0.427(4)	0	54(1)	[3]
		203(1)	0.43(1)	< 2		
	HfF ₄ (Site II)	124(3)	0.75(3)	9(1)	25(1)	
	Hf ₂ OF ₆ ·H ₂ O	158(1)	0.76(3)	1.9(8)	13(1)	[9]
	HfF ₄ (Site I)	159(2)	0.71(2)	9(2)		
323		121(2)	0.36(3)	0	8(1)	
		201.9(2)	0.395(5)	0	55(1)	
		116(2)	0.68(3)	8(2)	24(1)	
		159(1)	0.74(3)	1.7(8)	14(1)	
333		119(2)	0.29(5)	0	7(1)	
		201.8(2)	0.392(3)	0	56(1)	
		119(4)	0.76(5)	10(2)	22(1)	
		156(2)	0.74(4)	2(1)	12(1)	
343		117(1)	0.38(2)	0	9(1)	
		201.8(2)	0.377(6)	0	53(2)	
		116(3)	0.74(3)	9(2)	27(1)	
		154(1)	0.77(3)	1.1(8)	14(2)	
353		116(2)	0.33(5)	0	5(2)	
		201.8(2)	0.362(4)	0	52(1)	
		112(2)	0.74(4)	8(2)	23(1)	
		157(2)	0.71(3)	4(1)	17(1)	
343*		118(2)	0.37(3)	0	8(1)	
		201.5(3)	0.378(4)	0	23(1)	
		103(2)	0.82(4)	7(2)	13(1)	
		155(4)	0.79(5)	7(3)	13(1)	
363		115(2)	0.36(3)	10.4(7)	51(1)	
	HfF ₄ (Site I)	120(1)	0.33(2)	13.7(6)	93(1)	
298	HfF ₄ (Site II)	109(2)	0.91(4)	2(2)	7(1)	
		117(1)	0.32(2)	14.5(5)	94(1)	
298		116(2)	0.37(2)	13(1)		[3]
		116(2)	0.37(2)	13(1)		[9]
	HfF ₄ (Site II)	111(2)	0.82(3)	3(2)	6(1)	

* Taken after measurement at 353 K; † References not shown correspond to this work.

becomes monohydrate on heating or it becomes anhydrous HfF₄ through the intermediate monohydrate. But, from our measurements, no signal corresponding to HfF₄·H₂O has been observed (Table 1) at any temperature from 298 to 363 K. The composition of the sample was found to be unchanged up to 353 K.

The measurement was then repeated at 343 K. Interestingly, the TDPAC spectra before and after the measurement at 353 K are found to be different (Fig. 1). The spectrum at 343 K, after the measurement at 353 K, shows dehydration of HfF₄·3H₂O whereas at 353 K it showed no dehydration. In the second run at 343 K, the dehydrated component (Site I of HfF₄) increases from 8% to 51%. This indicates that the dehydration temperature of the sample is 343 K. In the first measurement at 343 K and subsequently at 353 K it

was in the super heated state. Possibly, due to the presence of superheated crystalline state of the trihydrate tetrafluoride, it showed different dehydration temperature in the earlier measurements [3, 4]. Probably, we could get the unchanged HfF₄·3H₂O compound at a temperature higher than 353 K when its temperature was not reduced to 343 K and it is difficult to assign a definite dehydration temperature for this type of hydrated compound.

When the sample was heated at 363 K, the components due to HfF₄·3H₂O and Hf₂OF₆·H₂O disappear and the sample becomes anhydrous HfF₄. Since at this temperature also no frequency component due to the monohydrate hafnium tetrafluoride has been observed, present experimental results do not support the process of dehydration through HfF₄·3H₂O→HfF₄·H₂O→HfF₄ as reported earlier by Martínez et al. [3] and do not corroborate the Rickard's hypothesis that two water molecules of HfF₄·3H₂O are weakly bound. The reason for getting contradictory results by Martínez et al. [3] probably lies in the fact that in the earlier sample [3], HfF₄·3H₂O was produced along with HfF₄·H₂O. This monohydrate component was present throughout the temperature range even when the trihydrate becomes anhydrous at 330 K. In the dehydrated sample, probably, the observed monohydrate component was not the dehydrated product of HfF₄·3H₂O, but the associate component of HfF₄·3H₂O. It seems that HfF₄·H₂O is more stable for its dehydration to HfF₄.

The second most intense (25%) and the weakest component (8%) of the sample can be understood from our TDPAC measurements at different temperatures from 323 to 363 K at 10 K intervals. From the Fourier spectra (Fig. 1) it is difficult to identify the weak components in the background of the strong component due to HfF₄·3H₂O. A best fitting (minimum χ^2), however, has been obtained by considering four interaction frequencies. The second strong component has been shown in Figure 2 after subtracting the strongest component and two other weak components. The three Fourier peaks corresponding to this component can be identified in the subtracted spectrum.

It has been found that up to 353 K, the spectra do not change much (Fig. 1). The site fractions for different components remain also almost the same (Fig. 3). These indicate that the sample does not dehydrate or transform on heating up to a temperature of 353 K. At 363 K, however, a change in the spectrum is clearly observed. The TDPAC spectrum obtained at 363 K

Fig. 2. The figure shows the second strong component due to HfF_4 (Site II) after subtracting the strongest component and two other weak components corresponding to the measurement at 323 K.

Fig. 3. Site fractions observed for the main component ($\text{HfF}_4 \cdot 3\text{H}_2\text{O}$) at different temperatures ($\blacklozenge$). At 343 K, the fractions shown correspond to two different state of the sample. The Site percentage at 343 K drops to $\sim 50\%$ ($\blacktriangle$) after the measurement at 353 K.

is shown in Figure 1. An analysis of the spectrum shows that it can be better fitted by considering two frequency components rather than a single component. The corresponding values of ω_Q , η , and their population fractions are given in Table 1. The most intense components (93%) can be identified as HfF_4 . The values of ω_Q and η for this component are closer to the room temperature values in HfF_4 reported earlier (Table 1). Since, the components due to $\text{HfF}_4 \cdot 3\text{H}_2\text{O}$ and $\text{Hf}_2\text{OF}_6 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$ do not appear here, it can be considered that these components dehydrate at 363 K and converted to HfF_4 . After dehydration of the sample, the TDPAC measurement was performed at room temperature and the two components have been observed again at room temperature. These two components can, therefore, be attributed to two different sites of Hf in HfF_4 . From earlier measurements [3, 9] in HfF_4 , only the strongest component (Site I) was reported. For the Site I component, the experimental values of V_{zz} and η

showed an excellent agreement with the point charge model calculation for the HfF_4 crystalline lattice [4], where only the eight nearest fluorine ions to the Hf ion were taken into account. The crystal structure of this compound can be found in [10]. Although the value of ω_Q for the weaker component (Site II) has been found to be not much different from that of the stronger component (Table 1), a higher value of the asymmetry parameter ($\eta = 0.82$) and a lower value of δ (~ 0) has been obtained for the weaker component. This suggests that for the two sites of Hf, the V_{zz} and, therefore, the charge distributions are similar, but the fluorine ions have different orientations with respect to the Hf ion producing a different value of η . The Site II in the anhydrous HfF_4 has been found to be only $\sim 7\%$. The major fractions occupy Site I.

At room temperature and below 363 K, the two components that appear along with the components of $\text{HfF}_4 \cdot 3\text{H}_2\text{O}$ and $\text{Hf}_2\text{OF}_6 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$ can also be assigned as two sites of anhydrous HfF_4 . But, before any chemical transformation of the sample occurs, the two site fractions are opposite to when it is transformed. Before transformation, Site I in HfF_4 occupies only 20–25% whereas Site II occupies 75–80% of the total HfF_4 sites. Also, for Site I, in contrast to large width of frequency distribution ($\delta \sim 14\%$) in the anhydrous HfF_4 , it shows a very good crystalline nature ($\delta = 0$) in the hydrated sample.

5. Conclusions

From present TDPAC measurements at different temperatures, dehydration of trihydrate hafnium tetrafluoride to anhydrous tetrafluoride has been observed. The present investigation shows that this com-

pound dehydrates directly to anhydrous tetrafluoride without producing any monohydrate tetrafluoride as an intermediate product. This is in disagreement with the earlier results which reported that i) the trihydrate hafnium tetrafluoride dehydrates through the intermediate monohydrate and ii) the two water molecules of the trihydrate are weakly bound. However, the present results support the idea of Martínez et al. [3] that the final dehydration product of $\text{HfF}_4 \cdot 3\text{H}_2\text{O}$ is HfF_4 and not $\text{Hf}_2\text{O}_6 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$ or $\text{HfF}_4 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$ when heated in air as found by Rickard and Water [1] and Gaudreau [2], respectively. It has been found that the compound $\text{HfF}_4 \cdot 3\text{H}_2\text{O}$ can remain stable up to a temperature of 353 K although its dehydration temperature has been observed to be 343 K. At 353 K, it remained in the super heated state and this super heated state becomes normal when the temperature is reduced by 10 K.

The anhydrous HfF_4 gives two different TDPAC signals corresponding to two different Hf sites. The

Site I which is the strongest in anhydrous HfF_4 was also found in earlier measurements (Table 1) but Site II ($\sim 7\%$) with higher value of asymmetry parameter has been observed in the present study only. Both the Site I and II appears in the hydrated sample also. The Site II has been found to be stronger before the sample was dehydrated. During chemical transformation of the sample at 363 K, the site populations have been reversed. However, the presence of two Hf sites in HfF_4 has been confirmed from measurements in both hydrated and dehydrated sample.

At the end, we can conclude with the remark that time-differential perturbed angular correlation has proven here to be a useful nuclear technique for microscopic analysis of chemical sample.

Acknowledgement

The author wishes to thank Professor Dr. T. Butz of University of Leipzig, Germany, for his help in data analysis.

- [1] C. E. F. Rickard and T. N. Waters, *J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem.* **26**, 925 (1964).
- [2] B. Gaudreau, *Compt. Rend. Acad. Sci. (Paris)* **263C**, 67 (1966).
- [3] J. A. Martínez, M. C. Caracoche, A. M. Rodríguez, P. C. Rivas, and A. R. López García, *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **102**, 277 (1983).
- [4] M. C. Caracoche, J. A. Martínez, P. C. Rivas, and A. R. López García, *Hyperfine Interact.* **23**, 221 (1985).
- [5] G. L. Catchen, *Mat. Res. Bull.* **XX**, 37 (1995).
- [6] G. Schatz and A. Weidinger, in: *Nuclear condensed matter physics; nuclear methods and application* (translated by J. A. Gardner), John Wiley and Sons, Chichester, New York, Brisbane, Toronto, Singapore 1996, p. 63.
- [7] C. C. Dey, *Pramana* **70**, 835 (2008).
- [8] C. C. Dey, *Hyperfine Interact.* **175**, 185 (2007).
- [9] W. G. Thies, H. Appel, R. Heidinger, and G. M. Then, *Hyperfine Interact.* **30**, 153 (1986).
- [10] R. W. G. Wyckoff, *Crystal structures*, Vol. 2, Wiley-Interscience, New York 1965, p. 129.