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1 Introduction

Several particular cases of the homogeneous ordinary differential equations (ODEs) of the form

\[
\frac{d^2 y}{dx^2} + \frac{N}{x} \frac{dy}{dx} + f(x, y) = 0, \quad (1)
\]

where \( N > 0, \ x \geq 0, \) and \( f(x, y), \) a nonlinear function, have proved to be extremely significant in fundamental topics of astrophysics, atomic physics, and other areas. Their first occurrence was in astrophysics in the particular case \( N = 2 \) and \( f(x, y) \) a monomial power of \( y \)

\[
\frac{d^2 y}{dx^2} + \frac{2}{x} \frac{dy}{dx} + y^n = 0, \quad (2)
\]

or, in self-adjoint form

\[
\frac{d}{dx} \left( x^2 \frac{dy}{dx} \right) + x^2 y^n = 0, \quad (3)
\]

with \( n = 3 \) the most natural case, which is known as the Lane–Emden equation. For standard initial conditions \( y(0) = 1 \) and \( y'(0) = 0, \) there are solutions of (2) representing the Newton–Poisson gravitational potential of stars, such as the Sun, considered as spheres filled with a polytropic gas. This has been first shown in the famous book of Emden [1] written at the beginning of the 20th century. Emden’s book not only summarised the first four decades of research on the self-gravitating stars initiated by Lane in 1870 [2] but also introduced one of the first singular Cauchy problems as represented by (2), and moreover stimulated further remarkable studies of a whole generation of renowned astrophysicists and mathematicians, such as Eddington [3], Fowler [4], and Milne [5]. Furthermore, for negative values of the parameter \( n, \) there are important applications in the theory of spherical nebulae and clusters [6] and in the Emden–Fowler form to more terrestrial areas such as pseudoplastic fluids and large deflections of membranes in mechanics [7].

A ‘two-parameter’ generalisation of the Emden equation was introduced and systematically studied by Fowler [4]

\[
\frac{d}{dx} \left( x^2 \frac{dy}{dx} \right) + x^\lambda y^n = 0 \quad (4)
\]

and is currently known as the Emden–Fowler (EF) equation, while the Lane–Emden equation is the particular case of \( \lambda = 2. \) By the change of variables \( y(x) = z(\chi), \) where \( \chi = 1/x, \) the EF equation can be written in the standard non-adjoint form

\[
\frac{d^2 z}{d\chi^2} + \chi^{-\lambda - 2} z^n = 0, \quad (5)
\]

which is the usual form encountered in the literature.
As for the ‘three-parameter’ generalisation
\[\frac{d^2z}{dx^2} = A\chi^{-\lambda-2}z^n,\]  
(6)
changes of both dependent and independent variables, thumbnail sketched by Bellman [8] from Fowler’s work, reduce it to the standard form
\[\frac{d^2z}{dx^2} + \chi^\sigma z^n = 0,\]  
(7)
which is equivalent to (5) and so nothing essentially new is added. The Thomas–Fermi model [9,10] for the electrostatic field in the bulk of a heavy atom originates from Coulomb–Poisson considerations and is expressed by a self-adjoint form of the kind given in (6) although for a non-integer \(n\). In the literature, one can also find an interesting paper on the exact solutions of a four-parameter generalisation of the Lane–Emden equation, although one of the parameters can be scaled to ±1 by scale transformations [11].

In this work, we are concerned with the EF equation in the standard form
\[\frac{d^2z}{dx^2} = A\chi^{-\lambda-2}z^n,\]  
(8)
for which we provide a detailed discussion of two important integrable cases corresponding to \(\lambda = \frac{n-1}{2}\) and \(\lambda = n + 1\). These choices reduce the EF equation to single-parameter forms, which, however, are the most encountered in astrophysical applications. In some sense, the solution method that we here provide for these cases, in particular for \(n = 5\) and for \(n = 2\) for which we also add the Painlevé reduction, may be considered as complementary to the method of power series solution that is widely used in theoretical astrophysics of the general relativistic isotropic fluid stars, see [12] and references therein. In the past, several authors have dealt with the integrability of the Emden–Fowler and the generalised Emden–Fowler equations through the invariant variational principles and group-invariant techniques [13], or through admissible functional transformations to Abel’s equation of the second kind [14,15]. Moreover, the authors in [16] developed a theory of quasi-Lie schemes to investigate several equations of Emden type where they obtain the constants of motion by means of particular solutions, which requires a priori knowledge of some particular solution that enables transformation from an Emden equation into a Lie system [17,18]. In addition, in a paper by Leach and collaborators [19] on generalised EF equations of the form \(y'' + f(x)y^n = 0\), closed-form solutions have been obtained for some of the special cases of \(f(x)\) for which the equation possesses one or two Lie point symmetries.

Before proceeding with the main part of the paper, we mention the two particular cases of (8). First, for \(\lambda = 0\) we obtain
\[\frac{d^2z}{dx^2} = A\chi^{-2}z^n,\]  
(9)
which is transformed to
\[\frac{d^2z}{dt^2} - \frac{dz}{dt} = Az^n\]  
(10)
by using \(t = \ln \chi\). The second particular case is \(\lambda = n - 1\), which leads to
\[\frac{d^2z}{dx^2} = A\chi^{-n-1}z^n,\]  
(11)
and using again \(t = \ln \chi\) simplifies to
\[\frac{d^2z}{dt^2} - \frac{dz}{dt} = A\chi^{-n+1}z^n.\]  
(12)
Moreover, by letting \(z = \theta e^s\), we obtain
\[\frac{d^2\theta}{dt^2} + \frac{d\theta}{dt} = A\theta^n.\]  
(13)
Equations (10) and (13) were discussed previously in detail by Fowler [4,20] and will not be of interest here.

2 Self-Adjoint and Invariant Forms

The self-adjoint form of (8) is obtained by changing the variables according to \(z(\xi) = \eta(\xi)\) and \(\xi = \frac{1}{\lambda} t\), which leads to
\[\frac{1}{\xi^2} \frac{d}{d\xi} \left( \xi^2 \frac{d\eta}{d\xi} \right) = A\xi^{\lambda-2}\eta^n.\]  
(14)
On the other hand, an invariant form of (8) can be obtained using the transformation \(z(\xi) = w(s)\), where \(s = \frac{1}{\lambda} t\), which leads to an EF equation with a different power of the independent variable containing both parameters:
\[\frac{d^2w}{ds^2} = As^{\lambda-1-n}w^n.\]  
(15)
One can then infer that, if \(\lambda = \frac{n-1}{2}\), (15) is invariant under the transformation \(z \leftrightarrow w(s), s \leftrightarrow \frac{1}{\lambda}\) since it coincides with (8), while for \(\lambda = n + 1\), the power of \(s\) is zero. Thus, it does not appear to be a coincidence that in 1984 when Rosenau [21] was interested in the integration of (8),
he provided two algebraic methods of integration when the same conditions between the powers of the variables are satisfied: $\lambda = \frac{n-1}{2}$ or $\lambda = n + 1$. Thus, both cases will be considered in detail, for which we will construct the integrals of motions and write parametric solutions using a general formalism.

To proceed with the general solutions for these two classes, first let us assume that, if we have a particular solution $z_p(\chi)$ of (8), then we can construct a general solution $z(\chi) = z_p(\chi)\nu(\chi)$, where $\nu(\chi)$ must satisfy the ODE

$$z_p \frac{d^2\nu}{d\chi^2} + 2 \frac{dz_p}{d\chi} \frac{d\nu}{d\chi} + \frac{d^2z_p}{d\chi^2}(\nu - \nu^\prime) = 0. \quad (16)$$

2.1 Case $\lambda = \frac{n-1}{2}$

Letting $\lambda = \frac{n-1}{2}$ in (8) we obtain

$$\frac{d^2z}{d\chi^2} = A\chi^{-\frac{n+1}{2}}z^n, \quad (17)$$

which has a particular solution

$$z_p(\chi) = a\sqrt{\chi}, \quad a^{n-1} = -\frac{1}{4A}. \quad (18)$$

To construct the integrals of motion, we first put (17) in self-adjoint form to obtain

$$\frac{d}{d\xi} \left( \xi^2 \frac{d\eta}{d\xi} \right) = A\xi^{\frac{n-1}{2}}\eta^n. \quad (19)$$

In 1970s, Djukic [22] was first to find the integrals of motion for (19) using a generalised Noether theorem. He obtained

$$\xi^2 \frac{d\eta}{d\xi} \left( \xi \frac{d\eta}{d\xi} + \eta \right) - \frac{2A}{n+1} \xi^{\frac{n+1}{2}}\eta^{n+1} = C_1, \quad (20)$$

which in terms of the original variables of (17) turns into

$$\frac{dz}{d\chi} \left( \chi \frac{dz}{d\chi} - z \right) - \frac{2A}{n+1} \chi^{-\frac{n+1}{2}}z^{n+1} = C_1. \quad (21)$$

The invariant method may have advantage over the original equation because one may obtain more easily the solution by solving a first-order ODE instead of solving a second-order one.

Using the invariant form (15) with $\lambda = \frac{n-1}{2}$, we obtain

$$\frac{dz}{ds} \left( s \frac{dz}{ds} - z \right) - \frac{2A}{n+1} s^{-\frac{n+1}{2}}w^{n+1} = C_2. \quad (23)$$

By using the original variables, the invariant (23) is exactly (21) up to some arbitrary integration constant.

Since we know $z_p$ according to (18), (16) reduces to

$$\chi^2 \frac{d^2\nu}{d\chi^2} + \chi \frac{d\nu}{d\chi} - \frac{1}{4}(\nu - \nu^\prime) = 0. \quad (24)$$

The damping term can be eliminated using $t = \ln \chi$ and gives

$$\frac{d^2\nu}{dt^2} = \frac{1}{4}(\nu - \nu^\prime), \quad (25)$$

with one solution found by Fowler [23]

$$\nu(t) = \left[ 2(n+1) \frac{K_1e^{-\frac{n+1}{2}t}}{1 + K_1e^{-\frac{n+1}{2}t}} \right]^\frac{1}{n-1}, \quad n \neq 1. \quad (26)$$

By combining (26) and (18), the particular solution to (17) is

$$z_p(\chi) = \left[ \frac{-K_1(n+1)}{2A(1 + K_1\chi^{-\frac{n+1}{2}})^2} \right]^\frac{1}{n-1}, \quad n \neq 1. \quad (27)$$

2.2 Case $\lambda = n + 1$

Letting $\lambda = n + 1$ in (8), we get

$$\frac{d^2z}{d\chi^2} = A\chi^{-(n+3)}z^n, \quad (28)$$

which has the particular solution

$$z_p(\chi) = \beta\chi^{\frac{n+1}{2}}, \quad \beta^{n-1} = \frac{2(n+1)}{A(n-1)^2}, \quad n \neq 1. \quad (29)$$

To construct the integrals of motion, we will proceed in reverse order, by using first the invariant transformation, and then the canonical variables. Using $\lambda = n + 1$ in (15), we have

$$\frac{d^2w}{ds^2} = Aw^n. \quad (30)$$

The integral of motion is easily obtained by multiplying by $w$ and integrating to get

$$\left( \frac{dw}{ds} \right)^2 - \frac{2A}{n+1} w^{n+1} = C_3, \quad (31)$$

which in terms of the original variables of (28) becomes

$$\left( z - \chi \frac{dz}{d\chi} \right)^2 - \frac{2A}{n+1} \chi^{-(n+1)}z^{n+1} = C_3. \quad (32)$$
Using the canonical variables, (28) is
\[
d \frac{d}{d\xi} \left( \xi^2 \frac{d\eta}{d\xi} \right) = A \xi^{n+1} \eta^n, \tag{33}
\]
with invariant
\[
\left( \eta + \xi \frac{d\eta}{d\xi} \right)^2 - \frac{2A}{n+1} \xi^{n+1} \eta^{n+1} = C_3. \tag{34}
\]
Since we know \( z_p \), according to (16) we obtain
\[
\chi^2 \frac{d^2 \nu}{d\chi^2} + \frac{2(n+1)}{n-1} \chi \frac{d\nu}{d\chi} + \frac{2(n+1)}{(n-1)^2} (\nu - \nu^n) = 0, \tag{35}
\]
and by using \( t = \ln \chi \) we obtain
\[
\frac{d^2 \nu}{dt^2} + \frac{n+3}{n-1} \frac{d\nu}{dt} + \frac{2(n+1)}{(n-1)^2} (\nu - \nu^n) = 0. \tag{36}
\]
A particular solution of this equation can be obtained using the factorisation technique of Rosu and Cornejo-Pérez [24]. The factored form of (36) is
\[
\left[ \frac{d}{dt} + \frac{n+1}{n-1} \left( 1 + \nu^{\frac{n-1}{n}}} \right) \right] \left[ \frac{d}{dt} + \frac{2}{n-1} \left( 1 - \nu^{\frac{n-1}{n}}} \right) \right] \nu = 0. \tag{37}
\]
This leads to
\[
\frac{d\nu}{dt} + \frac{2}{n-1} \nu - \frac{2}{n-1} \nu^{\frac{n-1}{n}}} = 0, \tag{38}
\]
which is a Bernoulli equation with the kink solution
\[
\nu(t) = \frac{1}{(1 + K_2 t^n)^{\frac{1}{n}}}, \quad n \neq 1. \tag{39}
\]
By combining (39) and (29), the particular solution to (28) is
\[
z_p(\chi) = \left[ \frac{2(n+1)}{A(n-1)^2} \frac{\chi^{n+1}}{(1 + K_2 \chi)^2} \right]^{\frac{1}{n-1}}, \quad n \neq 1. \tag{40}
\]

### 3 Parametric Solutions

#### 3.1 Case \( \lambda = \frac{n-1}{2} \)

Equation (17) is the same as (4) in Section 2.3.1-2 of Polyanin’s book [25]. Depending on \( n \), there are two sets of parametric solutions, namely
\[
\begin{align*}
\chi(\tau) &= a \frac{C_1}{\Theta(\tau)}, \\
\zeta(\tau) &= b \frac{C_1}{\Theta(\tau)}, \\
\Theta(\tau) &= \Theta_0 + \int \frac{d\tau}{\sqrt{1 + \tau^{n+1}}}, \\
A &= \pm \frac{n+1}{2} a^{n+1} b^{1-n},
\end{align*} \tag{41}
\]
First, if \( n \neq -1 \), we have \( \psi(\tau) = \frac{2\tau^{n+1}}{n+1} \), while if \( n = -1 \), \( \psi(\tau) = 2\ln |\tau| \).

#### 3.2 Case \( \lambda = n + 1 \)

Equation (28) is the same as (3) in Section 2.3.1-2 of Polyanin’s book [25]. Therefore, depending on \( n \) again, there are also two sets of parametric solutions. When \( n \neq -1 \), we have
\[
\begin{align*}
\chi(\tau) &= a \frac{C_1}{\Theta(\tau)}, \\
\zeta(\tau) &= b \frac{C_1}{\Theta(\tau)}, \\
\Theta(\tau) &= \Theta_0 + \int \frac{d\tau}{\sqrt{1 + \tau^{n+1}}}, \\
A &= \pm \frac{n+1}{2} a^{n+1} b^{1-n},
\end{align*} \tag{42}
\]
while for \( n = -1 \) we have
\[
\begin{align*}
\chi(\tau) &= \frac{C_1}{\Theta(\tau)}, \\
\zeta(\tau) &= b \exp(\mp \tau^2), \\
\Theta(\tau) &= \Theta_0 + \int \exp(\mp \tau^2) d\tau, \\
A &= \mp \frac{2}{b^2}.
\end{align*} \tag{43}
\]

### 4 Examples

We will present three examples, choosing \( n = 2 \) and \( n = 5 \) for the first class while taking \( n = 2 \) for the second class. The case \( n = 5 \) occurs in astrophysics of stars treated as gas spheres, while for \( n = 2 \) the Emden–Fowler equations are particular cases of equations of the type
\[
\frac{d^2 z}{dx^2} = F(x)z^2, \tag{44}
\]
which describe perfect fluids in shear-free motion in general relativity as shown by Kustaanheimo and Qvist
already in 1948 [26]. These authors obtained the remarkable result that (44) is integrable when the function $F$ takes the form

$$F_{w0}(\chi) = (a_1 \chi^2 + a_2 \chi + a_3)^{-5/2} \tag{45}$$

for arbitrary constants $a_1$, $a_2$, and $a_3$, a result that was later also found by [19] using the methods of Lie point symmetry analysis. The Emden–Fowler case from the first class corresponds to $a_1 = a_2 = 0$, and $a_2 = A^{-2/5}$, while the case from the second class is obtained when $a_2 = a_3 = 0$ and $a_1 = A^{-2/5}$. What Kustaanheimo and Qvist did not know was that Ince had studied in considerable detail equations of the type (44) in his book [27] by the method of reduction to Painlevé equations. For completeness, following Ince, we briefly present this reduction method here.

### 4.1 Reduction to Painlevé Equations

The necessary condition for a second-order equation

$$z_{xx} + h_2(z)z_x + h_3(z) = 0 \tag{46}$$

for nonappearance of movable branch points is that the nonlinearities are polynomials, i.e. $h_2(z) = -(a_1 z + a_0)$ and $h_3(z) = -(b_1 z^3 + F z^2 + b_1 z + b_0)$, with all coefficients either constants or functions of the independent variable. With this assumption, (46) takes the form

$$z_{xx} = (a_1 z + a_0)z_x + b_3 z^3 + F z^2 + b_1 z + b_0. \tag{47}$$

To proceed with the integrability of this equation, we perform the Painlevé transformation [28]

$$z = \lambda(\chi) W(Z) + \mu(\chi), \quad Z = \phi(\chi), \tag{48}$$

where the functions $\lambda$, $\nu$, $\phi$ are to be found in such a way that $W$ satisfies a Painlevé or an elliptic equation. By using this ansatz in (47), we obtain

$$W_{zz} = (A W + B) W_Z + C W^3 + D W^2 + E W + S, \tag{49}$$

where

$$A = \frac{a_1 \lambda}{\phi_x^2},$$

$$B = \frac{(a_0 + a_1 \mu) \lambda \phi_x - 2 \lambda \phi_x - \lambda \phi_{xx}}{\phi_x^2},$$

$$C = \frac{b_3 \lambda^2}{\phi_x^2},$$

$$D = \frac{(F + 3 b_3 \mu) \lambda + a_1 \lambda_x}{\phi_x^2},$$

$$E = \frac{(b_1 + 2 F \mu + 3 b_3 \mu^2 + a_3 \mu_x) \lambda + (a_0 + a_1 \mu) \lambda_x - \lambda_{xx}}{\lambda \phi_x^2},$$

$$S = \frac{b_0 + b_1 \mu + b_3 \mu^2 + (a_0 + a_1 \mu) \mu_x - \mu_{xx}}{\lambda \phi_x^2}. \tag{50}$$

Since we have the form given by (44), $a_1 = a_0 = b_3 = b_1 = b_0 = 0$, and $n = 2$, which gives the particular case $A = C = 0$. Equation (49) reduces to

$$W_{zz} = B W_Z + D W^2 + E W + S, \tag{51}$$

which simplifies to

$$W_{zz} = -\frac{2 \lambda \phi_x + \lambda \phi_{xx}}{\lambda \phi_x^2} W_Z + \frac{F \lambda}{\lambda \phi_x^2} W^2 + \frac{2 F \mu - \lambda_{xx}}{\lambda \phi_x^2} W + \frac{F \mu^2 - \mu_{xx}}{\lambda \phi_x^2}. \tag{52}$$

This will reduce further to

$$W_{zz} = 6 W^2 + S \tag{53}$$

by choosing

$$\begin{align*}
2 \frac{\lambda}{\phi_x} + \phi_{xx} &= 0, \\
2 \mu F &= \lambda_{xx}, \\
F &= 6 \frac{\phi_x^2}{\lambda}.
\end{align*} \tag{54}$$

$\lambda$ can be found by integrating once the first equation of the system (54)

$$\phi_x = \frac{1}{\lambda}, \tag{55}$$

and substituting into the last equation of the system (54) to obtain

$$\lambda(\chi) = \frac{\sqrt{6}}{\sqrt[3]{F(\chi)}}. \tag{56}$$

Using (56) in (55) and by one more integration, we obtain

$$\phi(\chi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{36}} \int F(\chi)^{\frac{2}{3}} d\chi \equiv Z. \tag{57}$$

Lastly, using the second equation of the system (54), together with (56), we find

$$\mu(\chi) = \frac{6 (F(\chi))^2 - 5 FF_{xx}}{50 F^3}. \tag{58}$$
Since we know $A$ and $\mu$, the free term of (52) becomes
\[ S(\chi) = \frac{6(F\mu^2 - \mu z)}{A^2}. \] (59)

For the Kustaanheimo–Qvist function (45), we find
\[ \lambda = \sqrt{6} \sqrt{a_1 x^2 + a_2 x + a_3}, \]
\[ \mu = -\frac{1}{8} (a_2^2 - 4a_1 a_3) \sqrt{a_1 x^2 + a_2 x + a_3}, \] (60)
which leads to the constant $S$ given by
\[ S = \frac{\sqrt{27}(a_2^2 - 4a_1 a_3)^2}{32 \sqrt{4}} \] (61)
and implies that the general solution is a Weierstrass elliptic function. The solutions of (53) are free from movable points only when the function $S(\chi)$ is of the linear form, which by a trivial change of the variables takes one of the following three standard forms:
\[
\begin{align*}
S(\chi) &= 0 \Rightarrow W_{zz} = 6W^2, \\
S(\chi) &= \frac{1}{2} \Rightarrow W_{zz} = 6W^2 + \frac{1}{2}, \\
S(\chi) &= \phi(\chi) = Z \Rightarrow W_{zz} = 6W^2 + Z.
\end{align*}
\] (62)

If $S$ is not a constant, the general solution is a Painlevé transcendental function. The last case in (62) is usually known as $P_1$, the first of the six classes of Painlevé type equations. For more details on this Painlevé pattern of solutions, with application to non-static, radially symmetric distributions of matter in general relativity, we refer the reader to Wyman’s Jeffery–Williams lecture, 1976 [29].

4.2 The $n = 2$ Emden–Fowler Equation of the First Class

Following our setting, we will study in detail the Emden–Fowler equation
\[ \frac{d^2 z}{d\chi^2} = \frac{3}{2} \frac{d^2 z}{d\chi^2} - \frac{3}{2} z^2 \] (63)
The integral of motion is obtained from (21) using $n = 2$ and $A = \frac{1}{2}$ to give
\[ \frac{dz}{d\chi} \left( \frac{dz}{d\chi} - z \right)^2 = C_1, \] (64)
which has a rational solution provided that $C_1 = 0$, given by
\[ z_p(\chi) = -\frac{K_1 \chi}{(K_1 + \sqrt{\lambda})^2}. \] (65)
The particular solution of (63), which is also given by (27), is exactly (65).

To find the general solutions, we use the system (41) with $n = 2$, and we obtain
\[ \chi(\tau) = aB_1^2 \exp \Theta(\tau) \]
\[ z(\tau) = bB_1 \tau \exp \frac{2}{3} \Theta(\tau) \]
\[ \Theta(\tau) = \int \frac{dr}{\sqrt{K_3 + \frac{2r^2}{3} + \frac{r^4}{4}}} \] (66)
where $\frac{a}{b} = \frac{2}{3}$. By redefining $B_1 = \frac{2B_0}{3}$, the parametric general solutions to (63) become
\[ \chi(\tau) = B_2 \exp \Theta(\tau) \]
\[ z(\tau) = \frac{2}{3} B_2 \tau \exp -\frac{\Theta(\tau)}{2}. \] (67)
\[ \Theta(\tau) \] is obtained by inverting the elliptic equation
\[ \left( \frac{dr}{d\theta} \right)^2 = \frac{2r^3}{3} + \frac{r^2}{4} + K_3 = a_3 r^3 + a_2 r^2 + a_1 r + a_0 \]
\[ \equiv Q_3(\tau), \] (68)
which is put in standard form using the scale-shift transformation
\[ \tau(\theta) = \frac{4}{a_3} \varphi(\theta; g_2, g_3) - \frac{a_2}{3a_3} = 6\varphi(\theta; g_2, g_3) - \frac{1}{8} \] (69)
to become
\[ \varphi^2_0 = 4\varphi^3 - g_2 \varphi - g_3. \] (70)
The germs of the Weierstrass function are given by
\[ g_2 = \frac{a_2^2 - 3a_1 a_3}{12} = \frac{1}{192} \]
\[ g_3 = \frac{9a_1 a_2 a_3 - 27a_0 a_3^2 - 2a_1^3}{432} = -\frac{1}{13824} \] (71)
and together with the modular discriminant
\[ \Delta = g_2^3 - 27g_3^2 = -\frac{K_3(1 + 192K_3)}{9216} \] (72)
are used to classify the solutions of (70) [30].

If $\Delta \neq 0 \Rightarrow K_3 = 0$ or $K_3 = -\frac{1}{192}$, the Weierstrass solutions can be simplified since $\varphi$ degenerates into hyperbolic or trigonometric functions.
(a) $K_3 = 0 \Rightarrow g_2 > 0, g_3 < 0$, so (70) has the soliton solution
\[ \tau(\theta) = \frac{3}{8} \left[ -1 + \operatorname{tanh}^2 \left( \frac{\theta - \theta_0}{4} \right) \right]. \] (73)
Let
\[ g_2 = 12\hat{e}^2 > 0, \]
\[ g_3 = -8\hat{e}^3 < 0. \]  
(74)

The Weierstrass \( \wp \) solution to (70) reduces to
\[ \wp(\Theta; 12\hat{e}^2, -8\hat{e}^3) = \hat{e} + 3\hat{e}\csc^2(\sqrt{3}\hat{e}\Theta). \]  
(75)

Since \( \hat{e} = \frac{1}{4\hat{e}} > 0 \), the Weierstrass solution is
\[ \wp(\Theta) = \frac{1}{16} \left[ \frac{1}{3} + \csc^2 \left( \frac{\Theta - \Theta_0}{4} \right) \right]. \]  
(76)

and using (69) we obtain
\[ \tau(\Theta) = \frac{3}{8} \csc^2 \left( \frac{\Theta - \Theta_0}{4} \right). \]  
(77)

(b) \( K_3 = -\frac{1}{192} \Rightarrow g_2 > 0, g_3 > 0 \), so (70) has the periodic solution
\[ \tau(\Theta) = \frac{1}{8} \left[ 1 + 3\tan^2 \left( \frac{\Theta - \Theta_0}{4} \right) \right]. \]  
(78)

Let
\[ g_2 = 12\hat{e}^2 > 0, \]
\[ g_3 = 8\hat{e}^3 > 0. \]  
(79)

The Weierstrass \( \wp \) solution reduces to
\[ \wp(\Theta; 12\hat{e}^2, 8\hat{e}^3) = -\hat{e} + 3\hat{e}\csc^2(\sqrt{3}\hat{e}\Theta). \]  
(80)

Since \( \hat{e} = \frac{1}{4\hat{e}} > 0 \), the Weierstrass solution gives
\[ \wp(\Theta) = \frac{1}{16} \left[ -\frac{1}{3} + \csc^2 \left( \frac{\Theta}{4} \right) \right]. \]  
(81)

and using (69) we obtain
\[ \tau(\Theta) = \frac{1}{4} \left[ 1 - \frac{3}{2}\csc^2 \left( \frac{\Theta - \Theta_0}{4} \right) \right]. \]  
(82)

If \( \Delta \neq 0 \), in general the Weierstrass solutions cannot be simplified, with the exception of a particular lemniscatic case for which \( g_2 > 0, g_3 = 0 \Rightarrow K_3 = -\frac{1}{192} \). In this case, we obtain
\[ \tau(\Theta) = -\frac{1}{8} + 6\wp \left( \Theta - \Theta_0; \frac{1}{192}, 0 \right). \]  
(83)

Because \( \Delta = \frac{1}{2(1 + 4\tau)} > 0 \), the cubic polynomial
\[ 4r^3 - g_2rt \]  
has three distinct real roots given by \( e_1 = -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}, e_2 = 0, \text{ and } e_1 = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}. \) Although the Weierstrass unbounded function has poles aligned on the real axis of the \( \Theta - \Theta_0 \) complex plane, we can choose \( \xi_0 \) in such a way as to shift these poles a half period above the real axis so that the elliptic function simplifies using the formula
\[ \wp(\Theta; g_2, 0) = e_3 + (e_2 - e_1)\sin^2 \left( \frac{\sqrt{2}\Theta}{2} \right) \]  
(84)

with elliptic modulus \( m = \frac{\sqrt{g_2 - e_1}}{\sqrt{e_2 - e_1}} \). Using the values of the roots, we obtain
\[ \wp(\Theta; g_2, 0) = -\frac{\sqrt{2\tau}}{2} \cot^2 \left( \frac{\sqrt{2\tau}}{2} \right), \]  
(85)

which becomes
\[ \wp \left( \Theta; \frac{1}{192}, 0 \right) = -\frac{1}{16\sqrt{3}} \cot^2 \left( \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}\sqrt{3}} \Theta; \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \right). \]  
(86)

Using these results, the solution (83) is
\[ \tau(\Theta) = -\frac{1}{8} \left[ 1 + \sqrt{3}\cot^2 \left( \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}\sqrt{3}} \Theta - \Theta_0; \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \right) \right]. \]  
(87)

For all other values of \( K_3 \), one obtains the solutions in terms of the general \( \wp \) functions, which take the form
\[ \tau(\Theta) = -\frac{1}{8} + 6\wp \left( \Theta - \Theta_0; \frac{1}{192}, -\frac{1}{192} + \frac{1}{13824} \right). \]  
(88)

By inverting all of the above solutions in order (and keeping the only real and positive branches with positive argument), we obtain
\[ \Theta(\tau) = \begin{cases} 
4\arctanh \left( \sqrt{1 + \frac{8\tau}{3}} \right) + \Theta_0 \\
4\arccsch \left( 2\sqrt{\frac{8\tau}{3}} \right) + \Theta_0 \\
4\arctan \left( \sqrt{\frac{8\tau - 1}{3}} \right) + \Theta_0 \\
4\arcsin \left( \sqrt{\frac{3}{2(1 + 4\tau)}} \right) + \Theta_0 \\
2\sqrt{3} F \left( \arcsin \left( \sqrt{\frac{-(8\tau + 1)}{\sqrt{3}}} \right); \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \right) + \Theta_0 \\
\frac{1}{6} \left( \frac{1}{8} + \tau \right) + \Theta_0 = \frac{1}{192} + \frac{1}{13824} \right) + \Theta_0, 
\end{cases} \]  
(89)

where \( F(\tau; m) \) is the elliptic integral of the first kind.
Using (56)–(58) we have
\[ \lambda = \sqrt{4} \sqrt{x}, \]
\[ \phi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{16}} \ln x, \]
\[ \mu = -\frac{\sqrt{x}}{2}. \] (90)

By using (59), this case reduces to the elliptic equation
\[ W_{2Z} = 6W^2 + \frac{1}{36 \sqrt{16}}. \] (91)

### 4.3 The \( n = 2 \) Emden–Fowler Equations of the Second Class

Here, we consider our solution method for the Emden–Fowler equation
\[ \frac{d^2z}{dx^2} = -x^{-5}z^2. \] (92)

Using the transformation \( z(\chi) = \frac{w(s)}{s} \), where \( s = \frac{1}{\chi} \), leads to
\[ \frac{d^3w}{ds^2} = -w^2, \] (93)
whose integral of motion is
\[ \left( \frac{dw}{ds} \right)^2 + \frac{2}{3} w^3 = C_3. \] (94)

In terms of the original variables, this invariant becomes
\[ \chi^4 \left[ \frac{d}{dx} \left( \frac{z}{\chi} \right) \right]^2 + \frac{2}{3} \left( \frac{z}{\chi} \right)^3 = C_3. \] (95)

Using \( A = -1 \) with \( n = 2 \), a particular solution can be found using (40), which gives
\[ z_p(\chi) = -\frac{6x^3}{(1 + K_2x)^2}. \] (96)

The same solution verifies the invariant when \( C_1 = 0 \). To find the general solutions, we use the system (42), which gives
\[ \chi(\tau) = \frac{aC_1}{\Theta(\tau)}, \]
\[ z(\tau) = \frac{bC_1^3 T}{\Theta(\tau)}, \]
\[ \Theta(\tau) = \Theta_0 + \int \frac{d\tau}{\sqrt{1 + \tau^3}}. \] (97)

Since \( A = -1, a^2 = \mp \frac{3}{4} b \), and by redefining \( \pm aC_1 = C_2 \), the parametric general solutions of (92) are found from the system
\[ \chi(\tau) = \frac{C_2}{\Theta(\tau)}, \]
\[ z(\tau) = \frac{3C_2^3}{2} \frac{\tau}{\Theta(\tau)} \] (98)

where \( \tau(\theta) \) satisfies the reduced elliptic equation
\[ \left( \frac{d\tau}{d\varphi} \right)^2 = \pm \tau^3 + 1, \] (99)

which is a particular case \( a_3 = \pm 1, a_2 = a_1 = 0, \) and \( a_0 = 1 \) of (68). The Weierstrass germs are \( g_2 = 0 \) and \( g_3 = -\frac{1}{16} \); therefore, \( \Delta = \frac{1}{16} \) \( \neq 0 \) and the solution to (99) is given by the simplified equi-anharmonic case, and takes the form
\[ \tau(\theta) = \pm 4\varphi \left( \theta - \theta_0; 0, -\frac{1}{16} \right). \] (100)

Inverting and using (98), we have
\[ \chi(\tau) = \frac{C_2}{\Theta_0 + \varphi^{-1} \left( \pm \frac{1}{16}; 0, -\frac{1}{16} \right)}, \]
\[ z(\tau) = \frac{3C_2^3}{2} \frac{\tau}{\Theta_0 + \varphi^{-1} \left( \pm \frac{1}{16}; 0, -\frac{1}{16} \right)}. \] (101)

Using (56)–(58), we have
\[ \lambda = -\sqrt{6x}, \]
\[ \phi = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{36x}}, \]
\[ \mu = 0. \] (102)

By using (59), this case reduces to the elliptic equation
\[ W_{2Z} = 6W^2. \] (103)

### 4.4 The \( n = 5 \) Emden–Fowler Equation of the First Class

In 1907, Emden reviewed the theory of polytropic gas spheres (stars in astrophysics) and studied equations of the form [1]
\[ \frac{d^2z}{dx^2} = \pm x^{1-n} z^n, \] (104)
which belongs to the first class if one chooses \( n = 5 \). Letting \( A = 1 \) in (17), we obtain
\[ \frac{d^2z}{dx^2} = x^{-4} z^5. \] (105)
This equation was first derived by Schuster [31] and has the integral of motion

\[
\frac{dz}{dx} \left( \frac{dz}{d\chi} - z \right) - \frac{1}{3} \left( \frac{z^2}{\chi} \right)^3 = C_1
\]

with particular solutions

\[
z_\ell(\chi) = \pm \frac{\sqrt{6K_5\chi}}{\sqrt{K_5^4 - 12\chi^2}},
\]

\[
z_\ell(\chi) = \mp \frac{\sqrt{6K_5\chi}}{\sqrt{\chi^2 - 12K_5^4}},
\]

obtained when \( C_1 = 0 \). The second particular solution can also be obtained by using (27) with \( A = 1, n = 5, \) and \( K_1 = 12K_5^4 \).

To find the general solutions, we use the system (41) with \( n = 2 \) to obtain

\[
\begin{align*}
\chi(\tau) &= aB_1^2 \exp \Theta(\tau), \\
z(\tau) &= bB_1 \tau \exp \frac{1}{2} \Theta(\tau), \\
\Theta(\tau) &= \int \frac{d\tau}{\sqrt{K_3 + \frac{r^2}{3} + \frac{r^2}{4}}},
\end{align*}
\]

where \( a = \pm b^2 \). Redefining \( bB_1 = B_2 \), the parametric general solutions of (105) become

\[
\begin{align*}
\chi(\tau) &= \pm B_2 \exp \Theta(\tau), \\
z(\tau) &= B_2 \tau \exp \frac{1}{2} \Theta(\tau),
\end{align*}
\]

from which we can see that the solutions can be expressed in terms of Weierstrass elliptic functions. \( \Theta(\tau) \) is obtained by inverting the elliptic equation

\[
\left( \frac{d\tau}{d\Theta} \right)^2 = \frac{r^6}{3} + \frac{r^2}{4} + K_3,
\]

which is

\[
\left( \frac{du}{d\Theta} \right)^2 = u^4 + u^2 + K_4u
\]

\[
= b_3u^4 + b_2u^3 + b_1u + b_0
\]

\[
\equiv Q_4(u),
\]

using \( r^2 = \frac{\sqrt{7}}{3} u \) and \( K_3 = \frac{8\sqrt{7}}{3} K_5 \). According to Whittaker and Watson [32], the solutions of (111) can be expressed by means of the rational Weierstrass elliptic functions \( \wp(\Theta; g_2, g_3) \) using the formula

\[
u(\Theta) = u_0 + \sqrt{Q_4(u_0)}\wp'(\Theta; g_2, g_3) + \frac{d\wp(\Theta; g_2, g_3)}{d\Theta} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2\wp(\Theta; g_2, g_3)}{d\Theta^2} - \frac{1}{48} \frac{d^3\wp(\Theta; g_2, g_3)}{d\Theta^3},
\]

where \( u_0 \) can be any constant and not necessarily a root of \( Q_4(u) \). The elliptic invariants and modular discriminant are related to the coefficients of the quartic polynomial \( Q_4(u) \), which yield

\[
g_2 = \frac{1}{12} (b_2^2 - 3b_1b_3) + b_0b_4 = \frac{1}{12}
\]

\[
g_3 = \frac{1}{432} \left[ 9b_2(b_1b_3 + 8b_0b_4) - 2b_2^3 - 27 \left( b_0b_3^2 + b_1^2b_4 \right) \right]
\]

\[
= \frac{-2 + 27K_4^2}{432}
\]

\[
\Delta = g_2^3 - 27g_3^2 = \frac{-K_4^2(4 + 27K_4^2)}{256},
\]

and are used to classify the Weierstrass solutions. Because we already know a simple root \( u_0 \) of \( Q_4(u) \), (69) has the simpler form

\[
u(\Theta) = u_0 + \frac{\frac{d\wp(\Theta; g_2, g_3)}{du}}{4\wp'(\Theta; g_2, g_3) - \frac{1}{24} \frac{d^2\wp(\Theta; g_2, g_3)}{du^2}}.
\]

Since \( \Delta < 0, g_2 > 0, \) and \( g_3 < 0 \), the Weierstrass solutions cannot be simplified further, and for \( u_0 = 0 \) we have the solution

\[
u(\Theta) = \frac{K_4}{4\wp'(\Theta; g_2, g_3) - \frac{1}{24} \frac{d^2\wp(\Theta; g_2, g_3)}{du^2}}.
\]

Using back the transformations, we obtain the solution

\[
\Theta(\tau) = \Theta_0 + \varphi^{-1} \left( \frac{K_3}{\tau^2} + \frac{1}{12} \frac{1}{12}, -\frac{1 + 288K_4^2}{216} \right),
\]

\( K_3 \neq 0 \).

When \( K_4 = 0 \), (111) becomes

\[
\frac{du}{u\sqrt{u^2 + 1}} = \pm d\Theta,
\]

which gives

\[
\Theta(\tau) = \Theta_0 \pm \ln \left( \frac{2\tau^2}{\sqrt{3} + \sqrt{3} + 4\tau^2} \right), \quad K_4 = 0.
\]
Notice that this particular solution leads to the solutions given by the system (107) when $\Theta_0 = 0$. Solutions in terms of Jacobian and Weierstrass elliptic functions for this important case in astrophysics have been obtained only recently by Mach [33].

5 Conclusion

In summary, we have analysed the two integrable classes of Emden–Fowler equations for which the power parameters of the independent and dependent variables are related through $\lambda = (n - 1)/2$ and $\lambda = n + 1$. For these cases, the parametric solutions were written explicitly following Polyanin and Zaitsev [25]. As particular examples, we have presented the astrophysical case $\lambda = 2$, $n = 5$ belonging to the first class, and two $n = 2$ cases that describe perfect fluids in general relativity, one with $\lambda = 1/2$ belonging to the first class and another one with $\lambda = 3$ from the second class, including their solutions in terms of Weierstrass elliptic functions or simpler reductions thereof. The $n = 2$ Emden–Fowler equations have been also presented as particular cases of an equation to which Ince's method of Painlevé reduction can be applied.

Since in general we cannot obtain closed-form solutions from parametric solutions (some parameters are impossible to eliminate), we shall resort to calculating invariants or using transformations that represent an analog of classical invariant theory. This will make the new equations integrable without using the two conditions between $\lambda$ and $n$, since the case $n = 2$ can be always reduced to one of three Painlevé transcendent conditions. Finally, we noticed that there are many other cases with potential applications, such as those with negative $n$ such as Ermakov equation, that belong to these two integrable classes, and that can be approached along the lines presented in this paper.

Acknowledgements: S.C. Mancas would like to acknowledge partial support from the Dean of Research & Graduate Studies at ERAU while on a short visit to IPICYT, San Luis Potosi, Mexico.

References