## The Absolute Configuration of Julifloridine

Viqar Uddin Ahmad\* and Sabiha Qazi H. E. J. Research Institute of Chemistry University of Karachi, Karachi-32/Pakistan

Z. Naturforsch. 38b, 660 (1983); received December 28, 1982

Prosopis juliflora, Julifloridine, Absolute Configuration

The absolute configuration of julifloridine is proposed to be 2 R, 3 R, 6 R through  $^{13}{\rm C}$  Spectra,  $^{1}{\rm H}$  NMR Spectra.

Some years ago, we reported [1] the isolation of alkaloid julifloridine from Prosopis juliflora and proposed the structure of 2-methyl-6-(12'-hydroxydodecyl)-piperidin-3-ol for it. However the absolute configuration at carbon atoms 2, 3 and 6 could not be investigated at that time due to the paucity of the material available to us.

With the publication of <sup>13</sup>C NMR data of 2-hydroxy 3-methyl-6-alkyl piperidine alkaloids like spectaline [2], juliprosopine [3], iso-6-cassine [2] it became apparent that the <sup>13</sup>C NMR chemical shifts of C-2, C-3 and C-6 of juliprosopine and spectaline, having S,S, and R configuration at these carbon atoms respectively, differ considerably from those of iso-6-cassine which has the R configuration at all of these three carbon atoms. The absolute configuration of the latter alkaloid has also been confirmed through X-ray diffraction studies [4]. Thus the <sup>13</sup>C NMR signals for C-2, C-3 and C-6 in spectaline and juliprosopine (values in parenthesis) appear at 57.0 (57.2), 67.6 (67.8), 55.7 (55.7 ppm) respectively. The values for these carbon atoms in iso-6-cassine are, on the other hand, 50.4, 68.9 and 49.5 ppm.

We recently repeated our isolation of julifloridine and measured the <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum in CDCl<sub>3</sub>. The spectrum showed peaks<sup>+</sup> at 50.09 (d, C-2), 69.25 (d, C-3) and 49.70 ppm (d, C-6) which are close to

\* Requests for reprints to Dr. V. U. Ahmad.

0340-5087/83/0500-0660/\$ 01.00/0

those of *iso*-6-cassine series rather than those of juliprosopine and spectaline. The chemical showed the methyl carbon signal at 15.85 ppm (q) is also nearer to the corresponding value for *iso*-6-cassine (15.7 ppm) rather than spectaline (19.0 ppm) or julifloridine (18.9 ppm). We therefore suggest that julifloridine belongs to the 2R, 3R, 6R series (I). The <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum shows other peaks at 25.73 (C-10'), 26.61 (C-5), 27.73 (C-4), 29.55 (t, C-2' to C-9'), 32.80 (C-11'), 33.00 ppm (C-1'). The proposal for a primary alcoholic group in julifloridine was confirmed by the peak at 63.01 ppm (t, C-12') which is characteristic for a methylene carbon carrying a hydroxyl group.

The above proposal of stereochemistry of julifloridine finds a measure of support from the 100 MHz <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of the alkaloid. In spectaline [2] the H-2 signal is a broad multiplet at  $\delta$  2.90 and the H-3 signal is a broad singlet (W<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> = 6 Hz). In iso-6-cassine, on the other hand, the H-2 signal is an octett at  $\delta$  3.06 whereas the H-3 signal is a quintet at  $\delta$  3.66 ( $J_{3,4} = 6.5$  Hz,  $J_{3,4'} = 3.2$  Hz). This indicates the clear difference in the chemical shift and coupling constants of the H-2 and H-3 signals between the two series. In julifloridine the H-2 signal is an octett centred at  $\delta$  3.10 with  $J_{2,7} = 6.5$  Hz and  $J_{2,3} = 3$  Hz, which resembles that of iso-6-cassine rather than that of spectaline. The H-3 signal is masked by the H-12 tripled centred at  $\delta$  3.63.

We sincerely thank the Pakistan Science Foundation for a financial grant.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>+</sup> The <sup>13</sup>C NMR broad band spectrum was scanned in CDCl<sub>3</sub> for better comparison with literature values. For the off resonance spectrum, a few drops of <sup>12</sup>C-CD<sub>3</sub>OD had to be added in order to make the compound more soluble.

<sup>[1]</sup> V. U. Ahmad, A. Basha, and W. Haque, Z. Naturforsch. 33b, 347 (1978).

<sup>[2]</sup> I. Christofidis, A. Welter, and J. Jadot, Tetrahedron 33, 977 (1977).

<sup>[3]</sup> R. Ott-longoni, N. Viswanathan, and M. Hesse, Helv. Chim. Acta 63(7), 2119 (1980).

<sup>[4]</sup> O. Dideberg, L. Dupont, and I. Christofidis, Crist. Struct. Comm. 31, 5, 377 (1976).