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Abstract: A new 1,3-oxathiolane-based ligand, 2-(1,3-oxathiolan-2-yl)pyridine, was prepared and its coordination to lead(II) was investigated. Experiments revealed a ligand-breaking reaction during the complexation process, which leads to the formation of a 2D-coordination polymer of lead(II), [Pb(μ$_3$-HME)(μ-OAc)]$_n$; H$_2$ME: 2-mercaptoethanol. The compounds have been characterized by elemental analysis, FT-IR, $^1$H NMR spectroscopy and single-crystal X-ray diffraction. X-ray analysis revealed a 2D-coordination polymer extending via acetato bridges. The lead(II) center adopts a rare PbO$_4$S$_3$-distorted pentagonal bipyramidal geometry with a hemidirected arrangement. Upon coordination, the thiol group of the H$_2$ME ligand is deprotonated to coordinate as an anionic ligand. The network extends in sheets in the crystallographic ab plane via Pb–S–Pb and Pb–O–Pb bridges, aided by O–H···O hydrogen bonds.
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1 Introduction

Coordination polymers have interesting molecular architectures, and potential applications in the fields of functional materials [1], electrical conductivity [2], ion exchange [3], nonlinear optics [4], selective catalysis [5], gas storage/separation and ion exchange [6–11], biological and material science [12, 13], precursors for the preparation of nano-materials [14] and magnetism [15–19] have been proposed. Lead(II) is particularly attractive in coordination chemistry, since the different geometries adopted by its complexes allow a degree of tolerance for ligand configuration that is not exhibited, for example, by d-block elements [20, 21]. These features permit lead to bind both hard and soft donor atoms forming compounds of different structural types and with unusual architectures which, sometimes, might not be expected. Lead(II)-based inorganic–organic hybrid materials have captured the interests of chemists due to their diverse structural motifs [22] and fascinating optical/electronic properties such as photoluminescence [23], nonlinear optics [24], semiconductivity [25] and dielectric behaviors [26]. Specially, their applications in light emitting diodes [27] and solar cells [28] have become a hot field recently.

In order to extend the chemistry of the coordination polymers of lead, this work describes the coordination of a new 1,3-oxathiolane derivative, 2-(1,3-oxathiolan-2-yl)pyridine (OTP, Scheme 1), to lead(II) acetate. Based on the X-ray analysis, the OTP ligand is broken during the complexation process to form 2-mercaptoethanol (H$_2$ME), ultimately resulting in a 2D coordination polymer of [Pb(μ$_3$-HME)(μ-OAc)]$_n$ (1). The OTP ligand and its lead(II) complex have been characterized by elemental analysis, FT-IR and $^1$H NMR spectroscopy, and single-crystal X-ray diffraction (only 1).

2 Results and discussions

2-Mercaptoethanol was reacted with picolinaldehyde under solvent-free conditions using ultrasonic irradiation to give the OTP ligand via an oxathiolanation reaction (Scheme 1). This OTP was then reacted with Pb(OAc)$_2$·3H$_2$O
in branched tubes to give the 2D coordination polymer of 1 in which the OTP ligand is converted to the HME− anion. Similar structural conversion of the ligand during the complexation process was observed for an oxazolidine ligand [29, 30].

2.1 Spectroscopic studies

In the FT-IR spectrum of OTP, the presence of the aromatic and aliphatic C−H stretching vibrations confirms the successful condensation of 2-mercaptoethanol with picolinaldehyde. In the FT-IR spectrum of 1, a ν(O−H) band is observed, which can be attributed to the degradation of the OTP ligand to its precursors. The pyridine ring in the structure of OTP leads to bands at 1589 cm−1 for the ν(C=N) and at 750 and 700 cm−1 for the ring wagging vibrations [31, 32].

In the FT-IR spectrum of 1, three bands at 1543, 1410 and 660 cm−1 can be assigned to νas (COO), νs (COO) and δ (OCO), respectively [33], confirming the presence of the acetate unit in this complex. The differences between the asymmetric (νas) and the symmetric (νs) stretching of the acetate group (Δ) can reveal its coordination type. Compared to the value for the acetate salt, monodentate complexes exhibit much larger Δ values (164 cm−1), whilst in bidentate complexes these values are significantly lower than this [34, 35]. The Δ value for 1 is 133 cm−1, which corresponds to bidentate acetate coordination.

In the 1H NMR spectrum of the OTP ligand (see Scheme 1 for numbering), there are three sets of signals: a series of multiplets in the aromatic region at 7–9 ppm, a singlet at 5.92 ppm corresponding to the H atom at the chiral carbon atom and two triplets in the downfield region related to the CH2−CH2 group of the 2-mercaptoethanol unit.

2.2 Crystal and molecular structure of [Pb(μ3-HME)(μ-OAc)]n

X-ray structure analysis of 1 (Fig. 1) reveals a 2D coordination polymer extending in the crystallographic ab plane. Each lead(II) center has a PbO4S3 hemidirected environment, with the 7-coordinate geometry best being described as distorted pentagonal bipyramidal. Each Pb center is chelated by an acetate group, both oxygen atoms (O2, O3) of which are also bridging to neighboring Pb atoms. The Pb atom is further O,S-chelated by an HME− ligand (O1 and S1 in Fig. 1), the S atom of which is triply bridging three Pb atoms. Conversely, each Pb atom connects to three S atoms. These are known features in Pb(II) coordination chemistry [36, 37]. Among the four Pb–O bond lengths the Pb–O bridging (2.917(2) Å) is significantly longer than the others. Looking at a cross section of the sheets shows that the Pb and S atoms lie in the center of the layers, with the aliphatic units lining the outsides of each sheet, with no specific intra-sheet interactions present.

Searching the Cambridge Structural Database [38] has revealed that the PbO4S3 environment is rare and only one example has been reported [39]. The HME− ligand acting as a bidentate O,S donor forms a five-membered nonplanar chelate ring, while the chelating acetate group forms a slightly folded
four-membered ring. The dihedral angle between mean planes through the four- and five-membered chelate rings is 87.32°, confirming that the acetate and HME − ligands are perpendicular to each other. It is the thiol group of the H2ME ligand which is deprotonated, rather than the alcohol group.

In complex 1, the differences between the longest and the shortest four Pb–O (0.404 Å) and three Pb–S bond lengths (0.380 Å) are significant. This observation is typical for complexes with central atoms exhibiting lone pairs [40, 41]. Based on these observations, and the distorted geometry, we conclude that the coordination sphere around the lead atom can be described as hemidirected, but the effect is not really pronounced. In the structural network of 1 (Fig. 2), there are O–H…O hydrogen bonds originating from the dangling CH2CH2OH group, which strengthen in intra-sheet interactions.

3 Conclusion

In this work, a new 2D-coordination polymer of lead(II), [Pb(μ3-HME)(μ-OAc)]ₙ; H₂ME: 2-mercaptoethanol, was synthesized in a reaction between lead acetate and an OTP ligand. The spectral (IR, ¹H NMR) and structural (X-ray) investigations revealed that upon coordination, the OTP ligand broke and produced the H₂ME ligand. The thiol group of this ligand became deprotonated and bound to the lead(II) ions. In 1, the polymeric structure is extended by the bridging acetate ligands with a coordination mode of “(O, μ–O)” in one dimension, while the triply bridging thiolato groups generate sheets in the crystallographic ab plane. In this complex, the lead atom has a rare distorted pentagonal bipyramidal PbO₄S₃ environment with a hemidirected coordination sphere. Intra-sheet O–H…O hydrogen bonding is also present.

4 Experimental section

4.1 Materials and measurements

All starting chemicals and solvents were reagent or analytical grade and used as received. The infrared spectra of KBr pellets in the range 4000–400 cm⁻¹ were recorded with an FT-IR TENSOR 27 spectrometer. ¹H NMR spectra were recorded on the Bruker Aspect 3000 instrument. The carbon, hydrogen and nitrogen contents were determined by a Thermo Finnigan Flash Elemental Analyzer 1112 EA. The melting points were determined with Barnsted Electrothermal 9200 electrically heated apparatus.

4.2 Preparation of 2-(1,3-oxathiolan-2-yl) pyridine (OTP)

2-Mercaptoethanol (1.55 g, 19.84 mmol) and picolinaldehyde (2.12 g, 19.79 mmol) were heated to 60°C in an ultrasonic bath for 2 h under solvent-free conditions, yielding dark brown oil. Precursors were removed by rotary evaporation. Yield: 3.09 g, 93%. – Analysis calcd. for C₈H₉NOS: C 57.46, H 5.42, N 8.38; found C 57.98, H 5.53, N 8.20%. –IR (KBr disk): ν = 3058 m (C−H) ar, νₕ = 2923 m (CH₂), νₛ = 2876 w (CH₂), ν = 1589 s (C=N), 1468 m (C=C), δₕ = 1435 s (CH₂), δₛ = 1358 m (CH₂), ν = 1243 s (C=O), γ = 750 s and 703 m (py), ν = 621 m (C=S) cm⁻¹. –¹H NMR (300 MHz, [D₆] dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO)): δ = 7.20−8.71 (m, 4 H, C₅H−C₈H), 5.92 (s, 1 H, C₃H), 3.49 (t, 2 H, C₂H₂), 2.52 (t, 2 H, C₂H₂) ppm.

4.3 Preparation of [Pb(HME)(μ-OAc)]ₙ

OTP (0.17 g, 1.02 mmol) and Pb(OAc)₂·3H₂O (0.20 g, 0.53 mmol) were placed in the large arms of a branched tube with a total capacity of 15 mL. Methanol was carefully added to fill both arms. The tube was then sealed and the ligand-containing arm was immersed in an oil bath at 60°C while the other arm was maintained at ambient temperature [36, 42, 43]. After 5 days, crystals were deposited in the cooler arm and filtered off and dried in air. This complex was not soluble in DMSO or D₂O to provide an NMR spectrum. Yield 0.04 g, 22%; m.p. 173°C. – Analysis calcd. for C₁₂H₁₁O₃PbS (343.35): C 13.99, H 2.35; found C 14.06, H 2.36%. – IR (KBr): ν = 3139 m (O−H), νₕ = 2980 m (CH₃), 2936 m (CH₂), νₛ = 2900 m (CH₃), 2857 m (CH₂), νₕ = 1543 s (COO)OAc, νₛ = 1410 s (COO)OAc, δₕ = 1325 (CH₂), ν = 1218 (C–O), δ = 660 (OCO)OAc, ν = 620 m (C−S) cm⁻¹.
4.4 Crystal structure determination

X-ray diffraction data for 1 were collected at \( T = 173 \) K using a Rigaku FRX Ultrahigh Brilliance Microfocus RA generator/confocal optics with an XtaLAB P200 diffractometer. MoKα radiation (\( \lambda = 0.71075 \) Å) was used and intensity data were collected using \( \omega \) steps accumulating area detector images spanning at least a hemisphere of reciprocal space. All data were corrected for Lorentz polarization effects. A multiscan absorption correction was applied by using CrysAlis Pro \[44\]. The structure was solved using the intrinsic phasing method (SHELXT \[45\]) and refined by full-matrix least-squares against \( F^2 \) (SHELXL-2013 \[46\]). Nonhydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically, and \( H_1 \) was refined freely from the electron density map, whilst all other hydrogen atoms were refined geometrically using a riding model. All calculations were performed using OLEX 2 \[47, 48\]. Selected crystallographic data are presented in Table 1. Diagrams of the molecular structure were created using SHELXP \[45\] and DIAMOND \[49\]. Selected bond lengths are displayed in Table 2 and hydrogen bond geometries in Table 3.

Table 1: Crystal structure data and structure refinement of complex 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Empirical formula</td>
<td>( \text{C}_4\text{H}_8\text{O}_3\text{PbS} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formula weight, g mol(^{-1} )</td>
<td>343.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal system</td>
<td>Orthorhombic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Space group</td>
<td>Pbca</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit cell dimensions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( a, ) Å</td>
<td>11.9624(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( b, ) Å</td>
<td>6.1045(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( c, ) Å</td>
<td>19.4074(9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume, Å(^3 )</td>
<td>1417.22(10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( Z )</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calculated density, g cm(^{-3} )</td>
<td>3.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absorption coefficient, mm(^{-1} )</td>
<td>24.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( F(000) ), e</td>
<td>1232</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \theta ) range data collection, deg</td>
<td>2.703–28.780</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( h, k, l ) ranges</td>
<td>(-15 \leq h \leq 15, -8 \leq k \leq 7, -24 \leq l \leq 22 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reflections collected/independent/( R_p )</td>
<td>8892/1582/0.0311</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data/ref. parameters</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( R_1/wR_2 ) (I &gt; 2( \sigma (I) ))</td>
<td>0.0210/0.0555</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( R_1/wR_2 ) (all data)</td>
<td>0.0229/0.0561</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goodness-of-fit on ( F^2 )</td>
<td>1.075</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Largest diff. peak/hole, e Å(^{-3} )</td>
<td>0.91/–1.33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The structure was created using Shelxp \[45\] and Diamond \[49\]. Nonhydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically, and \( H_1 \) was refined freely from the electron density map, whilst all other hydrogen atoms were refined geometrically using a riding model. All calculations were performed using OLEX 2 \[47, 48\]. Selected crystallographic data are presented in Table 1. Diagrams of the molecular structure were created using SHELXP \[45\] and DIAMOND \[49\]. Selected bond lengths are displayed in Table 2 and hydrogen bond geometries in Table 3.

Table 2: Selected bond lengths (Å) for complex 1 with estimated standard deviations in parentheses.\(^*\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bond lengths</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pb1–S1</td>
<td>2.7541(12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb1–S1ii</td>
<td>3.0643(9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb1–S1ii</td>
<td>2.7541(12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb1–O2</td>
<td>2.6883(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb1–O2i</td>
<td>2.9162(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb1–O2</td>
<td>2.6612(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb1–O3</td>
<td>2.5122(6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\*Symmetry operators: i: 1−x, 0.5+y, 1.5−z; ii: 1−x, 0.5+y, z.

Table 3: Hydrogen bond dimensions (Å and deg) in complex 1.\(^*\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>D–H···A</th>
<th>d(D–H)</th>
<th>d(H···A)</th>
<th>d(D···A)</th>
<th>&lt;(DHA)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O1–H1···O3i</td>
<td>0.88(4)</td>
<td>1.78(4)</td>
<td>172(4)</td>
<td>2.660(4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\*Symmetry operators: i: 1−x, 0.5+y, 1.5−z.
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