Antifungal Methylphenone Derivatives and 5-Methylcoumarins from *Mutisia friesiana*

Carmen I. Viturro^a, Juana R. de la Fuente^b, and Marta S. Maier^{c,*}

^a Facultad de Ingeniería, Universidad Nacional de Jujuy, Gorriti 237, 4600 S. S. de Jujuy, Jujuy, Argentina

^b Facultad de Ciencias Exactas, Universidad Nacional de Salta, Buenos Aires 177, 4400 Salta, Salta, Argentina

^c Departamento de Química Orgánica, Facultad de Ciencias Exactas y Naturales, Universidad de Buenos Aires, Ciudad Universitaria, 1428 Buenos Aires, Argentina.
Fax: 54 11 45763346. E-mail: maier@qo.fcen.uba.ar

* Author for correspondence and reprint requests

Z. Naturforsch. **58c**, 533–540 (2003); received November 6, 2002/February 27, 2003

In addition to the known mutisicoumarin A, the aerial parts of the shrub *Mutisia friesiana* afforded five new methylphenones, two new 5-methylcoumarins and a new related chromone. Their structures were elucidated by spectroscopic methods. ¹³C NMR data for mutisicoumarin A are reported for the first time. Mutisiphenones A and B and mutisicoumarin A showed antifungal activity against the phytopathogenic fungus *Cladosporium cucumerinum*.

Key words: *Mutisia friesiana*, 5-Methylcoumarins, Methylphenone Derivatives

Introduction

Mutisia friesiana (family Asteraceae, tribe Mutisieae, subtribe Mutisiinae) is a perennial shrub native of S. Bolivia and N. W. Argentina that grows at 3500–4000 m above sea level. The infusion of this species is used in folk medicine as a remedy against chronic cough, respiratory diseases and stomach pains (Giberti, 1983). Previous studies on this species allowed us to identify more than 100 compounds in its essential oil (Viturro and de la Fuente, 2000). Bioassay-guided fractionation of the aqueous extract of *M. friesiana* afforded caffeic acid derivatives and flavonoids as the main active compounds showing radical scavenging activity (Viturro *et al.*, 1999). Recently, we have reported the isolation and structural elucidation of two antifungal diastereomeric furanones described for the first time from a natural source (Viturro *et al.*, 2001). Following our studies on *M. friesiana*, we now report the isolation of five new methylphenones (**1–5**), two new 5-methylcoumarins (**7** and **8**) and a new related chromone (**9**).

Results and Discussion

The CHCl₃ fraction of the methanolic extract of the aerial parts of *M. friesiana* afforded eight new compounds **1–5** and **7–9**, together with the known

mutisicoumarin A (**6**), previously isolated from *M. spinosa* (Zdero *et al.*, 1986).

Mutisiphenone A (**1**) was isolated as a colorless oil. Its ¹H NMR spectrum (Table I) showed signals at 6.72, 6.82 and 7.25 ppm, whose coupling pattern indicated a 1,2,3-trisubstituted aromatic compound (Balbaa *et al.*, 1980). In addition to a signal for an aromatic methyl group at 2.58 ppm, a broad singlet at 11.59 ppm indicated a phenolic hydroxyl group. The IR spectrum afforded absorptions at 3600–2600 and 1665 cm⁻¹, characteristic of a strong intramolecular bonding in an *o*-hydroxyacetophenone derivative (Zdero *et al.*, 1986). A strongly deshielded signal of a carbonyl group at 208.6 ppm in the ¹³C NMR spectrum (Table II) of **1** was consistent with this substitution pattern (Breitmaier and Voelter, 1987). The molecular formula of Mutisiphenone A (**1**) was determined as C₁₉H₂₆O₂ (*m/z* 286) by pseudomolecular ions at *m/z* 287 [M+H]⁺ in the FABMS (positive ion mode) and at *m/z* 285 [M-H]⁻ in the FABMS (negative ion mode). These data and the ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra of **1** suggested a hydrocarbonated monoterpene moiety attached to C-3. The ¹H NMR spectrum also showed the presence of three allylic methyl signals at 1.59, 1.63 and 1.67 ppm. The ¹H-¹H COSY experiment revealed that the methylene at 2.97 ppm was coupled to the quartet at 2.44 ppm which in turn was coupled to the pro-

Table I. ¹H NMR spectral data for **1–4** (500 MHz, CDCl₃) and **5** (200 MHz, CDCl₃).

Position	Compound 1 δ _H , <i>J</i> [Hz]	Compound 2 δ _H , <i>J</i> [Hz]	Compound 3 δ _H , <i>J</i> [Hz]	Compound 4 δ _H , <i>J</i> [Hz]	Compound 5 δ _H , <i>J</i> [Hz]
3	2.97 <i>t</i> (7.3)	2.97 <i>t</i> (7.3)	2.96 <i>t</i> (7.3)	2.97 <i>t</i> (7.3)	2.97 <i>t</i> (7.3)
6	6.72 <i>ddc</i> (8.4, 1.4, 0.7)	6.71 <i>br d</i> (7.5)	6.71 <i>dq</i> (7.5, 0.5)	6.71 <i>ddq</i> (7.5, 1.4; 0.7)	6.71 <i>ddq</i> (7.7, 1.4, 0.7)
7	7.25 <i>dd</i> (8.4, 7.5)	7.24 <i>dd</i> (8.4, 7.5)	7.24 <i>dd</i> (8.4, 7.5)	7.23 <i>dd</i> (8.2, 7.5)	7.24 <i>dd</i> (8.0, 7.7)
8	6.82 <i>br dd</i> (7.5, 1.4)	6.81 <i>br d</i> (8.4)	6.81 <i>br d</i> (8.4)	6.80 <i>br dd</i> (8.2, 1.4)	6.81 <i>br dd</i> (8.0, 1.4)
9	2.58 <i>br s</i>	2.57 <i>br s</i>	2.55 <i>br s</i>	2.53 <i>br s</i>	2.52 <i>br s</i>
1'	2.44 <i>q</i> (7.3)	2.43 <i>q</i> (7.3)	2.43 <i>q</i> (7.3)	2.43 <i>q</i> (7.3)	2.44 <i>q</i> (7.3)
2'	5.13 <i>tq</i> (7.3, 1.4)	5.14 <i>tq</i> (7.3, 1.4)	5.15 <i>tq</i> (7.3, 1.4)	5.17 <i>tq</i> (7.3, 1.4)	5.18 <i>br t</i> (7.3)
4'	1.97 <i>br t</i> (7.3)	2.02 <i>m</i>	2.09 <i>br t</i> (7.0)	2.13 <i>br t</i> (7.3)	2.15 <i>br t</i> (7.0)
5'	2.06 <i>br dt</i> (6.8, 7.3)	2.12 <i>m</i> , 2.09 <i>m</i>	2.33 <i>q</i> (7.0)	2.35 <i>dt</i> (7.1, 7.3)	2.37 <i>m</i>
6'	5.07 <i>tq</i> (6.8, 1.4)	5.36 <i>br t</i> (6.8)	6.55 <i>tq</i> (7.0, 1.4)	6.62 <i>tq</i> (7.1, 1.4)	6.61 <i>br t</i> (7.0)
8'	1.67 <i>d</i> (1.4)	3.96 <i>dd</i> (7.6, 5.6)	–	–	–
9'	1.59 <i>br s</i>	2.20 <i>m</i> , 2.27 <i>m</i>	5.28 <i>d</i> (9.8)	2.57 <i>br t</i> (6.0)	2.73 <i>m</i>
10'	1.63 <i>br s</i>	5.09 <i>tq</i> (7.2, 1.4)	4.99 <i>dq</i> (9.8, 1.4)	1.74 <i>m</i>	1.80 <i>m</i>
12'		1.72 <i>br s</i>	1.74 <i>d</i> (1.4)	1.37 <i>s</i>	1.38 <i>s</i>
13'		1.63 <i>br s</i>	1.84 <i>d</i> (1.4)	1.37 <i>s</i>	1.38 <i>s</i>
14'		1.63 <i>br s</i>	1.81 <i>d</i> (1.4)	1.83 <i>d</i> (1.4)	1.83 <i>d</i> (1.4)
15'		1.61 <i>br s</i>	1.64 <i>br s</i>	1.64 <i>d</i> (1.4)	1.64 <i>br s</i>
8a OH	11.59 <i>br s</i>	11.45 <i>br s</i>	11.41 <i>br s</i>	11.41 <i>br s</i>	11.41 <i>br s</i>
8' OH		3.49 <i>br s</i>			
9' OH			3.96 <i>br s</i>		
11' OOH					6.86 <i>s</i>

Table II. ¹³C NMR spectral data for **1–4**, **6** and **9** (125 MHz, CDCl₃).

Position	Compound 1 δ _C	Compound 2 δ _C	Compound 3 δ _C	Compound 4 δ _C	Compound 6 δ _C	Compound 9 δ _C
2					160.5	160.9
3	44.6	44.5	44.3	44.4	102.9	93.3
4	208.6	208.5	208.2	208.2	167.7	180.3
4a	122.5	120.2	121.2	120.8	111.8	120.6
5	138.6	138.5	138.4	138.4	135.8	140.8
6	122.4	122.7	123.1	123.1	126.3	127.8
7	134.0	133.9	133.9	133.7	131.6	131.7
8	116.3	116.2	116.2	116.1	115.0	115.3
8a	161.6	160.3	161.2	162.0	155.9	154.8
9	24.2	25.0	23.9	23.7	21.3	22.5
1'	23.4	23.4	23.3	23.3	26.9	24.8
2'	123.1	123.1	123.7	123.6	92.1	67.4
3'	136.8	136.8	137.9	138.0	73.6	85.5
4'	39.7	39.2	38.1	38.2	37.4	37.2
5'	26.6	26.0	27.4	27.4	22.0	21.6
6'	124.2	125.8	145.0	142.8	123.7	123.2
7'	131.4	134.7	135.2	135.4	132.7	132.7
8'	25.6	74.2	201.4	197.0	25.7	25.6
9'	17.7	34.3	69.8	46.1	17.7	17.7
10'	16.0	122.9	123.5	26.2	22.7	18.8
11'		136.4	133.9	71.6		
12'		25.9	25.9	29.5		
13'		18.0	18.4	29.5		
14'		11.7	11.8	11.8		
15'		16.0	15.9	15.9		

ketone in the side chain. The ^1H NMR spectrum (Table I) showed a deshielded signal (δ 6.55) that was consistent with an olefinic proton of an enone (Amico *et al.*, 1987). In the ^1H – ^1H COSY spectrum the signal at 6.55 ppm was coupled to the methyl group at 1.81 ppm and the methylene protons at 2.33 ppm, which in turn were coupled to the methylene protons at 2.09 ppm. The HETCOR experiment showed that the oxomethine carbon (δ 69.8) correlated with the doublet (J = 9.8 Hz) at 5.28 ppm. This proton was coupled to the olefinic proton at 4.99 ppm, which in turn was coupled to the vinylic methyls (δ 1.74 and 1.84) in the ^1H – ^1H COSY spectrum. These observations indicated the position of the hydroxyl group at C-9'. The stereochemistry of the dioxygenated farnesyl group was assigned as 2'*E*,6'*E* on the basis of the upfield chemical shifts of the vinylic methyls (δ 11.8 and 15.9) in the ^{13}C NMR spectrum of **3** (Breitmaier and Voelter, 1987).

The FABMS (negative ion mode) of Mutisiphenone D (**4**) exhibited a pseudomolecular ion peak at m/z 385 $[\text{M-H}]^-$ which differed in two mass units of that of **3**. Compound **4** showed similar ^1H and ^{13}C NMR spectra (Tables I and II) to that of **3** except for the absence of the isopentenyl hydroxylated terminal group signals. The absence of the signals at 5.28 and 4.99 ppm in the ^1H NMR spectrum and presence of the quaternary signals at 71.6 and 197.0 ppm in the ^{13}C NMR spectrum indicated that the hydroxyl group was not vicinal to the carbonyl. The presence of a singlet at 1.37 ppm (6H) confirmed the position of the hydroxyl group at C-11' (Loyola *et al.*, 1985). Compound **4** decomposed in chloroformic solution as observed by TLC.

Mutisiphenone E (**5**) showed a pseudomolecular ion at m/z 401 $[\text{M-H}]^-$ in the FABMS (negative ion mode) which differed in sixteen mass units of that of **4**. The ^1H NMR spectra (Table I) of both compounds were practically superimposable except for the presence of a broad singlet at 6.86 ppm in **5** suggesting the presence of a hydroperoxide proton (Appendino *et al.*, 1983) in accordance with the difference observed in the mass spectra of compounds **4** and **5**. Both compounds differed in their retention times in reversed-phase HPLC and in their R_f values in reversed-phase TLC.

The known Mutisicoumarin A (**6**) was identified by comparison of EIMS and ^1H NMR data (see

Experimental) with published results (Zdero *et al.*, 1986) and by ^{13}C NMR, DEPT and HETCOR experiments that allowed for the first time the unambiguous assignment of all carbon signals (Table II). 2D NOESY data allowed us to determine the stereochemistry at C-2' relative to diastereotopic protons at C-1', indicating that H-2' and H-1' (δ 3.08) are on the same side of the molecule.

Mutisicoumarin B (**7**) was obtained as a colorless oil. Its ^1H NMR spectrum (Table III) showed signals for three aromatic protons at 7.01, 7.16 and 7.34 ppm and a broadened methyl singlet at 2.73 ppm, characteristic for a 5-methylcoumarin (Bohlmann and Zdero, 1977). The presence of an isolated olefinic AB system as a pair of doublets (J = 10 Hz) at 5.42 and 6.59 ppm and an allylic methyl group attached to an olefinic carbon at 1.53 ppm was consistent with the presence of a pyrano [3,2-*c*] coumarin moiety as for ferprenin, a prenylated coumarin isolated from *Ferula communis* (Appendino *et al.*, 1988). In the EIMS, the molecular ion peak at m/z 310 and the strong fragment ion at m/z 227, resulting from allylic cleavage between C-3' and C-4' with the loss of a C_6H_{11} unit, were consistent with the molecular formula $\text{C}_{20}\text{H}_{22}\text{O}_3$. These data together with the presence in the ^1H NMR spectrum of an olefinic proton at δ 5.10, two methylene multiplets at δ 1.93 and 2.15 and two methyl groups attached to an olefinic carbon (C-8', δ 1.62 and C-9', δ 1.56) confirmed the presence of a $\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}=\text{C}(\text{CH}_3)_2$ unit attached to C-3'. The ^{13}C NMR spectrum of **7** could not be recorded due to its unstability in chloroformic solution, as observed for ferprenin (Appendino *et al.*, 1988).

Mutisicoumarin C (**8**) was isolated as an optically active colourless oil. The ^1H NMR spectrum of **8** (Table III) exhibited characteristic signals for the pyrano [3,2-*c*] coumarin moiety. The EIMS showed a fragment ion peak at m/z 376 $[\text{M-H}_2\text{O}]$ and the typical strong fragment ion at m/z 227 due to the allylic cleavage between C-3' and C-4'. These data confirmed that compounds **7** and **8** differed only in the side chain. The presence of an hydroxyl group in **8** was deduced from the band at 3430 cm^{-1} in the IR spectrum and by the signal for a methine proton attached to an oxygenated carbon (δ 3.95) in the ^1H NMR spectrum. The position of the hydroxyl group at C-8' was deduced

Position	Compound 7 δ_{H}, J [Hz]	Compound 8 δ_{H}, J [Hz]	Compound 9 δ_{H}, J [Hz]
6	7.01 <i>br d</i> (7.6)	7.02 <i>d ancho</i> (7.3)	7.10 <i>dq</i> (7.1, 1.2)
7	7.34 <i>br dd</i> (8.0, 7.6)	7.35 <i>dd</i> (7.7, 7.3)	7.41 <i>br dd</i> (7.7, 7.1)
8	7.16 <i>br d</i> (8.0)	7.15 <i>br d</i> (7.7)	7.21 <i>br d</i> (7.7)
9	2.73 <i>br s</i>	2.73 <i>br s</i>	2.87 <i>br s</i>
1'	6.59 <i>d</i> (10.0)	6.60 <i>d</i> (10.2)	H _c 2.89 <i>dd</i> (16.5, 5.0) ^a H _t 2.62 <i>dd</i> (16.5, 6.0) ^a
2'	5.42 <i>d</i> (10.0)	5.43 <i>d</i> (10.2)	3.96 <i>dd</i> (6.0, 5.0)
4'	1.93 <i>m</i>	1.99 <i>m</i>	1.74 <i>m</i> , 1.66 <i>m</i>
5'	2.15 <i>m</i>	2.22 <i>br t</i> (7.3)	2.19 <i>m</i> , 2.17 <i>m</i>
6'	5.10 <i>tq</i> (7.1, 1.4)	5.41 <i>br t</i> (7.3)	5.09 <i>tq</i> (7.1, 1.4)
8'	1.62 <i>d</i> (1.4)	3.95 <i>br t</i> (7.3)	1.67 <i>br s</i>
9'	1.56 <i>br s</i>	2.22 <i>br t</i> (7.3)	1.61 <i>br s</i>
10'	1.53 <i>s</i>	5.07 <i>br t</i> (7.3)	1.45 <i>s</i>
12'		1.71 <i>br s</i>	
13'		1.63 <i>br s</i>	
14'		1.60 <i>br s</i>	
15'		1.55 <i>s</i>	

Table III. ¹H NMR spectral data for **7** and **9** (500 MHz, CDCl₃) and **8** (200 MHz, CDCl₃).

^a *Cis* and *trans* refer to H-2'.

by comparison of the ¹H NMR data of **8** with that of **2**, which showed the same terminal rest in the side chain. The presence of two olefinic proton signals as triplets (H-6', δ 5.41 and H-10', δ 5.07) indicated that each olefinic proton was adjacent to one methylene group. The coupling pattern of the hydroxymethine proton (*br t*, J = 7.3 Hz) confirmed the position of the hydroxyl at C-8'.

Mutisichromone (**9**) was obtained as an optically active colorless oil. The HRMS of **9** was consistent with a C₂₀H₂₂O₃ formula. The IR spectrum showed characteristic bands at 1650, 1630 and 1570 cm⁻¹ for a chromone nucleus (Murray *et al.*, 1982). The presence of the RDA ion fragment at m/z 188 in the EIMS of **9** also supported this structure. The ¹H NMR spectrum (Table III) showed signals at δ 7.10 (1H, *dq*, J = 7.1 Hz and J = 1.2 Hz), 7.21 (1H, *br d*, J = 7.7 Hz) and 7.41 (1H *br dd*, J = 7.7 Hz and J = 7.1 Hz), characteristic of a 1,2,3-trisubstituted aromatic ring. The presence of a broad methyl singlet at 2.87 ppm indicated a 5-methylchromone (Bittner *et al.*, 1988). The ¹³C NMR spectrum (Table II) with DEPT displayed signals due to one methylene (δ 24.8), one oxygenated methine (δ 67.4) and one oxygen-bearing quaternary carbon (δ 85.5). The ¹H–¹H COSY spectrum showed that the secondary hydroxyl proton (δ 3.96, 1H, *dd*, J = 6.0 Hz and J = 5.0 Hz) was coupled with protons at 2.62 and 2.89 ppm, which correlated in the HETCOR spectrum with the signal at 24.8 ppm. These data suggested the presence

of a 2'-hydroxy-2,3-dihydropyranochromone. The fragment ion peaks at m/z 83 and 227 [M–H₂O – 83]⁺ in the EIMS of **9** were consistent with the presence of a methyl and a 4'-methyl-3'-pentenyl chain attached to C-3'. This was confirmed by the methyl singlet at 1.45 ppm in the ¹H NMR spectrum together with the vinylic methyls at 1.61 and 1.67 ppm. ¹H–¹H COSY and HETCOR crosspeaks were in good agreement with the proposed structure. The relative configurations at C-2' and C-3' were assigned on the basis of the 2D NOESY spectrum, which exhibited NOE's, indicating that Me-10', H-2' and H-1' (δ 2.89) are on the same side of the molecule, while the hydroxyl group has the same orientation as the 4'-methyl-3'-pentenyl chain (Fig. 1).

Compounds **1**, **2**, **4** and **6** were evaluated by a bioautographic technique (Homans and Fuchs, 1970) for their antifungal activity against the plant pathogenic fungus *Cladosporium cucumerinum*. Compounds **1**, **4** and **6** showed inhibition zones of 12, 11 and 14 mm, respectively, at a concentration of 100 $\mu\text{g/spot}$ (0.26–0.35 $\mu\text{mol/spot}$). The compounds were found to be less active than benomyl, a commercially available fungicide, which showed an inhibition zone of 20 mm at a concentration of 10 $\mu\text{g/spot}$. Mutisiphenone B (**2**) was inactive at the concentration tested.

Terpenylacetophenones **1**–**5** and chromone **9** are described for the first time in the genus *Mutisia*. These type of compounds together with 5-

methylcoumarins are not widespread in nature but have been reported in species of the subtribes Mutisiinae (Zdero *et al.*, 1986, 1988; Torrado Truiti and Sarragiotto, 1997), Nassauviinae (Hoeneisen *et al.*, 1999; Bittner *et al.*, 1994; Pritschow *et al.*, 1991) and Gochnatiinae (Bohlmann *et al.*, 1985; Zdero *et al.*, 1988) in the tribe Mutisieae and in a few species of the tribe Vernonieae (Mahmoud *et al.*, 1998; Jakupovic *et al.*, 1987). These compounds may have chemotaxonomic relevance for the tribe Mutisieae.

Experimental

General methods

^1H and ^{13}C NMR spectra were recorded in CDCl_3 on a Bruker ACE-200 and AM 500 spectrometers. Carbon substitution degrees in ^{13}C NMR spectra were established by DEPT multiple sequence. Mass spectra were measured on a TRIO-2 VG mass spectrometer. IR spectra were obtained on an IRFT Bruker IFS 88 spectrometer. Optical rotations were determined on a Perkin-Elmer 343 polarimeter. Preparative HPLC was carried out on an SP liquid chromatograph equipped with a Spectra Series P100 solvent delivery system, a Rheodyne manual injector and a refractive index detector using a YMC-Pack ODS-A 5 μ column (25 cm $\times$ 20 mm *i. d.*). TLC was performed on precoated silica gel 60 F_{254} (cyclohexane-EtOAc (6:4)) and ODS reversed-phase plates (80% MeOH- H_2O).

Plant material

Aerial parts of *M. friesiana* were collected in Jujuj, Departamento de Humahuaca, Argentina at 3500 m altitude in summer. The species was identified by Ing. Novara of the Facultad de Ciencias Naturales, Universidad de Salta. A voucher specimen is deposited at the Herbarium of the Facultad de Ciencias Naturales, Universidad de Salta under the number H. G. 1064.

Extraction and isolation

Cut dried and powdered plant material (550 g) was extracted with MeOH (3 $\times$ 1.5 l) at room temperature. The MeOH extracts were concentrated in vacuum to give a residue (100 g) which was partitioned with *n*-hexane-MeOH/ H_2O (10:3:1 v/v/v),

yielding a non-polar and an aqueous phase. The polar phase was extracted with CHCl_3 . The extract was evaporated to dryness to yield a chloroform residue (10 g). Part of this residue (3 g) was subjected to vacuum dry-column chromatography on silica gel 60H, eluting with cyclohexane, EtOAc, acetone and MeOH to give ten fractions. Fraction 3 (1.114 mg) was subjected to vacuum dry-column on RP-18 with $\text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{MeOH}$ (7:3; 6:4; 5:5; 4:6; 3:7; 2:8; 1:10 v/v), MeOH and acetone to give 9 fractions (3.1–3.9). Fractions 3.4 (240 mg) and 3.5 (128 mg) were submitted to repeated reversed-phase HPLC (ODS, MeOH/ H_2O (8:2 v/v), flow rate 6 ml/min) to give methylphenones **3** (7 mg) and **4** (3 mg) from fraction 3.4 and methylphenone **2** (4 mg) and mutisicoumarin A (**6**, 11 mg) from fraction 3.5. Fraction 3.6 (110 mg) was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel H (10–40 μm), eluting with mixtures of increasing polarity of cyclohexane, EtOAc, acetone and MeOH to give 28 fractions (3.6.1–3.6.28). Fractions 3.6.23 and 3.6.24 were pooled (68 mg) and purified by reversed-phase HPLC (ODS, MeOH/ H_2O (8:2 v/v), flow rate 6 ml/min) to give mutisicoumarin A (**6**) (7 mg) and compounds **1** (2 mg) and **8** (1.4 mg). Fractions 3.6.27 and 3.6.28 (30 mg) were subjected to reversed-phase HPLC (ODS, MeOH/ H_2O (8:2 v/v), flow rate 6 ml/min) to afford compound **5** (1.7 mg). Fraction 3.7 (150 mg) was submitted to reversed-phase HPLC (ODS, MeOH/ H_2O (95:5 v/v), flow rate 6 ml/min) to give 10 fractions (3.7.1–3.7.10). Purification of fractions 3.7.6 (10 mg) and 3.7.8 (7 mg) by preparative TLC (silica gel G, F_{254} , cyclohexane/EtOAc (6:4 v/v)) afforded compounds **1** (2 mg), **7** (2 mg) and **9** (2 mg).

1-(2-Hydroxy-6-methylphenyl)-5,9-dimethyl-4,8-decadien-1-one (**1**)

Colorless oil, $[\alpha]_{\text{D}} - 25.5^\circ$ (c 0.25, CHCl_3); UV (MeOH) λ_{max} nm (log ϵ) 289 (3.1), 247 (3.3), 203 (4.2); IR (KBr) ν_{max} 3600–2600, 1665, 1626, 1604, 1580, 1464, 1266, 777 cm^{-1} ; ^1H and ^{13}C NMR see Tables I and II; EIMS m/z (rel. int.): 286 $[\text{M}]^+$ (2), 217 (4), 219 (7), 150 (4), 147 (2), 135 (100), 69 (30), 41 (86); FABMS (positive ion mode) m/z 287 $[\text{M}+\text{H}]^+$ (90), 135 (100); FABMS (negative ion mode) m/z 285 $[\text{M}-\text{H}]^-$ (100); HREIMS m/z $[\text{M}]^+$: calcd. for $\text{C}_{19}\text{H}_{26}\text{O}_2$, 286.1933; found, 286.1939.

5,9,13-Trimethyl-10-hydroxy-1-(2-hydroxy-6-methylphenyl)-4,8,12-tetradecatrien-1-one (2)

Colorless oil, IR (KBr) $\nu_{\max}$ 3600–2600, 1666, 1626, 1604, 1580, 1464, 1266, 777 cm^{-1} ; ^1H and ^{13}C NMR see Tables I and II; FABMS (positive ion mode) m/z 371 $[\text{M}+\text{H}]^+$ (1), 353 $[\text{M}-\text{H}_2\text{O}+\text{H}]^+$ (10), 135 (100); FABMS (negative ion mode) m/z 369 $[\text{M}-\text{H}]^-$ (70), 325 (100); HRFABMS m/z $[\text{M}+\text{H}]^+$: calcd. for $\text{C}_{24}\text{H}_{35}\text{O}_3$, 371.2587; found, 371.2595.

5,9,13-Trimethyl-11-hydroxy-1-(2-hydroxy-6-methylphenyl)-4,8,12-tetradecatrien-1,10-dione (3)

Colorless oil, $[\alpha]_{\text{D}} - 30.6^\circ$ (c 0.16, CHCl_3); IR (KBr) $\nu_{\max}$ 3600–2600, 1664, 1604, 1580, 1464, 1217, 1266, 1038, 773 cm^{-1} ; ^1H and ^{13}C NMR see Tables I and II; FABMS (positive ion mode) m/z 385 $[\text{M}+\text{H}]^+$ (1), 367 $[\text{M}-\text{H}_2\text{O}+\text{H}]^+$ (8), 135 (100); FABMS (negative ion mode) m/z 383 $[\text{M}-\text{H}]^-$ (30), 149 (100); HRFABMS m/z $[\text{M}+\text{H}]^+$: calcd. for $\text{C}_{24}\text{H}_{33}\text{O}_4$, 385.2379; found, 385.2389.

5,9,13-Trimethyl-13-hydroxy-1-(2-hydroxy-6-methylphenyl)-4,8-tetradecadien-1,10-dione (4)

Colorless oil, ^1H and ^{13}C NMR see Tables I and II; FABMS (positive ion mode) m/z 387 $[\text{M}+\text{H}]^+$ (1), 369 $[\text{M}-\text{H}_2\text{O}+\text{H}]^+$ (43), 367 (50), 135 (100); FABMS (negative ion mode) m/z 385 $[\text{M}-\text{H}]^-$ (30), HRFABMS m/z $[\text{M}-\text{H}]^-$: calcd. for $\text{C}_{24}\text{H}_{33}\text{O}_4$, 385.2379; found, 385.2393.

5,9,13-Trimethyl-13-hydroperoxy-1-(2-hydroxy-6-methylphenyl)-4,8-tetradecadien-1,10-dione (5)

Colorless oil, ^1H and ^{13}C NMR see Tables I and II; FABMS (positive ion mode) m/z 369 $[\text{M}-\text{H}_2\text{O}+\text{H}]^+$ (5), 135 (100); FABMS (negative ion mode) m/z 401 $[\text{M}-\text{H}]^-$ (20), HRFABMS m/z $[\text{M}-\text{H}]^-$: calcd. for $\text{C}_{24}\text{H}_{33}\text{O}_5$, 401.2328; found, 401.2336.

Mutisicoumarin A (6)

Colourless solid, $[\alpha]_{\text{D}} - 10^\circ$ (c 0.40, CHCl_3); UV (MeOH) $\lambda_{\max}$ nm (log ϵ) 328 (3.6), 314 (3.7), 299 (3.8), 285 (3.7), 240 (sh), 207 (5.5); IR (KBr) $\nu_{\max}$ 3600, 1699, 1631, 1604, 1570, 1464, 1390, 1149, 1032, 918, 756 cm^{-1} ; ^1H NMR (CDCl_3 , 500 MHz) δ 1.36 (3H, s, H-10'), 1.60 (2H, m, H-4'), 1.64 (3H, br s, H-9'), 1.70 (3H, br s, H-9'), 2.12 (1H, br dt,

$J = 15$ Hz, $J = 7$ Hz, H-5'), 2.19 (1H, br dt, $J = 15$ Hz, $J = 7$ Hz, H-5'), 2.68 (3H, br s, H-9), 3.08 (1H, dd, $J = 15$ Hz, $J = 10.4$ Hz, H-1'), 3.15 (1H, dd, $J = 15$ Hz, $J = 8.5$ Hz, H-1'), 4.94 (1H, dd, $J = 10.4$ Hz, $J = 8.8$ Hz, H-2'), 5.14 (1H, tq, $J = 7.1$ Hz, $J = 1.4$ Hz, H-6'), 7.04 (1H, dq, $J = 7.3$ Hz, $J = 0.9$ Hz, H-6), 7.20 (1H, br d, $J = 8.4$ Hz, H-8), 7.38 (1H, br dd, $J = 8.4$ Hz, $J = 7.3$ Hz, H-7); ^{13}C NMR see Table II; EIMS m/z (rel. int.): 328 $[\text{M}^+]$ (2), 313 (1), 310 (1), 243 (5), 227 (10), 201 (16), 135 (42), 69 (28), 109 (11), 43 (100).

2,10-Dimethyl-2-(4-methyl-3-pentenyl)-2H,5H-pyran [3,2-c] [1] benzopyran-5-one (7)

Colorless oil, $[\alpha]_{\text{D}} - 33^\circ$ (c 0.14, CHCl_3); UV (MeOH) $\lambda_{\max}$ (log ϵ) 370 (sh), 350 (3.5), 295 (3.5), 251 (3.8), 209 (4.4) nm; IR (KBr) $\nu_{\max}$ 1710, 1640, 1610, 1570, 1460, 1370, 910, 760 cm^{-1} ; ^1H NMR see Table III; EIMS m/z (rel. int.): 310 $[\text{M}]^+$ (7), 267 $[\text{M}-\text{Me}-\text{CO}]^+$ (5), 227 $[\text{M}-(\text{CH}_2)_2\text{CH}=\text{C}(\text{Me})_2]^+$ (67), 135 (19), 107 (15), 83 (7), 69 (90), 41 (100); HREIMS m/z $[\text{M}]^+$: calcd. for $\text{C}_{20}\text{H}_{22}\text{O}_3$, 310.1569; found, 310.1577.

2,10-Dimethyl-2-(5-hydroxy-4,8-dimethyl-3,7-nonadienyl)-2H,5H-pyran [3,2-c] [1] benzopyran-5-one (8)

Colorless oil, $[\alpha]_{\text{D}} - 68.5^\circ$ (c 0.07, CHCl_3); UV (MeOH) $\lambda_{\max}$ nm (log ϵ) 370 (sh), 350 (3.5), 293 (3.7), 251 (3.9), 208 (4.6); IR (KBr) $\nu_{\max}$ 3430, 1720, 1650, 1610, 1460, 920, 760 cm^{-1} ; ^1H NMR see Table III; EIMS m/z (rel. int.): 376 $[\text{M}-\text{H}_2\text{O}]^+$ (2), 325 $[\text{M}-\text{C}_5\text{H}_9]^+$ (10), 227 (42), 149 (4), 135 (37), 107 (12), 83 (7), 69 (37), 41 (100).

3,4-Dihydro-3-hydroxy-2,6-dimethyl-2-(5-methyl-4-hexenyl)-2H,5H-pyran [2,3-b] [1] benzopyran-5-one (9)

Colorless oil, $[\alpha]_{\text{D}} - 20.9^\circ$ (c 0.22, CHCl_3); UV (MeOH) $\lambda_{\max}$ nm (log ϵ) 310 (sh), 297 (3.8), 276 (3.9), 228 (4.3), 202 (4.2); IR (KBr) $\nu_{\max}$ 3460, 1650, 1630, 1570, 1460, 766 cm^{-1} ; ^1H and ^{13}C NMR see Tables II and III; EIMS m/z (rel. int.): 328 $[\text{M}]^+$ (3), 259 $[\text{M}-\text{C}_5\text{H}_9]^+$ (1), 219 (7), 190 (16), 188 (7), 135 (20), 69 (52), 41 (100); HREIMS m/z $[\text{M}]^+$: calcd. for $\text{C}_{20}\text{H}_{24}\text{O}_4$, 328.1675; found, 328.1683.

Bioautographic assays

Solutions of compounds **1**, **2**, **4** and **6** at a concentration of 10 mgml⁻¹ in CH₂Cl₂. Of these solutions, 10 µl were applied on a TLC plate using graduated capillaries. After application of the samples (100 µg/spot) on a silica gel 60 F₂₅₄ Al sheet (Merck), the plate was sprayed with a suspension of *C. cucumerinum* (DSM 62122) in a nutritive medium (Schlegel, 1992) and incubated for 2–3 days at room temperature in a glass box with a moist atmosphere. Clear inhibition zones appeared against a dark gray background. Benomyl was used as a reference compound.

Acknowledgements

This work was partially supported by the SeCTER, Universidad Nacional de Jujuy. We also wish to thank CONICET and the Universidad de Buenos Aires for partial financial support. We are indebted to UMYMFOR (CONICET-FCEN, UBA) for NMR spectra and LANAIS-EMAR (CONICET-FCEN, UBA) for mass spectra. We are also grateful to Ing. Novara of the Facultad de Ciencias Naturales, Universidad de Salta for the taxonomic identification of *Mutisia friesiana*. M. S. M. is a Research Member of the National Research Council of Argentina (CONICET).

- Appendino G., Garibaldi P., and Nano G. M. (1983), Isomeric hydroxyperoxyeudesmanolides from *Artemisia umbelliformis*. *Phytochemistry* **22**, 2767–2772.
- Appendino G., Tagliapietra S., Nano G. M., and Picci V. (1988), Ferprenin, a prenylated coumarin from *Ferula communis*. *Phytochemistry* **27**, 944–946.
- Amico V., Oriente G., Neri P., Piatelli M., and Ruberto G. (1987), Tetraprenyltoluquinols from the brown alga *Cystoseira stricta*. *Phytochemistry* **26**, 1715–1718.
- Balboa S. I., Halim A. F., Halaweish F. T., and Bohlmann F. (1980), New 5-methylcoumarin derivatives from *Ethulia conyzoides*. *Phytochemistry* **19**, 1519–1522.
- Bittner M., Jakupovic J., Bohlmann F., Grenz M., and Silva M. (1988), 5-Methylcoumarins and chromones from *Triptilion* species. *Phytochemistry* **27**, 3263–3266.
- Bittner M., Silva M., Rozas Z. Z., Papastergiou F., and Jakupovic J. (1994), Sesquiterpenes and other constituents from Chilean Mutisieae. *Phytochemistry* **36**, 695–698.
- Bohlmann F. and Zdero C. (1977), Neue 5-alkylcoumarine und chromone aus *Bothriocline laxa*. *Phytochemistry* **16**, 1261–1263.
- Bohlmann F., Jakupovic J., Misra L. N., and Castro V. (1985), 5-Methylcoumarin-Derivate aus *Lycoseris latifolia*. *Liebigs Ann. Chem.* 1367–1376.
- Breitmaier E. and Voelter W. (1987), Carbon-13 NMR Spectroscopy. VCH, New York, USA.
- Giberti G. C. (1983), Herbal Folk Medicinal in North-western Argentina. *J. Ethnopharmacol.* **7**, 321–341.
- Hoeneisen M., Hernández V., Becerra J., Silva M., Bittner M., and Jakupovic J. (1999), 5-Methyl coumarins and a new phenol from *Nassauvia pyramidalis* and *N. digitata*. *Phytochemistry* **52**, 1667–1669.
- Homans A. L. and Fuchs A. (1970), Direct bioautography on thin-layer chromatograms as a method for detecting fungitoxic substances. *J. Chromatog.* **51**, 327–329.
- Jakupovic J., Boeker R., Schuster A., Bohlmann F., and Jones S. B. (1987), Further guaianolides and 5-alkylcoumarins from *Gutenbergia* and *Bothriocline* species. *Phytochemistry* **26**, 1069–1075.
- Loyola L. A., Pedreros S., and Morales G. (1985), *p*-Hydroxyacetophenone derivatives from *Senecio graveolens*. *Phytochemistry* **24**, 1600–1602.
- Mahmoud A. A., Ahmed A. A., Iinuma M., and Tanaka T. (1998), Further monoterpene 5-methylcoumarins and acetophenone derivatives from *Ethulia conyzoides*. *Phytochemistry* **48**, 543–546.
- Moreira D. L., Guimarães E. F., and Kaplan M. A. C. (1998), Non polar constituents from leaves of *Piper lhotzkyanum*. *Phytochemistry* **49**, 1339–1342.
- Murray R. D. H., Méndez J., and Brown S. A. (1982), The Natural Coumarins: Occurrence, Chemistry and Biochemistry. John Wiley & Sons. New York. USA.
- Pritschow P., Jakupovic J., Bohlmann F., Bittner M., and Niemeyer H. (1991), Highly oxygenated sesquiterpenes from *Polyachyrus sphaerocephalus* and further constituents from Chilean Mutisieae. *Phytochemistry* **30**, 893–898.
- Schlegel H. G. (1992), Allgemeine Mikrobiologie. Georg Thieme Verlag, Stuttgart, pp. 192–194.
- Torrado Truiti I. M. C. and Sarragiotto A. M. H. (1998), Three 5-methylcoumarins from *Chaptalia nutans*. *Phytochemistry* **47**, 97–99.
- Viturro C., Molina A., and Schmeda-Hirschmann G. (1999), Free radical scavengers from *Mutisia friesiana* (Asteraceae) and *Sanicula graveolens* (Apiaceae). *Phytother. Res.* **13**, 422–424.
- Viturro C. I. and de la Fuente J. R. (2000), Chemical study of essential oil of *Mutisia friesiana*. *Molecules* **5**, 568–570.
- Viturro C. I., Maier M. S., Stortz C. A., and de la Fuente J. R. (2001), Antifungal diastereomeric furanones from *Mutisia friesiana*: structural determination and conformational analysis. *Tetrahedron Asym.* **12**, 991–998.
- Zdero C., Bohlmann F., King R., and Robinson H. (1986), Further 5-Methyl coumarins and other constituents from the subtribe Mutisiinae. *Phytochemistry* **25**, 509–516.
- Zdero C., Bohlmann F., and Solomon J. (1988), Further 5-methylcoumarin derivatives from *Mutisia orbignyana*. *Phytochemistry* **27**, 891–897.