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Abstract: *Aristolochia trilobata* L. is an aromatic plant, popularly known as “mil-homens”, and its essential oil (EO) is generally used to treat colic, diarrhea and dysentry disorders. We evaluated the antinociceptive effect of *A. trilobata* stem EO and of its major compound, the (R)-(-)-6-methyl-5-hepten-2-yl acetate (sulcatyl acetate: SA), using acetic acid (0.85%)-induced writhing response and formalin-induced (20 μL of 1%) nociceptive behavior in mice. We also evaluated the EO and SA effect on motor coordination, using the rota-rod apparatus. EO (25, 50 and 100 mg/kg) or SA (25 and 50 mg/kg) reduced nociceptive behavior in the writhing test \( p < 0.001 \). EO (100 mg/kg) and SA (25 and 50 mg/kg) decreased the nociception on the first phase of the formalin test \( p < 0.05 \). On the second phase, EO (25: \( p < 0.01 \); 50: \( p < 0.05 \) and 100 mg/kg: \( p < 0.001 \)) and SA (25 and 50 mg/kg; \( p < 0.001 \)) reduced the nociceptive response induced by formalin. EO and SA were not able to cause changes in the motor coordination of animals. Together, our results suggest that EO has an analgesic profile and SA seems to be one of the active compounds in this effect.

Keywords: aristolochiaceae; pain; sulcatyl acetate.

1 Introduction

Pain is defined by the International Association for the Study of Pain as “an unpleasant sensory and emotional experience associated with actual or potential tissue damage” [1]. It has the function of protecting the organism from noxious stimuli [2]. However, sometimes its etiology is heterogeneous and the underlying pathophysiology mechanisms are complex, making treatment difficult and interfering with the quality of life for people with these pain syndromes [3]. Besides, the wide range of interindividual variability in the perception of pain makes the management of pain a major challenge for medicine [4].

Natural products emerge as interesting therapeutic resources for the development of new drugs for the management of certain painful states [5]. The search for new chemical entities as therapeutic alternatives for pain or inflammatory conditions has constantly progressed [6, 7] and presents the medicinal plants as the major source [8–11]. *Aristolochia trilobata* L. (Aristolochiaceae), popularly known as “mil-homens”, is found from Central America down to South America, having various applications in folk medicine [12]. *A. trilobata* is used by humans to treat stomach aches, colic, poisoning, diabetes and also for skin affections [13, 14]. There are few pharmacological data on this plant species. Previous studies have shown that a chloroform extract of *A. trilobata* leaves had antiphlogistic potency close to that of the non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug indomethacin [14]. Considering the antinociceptive potential of essential oils (EOs) and their constituents and the absence of...
publications demonstrating the antinociceptive effect of *A. trilobata*, this study evaluates the antinociceptive property of stem EO of *A. trilobata* and its major compound, 6-methyl-5-hepten-2-yl acetate (sulcatyl acetate, SA), in animal models of nociception.

### 2 Materials and methods

#### 2.1 Plant material

*A. trilobata* were collected in Estância-SE, Brazil (satellite positioning: S 11° 14’ 22.4’’ and W 037° 25’ 00.5’’), during October 2011. The voucher specimen was deposited in the Herbarium of the Federal University of Sergipe and identified as *A. trilobata* with voucher ASE 23.161. Dry stem *A. trilobata* was cut into small pieces and crushed in a four-knife mill (Marconi®, model MA680).

#### 2.2 Essential oil extracted and isolation of sulcatyl acetate

The EO from 200 g of stem was obtained through hydro-distillation (1500 mL of distilled water) for 3 h using a Clevenger-type apparatus. The oil was physically separated from the water, dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate and filtered. Samples of the oil were transferred to amber glass bottles and stored in a freezer until the GC analysis. The distillations were performed in triplicate. Main compounds were isolated through preparative thin layer chromatography, according to Santos et al. [15].

#### 2.3 Chemicals

Acetic acid, Tween 80 and formalin were purchased from Sigma (USA). Morphine (MOR), acetylsalicylic acid (ASA) and diazepam were purchased from União Química (Brazil). SA was solubilized in saline + 0.2% of Tween 80. MOR, ASA and diazepam were solubilized in saline.

#### 2.4 Animals

Male Swiss mice (28–34 g) were obtained from the Central Animal Care of the Federal University of Sergipe (São Cristóvão, Brazil). Animals were randomly assigned to groups and maintained in plastic boxes at controlled room temperature (22±2 °C) with free access to food and water, under a 12 h light/dark cycle. All the experimental procedures were carried out during the light period of the day (08:00 a.m. to 04:00 p.m.) and complied with the guidelines on animal care of the Federal University of Sergipe Ethics Committee for Animal Use in Research (CEPA/UFS # 16/12), which was conducted in accordance with the internationally accepted principles for laboratory animal use and care. The mice submitted to intraperitoneally (i.p.) administration of drugs fasted for 12 h before the experiments and were acclimatized for at least 2 h before the experiments. All efforts were made to minimize the number of animals used and their suffering. The tests were conducted in a blind manner.

#### 2.5 Acetic acid-induced writhing

This test was run using the methods described by Koster et al. [16] and Le Bars et al. [17]. Muscular contractions were induced by intraperitoneal injection (i.p.) of a 0.65% solution of acetic acid (0.25 mL/animal) in a group of eight mice (per group). The number of muscular contractions was counted for 15 min after the injection and the data represent the average of the total number of writhes observed. Mice received treatment with EO (25, 50 and 100 mg/kg, i.p.), SA (25 and 50 mg/kg, i.p.) or MOR (5 mg/kg, i.p.), which were solubilized in saline + 0.2% of Tween 80 (vehicle). The control group received only vehicle. All drugs were administered i.p in different groups of mice 1 h before the acetic acid injection.

#### 2.6 Formalin test

The observation chamber was a glass box of 30 cm in diameter on an acrylic transparent plate floor. Beneath the floor, a mirror was mounted at a 45° angle to allow clear observation of the paws of the animals. Mice were treated with the vehicle (saline + 0.2% of Tween 80), EO (25, 50 and 100 mg/kg, i.p.), SA (25 and 50 mg/kg, i.p.) or ASA (200 mg/kg, i.p.) 1 h before the formalin injection. Each mouse was placed in the chamber more than 5 min before treatment in order to allow acclimatization to the new environment. The formalin test was carried out as described by Hunskaar and Hole [18]. Twenty microliters of a 2.5% formalin solution in a phosphate buffer was injected into the dorsal surface of the left hind paw using a microsyringe with a 26-gauge needle. Each animal was then returned to the chamber, and the amount of time that the animal spent licking the injected paw was considered to be indicative of nociception. Two distinct phases of intensive licking activity were
identified: an early acute phase and a late or tonic phase (0–5 and 15–30 min after formalin injection, respectively).

2.7 Evaluation of the motor activity

In order to evaluate a possible non-specific muscle-relaxant or sedative effect of the EO or SA, mice were submitted to the Rota-rod test, according to what had been described by Quintans-Júnior et al. [19]. Initially, the mice able to remain on the Rota-rod apparatus (AVS®, Brazil) longer than 180 s (9 rpm) were selected 24 h before the test. Mice were treated with EO (25, 50 and 100 mg/kg, i.p.) or SA (25 and 50 mg/kg, i.p.), vehicle or diazepam (3 mg/kg, i.p.) 1, 2 and 4 h before evaluation on Rota-rod apparatus. After treatment each animal remained on the bar for up to 180 s.

2.8 Statistical analysis

Data obtained from animal experiments were expressed as mean and standard error of the mean (mean ± SEM). Statistical differences between the treated and control groups were evaluated by ANOVA followed by Dunnett’s test. Differences were considered to be statistically significant when \( p < 0.05 \). All statistical analyses were performed using Graph Pad Prism 5 (Graph Pad Prism Software Inc., San Diego, CA, USA).

3 Results and discussion

In this study, we evaluated the antinociceptive activity of the EO obtained from the stem of \( A. \) trilobata (EO) and 6-methyl-5-hepten-2-yl acetate (SA), its main compound, both in male mice.

According to Santos et al. [15], our sample of the EO of \( A. \) trilobata presented an average yield of 0.22 ± 0.05% (v/w), and is composed mainly of 6-methyl-5-hepten-2-yl acetate (SA) (21.49 ± 0.43%), germacrene D (15.07 ± 0.23%), bicyclogermacrene (8.84 ± 0.45%), linalool (6.85 ± 0.42%), (\( E \))-caryophyllene (5.58 ± 0.12%), (\( E \))-\( \beta \)-ocimene (5.56 ± 0.067%) and \( p \)-cymene (4.68 ± 0.10%).

In the pretreatment with EO or SA, all doses significantly (\( p < 0.001 \)) reduced the number of writhing movements (nociceptive behavior) induced by acetic acid solution (Figure 1). As expected, MOR (5 mg/kg, i.p.), an opioid analgesic drug, produces an inhibition of nociceptive behavior (\( p < 0.001 \)). In this model, pain is elicited by the injection of an irritant such as acetic acid into the peritoneal cavity, which produces episodes of characteristic stretching (writhing) movements, and inhibition of the number of episodes by analgesics is easily quantifiable. Despite being a non-specific test, acetic acid-induced writhings are a highly sensitive and useful test for analgesic drug development [17].

Posteriorly, the antinociceptive effects of EO and SA were evaluated in a model of persistent nociception, the formalin test, which has two distinctive phases that can possibly indicate different types of pain [18]. The early and late phases of the formalin test have obvious differential properties, and therefore this test is useful not only for assessing the analgesic substances but also for an initial investigation the mechanism of analgesia [20]. The early phase, named non-inflammatory pain, is a result of direct stimulation of nociceptors and reflects centrally mediated pain; the late phase, named inflammatory pain, is caused by local inflammation with a release of inflammatory and hyperalgesic mediators [18]. In the present study, we found that EO and SA produced antinociceptive activity both in the early (\( p < 0.05 \)) and late (\( p < 0.05–0.001 \)) phases of the formalin test (Figure 2). However, EO was effective in the early phase only in higher dose (\( p < 0.05 \)).

Several studies have shown the antinociceptive and anti-inflammatory effects of terpenes or EOs, as reviewed by Almeida et al. [21], de Cássia da Silveira e Sá et al. [22], De Sousa [23] and Guimarães et al. [6], corroborating with our findings. Studies performed with linalool and \( p \)-cymene, major compounds found in EO of \( A. \) trilobata, demonstrated the antinociceptive activity of these monoterpenes in different experimental models of nociception [3, 11, 24–26]. Furthermore, the major compound, which has its pharmacological activity described for the first time in this study, can act synergistically with these compounds, thus contributing to...
Quintans et al.: *Aristolochia trilobata* and major compound reduce nociception

The pharmacologic response observed. This therapeutic property has gained prominence among research groups of academic community and industry, which increased interest in developing new options for pain management. Furthermore, the high market acceptance of drugs containing terpenes and EOs for pain control, such as Acheflan® and Salompas®, motivates further research in this area and has led to an increase in patent filings in several countries [7].

Previous studies suggested that the CNS depression and the non-specific muscle relaxation effect can reduce the response of motor coordination and might invalidate the behavior test results [19]. We observed that EO- or SA-treated mice did not show any significant motor performance alterations with all doses tested (data not shown). Thus, we refute the hypothesis of a possible relaxing or motor deficit effects of EO and SA at the therapeutic doses.

Together, our results suggest that EO and SA, its main compound, modulate neurogenic and inflammatory pain in the tests used (Figure 3). Thus, it was observed that the SA is responsible, at least in part, for the analgesic profile of this EO. The antinociceptive actions demonstrated in *A. trilobata* in this study support the ethnomedicinal use of this plant. More studies are needed to determine the ED50 and the dose conversion ratio of compounds with standard drugs.

**Figure 2**: Effects of EO or SA on the formalin-induced nociception in mice. Vehicle (control), EO (25, 50 and 100 mg/kg), SA (25 or 50 mg/kg) or aspirin (200 mg/kg) were administered i.p. 1 h before formalin injection. (A) The first phase and (B) the second phase of formalin-induced nociception in mice. Each column represents mean ± SEM (n = 8, per group). *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01 or ***p < 0.001 versus control (ANOVA followed by Dunnett’s test).

**Figure 3**: Summary of the data obtained.
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