A multi-enzyme cascade reaction for the production of 6-hydroxyhexanoic acid
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Abstract: Multi-enzyme cascade reactions capture the essence of nature’s efficiency by increasing the productivity of a process. Here we describe one such three-enzyme cascade for the synthesis of 6-hydroxyhexanoic acid. Whole cells of Escherichia coli co-expressing an alcohol dehydrogenase and a Baeyer-Villiger monooxygenase (CHMO) for internal cofactor regeneration were used without the supply of external NADPH or NADP⁺. The product inhibition caused by the ε-caprolactone formed by the CHMO was overcome by the use of lipase CAL-B for in situ conversion into 6-hydroxyhexanoic acid. A stirred tank reactor under fed-batch mode was chosen for efficient catalysis. By using this setup, a product titre of >20 g L⁻¹ was achieved in a 500 mL scale with an isolated yield of 81% 6-hydroxyhexanoic acid.
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1 Introduction

Nature productively performs complex enzymatic reactions in a sequential order, thus eliminating the undesired accumulation of intermediates and to attain high atom efficiency toward the desired product [1, 2]. Scientists have adapted this strategy for the production of industrially important chemicals for environmentally benign processes. Such multi-enzyme cascade reactions provide many advantages for the process design and the overall economics. The lack of the necessity for intermediate isolation and purification reduces the solvent load and waste produced in the process [3], which in turn improves the economics of the process. Cascade reactions also make it easy to work with unstable intermediates or by shifting an unfavourable reaction equilibrium toward the end product [4–7]. Here we present a cascade reaction (Scheme 1) for the production of 6-hydroxyhexanoic acid (4), which can serve as an intermediate in polymer chemistry. Products derived from 4 includes 1,6-hexanediol via a single step hydrogenolysis [8] or adipic acid by oxidation [9]. It can also undergo direct condensation polymerization to produce co-polymers with lactic acid [10]. An interesting multi-enzyme cascade was reported by Sattler et al. where 4 was converted to 6-aminohexanoic acid [11]. Here, 4 was first oxidized to 6-oxohexanoic acid by a primary alcohol dehydrogenase (ADH) and then aminated to 6-aminohexanoic acid with a ω-transaminase. Hence, it is interesting to establish a large-scale enzymatic production of 4.

As shown in Scheme 1, 4 is produced from the bulk substrate cyclohexanol using a three-enzyme cascade reaction. First, cyclohexanol (1) is oxidized to cyclohexanone by an ADH alongside the cofactor NADH that is reduced to NADPH. Cyclohexanone (2) then undergoes a Baeyer-Villiger oxidation in the presence of cyclohexanone monooxygenase (CHMO) consuming NADPH to produce ε-caprolactone (3) and NADP⁺ [12, 13]. 3 is then hydrolyzed to 4 by Pseudozyma antarctica Lipase B, previously named Candida antarctica Lipase B (known as CAL-B). Previously, we already reported on a system where the CHMO is combined with the ADH (or a polyol dehydrogenase) to create a self-sufficient cofactor regeneration system [14–16]. It was observed that CHMO experiences severe product inhibition by 3 above 60 mM, which could be overcome by the use of lipase CAL-A. This unique lipase has acyltransferase activity and thus enabled the formation of oligomers from ε-caprolactone to overcome product inhibition [16]. Later, a detailed kinetic study of the sequential cascade was reported [17]. Consequently, an extension of the ADH/CHMO cascade is crucial to establish a suitable process.

A further important aspect is the optimization of cofactor regeneration due to the high costs of NADPH/NADP⁺ [18] and also the requirement of a balanced co-expression of ADH and CHMO [19, 20]. For this, we
developed a co-expression system where both enzymes are expressed in an optimal ratio for efficient cofactor regeneration [21]. Even though other strategies have been proposed, where the ADH and the CHMO were co-expressed as a fusion protein [20, 22], the ratio of ADH to CHMO cannot be tuned by such an approach. Also, understanding and fine-tuning the NADPH concentrations in non-growing cells could be important [23]. The co-expression of ADH and CHMO gives us an opportunity to establish the whole cascade also for the production of 4 without the need of any external cofactor addition. Additionally, this enables the whole-cell biocatalysis with a single-cell preparation where both, ADH and CHMO, are co-expressed in Escherichia coli. Here, we present the successful one-pot production of 4 using this multi-enzyme cascade starting from 200 mM cyclohexanol.

2 Results and discussion

2.1 Set-up of the cascade reaction and influence of lipase CAL-B

CHMO is known to undergo substrate and product inhibition already starting at very low concentrations (>8 mM) of cyclohexanol [17], which makes it important for the whole cascade to operate efficiently to avoid any acacumulation of substrate, intermediates or ε-caprolactone. To avoid inhibition by high concentrations of 1, we have used a feeding strategy. To ensure rapid conversion of cyclohexanone, sufficient expression of a highly active and stable quadruple mutant of the CHMO (CHMO-QM) previously designed was crucial [20]. Finally, inhibition of the CHMO by 3 was avoided by adding lipase CAL-B to convert 3 to 4. The aim of this small-scale biocatalysis reaction was to verify the effect of CAL-B on the overall cascade conversion. Biocatalysis was performed first in the presence or absence of CAL-B at starting concentrations of 1 ranging from 20 to 100 mM.

In the absence of CAL-B, conversion was defined by the amount of 3 produced, whereas in the presence of CAL-B it was defined by the amount of 4 produced in the cascade after 22 h (Figure 1). In the absence of CAL-B, 88% conversion was achieved at 20 mM substrate concentration, which decreased to 46% (60 mM) and only 32% (100 mM) at higher substrate loadings. As expected, in the presence of CAL-B, much higher conversions were observed as the inhibitory ε-caprolactone was converted to 4. Hence, conversion almost doubled for reactions at 60 and 100 mM (Figure 1). Thus, also by the use of CAL-B, product inhibition can be overcome for the one-pot conversion of 1 to 4.

2.2 Upscaling of the cascade reaction

As the use of CAL-B was justified by the results shown above, the cascade was upscaled to 200 mM cyclohexanol at an operating volume of 500 mL in a stirred tank reactor using a fed-batch mode. The reactor was equipped with a pH controller as an acid is the final product and high variation of the pH value leads to considerable loss of enzyme activity. Biocatalysis was started by feeding cyclohexanol at a rate of its consumption by the ADH to avoid its accumulation. Molecular oxygen is required as an oxidizing reagent by the CHMO, hence, oxygen or air was bubbled into the reaction, and the concentration of the dissolved oxygen was monitored to avoid its limitation. Furthermore, because of the aeration and the volatile nature of 1, a small fraction of it could be lost in the exhaust. To collect and evaluate this loss of 1, the air out of the reactor was bubbled into an exhaust sink. Regular samples were taken from the exhaust sink for analysis.

As can be observed from Figure 2, 1 was fed at a rate of 4.1 μL min⁻¹ for 45 h, in accordance with the total ADH activity in the reactor. There was complete consumption of 1 during the first 12 h, after which it started to accumulate slowly to a final concentration of 12 mM by the end of the feeding. However, this unreacted 1 is consumed
completely after 70 h. Conversely, the concentration of 2 reached a maximum residual concentration of only 1.3 mM, which further decreases to 0.3 mM by the end of the 70 h. Because of the presence of CAL-B, the concentration of 3 is always below the detection level. We were pleased to find that the concentration of 4 reached 168 mM (11.1 g, 81% isolated yield, Supplementary Figure 1A), showing that the majority of substrate 1 was converted throughout the cascade reaction. The product identity was confirmed by 1H-NMR spectroscopy (Supplementary Figure 1B). A small portion of 1 and 2 (5 mM each) was found in the exhaust sink because of evaporation of these volatile compounds as shown in Figure 3.

3 Conclusions

In summary, we successfully demonstrated the use of a three-enzyme cascade for the large-scale production of 6-hydroxyhexanoic acid. ADH and CHMO-QM were co-expressed in a single E. coli cell to have an efficient cofactor (NADPH/NADP+) regeneration and hence to reduce the costs of the process. Additionally, whole cells of E. coli were used without the need for cell lysis, which further makes this process economically feasible. The severe product inhibition of CHMO caused by ε-caprolactone was solved by adding CAL-B, which in situ converts it to 6-hydroxyhexanoic acid. The effect of CAL-B was shown in small scale where the conversion almost doubles at high cyclohexanol concentration. The cascade was upscaled to produce over 20 g L⁻¹ of product titer. Hence, the multi-enzyme cascade discussed may represent an economic and efficient protocol for the environmentally friendly production of 6-hydroxyhexanoic acid.

4 Materials and methods

Chemicals and materials: All chemicals were purchased from VWR International GmbH (Darmstadt, Germany),
Merck KGaA (Darmstadt, Germany), Carl Roth GmbH & Co. KG (Karlsruhe, Germany), Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc. (Waltham, MA, USA), c-Lecta GmbH (Leipzig, Germany) or abcr GmbH (Karlsruhe, Germany). All chemicals were used without further purification unless stated otherwise.

Bacterial strains and plasmids: *E. coli* BL21(DE3) [fhuA2 [lon ompT gal (λ DE3) [dcm] ΔhsdS λ DE3 = λ sBamHIo ΔEcoRI-B int::(lacI::PlacUV5::T7 gene1) i21 Δnin5] was purchased from New England Biolabs GmbH (Frankfurt am Main, Germany). The plasmid pRSFDuet™-1 was purchased from Merck KGaA (Darmstadt, Germany).

Enzyme expression and production of *E. coli* whole cells: The ADH and CHMO were heterologously co-expressed in *E. coli* BL21(DE3) through a pRSFDuet™ vector as described previously [21]. *Lactobacillus kefir* ADH was cloned in the second ribosome binding site (RBS) of the duet vector, whereas *Acinetobacter calcoaceticus* CHMO with four mutations (C376L/M400I/T415C/A463C) to enhance the stability [20] was cloned at the first RBS on the pRSFDuet™ vector. An overnight culture was inoculated and grown at 37 °C in LB media (5 g L⁻¹ yeast extract, 10 g L⁻¹ tryptone, 10 g L⁻¹ sodium chloride) supplemented with 50 mg L⁻¹ kanamycin. The main culture was performed in an unbaffled shake flask. TB media (12 g L⁻¹ tryptone, 24 g L⁻¹ yeast extract, 16.4 g L⁻¹ K₂HPO₄ × 3H₂O, 2.2 g L⁻¹ KH₂PO₄, 4 mL L⁻¹ glycerol) with 50 mg L⁻¹ were inoculated with the overnight culture and incubated at 37 °C, 180 rpm, in a New Brunswick™ shaker, until the OD₆₀₀ reached 1.0–1.2. Then enzyme expression was induced by adding 0.2 mM IPTG and expression at 20 °C for 12–16 h. Later, the cells were harvested and washed once with sodium phosphate buffer (50 mM, pH 7.0) and centrifuged at 10,000 g, 4 °C for 20 min. The cell pellet was resuspended in sodium phosphate buffer (20 mM, pH 7.5) with 1% DMSO and incubated for 30 min at 4 °C. Lastly, the cells were washed once in the reaction buffer and used for whole-cell biocatalysis.

Small-scale biotransformation: *E. coli* whole cells (harbouring ADH and CHMO) were resuspended in ice-cold sodium phosphate buffer (20 mM, pH 7.5) to a final concentration of 100 g L⁻¹. All reactions were performed in 100 mL Erlenmeyer flasks and in triplicates. A total of 9 mL of sodium phosphate buffer (20 mM, pH 7.5) and 1 mL *E. coli* whole cell stock was pipetted into each reaction flask. The reaction was started by adding 20, 60 or 100 mM of 1 into the reaction flask, and this was closed with a breathable membrane for efficient O₂ transfer. The flasks were incubated for 22 h at 30 °C and 180 rpm. Samples were taken before and after the incubation and stored at −20 °C until analysis.

Large-scale biotransformation: Large-scale biocatalysis was performed in New Brunswick™ BioFlo® 110 bioreactors (Eppendorf AG, Hamburg, Germany), equipped with the BioCommand™ software. A total of 450 mL Tris-HCl buffer (200 mM, pH 8.0) was transferred into the reactor. The pH sensor and the dissolved oxygen sensor were calibrated and introduced into the reactor. The temperature was set to 30 °C and maintained by a temperature regulator. Heating or cooling was performed by an electric heating jacket or cooling loop, respectively. The 14.4 g WCW *E. coli* whole cells co-expressing ADH and CHMO were prepared as described above and dissolved in 45 mL of Tris-HCl buffer (200 mM, pH 8.0). The cell suspension was then introduced into the reactor. A stock solution was prepared by dissolving 1 g lyophilized CAL-B in 5 mL reaction buffer and introduced into the reactor. Pure oxygen was bubbled into the reaction at 2 vvm through a sterile filter. pH and dissolved oxygen were recorded by the respective probes. A PID controller was used to stabilize the pH with either acid (15% v/v phosphoric acid) or base (15% w/v sodium hydroxide). Constant mixing was achieved by an overhead stirrer at 500 rpm. The exhaust gas from the reactor was bubbled into a 4 L water reservoir.

**Figure 4:** Setup of a stirred tank reactor. The fermenter was used as a stirred tank reactor and hence was equipped with temperature, pH, dissolved oxygen controller and regulator. An exhaust sink was used to trap the volatile 1 or 2 escaped from the reactor. A modified syringe pump was used for uniform feeding of 1.
with continuous stirring to trap the volatile compounds. The complete setup is shown in Figure 4. The reaction was started by feeding 1 at a constant rate of 4.1 μL min⁻¹ using a modified syringe pump. Samples were taken at regular intervals from the reactor and the exhaust sink and stored at −20 °C until further analysis.

Product isolation and characterization: The reaction media was acidified to pH 1.5 by the addition of conc. HCl and vortexed for 5 min. Then the cell debris was separated by centrifugation at 10,000 g, 25 °C for 20 min. The supernatant was then extracted three times with dichloromethane, and all the dichloromethane fractions were pooled. The product was isolated by distilling the solvent at 40 °C and atmospheric pressure. The product purity was analyzed using high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC), as stated below and verified by 'H-NMR. NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker Avance II 300 with a 5 mm PABBO BB-1H/D Z-GRD 2104 275/0398 probe head. Tetramethylsilane was used as calibration of the 'H measurements. The purified 6-hydroxyhexanoic acid was dissolved in CD₃OD and was measured at 300 Hz. To assign proton atoms, 1D NMR technique was used.

Quantitative analysis using gas chromatography (GC) and HPLC: The samples were analyzed using GC to quantify 1, 2 and 3 whereas HPLC was used to quantify 4. For GC quantification, 200 μL of each sample was extracted with 600 μL dichloromethane (containing 2 mM acetoephonene as external standard) by vortexing for 1 min. The two phases were separated by centrifugation at 13,000 g for 5 min. The organic phase was carefully transferred to a sterile microcentrifuge tube and dried with anhydrous sodium sulfate. The final sample was analyzed using a Shimadzu GC 14A or Shimadzu 2010 Plus equipped with Hydrodex®-β3P column (0.25 mm × 25 m, Macherey & Nagel, Düren, Germany) and flame-ionization detection. The oven was preheated to 60 °C for 10 min, followed by a gradual increase of temperature by 10 °C min⁻¹ to reach 160 °C and held at this oven temperature for 10 min. Retention times of 1, 2 and 3 were 15.2, 12.9 and 20 min, respectively.

For the quantification of 4, the sample was first acidified by adding 10 μL 5 N HCl to a 200 μL sample, and this was vortexed. Cell debris was then removed by centrifugation at 13,000 g for 5 min. A 50 μL sample was injected to a Luna® C-8 column (250 mm × 4 mm, Phenomenex, Aschaffenburg, Germany). A mixture of double distilled water (pH 2.5) and acetonitrile (85/15 v/v) was used as a mobile phase at a flow rate of 1 mL min⁻¹, and the column temperature was maintained at 40 °C. The product was detected by measuring the absorbance at 200 nm with a retention time of 5.1 min.
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