Evidence for a two-stage particle attachment mechanism for phyllosilicate crystallization in geological processes
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ABSTRACT

The understanding of crystal nucleation and growth has evolved over the past two decades from the conventional atom-by-atom model to a non-classical approach, involving particle aggregation and amorphous transformation pathways. Whereas aggregation of particles instead of individual atoms/ions/molecules has been recognized as a common crystallization pathway at the Earth’s surface conditions, few cases are known for high-temperature (e.g., melt) mineralization, which is of great importance for understanding geological processes.

Here, we present texture data for natural (e.g., igneous and metamorphic biotite and muscovite) and synthetic (e.g., fluorophlogopite) phyllosilicates suggesting that a particle attachment formation should be considered, although other crystal growth models cannot be excluded. A nonclassical crystallization model is proposed for phyllosilicates forming at elevated temperatures in magmatic and metamorphic environments whereby oriented attachment of building blocks occurs along the (001) plane or the [001] direction, or both simultaneously. In this model, the crystallization of phyllosilicates occurs in steps, with multi-ion complexes forming nanoparticles, and nanoparticles coalescing (self-assembly) to form nano-flakes that become domains in larger crystallites by oriented attachment. Adjacent domains can share a common crystallographic orientation or may be rotated at various angles relative to each other. Nanoparticles may be associated with distorted bonds or may be space separated. Thus, the phyllosilicate grows into a mosaic crystal.

Mosaic crystals can also form following classical crystallization models, but the process differs in that the mosaic character involves the intergrowths of nucleation sites (classical crystal-growth process) instead of the coalescence of nanoparticles building blocks (crystallization by particle attachment). These processes may be discerned by the textural differences that result. Oriented particle attachment of building blocks in phyllosilicates is recognized by a loss of closest packing by bond distortion or by space separation at domain boundaries. Crystallization by atom attachment occurs with closest packing within layers, and particles grow independently. The two processes may occur within a single environment and are not mutually exclusive. However, defects generated, for example, by chemical inhomogeneity, mechanical deformation, or sample preparation, cannot be completely excluded, although the use of synthetic, end-member material (e.g., fluorophlogopite) generated from a melt reduces these possibilities. Nonetheless, a particle attachment model is a viable alternative to classical crystal growth processes for high-temperature phyllosilicates with the presented supporting data, although still not yet proven.
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INTRODUCTION

The classic view on crystallization usually involves a nucleation event followed by growth stages proceeding via atom-by-atom addition (Kossel 1927; Stranski 1928; Lee et al. 2001; Liu et al. 2014). Crystal growth may be aided by defects (Frank et al. 1949; Frank 1951; Barontet 1975; Sunagawa and Koshino 1975) that lead to the formation of kinks and subsequently facilitate the attachment of atoms or ions. Screw dislocations are particularly important for this growth mechanism because the resultant growth spiral enhances crystallization by providing a continuous supply of steps and kinks and at the same time...
time limits new nucleation as ions more readily attach to kink sites than self-organize into two-dimensional nuclei under most circumstances. Based primarily on microscopic texture data, two basic crystal growth models, layer-by-layer growth (i.e., Kossl-Stranski two-dimensional nucleation growth) and spiral growth (i.e., BCF theory) were proposed in the mid-1900s, which successfully described observations of crystal growth that were available at that time.

However, a growing body of observations on field and laboratory samples shows that crystals can form by the attachment of particles ranging from multi-ion complexes to fully formed nanoparticles (De Yoreo et al. 2015; Lee et al. 2016). In this nonclassical model, oriented attachment (OA) is proposed to be a key step by which aggregating nanoparticles self-assemble into extended structures by preferential attachment on specific crystal faces. Crystallization by particle attachment (CPA) occurs for various minerals, such asapatite (Habraken et al. 2013), anatase (Penn and Banfield 1998b), iron oxyhydroxides (Banfield et al. 2000), magnetite (Baumgartner et al. 2013), zeolites (Lupulescu and Rimer 2014), and many others. Particle-based mineral formation may have particular importance for geochemical cycling of elements and the transition from an inorganic to a biological world, as well as synthesis of novel nanomaterials (De Yoreo et al. 2015).

Nearly all the reported minerals involving such nonclassical pathways are three-dimensional (3D) crystals formed at the Earth’s surface conditions (mostly associated with biomineralization) (Banfield et al. 2000; Oaki and Imai 2005; Gong et al. 2012) or hydrothermally synthesized in the laboratory (Penn and Banfield 1998a, 1999; Baumgartner et al. 2013; Smeets et al. 2017), while little consideration has yet been given to higher temperature (e.g., melt) environments. Knowledge of high-temperature crystallization is of great importance for a comprehensive understanding of mineral crystallization and consequently for obtaining critical information related to igneous and metamorphic processes. Hence, the main objective of this study is to determine the crystal growth mechanism of phyllosilicate minerals at elevated temperatures by considering natural and synthetic micas. Our results indicate that nanoparticles, which form at an early stage of crystallization, form the basic building blocks of coarsening phyllosilicate crystals. Phyllosilicate crystallization occurs mainly by the initial formation of multi-ion clusters (nucleation), which form precursory nanoparticles that self-assemble to form nano-flakes, which then become domains in larger crystallites by oriented attachment. This study not only describes a novel crystallization pathway for phyllosilicates, but also suggests that the microstructure of phyllosilicate crystals may in part indicate the evolution of melts and other geological fluids involved in geochemical processes.

**Materials and Methods**

Natural biotite and muscovite samples

Two groups of natural mica samples of magmatic and metamorphic origin were investigated. A magmatic muscovite from a granitic pegmatite and a biotite from a biotite granite were collected from Zhaoqiao, Guangdong Province, China (Yang et al. 2020). A metamorphic muscovite and a metamorphic biotite were collected from Shijiazhuang, Hebei Province, China. More specifically, the muscovite was from the Lingshou-Baishan sedimentary-metamorphism-type mica deposit, hosted by muscovite K-rich feldspar gneiss, whereas the biotite occurred in a biotite plagioclase gneiss.

X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns indicate that the samples investigated are pure biotite or muscovite (see details in Online Material 1 Fig. OM1–OM2), with the XRD measurements conducted on a Bruker D8 Advance diffractometer with CuKα radiation (He et al. 2014). The major elemental components of the mica samples were determined by using a JEOL JXA-8230 electron probe micro-analyzer (EPMA), following the procedure described by Tan et al. (2016). On the basis of EPMA results (see details in Online Material 1 Tables OM1–OM4), their calculated chemical formulas are as follows:

- **Magmatic biotite:** \((K_{0.98\text{Na}_{0.01\text{Fe}_{0.02}}\text{Ca}_{0.01\text{Mg}_{0.02}}})_{0.94\text{Ti}_{0.11\text{Mn}_{0.02}}\text{Fe}_{0.12}\text{Mg}_{0.01}}\text{Si}_{2.94\text{Al}_{0.02}}\text{O}_{10}((\text{OH,F})_{0.12})_{2}\)
- **Magmatic muscovite:** \((K_{0.97\text{Na}_{0.03\text{Fe}_{0.02}}\text{Ca}_{0.01\text{Mg}_{0.02}}})_{1.00\text{Ti}_{0.11\text{Mn}_{0.02}}\text{Fe}_{0.12}\text{Mg}_{0.01}}\text{Si}_{2.94\text{Al}_{0.02}}\text{O}_{10}((\text{OH,F})_{0.12})_{2}\)
- **Metamorphic biotite:** \((K_{0.89\text{Na}_{0.11\text{Fe}_{0.02}}\text{Ca}_{0.01\text{Mg}_{0.02}}})_{1.00\text{Ti}_{0.11\text{Mn}_{0.02}}\text{Fe}_{0.12}\text{Mg}_{0.01}}\text{Si}_{2.94\text{Al}_{0.02}}\text{O}_{10}((\text{OH,F})_{0.12})_{2}\)
- **Metamorphic muscovite:** \((K_{0.86\text{Na}_{0.14\text{Fe}_{0.02}}\text{Ca}_{0.01\text{Mg}_{0.02}}})_{1.00\text{Ti}_{0.11\text{Mn}_{0.02}}\text{Fe}_{0.12}\text{Mg}_{0.01}}\text{Si}_{2.94\text{Al}_{0.02}}\text{O}_{10}((\text{OH,F})_{0.12})_{2}\)

**Synthetic fluorophlogopite**

To test the crystal growth mechanism indicated by the natural mica samples, two fluorophlogite samples of high purity (Online Material 1 Fig. OM3) were synthesized at 900 and 1450 °C, respectively, and their microstructures were investigated. All the chemicals used for the synthesis of fluorophlogopite were of analytical grade, including amorphous SiO2 purchased from Aladdin Industrial Corporation, K2SiF6, and MgCl2 from Fuchen Chemical Factory, and Al2O3, MgO, NaF, and Na2SiO3·9H2O from Guangzhou Chemical Factory. Kaoinite with a purity of more than 96%, serving as a coupling agent and starting material in the synthesis experiments, was collected from Maoming, Guangdong Province, China.

**Synthesis of fluorophlogopite at 1450 °C**

The mixture composed of SiO2 (30.7 wt%), Al2O3 (11.6 wt%), MgO (32.6 wt%), and K2SiF6 (25.1 wt%) was well ground in an agate mortar for 30 min and then transferred to a 50 mL corundum crucible. A coupling agent was prepared with kaolinite and Na2SiO3·9H2O in a mass ratio of 1:1, which was pasted on the inner surface of the crucible to reduce the loss of fluorine. The crucible was placed into a muffle furnace and was heated to 1450 °C at a heating rate 10 °C/min. After being maintained for 4 h at this temperature, which produced a melt, the furnace was freely cooled to room temperature.

**Synthesis of fluorophlogopite at 900 °C**

Kaoinite (40.0 wt%), NaF (20.0 wt%), and MgCl2 (40.0 wt%) were mixed and transferred to a 50 mL corundum crucible and heated in a muffle furnace at 900 °C for 5 h, and then freely cooled to room temperature. The obtained product was washed with deionized water three times and then dried at 60 °C for 12 h. Both the phase identifications and composition determinations (see details in Online Material 1 Fig. OM3 and Tables OM5–OM6) were conducted similarly following the procedures described for the natural mica samples. Their calculated chemical formulas are as follows:

- **Fluorophlogopite synthesized at 900 °C:** \((K_{0.86\text{Na}_{0.14\text{Fe}_{0.02}}\text{Ca}_{0.01\text{Mg}_{0.02}}})_{1.00\text{Ti}_{0.11\text{Mn}_{0.02}}\text{Fe}_{0.12}\text{Mg}_{0.01}}\text{Si}_{2.94\text{Al}_{0.02}}\text{O}_{10}((\text{OH,F})_{0.12})_{2}\)
- **Fluorophlogopite synthesized at 1450 °C:** \((K_{0.83\text{Na}_{0.17\text{Fe}_{0.02}}\text{Ca}_{0.01\text{Mg}_{0.02}}})_{1.00\text{Ti}_{0.11\text{Mn}_{0.02}}\text{Fe}_{0.12}\text{Mg}_{0.01}}\text{Si}_{2.94\text{Al}_{0.02}}\text{O}_{10}((\text{OH,F})_{0.12})_{2}\)

**High-resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM)**

To investigate stacking structures of phyllosilicates along the [001] direction, oriented samples were embedded in epoxy resin and dried at 100 °C for 3 h. Subsequently, ultrathin sections with a thickness of ~75 nm were sliced with a diamond knife using a Leica EM UC7 ultramicrotome. The sections were placed on carbon-coated copper micro-grids for TEM and high-angle annular dark-field scanning transmission electron microscopy (HAADF-STEM) with an FEI Talos F200S microscope at an accelerating voltage of 200 kV.

**Results and Discussion**

Magmatic biotite and muscovite

Scanning transmission electron microscopy (STEM) images of granitic biotite (Fig. 1a) clearly show that the particle (projection down the [010] direction) with a size of ~140 × 120 nm is comprised of at least 5 domains (i.e., nano-flakes) stacking along
the c axis (as numbered in Fig. 1a). The thickness of these domains varies from ~7 to ~40 nm. The interface between domains is distinguished by differing contrasts and a “zigzag” profile at the edge of the particle.

After a ~14.3° rotation of the biotite particle in Figure 1a, the ultra-fine structure showed distortions and separated space between adjacent nanoparticles (Fig. 1b). The HAADF-STEM images demonstrate that the domains are comprised of nanoparticles with layer distortion (Fig. 1c) or separated space (Fig. 1d) at the boundaries between two adjacent nanoparticles. The corresponding fast Fourier transform (FFT) pattern (Fig. 1e) shows two series of independent diffraction patterns, indicating a small difference in crystallographic orientation between the two nanoparticles connected by layer distortion. When structurally similar edges of biotite nanoparticles approach, there is a driving force to form chemical bonds between atoms of opposing edges to achieve full coordination. Because edges are not atomically flat, coherence is achieved by distortion at the interface (Penn and Banfield 1998a). Some nanoparticles are partially connected by continuous layers, whereas others are spatially separated (Fig. 1d), suggesting an intermediate or alternative state for oriented attachment of initial nanoparticles (Li et al. 1999).

Although the FFT image shows that most nanoparticles in the biotite grain share the same crystallographic orientation, i.e., the [010] direction (Fig. 1a, inset), random rotations between two adjacent nano-flake domains were also found. Figure 2 shows a rotation of ~5° between the two adjacent domains; the projection of the upper domain is along the [110] zone axis (Fig. 2b), whereas the lower domain only displays (00l) diffractions (Fig. 2c). Also, a screw dislocation was observed in the lower domain (Fig. 2a, bottom). This may suggest that a spiral growth mechanism is involved in the formation of initial nanoparticles, which is rarely reported in 3D crystals formed via crystallization by particle attachment (Penn and Banfield 1998a).

Our observations indicate that magmatic biotite has a hierarchical fine structure, with grains comprised of domains that are composed of aggregated nanoparticles. Both layer-by-layer growth and spiral growth are involved in the formation of nanoparticles during melt cooling, whereas coarsening of crystals is controlled by oriented attachment of formed domains. Such a crystal growth pathway is different from the traditional crystal growth mechanisms for rock-forming minerals (Kossel 1927; Stranski 1928; Frank et al. 1949; Frank 1951), and the nonclassical pathways observed in biomineralization products and hydrothermally synthesized minerals (De Yoreo et al. 2015; Lee et al. 2016).

Similar nanoparticle aggregates are also found in magmatic muscovite grains (Figs. 3a and 3b) collected from a granitic
Figure 2. HRTEM image and FFT patterns of biotite from a granite. HRTEM image of two biotite grains (a) and FFT patterns of the corresponding domains show that the upper domain is along the [110] zone axis (b) and the lower one only displays (00l) diffraction spots (c). A screw dislocation is observed in the lower domain. The dotted lines represent biotite layers.

Figure 3. STEM/HRTEM images and SAED/FFT patterns of muscovite from a pegmatite. (a). STEM image of muscovite grain. The SAED patterns (insets in a) show that the crystallographic orientations of the domains within a grain are different from each other. (b). The muscovite grain is comprised of 5 nano-flakes with random rotations.
pegmatite. Compared with biotite, the orientations of domains within a single muscovite grain usually differ from one another to a larger extent based on the selected-area electron diffraction (SAED) patterns. For instance, the STEM image of the upper domain (marked with the circle in yellow) is along the [100] zone axis, whereas the lower domain only displays (00l) diffractions (Fig. 3a, insets), corresponding to a rotation of ~11° relative to each other. As shown in Figure 3b, the muscovite grain is comprised of five domains with random rotations via oriented attachment along the c axis. Such an orientation difference may result from variations between the crystallization environments (e.g., temperature, viscosity, activity of H$_2$O, etc.) of biotite (granite) and muscovite (pegmatite).

**Metamorphic biotite and muscovite**

HRTEM observations of metamorphic biotite and muscovite crystals show that despite both minerals being composed of domains involving nano-flakes, the observed rotational offset of the domains varied widely between them. The rotation angles are very different from one to another and not related to polytype formation of n ×60° (0 ≤ n ≤ 5) (Smith and Yoder 1956; Ross et al. 1966; Baronnet 1972). As shown in Figure 4a, the metamorphic biotite grain is comprised of three domains, where the crystallographic orientations of domains 1 and 2 are similar (a relative rotation of ~1–2°), whereas those of domains 2 and 3 involve a rotation of ~7° relative to each other. Such a mismatch results in the formation of edge dislocations (the arrow in Fig. 4b), which is similar to those that result from the imperfect oriented attachment of synthetic anatase (Penn and Banfield 1998a).

The metamorphic muscovite grain in Figure 5a is composed of at least five nano-flake domains. The enlarged image of the area (see yellow square) shows that the layer stacking at the interface between the two domains is continuous along the [001] direction (Fig. 5b). However, the FFT patterns indicate that domain 1 is along the [110] zone axis (Fig. 5c), whereas domain 2 is along the [010] zone axis (Fig. 5d), indicating a rotation of ~30° (or ~150°) between domains 1 and 2. The angular mismatch between adjacent domains is ubiquitous within metamorphic biotite and muscovite as can be observed in other randomly selected observation areas (Online Material Figs. OM4–OM5).

In domain 2 (Fig. 5b), there are angular differences between the FFT patterns of three areas, i.e., a regular stacking region

---

**Figure 4.** HRTEM images of metamorphic biotite. (a) Three attached biotite domains with rotation about the c axis. Dashed lines represent the interfaces between domains. (b) The enlarged image of the yellow square in a, which displays an edge dislocation (arrowhead) at the interface. The right side displays FFT patterns of biotite nano-flakes in a. Similar orientation for domains 1 and 2 but a rotation of ~7° for domain 3 relative to domains 1 and 2.

---
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(box labeled “RS”), an imperfect oriented attachment region (box labeled “IOA”), and an unlabeled area to the left of “10 nm.” The tilt angle of the imperfect oriented attachment region is much smaller than that of the unlabeled region. Following Penn and Banfield (1998a), both regions possibly result from oriented attachment, but other factors (e.g., chemical heterogeneity) indicated by the variations in HAADF-STEM intensity (Fig. 5b) also cannot be excluded. The region of regular stacking and the region of imperfect oriented attachment are joined by regular stacking, suggesting a nucleation and growth process, although the dislocation within the latter was generated by an imperfect oriented attachment. As observed in FFT patterns (Fig. 5e), multiple series of independent diffraction points are recognized, which shows both oriented attachment and nucleation and growth processes.

Random rotation of component domains occurs extensively in metamorphic biotite and muscovite grains, whereas in magmatic micas those domains usually share a common crystallographic orientation, suggesting mica can form by oriented attachment of nano-flakes/nanoparticles. However, the ultra-fine structure of mica closely correlates with the environments in which they

**Figure 5.** HRTEM images and FFT patterns of metamorphic muscovite. (a) Muscovite domains of nano-flakes along the c axis. (b) The enlarged image of the yellow square in a. RS = regular stacking; IOA = imperfect oriented attachment. The dotted lines in the IOA region represent muscovite layers. (c–d) FFT patterns of domain 1 and 2 in b, respectively. (e) FFT pattern of the IOA region in b shows at least two series of independent diffraction lines.
form. Presumably, the temperature, cooling rate, and other environmental conditions may be dominant factors controlling the microstructure of mica crystals. High temperature and low cooling rate are favorable conditions for the formation of homogeneous phyllosilicate crystals. Otherwise, the crystals are heterogeneous, in accord with thermodynamic and kinetic crystal growth theories (ten Wolde and Frenkel 1997).

**Synthetic fluorophlogopite**

To test the afore-discussed growth mechanism, we synthesized fluorophlogopite (analogous to natural mica from melts) from the molten state and examined the fine structure of the product. The harvested crystals are transparent and colorless crystalline lamellae several micrometers to millimeters in size (Chen et al. 2019). The TEM images and SAED patterns show that the synthetic fluorophlogopite grains are formed by stacking of domains with different crystallographic orientations along the c axis (Online Material Fig. OM6). Similar to the aforementioned natural micas, the HRTEM images on crystalline (lattice-fringe-bearing) regions with low contrast show that the synthetic fluorophlogopite domains are also comprised of nanoparticles connected by nearly continuous layers between adjacent nanoparticles (Figs. 6a and 6b). The FFT pattern displays separated and strong diffraction points (Fig. 6a, inset). The continuity of lattice fringes and separated diffraction points demonstrate that all domains have the same crystallographic orientation (a defective single crystal). Figure 6b shows that these nanoparticle domains prefer to attach to each other along (010), (110), and (1T0) faces, which are stable edge surfaces according to the stable-bond-chain theory (White and Zelazny 1988). These stable edge surfaces are formed during the nucleation of nanoparticles at the onset of crystallization. Oriented attachment of these nanoparticles then leads to the formation of nano-flakes, which become the basic building blocks of the synthetic phyllosilicate grains.

Although most of the synthetic fluorophlogopite crystals are near perfect, the relative rotations of their constituent nanoparticles and nano-flakes are observed in the HRTEM results (Fig. 7).

The fluorophlogopite grain is comprised of 10 nano-flakes (Fig. 7a). Nano-flakes 1–4 (projection down the [130] direction) and nano-flakes 5–10 (projection down the [110] direction) share a common crystallographic orientation, respectively, whereas a ~20° rotation occurs between nano-flakes 1–4 and nano-flakes 5–10. A similar rotation angle was also observed between adjacent nano-flakes on another randomly selected fluorophlogopite grain (Fig. 7b). The projection of the upper domain is along the [110] zone axis, whereas that of the lower one is along the [130] zone axis, i.e., a ~20° rotation between the two domains.

As shown in Figure 8a, the fluorophlogopite grain is comprised of 7 nano-flakes with distinct boundaries, in which a dislocation line occurs at the right edge of domain 6 (Fig. 8b). In addition, the orientation of the area marked by the yellow square (as indicated by the corresponding FFT pattern at the left in Fig. 8c) is different from that of the other part of this nano-flake (see the FFT pattern at the right), suggesting the nano-flake is formed by the imperfect oriented attachment of nanoparticles.

**Phyllosilicate crystal growth mechanism**

Mica minerals (e.g., biotite and muscovite) are common in geologic environments. In the traditional model, the mica structure is characterized by identical or near identical layers that stack along the c axis (Smith and Yoder 1956; Ross et al. 1966; Baronnet 1972; Bailey 1984; Brigatti and Guggenheim 2002), with a large interlayer cation (e.g., K+) between adjacent layers. In the process of crystal growth, rotation of mica layers with regular angles (i.e., n ×60°, 0 ≤ n ≤ 5) may occur and leads to polytypism (Smith and Yoder 1956; Ross et al. 1966; Baronnet 1972; Bailey 1984; Brigatti and Guggenheim 2002), with a large interlayer cation (e.g., K+) between adjacent layers. In the process of crystal growth, rotation of mica layers with regular angles (i.e., n ×60°, 0 ≤ n ≤ 5) may occur and leads to polytypism (Smith and Yoder 1956; Ross et al. 1966; Baronnet 1972; Bailey 1984; Brigatti and Guggenheim 2002).
**Figure 7.** TEM/HRTEM images and SAED/FFT patterns of the fluorophlogopite synthesized at 1450 °C. (a) TEM image and SAED/FFT patterns (insets) of the synthetic fluorophlogopite. FFT pattern (upper left) was taken from nano-flakes 1–4 (projection down the [130] direction), and SAED pattern (lower right) from nanoflakes 5–10 (projection down the [110] direction), respectively. (b) HRTEM image and FFT patterns (insets) of the synthetic fluorophlogopite. The dotted line in b showing the grain boundary of the two domains. Note that a and b were obtained from different fluorophlogopite grains.
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(1972), and ion attachment proceeds via a spiral growth mechanism along screw dislocations (Baronnet 1975; Sunagawa 2007). Thus, atom-by-atom addition and layer-by-layer stacking have been suggested to be the growth mechanism for mica minerals. However, the results acquired here on natural and synthetic micas suggest that nanoparticles are involved in the mineralization of phyllosilicates under geological conditions. The crystallization is believed to occur as in a two-stage process after multi-ion complexes form: (1) the formation of nanoparticles and the ensuing oriented particle aggregation in which the building blocks may share a common crystallographic orientation or may have a small rotation relative to each other in route to the formation of phyllosilicate nano-flakes; and (2) the coarsening of grains via attachment of the nano-flakes in which the orientation of individual nano-flakes can vary randomly. In this process, the product of each step becomes the building unit for the next. Whereas the oriented attachment occurs primarily in the (001) plane, coarsening is responsible for the Z-direction growth (extension in the [001] direction) (Fig. 9). The two-stage process appears to be favored by a high energy state as indicated by better flake continuity at high temperature, whereas directed stress may play a role to enhance the oriented attachment of nanoparticles during metamorphism.

As shown by previous studies (Lee Penn et al. 2007; De Yoreo et al. 2015) in lower temperature environments, the nanoparticles in oriented aggregates are usually several nanometers in diameter, and sometimes, adjacent nanoparticles are space-separated. However, nanoparticles from melts and other high-temperature systems, as demonstrated by the present study, are much larger (about several tens or hundreds of nanometers), and the resultant particles display some structural continuity. The larger size and structural continuity may result from the difference between melt and solution-hosted crystallization (Nanev 2015). For crystal coarsening via particle attachment, driving forces are critical for particle movement and collision (Gibbs et al. 2011; Wallace et al. 2013; Zhang et al. 2014) as well as orientation adjustment between adjacent nanoparticles (Penn and Banfield 1998a). In the case of a solution, due to a relatively low temperature, nanoparticle movement is relatively slow and orientation adjustment is commonly difficult, resulting in a low crystal growth rate and imperfect crystal structure. However, in the case of a melt, Brownian motion-driven particle collisions...
and orientation adjustments are feasible, leading to the formation of crystals with larger size and component nanoparticles sharing a common crystallographic orientation.

**Implications**

The present study indicates that, whereas crystallization by particle attachment is widely observed for aqueous phase crystallization, oriented attachments are also likely to occur in melts, high-temperature fluids, and high-grade metamorphic regimes. Due to their unique two-dimensional crystal structures, we speculate that the second stage of crystal attachment may be a possible growth mode in the high-temperature state for phyllosilicates.

More importantly, this study suggests that the size of the nanoparticles and the microstructure of the resultant aggregations (e.g., boundary state and extent of bond distortion) strongly depend on the local environments involved. Thus, for mineral crystallization from micas and high-temperature metamorphic processes, the size and relative orientation of the nanoparticles may be used as indicators for a fine-scale understanding of the evolution of the melts and high-grade metamorphic fluids and for constraining the concurring physicochemical conditions. For instance, a larger nanoparticle size in resultant mineral crystals suggests a slower cooling rate within the melt. The relationship between the features of mineral crystals (e.g., nanoparticle size) and the evolution of melts (e.g., cooling rate) can further the understanding of geological processes.
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