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**Abstract**

Low-temperature hydrous alteration of FeTi oxide-rich ferroan peridotite, Laramie anorthosite complex, Wyoming, produced silician ferrihydrite, cronstedtite, greenalite, hisingerite, and talc. Ferrihydrite occurs as nanocrystals in ~50 nm diameter granules that form monomineralic masses up to 300 μm across. It is inferred to have formed by the replacement of an igneous sulfide such as pyrrhotite. Electron diffraction shows the ferrihydrite to be a 9-line variety. Si-rich cronstedtite formed thin rims around the ferrihydrite, and talc grew patchily around the cronstedtite. Greenalite formed in ~10 μm cracks through all the above minerals and olivine, and hisingerite microveinlets partially replaced olivine. Igneous minerals remaining include olivine FeO-rich magnetite, ilmenite, hornblende, biotite, and trace clinopyroxene. Correlations among the constituents of ferrihydrite determined by electron microprobe, including anhydrous totals, indicate progress during the growth of two charge-balanced exchanges involving silica enrichment: an inverse cronstedtite substitution (MgFe<sup>2+</sup>,Si) (Fe<sup>2+</sup>Mn<sup>3+</sup>)<sub>2</sub> and an inverse hydrogarnet substitution SiH<sub>4</sub>. The cronstedtite exchange requires charge and size balance across nearest-neighbor Fe and O crystal sites, suggesting crystal-interior rather than crystal-surface control. Ferrihydrite’s composition reflects time- and space-related variations in the chemical potentials of components in the hydrous fluid at the site of alteration. An upper limit for SiO<sub>2</sub> of 14–15 wt%, or ≈1.0 Si per 5-cation formula unit, would seem to correspond to the limit of availability in ferrihydrite of tetrahedral sites open to the entry of Si. Our EPMA data, projected to zero SiO<sub>2</sub>, indicate an anhydrous total of ≈83 wt% for end-member ferrihydrite, a number that matches the formula: Fe<sub>10</sub>O<sub>13</sub>·9H<sub>2</sub>O. The geochemical properties of Laramie ferrihydrite are shared by some samples of altered chondritic and Martian meteorites. Ferrihydrite on Earth commonly occurs as a surface deposit; unlike the Laramie occurrence, these lack the microspatial coherence of replacements/pseudomorphs to show systematic, structure-related element variations. The superior crystal quality of the Laramie ferrihydrite likely contributed to its unique compositional variability.
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**Introduction**

Ferrihydrite was characterized as a mineral by Towe and Bradley (1967), named as such by Chukhrov et al. (1971, 1974), and approved shortly after by the IMA (Fleischer et al. 1975). As reviewed in Childs (1992) and Jambor and Dutrizac (1998), ferrihydrite is a nanocrystalline ferric oxyhydroxide occurring on Earth as reddish-brown films and crusts in surface waters, stream and lake sediments, soils of many kinds, acid mine wastes and drainage, fumaroles, hot and cold springs, and sea-floor hydrothermal systems (e.g., early work by Henni et al. 1980; Carlson and Schwertmann 1981; Wilson and Russell 1983; Childs et al. 1982, 1986; Schwertmann et al. 1987; Schwertmann 1988). Extra-terrestrial ferrihydrite was discovered in the chondrites Orgueil (Tomeoka and Buseck 1988), Kakangari (Brearley 1989), and Vigaran (Lee et al. 1996), and the Nippena ureilite (Brearley and Prinz 1992), Martian nakhlites (Treiman et al. 1993; Vigarano (Lee et al. 1996), and the Nilpena ureilite (Brearley 1986; Schwertmann et al. 1987; Schwertmann 1988). Electron diffraction shows the ferrihydrite to be a 9-line variety. Si-rich cronstedtite formed thin rims around the ferrihydrite, and talc grew patchily around the cronstedtite. Greenalite formed in ~10 μm cracks through all the above minerals and olivine, and hisingerite microveinlets partially replaced olivine. Igneous minerals remaining include olivine FeO-rich magnetite, ilmenite, hornblende, biotite, and trace clinopyroxene. Correlations among the constituents of ferrihydrite determined by electron microprobe, including anhydrous totals, indicate progress during the growth of two charge-balanced exchanges involving silica enrichment: an inverse cronstedtite substitution (MgFe<sup>2+</sup>,Si) (Fe<sup>2+</sup>Mn<sup>3+</sup>)<sub>2</sub> and an inverse hydrogarnet substitution SiH<sub>4</sub>. The cronstedtite exchange requires charge and size balance across nearest-neighbor Fe and O crystal sites, suggesting crystal-interior rather than crystal-surface control. Ferrihydrite’s composition reflects time- and space-related variations in the chemical potentials of components in the hydrous fluid at the site of alteration. An upper limit for SiO<sub>2</sub> of 14–15 wt%, or ≈1.0 Si per 5-cation formula unit, would seem to correspond to the limit of availability in ferrihydrite of tetrahedral sites open to the entry of Si. Our EPMA data, projected to zero SiO<sub>2</sub>, indicate an anhydrous total of ≈83 wt% for end-member ferrihydrite, a number that matches the formula: Fe<sub>10</sub>O<sub>13</sub>·9H<sub>2</sub>O. The geochemical properties of Laramie ferrihydrite are shared by some samples of altered chondritic and Martian meteorites. Ferrihydrite on Earth commonly occurs as a surface deposit; unlike the Laramie occurrence, these lack the microspatial coherence of replacements/pseudomorphs to show systematic, structure-related element variations. The superior crystal quality of the Laramie ferrihydrite likely contributed to its unique compositional variability.
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patterns. These are conventionally labeled after the number of peaks: for example, “2-line” or 2L ferrihydrite with two broad reflections, or patterns with sharp “6-line” or “7-line” (6L, 7L) peaks (Schwertmann 1988; Eggleton and Fitzpatrick 1988; Konishi et al. 2012), the 6-line being consistent with the 1975 IMA definition of ferrihydrite (Janney et al. 2001). A structurally coherent size of 20–60 domains of FeO(OH),H2O per particle was estimated by Childs (1992). As a nanomineral it is a phase with a very large relative surface area, equivalent to as much as 35% of its volume according to Jambor and Dutrizac (1998), and so its bulk chemical composition integrates surface and interior properties. These properties create ambiguity with respect to its chemical formula and the structural role of some elements, for example, silicon (e.g., Cornell et al. 1987; Cismasu et al. 2011). Its large surface area and great reactivity, on the other hand, make it a mineral with important applications as an industrial adsorbent.

The chemical formula of this nominally simple-system (Fe-O-H) mineral has challenged workers since its discovery, the problems being its content of OH and H2O and the role of adsorbed and, in nature, possible additional elements. Formula proposals have been offered by Towe and Bradley (1967), Chukhrov et al. (1971, 1974), Fleischer et al. (1975), Russell (1979), Eggleton and Fitzpatrick (1988), Jambor and Dutrizac (1998), Boyd and Scott (1999), Hiemstra and Van Riemsdijk (2009), Michel et al. (2007, 2010), and Hiemstra (2013). A valid formula fundamentally requires, among other things, supporting high-quality crystal-structure information based on diffraction and spectroscopy; however, the issue has not acquired a great level of unanimity in the nanomineral community.

Eggleton and Fitzpatrick (1988, 1990) proposed a sheet structure for ferrihydrite with 2/3 octahedral and 1/3 atoms of tetrahedral iron. Their model was challenged by Manceau et al. (1990), Pankhurst and Pollard (1992), and by Drits et al. (1993). Drits et al. (1993) concluded that ferrihydrite consists of a basically defect-free component “t” (hexagonal with a = 0.296 nm and c = 0.940 nm), a defective component (“d”), and ultradispersed hematite. In the defect-free component, a close-packed arrangement of oxygen and hydroxyl layer fragments supports octahedral sites 50% occupied by Fe. Using EXAFS and XANES, Zhao et al. (1994) concluded that as much as 25% of Fe ions could be tetrahedral but only at the particle surface. Also, it emerged that the “aging” of synthetic ferrihydrite, including the degree of dehydration at its surface, could influence iron coordination and the lengths and edge and corner linkages of the core octahedral chains. Janney et al. (2000a, 2000b, 2001) found that, in addition to particle size, there are structural differences between 2L and 6L ferrihydrite, and that the structure of 6L ferrihydrite is similar to “defect-free” ferrihydrite. A neutron diffraction study by Jansen et al. (2002) found support for the “t” and “d” component model of ferrihydrite (Drits et al. 1993), but not for the presence of hematite.

In contrast to previous studies, Michel et al. (2007) argued for a “single-phase” Keggin-based structural model for ferrihydrite using the pair distribution function (PDF) method for analyzing total X-ray scattering data. Keggin structures are stable polyoxometalate anion clusters long known to assemble in acidic aqueous solutions and consisting of a central tetrahedral anion caged inside 12 octahedra. According to Michel et al. (2007), ferrihydrite is composed of 2–6 nm domains, is hexagonal P6,mc, with a unit cell a = 0.595 nm and c ~ 0.906 nm, and possesses a chemical formula of Fe11O14(OH)3 making it isostructural to the mineral akdalaite, Al9O14(OH)2. Not shown in this formula is the likely presence of particle-size dependent, surface-bound water. The structure in its ideal form (larger particles) consists of 20% FeO and 80% FeO, polyhedra, according to Michel et al. (2007). The corresponding layered structure is illustrated in Hiemstra (2013; Fig. 1). It has recently become accepted that, instead of atom-by-atom growth, the iron oxide minerals form by the aggregation of tiny precursor iron-oxygen clusters (Banfield et al. 2000; Baumgartner et al. 2013). In support of this model, Sadeghi et al. (2015) and Weatherill et al. (2016) showed that the growth of synthetic ferrihydrite starts with the nucleation of Fe3+ monomers with ~0.45 nm radius Fe3+, Keggin clusters. These subsequently aggregate into ~3 nm diameter 2-line ferrihydrite particles in which the Keggin motif is preserved. It is not entirely clear, however, if this formation pathway is applicable to the natural environment (Weatherill et al. 2016), especially to ferrihydrite produced by mineral replacement. Further three-dimensional aggregation of such nucleation clusters (e.g., to particles approaching 10 nm in diameter) is evidently difficult. In contrast to sheet silicates like cronstedtite, lizardite, and talc that can extend in classical fashion, ferrihydrite is unable to grow beyond the nanoscale.

The question of the presence or otherwise of core Fe3+ polyhedra in ferrihydrite apparently remains to this day. It is an issue that has generated a great deal of diffraction and spectroscopic work (XRD, XANES, EXAFS, EELS, Mössbauer, XAS, XMCD, SAED), seemingly conflicting results, and ongoing discussion. All investigators have worked on synthetic material. Supporters of modest proportions of essential Fe3+, along with Eggleton and Fitzpatrick (1988, 1990), have been Michel et al. (2007, 2010), Parise et al. (2010), Xu et al. (2011), Harrington et al. (2011), Maillot et al. (2011), Guyodo et al. (2012), Hocking

---

**Figure 1.** Analyses of Fe, Mn, Mg, and Si in terrestrial and extra-terrestrial ferrihydrite from the literature (Chukhrov et al. 1974; Henni et al. 1980; Carlson and Schwertmann 1981; Childs et al. 1982, 1986, 1990; Wilson and Russell 1983; Parfitt et al. 1992; Treiman et al. 1993; Greshake 1997; Dinelli et al. 1998; Boyd and Scott 1999; Kim and Kim 2003). Not included are surficial terrestrial samples with measured phosphate, sulfate, carbonate, or clay impurities.
et al. (2012), Peak and Regier (2012a, 2012b), and Hiemstra (2013). The zero $^{18}$O view has been expressed by Combes et al. (1989, 1990), Manceau et al. (1990), Drits et al. (1993), Manceau and Drits (1993), Rancourt and Meunier (2008), Marchand and Rancourt (2009), Manceau (2009, 2010, 2011, 2012a, 2012b), Manceau et al. (2014), Hiemstra and Riemsdijk (2009), and Paktunc et al. (2013). In this paper, we hope to stimulate new thoughts based on the geochemical properties of ferrihydrite in a peridotite.

There are currently two formulas for ferrihydrite on the table for critical users. They differ in their acceptability or otherwise of tetrahedral ferric iron. One accommodates 20% of tetrahedral Fe$^{3+}$, making it an analog of akdalaite Al$\text{10}_{2}$O$_{16}$-(OH)$_{2}$. The other lacks Fe$^{3+}$ making it an analog of akaganeite (Fe$^{2+}$Ni$^{2+}$)$_{6}$(OH)$_{2}$O$_{10}$Cl$_{12}$. IMA has accepted the akdalaite formula, namely Fe$_{6}$O$_{10}$-(OH)$_{2}$. At face value, this formula has a non-volatile content of 98.7%.

Notably absent from the standard formulas for ferrihydrite is silicon, which is commonly present (Fig. 1) at levels ranging from 5 to 14 wt% SiO$_{2}$ in analyses of terrestrial and extraterrestrial ferrihydrite. The reluctance to include silicon in the standard formula derives from uncertainty as to whether some or all of the Si is adsorbed at the surface and is not “in the structure.” The fact that a portion of the Si can be extracted (i.e., is labile) from ferrihydrite with reagents such as NaOH (Carlson and Schwertmann 1981) has supported this view. According to Jambor and Dutrizac (1998, p. 2563):

“Silica’s... nonessential character is suggested by the wide variation in percentages of silica (in natural ferrihydrite) and is proved by silica-free syntheses in the laboratory. The syntheses indicate that only Fe, O, and H are necessary...”

This view seems to us to be freighted by 50 yr of published work on synthesized material and overlooks the requirement that a mineral is by definition a product of nature (cf. Fig. 1). Aside from Fe, Mg, Mn, Ni, and Ca (see below), other potential cations and anions (Ti, Al, Na, Cl, P$_{2}$O$_{5}$, SO$_{3}$, and CO$_{3}$) figure prominently in some published analyses of ferrihydrite (e.g., Parfitt et al. 1992; Jambor and Dutrizac 1998). However, given the submicroscopic grain size of most occurrences of ferrihydrite, we have to view with suspicion the acceptability of these components as belonging to ferrihydrite. As we shall see below, Si plays a significant geochemical/structural role in natural ferrihydrite, one that arguably might be recognized in its formula.

**LARAMIE FERRIHYDRITE**

In the course of an electron microprobe examination of a thin section of 1.43 Ga Laramie complex oxide-rich peridotite (“Oxide Body,” Tutolo et al. 2019), the authors encountered, enclosed in olivine, modally small amounts (around 1%) of 30 to 300 μm diameter masses of highly electron-reflecting material containing predominantly iron and silicon. Initially thought by us to be a high-Fe cronstedtite, a literature search showed instead that analyses of naturally occurring ferrihydrite encompassed our unknown quite well in Si, Fe, Mn, and Mg. Our identification of ferrihydrite was subsequently confirmed by selected-area electron diffraction (SAED) in a transmission microscope (TEM), as detailed below. The Laramie ferrihydrite is perhaps unusual in that it is a subsurface mineral replacement of primary magmatic sulfides rather than a surface deposit or encrustation, and so it provided an opportunity to collect petrogenetic information based on in situ, sample-scale spatial relationships in a “rock-forming” ferrihydrite. As a result, we found in our ferrihydrite intriguing correlations and counter-correlations among the concentrations of Si, Mg, Mn, and total-Fe. Such correlations have not been reported in previous accounts of terrestrial ferrihydrite. As discussed below, the correlations are interpreted here as a crystal-chemical response in the ferrihydrite to dissolution and growth at the expense of pyrrhotite or pyrite in a peridotite exposed to a low-temperature hydrous pore-fluid system whose chemical potentials evolved in space and time.

Electron microprobe analyses (EPMA) reported here (Table 1) were obtained at the University of Washington Earth and Space Sciences by wavelength-dispersive spectrometry on a JEOL 733 instrument fitted with Geller Version 7 automation, using a library of synthetic and mineral standards. Analytical conditions were 15 kV accelerating potential, 3 nA beam current, defocused 3 μm beam diameter, and counting times needed to reach 0.4% error (1σ) or 40 s for minor elements. Concentration dependent statistical counting errors (1σ) are about 0.7% at 12.5 el% Si, 1% at 36 el% Fe, 25% at 0.2 el% Mn, and 7% at 0.45 el% Ca. Raw data were corrected with the CITZAF package.

Suitable ferrihydrite samples for study by transmission electron microscopy (TEM) were obtained from inclusions in olivine by handpicking with a fine-scale stainless-steel probe under an optical microscope. The ferrihydrite was placed between clean glass slides, lightly crushed and embedded with Embed 812 epoxy resin. A Leica Ultracut ultramicrotome was used to cut ~70 nm thick slices, which were placed onto 200-mesh Au TEM grids coated with 10 nm thick carbon films. The ferrihydrite samples were examined with Tecnai 200 keV field-emission scanning transmission electron microscopes equipped with energy-dispersive X-ray analyzers located in the Materials Analysis Facility of the Molecular Engineering and Sciences Institute and Department of Astronomy at the University of Washington. Selected-area electron diffraction (SAED) patterns were obtained by the illumination of ferrihydrite patches to produce partial ring patterns. A central beam blocker was used to prevent underexposure of the diffraction rings. Measured d-spacings from the ring patterns were compared to X-ray diffraction data from the JCPDS Mineral Powder Diffraction File (Bayliss et al. 1986), Jambor and Dutrizac (1998) and Janney et al. (2000) and are shown in Table 2. Prior to obtaining the diffraction patterns, qualitative and quantitative energy-dispersive spectra were collected to verify ferrihydrite. An evaporated Al thin-film, produced at the University of Washington, was used for calibration of the camera lengths for the SAED patterns. Estimated errors for the measured d-spacings are ~5%.

Our peridotite sample was taken from the Sybille pit, which exposes a FeTi oxide-rich peridotite in the Sybille monzosyenite, Laramie anorthosite complex, Wyoming. Among our four course-grained samples, this oxide-rich hand-sample is unique in containing ferrihydrite. Igneous minerals in our oxide-rich sample that survived alteration are euhedral olivine Fa$_{40}$ (roughly 40 modal percent), and heavily exsolved inclusions...
ilmeno-magnetite and ilmenite (roughly 50% modal), together with hornblende, biotite, and a trace of clinopyroxene. Hydrous alteration minerals (Fig. 2) include talc (mg#70), cronstedtite, greenalite, hisingerite as microvein replacements of olivine, and ferrihydrite. The hisingerite was analyzed in Tutolo et al. (2019) and shown to be a magnesian variety enriched in silica. The ferrihydrite is a pseudomorphic replacement of a mineral that we infer to have been a sulfide, probably pyrrhotite, there being no detectable Cu or Zn in or around it at the site. The original sulfide was located exclusively inside cumulus olivine. Ferrihydrite appears at low magnification in EPMA beam-scanning images to be finely granular and variably porous, and at high magnification in a field emission scanning electron microscope (FE-SEM) we see that the granules or spheres average around 50 nm across (Fig. 3). TEM images of such ferrihydrite spheres show that they are generally composed of a very large number of spikes, plates, and granules themselves mostly smaller than 10 nm (e.g., Konishi et al. 2012, Figs. 3.3 and 3.5). The ferrihydrite masses are surrounded (Fig. 2) by an up to ≈50 μm wide rim of fine-grained random aggregate of platy cronstedtite with somewhat greater porosity than the ferrihydrite. We infer that the cronstedtite is a marginal reaction product of ferrihydrite with a grain-boundary fluid more representative of the higher Mg,

### Table 1. Chemical compositions of Laramie peridotite minerals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mineral</th>
<th>Lowest-Si</th>
<th>Highest-Si</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>EDX*</th>
<th>Fh Rims</th>
<th>Veinlet</th>
<th>Ol altn.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. of Spots</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>35.29</td>
<td>8.54</td>
<td>13.33</td>
<td>10.60</td>
<td>11.79</td>
<td>30.80</td>
<td>31.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>1.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr₂O₃</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₃O₄</td>
<td>72.92</td>
<td>70.18</td>
<td>72.03</td>
<td>85.28</td>
<td>43.26</td>
<td>48.23</td>
<td>35.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeO₆</td>
<td>38.19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>25.58</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>2.42</td>
<td>1.83</td>
<td>1.79</td>
<td>13.86</td>
<td>4.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NiO</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>1.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anh. Total</td>
<td>99.82</td>
<td>85.01</td>
<td>87.56</td>
<td>86.26</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>89.15</td>
<td>86.42</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Basis</th>
<th>3 cations</th>
<th>5 cations</th>
<th>5 cations</th>
<th>5 cations</th>
<th>5 cations</th>
<th>5 cations</th>
<th>5 cations</th>
<th>7 anh. ox.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Si</td>
<td>0.999</td>
<td>0.633</td>
<td>0.934</td>
<td>0.766</td>
<td>0.742</td>
<td>1.734</td>
<td>1.979</td>
<td>2.149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeII</td>
<td>4.070</td>
<td>3.702</td>
<td>3.920</td>
<td>4.019</td>
<td>0.520</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>1.309</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeI</td>
<td>0.904</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.071</td>
<td>0.046</td>
<td>0.062</td>
<td>0.068</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg</td>
<td>1.079</td>
<td>0.153</td>
<td>0.253</td>
<td>0.197</td>
<td>0.152</td>
<td>1.164</td>
<td>0.418</td>
<td>0.561</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.053</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>0.074</td>
<td>0.051</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a*Total normalized to 100%.

### Table 2. Measured d-spacings and (hkl) planes in this study and comparative literature values for ferrihydrite and goethite

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>G2</th>
<th>G5</th>
<th>Mineral</th>
<th>Fh</th>
<th>Fh</th>
<th>Fh</th>
<th>Fh</th>
<th>Goe</th>
<th>Goe</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>Go</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.498</td>
<td>(020)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>Fh, Go</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.418</td>
<td>(110)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>Fh, Go</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.338</td>
<td>(120)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>Fh</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.250</td>
<td>(110)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>Fh, Go</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.252</td>
<td>(110)</td>
<td>0.221</td>
<td>(200)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>Fh, Go</td>
<td>0.228</td>
<td>0.233</td>
<td>(112) or (004)</td>
<td>0.196</td>
<td>(113)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>Fh, Go</td>
<td>0.202</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.176</td>
<td>(114)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.175</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>Fh, Go</td>
<td>0.176</td>
<td>0.185 or 0.172</td>
<td>(005) or (114)</td>
<td>0.156</td>
<td>(115)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.167</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>Fh, Go</td>
<td>0.156</td>
<td>0.172 or 0.151</td>
<td>(114) or (115)</td>
<td>0.156</td>
<td>(115)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>Fh, Go</td>
<td>0.148</td>
<td>0.146</td>
<td>(300)</td>
<td>0.148</td>
<td>(106)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Fh = ferrihydrite; Goe = goethite; bndy = boundary; JCPDS = JCPDS International Center for Diffraction Data.

**Figure 2.** Microprobe BSE image of ferrihydrite (Fh) with border of cronstedtite (Cro) in ferroan peridotite “Oxide Body” from the Laramie complex. Talc (Tlc, black) rims Cro. Greenalite (Gre) veins occur in Fh, Cro, and olivine (Ol), and hisingerite (His) veins occur in Ol. Bright white phase in veins is magnetite.
Si-potential environment of the surrounding peridotite. Irregular masses of talc intervene consistently between the cronstedtite and the igneous olivine (Fig. 2). Greenalite occurs as veinlets cross-cutting cronstedtite and ferrihydrite. We believe it is reasonable to include three of these minerals—ferrihydrite, cronstedtite, and talc—in a micro-spatial distribution of hydrous reaction products that formed across local compositional gradients between former pyrrhotite grains and matrix olivine. Greenalite is locally replaced by hisingerite, but otherwise its timing is not clear.

We obtained selected-area electron diffraction ring patterns (Fig. 4) from two polycrystalline ferrihydrite grains poikilitically enclosed in olivine. Measured d-spacings (Table 2) are consistent with those reported for synthetic 6-line ferrihydrite by Janney et al. (2000b, 2001). Two additional rings observed in the diffraction patterns from both grains whose d-spacings are larger than those reported by Jambor and Dutrizac (1998) and Janney et al. (2000a) are similar to weak reflections observed in ferrihydrite XRD patterns reported by Drits et al. (1993; Fig. 1). Diffraction pattern G5 (Fig. 4a), taken from a ferrihydrite grain that was in contact with the olivine grain boundary, shows an additional ring of 0.52 nm. We interpret this ring as a (110) d-spacing of goethite. The diffraction pattern G2 (Fig. 4b) was obtained from a ferrihydrite grain entirely enclosed within olivine. It conspicuously lacks the 0.52 nm ring (Fig. 4c) observed in G5, indicating that goethite is not present. Although the 0.41/0.44 and 0.33/0.35 nm rings could represent cronstedtite, the composition of G2 is a perfect match for ferrihydrite alone, as shown by the EDX analysis in Table 1. Any mixture with cronstedtite would noticeably raise its content of MgO. This means that G2 is pure ferrihydrite, and the two additional rings represent ferrihydrite, thus raising ferrihydrite’s count of rings to nine. We reiterate that...
XRD of ferrihydrite has shown traces of peaks at these d-spacings (e.g., Drits et al. 1993). These signs of superior crystallinity of ferrihydrite would appear to reflect its unusual petrogenesis in the present case. Our observations suggest that grain G5 likely experienced late-stage interaction with a grain boundary fluid leading to the growth of goethite in G5, whereas the absence of goethite in G2 reflects the lack of communication with late fluids due to its enclosure in olivine.

The average and extremes of composition of the Laramie ferrihydrite obtained by electron microprobe analysis are set down in Table 1. Concentrations of Ti, Al, Cr, and Ni are sufficiently small that we can reasonably treat our review of compositional variation in terms of the analyzed elements Si, total Fe, Mn, Mg, and Ca (Fig. 5). Our 3 μm spot EPMA analyses undoubtedly integrate unknown compositional variability from a very large number of ferrihydrite nanocrystals. Figure 5 shows measured spot-compositions in terms of weight percent oxide, revealing correlations positive and negative among Si, Mg, Fe, and Mn. The lowest Si (8.5 wt% SiO$_2$) and highest Fe values are found in the centers of the ferrihydrite masses. It is impossible to know for certain how much of the X-ray yield in these microprobe analyses represents elements adsorbed onto ferrihydrite’s abundant surfaces and how much is generated from crystal cores (see below). Twenty-six spot analyses plotted in Figure 5 fall in the range 8.5 to 13.3 wt% SiO$_2$. Two outlier analyses with SiO$_2$ values of 15.5 and 16.05 wt% were excluded from Figure 5 because they are suspected of being admixed with cronstedtite. Figure 5 shows for our ferrihydrite pseudomorphs that a weight percentage increase in SiO$_2$ is attended by: (1) an increase in MgO and a decrease in FeO$_2$·MnO$_2$, and (2) an increase in the EPMA anhydrous total (top line in Fig. 5) from 83.07% (at zero SiO$_2$) to 86.72% at SiO$_2$ = 13.3 wt%. These trends are not an artifact of contamination by goethite. In this case, the analysis totals would extrapolate to 89.9 wt% when SiO$_2$ = 0.0 wt%. Furthermore, no spot-analyses were obtained showing SiO$_2$ < 8.5 wt%, a value marginally smaller than the main SiO$_2$ concentration between 9 and 12 wt%. These observations suggest to us that the coherent trends in Figure 5 can be interpreted as intrinsic to the ferrihydrite, and not influenced by goethite contamination as in TEM location G5. The extrapolated SiO$_2$-free end-member content (Fig. 5) of Fe$_2$O$_3$ + Mn$_2$O$_3$ = 82.81 wt% (or 82.84 wt% if we weigh Mn as Fe) is in excellent agreement with 83.12 wt% Fe$_2$O$_3$ in the formulas Fe$_2$O$_3$·4H$_2$O of Toewe and Bradley (1967) and Fe$_2$O$_3$·9H$_2$O of Fleischer et al. (1975). Similarly, contamination of the analytical volume by surface adsorbed SiO$_2$ is unlikely given the correlation of SiO$_2$ with MgO.

Trend 1 above, namely SiO$_2$·MgO replacing Fe$_2$O$_3$ + Mn$_2$O$_3$ (Fig. 5), can be recognized as an inverse cronstedtite exchange. An unknown, small amount of Fe$^{3+}$ is included at this stage with Fe$^{2+}$ in the diagram. Exchange 1 replaces heavy Fe$^{3+}$ with light Mg and Si and so should reduce the non-volatile analysis total L-to-R (Fig. 5). Instead, the reverse happens. Trend 2 is accordingly interpreted as an inverse hydrogarnet exchange, namely the replacement of H$_2$O$_2$ by SiO$_2$ (36.0 → 60.09 molecular weight). The inverse hydrogarnet exchange can be viewed in the present case as the exchange component SiH$_4$ operating on initially empty tetrahedral sites in the structure. Proton substitution for Si$^{4+}$ in ferrihydrite was considered as a possibility by Michel et al. (2010, p. 2789).

The relative magnitude of exchanges 1 and 2, as expressed by their production of silicon, can, in principle, be examined without the complex weight constraints of Figure 5 by using a diagram of cation proportions based on the same analytical data. Figure 6 references Fe, Mn, Mg, and Si to Σcations = 5.0, in line with end-member ferrihydrite’s proposed formula (and the formulas of cronstedtite and serpentine). We recognize that total formula cations of ferrihydrite are rendered variable by the hydrogarnet exchange. There are two extreme possibilities for trends of R$^2$ cations in the diagram with respect to x-axis silicon: (1) the inverse cronstedtite exchange, with R$^2$ declining from 5.0 to 3.0 (replaced by Si, Mg, and Fe$^{3+}$), and (2) the inverse hydrogarnet exchange with R$^2$ (diluted by Si) declining from 5.0 to 4.17 (≈ 5 × 5/6). The relative progress of the two substitutions in the Laramie ferrihydrite is given by the ratio of the two y-axis intercepts between 1 and 2 defined by the line-fit through our analytical data for R$^2$. Ideally, this exercise requires...
that Fe₂O₃ be corrected for an unknown small amount of Fe⁴⁺ that forms along with Mg in exchange 1. We provisionally assume in Figure 6 that Fe⁴⁺ atoms are as abundant as Mg atoms. Our analytical data then define a line that strikes the y-axis (Si = 1.0) at 3.479 (closer to the Cro line than the hydrogarnet line). In this case the proportions of substitutions are: (1) 59.0% and (2) 41.0%. If we had assumed that Fe⁴⁺ atoms = Mg/4 atoms, the proportions change to: (1) 42.0% and (2) 58.0%. Literature analyses provide little guidance on the Fe²⁺ issue. The best we can say is that the two substitutions in the Laramie ferrihydrite are likely quantitatively similar in their contributions to the uptake of Si in the mineral.

Although the contribution of crystal surfaces to our electron-probe analyses is unknown, the element correlations basic to the cronstedtite substitution (Fig. 5), as far as we know, are not a feature of surface adsorption of elements in ferrihydrite (Jambor and Dutrizac 1998). The cronstedtite exchange calls for coupled nearest-neighbor octahedral- and tetrahedral-site response, according to well-understood energetic and geometric factors (Bailey 1988). It is a crystal-chemical feature of bulk crystals. The “type” cronstedtite exchange connects sheet-silicates cronstedtite and serpentine. It is known to progress in robust ferromagnesian silicate minerals in crystalline rocks. It has been recorded to be operative in clinopyroxene (Hafner and Huckenholz 1971), metamorphic amphiboles (Robinson et al. 1982), sapphire (Steffen et al. 1984), and phlogopite (Cruciani et al. 1995). Thus, notwithstanding its controversial qualities, ferrihydrite’s crystal structure was geochemically controlling in the present case. It enabled the mineral’s elemental makeup to respond to compositional changes, in time and space, in an externally imposed enveloping pore-fluid at the site of alteration. Likewise, the hydrogarnet exchange characterizes bulk crystals. It is found in members of the grossular-andradite series occurring in metagabbros, skarns, and rodingites. An alternative proton exchange producing silica is Si(Fe⁴⁺+H⁺), as proposed by Kawazoe et al. (2016) for wadsleyite. This exchange would presuppose the presence of Fe³⁺(OH)₄ tetrahedra in end-member ferrihydrite. But, unlike hydrogarnet, only one hydrogen ion rather than four is consumed to produce one silicon. The increase in the non-volatile total would therefore be small.

The porous nature of the Laramie ferrihydrite is a primary feature that is consistent with isovolumetric metasomatic replacement (Putnis 2009) of the precursor sulfide. The ferrihydrite in Figure 2 is zoned with the highest contents of Mg and Si at the rim, where it is in contact with cronstedtite, and closest to the enveloping peridotite, the source of the Mg and Si. Cronstedtite and ferrihydrite thus constitute two basically monomineralic zones in a radial diffusion gradient where Mg and Si are being exchanged for iron and sulfur. One or more of these components behaved like a perfectly diffusing “K-component,” which is what enables the growth of monomineralic zones (Brady 1977). We have no way of knowing how much the cronstedtite has advanced at the expense of ferrihydrite, but we can reasonably view them as a paragenesis (see below). High-magnification electron-beam scans show that there is very likely some physical mixing on the micrometer scale along their mutual contact (Fig. 2), but aside from this, the metasomatic mode of origin of ferrihydrite basically ensured a relatively pure (monomineralic) final product.

We have not considered the possible influence of porosity on the EPMA totals. However, the average (Table 1) of 12 analyses of the cronstedtite, whose microtexture, porosity, and hydrous nature, appears to be somewhat similar to that of the ferrihydrite, has an appropriate total of 99.79 wt% when a calculated formula H₂O content of 10.64 wt% is added to the anhydrous total. Porosity notwithstanding, our anhydrous EPMA analysis total for Laramie ferrihydrite, when extrapolated SiO₂-free (83.07 wt%, Fig. 5), is in good agreement with the oxide formula of ferrihydrite: (Fe₂O₃)₆·9H₂O (Fleischer et al. 1975; SiO₂ = 82.8 wt%).

As reviewed earlier here, the presence or absence of Fe on T-sites in ferrihydrite is controversial. Operation of the cronstedtite exchange, as our data suggest, not only implies Fe on T- as well as the O-sites in ferrihydrite but also seems to point to a limit where the availability of T-sites falls to zero, namely in the region of 14 wt% SiO₂ (Fig. 5) or Si = 1.0 per five total cations (Fig. 6). It is probably no coincidence that this agrees with the same upper limit of 20% Fe³⁺-sites occupied by Ge in synthetic ferrihydrite (Song et al. 2010; Paktunc et al. 2013). An upper limit of ≈14 wt% SiO₂ for the Laramie ferrihydrite agrees well with what seems to be the case for the literature analyses (Fig. 1).

**Extraterrestrial ferrihydrite**

Small amounts of ferrihydrite [large in one case (Tomeoka and Buseck 1988)] have been described accompanying phyllosilicate alteration in several chondritic meteorites. These occurrences resemble our Laramie example in many ways, most significantly in showing the ferrihydrite to be rock-hosted. Chemical analyses (AEM) of 4-line ferrihydrite in the primitive carbonaceous chondrite Acfer 094 (Greshake 1997) show familiar element correlations, notably NiO and MgO with SiO₂ (Fig. 7). Assuming an inverse cronstedtite substitution for this ferrihydrite, the corresponding cation balance: ΔSi = Δ(Mg + Ni + Fe²⁺) is short by 50% if Fe²⁺ is not included. Unless the Si substitution is partly balanced by another component, e.g., 4H⁺, the cronstedtite ferrihydrite contains about 5 or 6 wt% FeO. The precursor minerals for ferrihydrite in chondrites are viewed to be Ni-pyrrhotite, pentlandite, troilite, magnetite, and/or metal (Hutchinson et al. 1987; Tomeoka and Buseck 1988; Brearley 1989; Keller and Buseck 1990a, 1990b; Lee et al. 1996; Tomeoka...

**Figure 7.** Positive correlation of NiO and NiO=MgO percent with SiO₂ in chondrite Acfer 094. Data from Greshake (1997).
and Tanamura 2000; Abreau and Brearley 2011). Ferrihydrite has also been reported as an alteration product in nakhlite (martian) meteorites (Treiman et al. 1993; Treiman and Lindstrom 1997; Lee et al. 2015; Ling and Wang 2015).

**Natural ferrihydrite**

For the formation of ferrihydrite in nature, the length-scale of aqueous iron transport is typically kilometric in the case of “downstream” deposits in lakes, streams, and soils, and around springs. In mine wastes, the scale is mostly meters to tens or hundreds of meters. For the in situ pseudomorphic replacement of pyrrhotite or pyrite, minimal cation transport is required. As a result, in these cases, the minor element content of ferrihydrite may show recognizable links to the geochemistry of the host. Thus, ferrihydrite in chondrites Vigarano CV3 and Acfer-094 shows up to 6 wt% NiO (Lee et al. 1996; Greshake 1997). In ophiolite-hosted mine wastes and in nakhlites, MgO in ferrihydrite varies up to 6 wt% (Dinelli et al. 1998; Carbone et al. 2012; Treiman et al. 1993; Treiman and Lindstrom 1997; Lee et al. 2015); and MnO can be high on seamount derived ferrihydrite (Boyd and Scott 1999). Conversely, these elements have lower concentrations in downstream ferrihydrite, in lakes and rivers in volcanic or basement terrains (Chukhrov et al. 1974; Henmi et al. 1980; Childs 1992; Childs et al. 1982, 1986, 1990).

Naturally occurring ferrihydrite is not accurately represented by the simple formula in the synthetic system Fe-O-H; minor amounts of Si, Mg, Mn, Ca, Ni, and very likely Fe²⁺, are real and located on structural sites. Crystal-chemical factors explain why Ni, Mg, and Si co-vary systematically in ferrihydrite in a chondrite, just as Mn, Mg, and Si do in the Laramie peridotite ferrihydrite occurrence. Silicon is present in natural ferrihydrite at levels ranging up to 15 wt% SiO₂, so it may be argued that silicon provides the charge-balancing leverage for the uptake of Mg, Fe³⁺, and Ni when these constituents are present in the system. These elements potentially contribute to the survival in nature of natural ferrihydrite with respect to otherwise more stable products such as goethite and hematite (Cornell et al. 1987).

**Cronstedtite, greenalite, and hisingerite**

Figure 8 shows the compositions of the sheet silicates cronstedtite, greenalite, hisingerite, and talc that are present along with ferrihydrite in our Laramie sample. Compared to the ferrihydrite, the cronstedtite rim in the Laramie sample is enriched in Si, Mg, and Fe²⁺ and poorer in total iron and in Fe³⁺. The formula contents of Fe²⁺ and Fe³⁺ of cronstedtite and the greenalite are evaluated for the diagram on the assumption of a cronstedtite exchange with respect to serpentine. Four of our spot analyses (between Si = 1.0 and 1.6; Fig. 8) are interpreted as physical mixtures of ferrihydrite and cronstedtite, resulting from incomplete replacement of the former by the latter within the analyzed volume. Indeed, the sequence from left to right with increasing formula Si-contents across Figure 8 seems to be consistent with the timing of formation of each mineral as judged from the petrography. Hisingerite is observed to have partially replaced greenalite (as well as olivine), and a greenalite vein has cross-cut cronstedtite (Fig. 2). There is also a spatial element to this sequence: former sulfide locations in contrast to purely olivine locations in the sample.

Laramie cronstedtite is relatively siliceous (Table 1); 12 spot analyses fall in the Si range 1.66 to 1.85 per 5.0 total cations (Fig. 8). This content of Si is higher than in most analyses of natural cronstedtite in the literature, which vary from 1.07 to 1.54 Si pfu. At first sight, this is surprising in light of the coexistence of our cronstedtite with the nominally silica-poor ferrihydrite. The chemographic relations of low-temperature minerals in the triangular SiO₂–Fe³⁺O₁₋ₓ(Mg,Fe²⁺)O compatibility diagram (Fig. 9) hints at a likely explanation. Possible tie-lines in the two-phase field connecting Fh and Cro compositions show that an evolutionary trajectory Fh → Cro starting from Si-rich ferrihydrite might simply bypass lower-Si cronstedtite. Evidently, the chemical potential of pore-fluid silica in the outer, highest SiO₂ parts of the ferrihydrite mass (SiO₂ ≈ 14 wt%), against which the cronstedtite grew, was higher than that associated with “literature” cronstedtite.

Except for opal-A, the triangular compatibility diagram (Fig. 9) models in a schematic fashion all the secondary minerals in our sample, including the patches of talc around the altered sulfide areas (Fig. 2). Hisingerite alteration of olivine in our sample is not preferentially related spatially to the former sulfide areas. As a result, the mutual solubility of greenalite and hisingerite shown in Figure 9 (Tutolo et al. 2019; Fig. 4) fails to show up in our analyses. Our hisingerite is richer in Mg/Fe than hisingerite associated with ore deposits, and as is commonly the case, it appears to be silica-rich (Table 1 this work; Tutolo et al. 2019, Fig. 3) compared to ideal hisingerite owing to the presence of interlayered nontronite impurity (for example, Eggleton and Tilley 1998).

![Figure 8](image-url)

**Figure 8.** Electron-probe spot analyses of ferrihydrite (Fh) and Fe-rich serpentine-group minerals cronstedtite (Cro), greenalite (Gre), and hisingerite (His), with talc (Tlc) in Laramie oxide-rich peridotite. “Mixed” are compositions likely to be ferrihydrite + cronstedtite not spatially resolved under the electron beam. Cations are normalized to 5.0, a procedure that fails to recognize M-vacancies in hisingerite. FeII and FeIII in ferrihydrite are not separated; they are calculated to 5.0, a procedure that fails to recognize M-vacancies in hisingerite.
PETROGENESIS OF LARAMIE FERRIHYDRITE

Although accessory in modal amount in the present case, the role of ferrihydrite as an alteration product in a crystalline rock is similar to that of many sheet silicates, for example, lizardite in serpentinite, clays in granite and volcanic rocks, etc., and so its inclusion among the rock-forming minerals would seem to be justified (for example, Deer et al. 2013). The Laramie ferrihydrite is fully enclosed in peridotite, so it is in situ, as it is in meteorites, and not a surficial mineral in the strict sense, unlike most other known occurrences of terrestrial ferrihydrite. Excluding loss by leaching at the site, no major step of aqueous transport of ferrous iron and other solutes (as in rivers, springs, and fumaroles), and oxidation and precipitation on exposure to air, was involved. This scenario is consistent with the growth of cronstedtite around the perimeter of the ferrihydrite. Shallow underground pore water, slightly acidified by the dissolution of pyrrhotite, served to redistribute elements on site. Laramie ferrihydrite is clearly a pseudomorphic mineral replacement, although it nucleated and grew independently of the host crystal structure. Sulfide ores are typically the chief source of the iron in surficial ferrihydrite occurrences (Jambor and Dutrizac 1998). The FeTi-oxides in the Laramie peridotite suffered no hydrous alteration. We view the phase boundary between ferrihydrite and rimming cronstedtite as a frozen state of local equilibrium (Brady 1977) in a fluid-hosted binary diffusion gradient established between decomposing sulfide and surrounding peridotite. The large Fh → Cro composition jump in this system (Fig. 9) suggests that the ferrihydrite had reached (at 1.0 Si pH) the limit of its intrinsic stability.

Contents of Mg, Mn, and Fe in the Laramie ferrihydrite as measured by EPMA correlate with silicon (R² = 0.60, 0.34, 0.63, respectively) to the degree that would be a challenge to explain if these elements were simply adsorbed onto ferrihydrite surfaces. The cronstedtite exchange, in general, implies occupation of structural crystallographic sites following the dictates of effective anion size and the preservation of charge balance across adjacent T and O sites. It is no irony that ferrihydrite’s peripheral neighbor (Fig. 2) in the Laramie case is cronstedtite. In addition, we have been able to recognize, in parallel with the cronstedtite exchange, progress of the purely tetrahedral SiH₄ exchange, hydrogarnet in reverse. Chemical variation in ferrihydrite is heavily invested in the T-site. We do not discount the energetic properties of ferrihydrite’s crystal surfaces but would argue that their chemical manifestations seem to be dwarfed in the present case by those of the interiors. This is perhaps to be explained by its growth by mineral replacement.

The highest measured Si-content of Laramie ferrihydrite at the phase boundary (Fig. 2) with cronstedtite, namely ~14 wt% SiO₂ (Fig. 5), is matched by a compilation of analyzed natural ferrihydrite from the literature. Rather than view the match as coincidental, we prefer to interpret these maxima as indicative of a limit to the possible uptake of Si in ferrihydrite. The few analyses of “ferrihydrite” with as much as 30 wt% SiO₂ (Henni et al. 1980; Anderson and Benjamin 1985; Jambor and Dutrizac 1998; Gautier et al. 2006) likely represent misidentifications (cronstedtite, greenalite, chamosite?). On a 5-cation basis, what seems to be an upper limit for silicon is 1.0 per 5 total atoms. This would imply a maximum of 20% tetrahedral sites in the structure that, in the absence of Si, could have been occupied as Fe³⁺. Can we conclude that geochemistry has ruled on an issue (tetrahedral iron in ferrihydrite) that spectroscopy and diffraction, according to recent published work, has failed to elicit unanimity? The mutual correlation of cations in our ferrihydrite, with its implications for ordering on T and O sites, has never before been reported in terrestrial ferrihydrite. Such geochemical relationships are going to be more obvious in cases of slow, subsurface mineral replacement (taking place incrementally) than when ferrihydrite forms by spontaneous surface precipitation from a saturated aqueous solution.

Compared to more stable equivalent minerals such as goethite and hematite (plus quartz), ferrihydrite has the advantage (?) of spontaneous nucleation from aqueous fluid in clusters. Growth of ferrihydrite, on the other hand, is not accomplished classically atom-by-atom, but by the more complex process of aggregation of clusters. Hence, growth beyond the nanoscale is strongly retarded if not effectively stopped. Time then favors goethite and hematite once they have nucleated. As far as we know, this scenario seems to apply equally to replacement ferrihydrite and ferrihydrite precipitating from surface waters. The uptake of Si and Mg, and attendant volatile decline in the structure of natural ferrihydrite, are thermodynamically stabilizing features. However, the geologic record seems to show that ferrihydrite’s survival is nevertheless tenuous (Jambor and Dutrizac 1998).

Should consideration perhaps be given to including Si in the standard IMA formula of ferrihydrite? Natural ferrihydrite (as opposed to synthetic ferrihydrite) differs compositionally from goethite and hematite with respect to Si, Mg, and probably Fe²⁺. Our identification of ferrihydrite in the Laramie sample was in fact delayed while we searched mineral databases for one containing Fe, Si, O, and H. We suggest a ferrihydrite formula: Feₓ₋₁₅₋ₓSi₁₋ₓO₇₋ₓ·4.5H₂O. This gives two end-members, a ferrian: (x = 1): Feₕ₋₁₅₋ₓSi₁₋ₓO₇₋ₓ·4.5H₂O and a silician (x = 0): FeₓSiO₇₋ₓ·4.5H₂O. The latter contains one Fe²⁺ (or Mg) atom.
Ferrihydrite may justifiably be considered as a rock-forming mineral. Its growth as a pseudomorphic replacement of sulfide in a Laramie complex peridotite enabled it to respond to and store a record of time and space variations in the chemical potential of its environment. The uptake of Si in ferrihydrite in the present case is very roughly half controlled by a coupled substitution of elements on T and O sites, namely: (Si, MgFe$^{2+}$)$^{2+}$Fe$^{3+}$), and the other half relates to an inverse hydrogarnet substitution SiH$_4$. These variations may have been rendered possible by the unique crystal quality nine-line ferrihydrite. The content of Si appears to have an upper limit of 14–15% wt% or 20% of total cations. TheIMA formula for ferrihydrite should be adjusted to include the elemental substitutions found in terrestrial subsurface occurrences.
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