TILLAGE REDUCTION IN CENTRAL EAST ITALY

Laureti, D.* and Pieri, S.

*CRA Experimental Industrial Crops Institute, via Cagiata, 90,
60027 Osimo (AN) Italy*

Received: October 10, 2006

Accepted: May 15, 2007

SUMMARY

The effect of several soil tillage methods (plowing at a depth of 20-25 cm, chiseling at 30 cm and plowing at 20 cm plus sub-soiling at 50 cm factorially combined with three biennial rotations (wheat-sugarbeet; wheat-sorghum; wheat-sunflower) were studied between 2000 and 2005. Sugarbeet confirmed the supposed increased need for deep tillage, whereas sorghum and sunflower were less sensitive. With dry soil chiseling was enough to prepare a seed bed compared with plowing plus sub-soiling, whereas with moist soil, shallow plowing gave the best results in sorghum but not in sugarbeet. Plowing plus sub-soiling requires more energy and it could be justified only in sugarbeet. Wheat yielded better after sugarbeet and sunflower than after sorghum. Minimum tillage was as efficient as shallow plowing for wheat seed bed preparation.

Key words: sunflower, soil tillage methods, sugar beet, sorghum

INTRODUCTION

The extensive experimental activity on seedbed preparation has confirmed the use of minimum tillage on cropping wheat in Italy, whereas in summer crops the practice is still under debate especially in function of the crop. Reduced tillage is suggested for shallow rooted crops, whereas a little deeper is recommended for deep rooted crops (sugarbeet, carrot, etc.). The main tillage goal is, among others, to increase soil macro-porosity that allows better water infiltration, root development, nitrification, soil respiration, etc.

Wheat yield is influenced by rotation (Laureti and Pieri, 2004; Norwood, 2000; Roth *et al.*, 2000) and in recent years growers have preferred to cultivate sugarbeet instead of sunflower even if the yield of the cereal following it is similar.

* Corresponding author: domenico.laureti@entecra.it

To contribute to the knowledge of tillage and rotation effects on crop yield, an experiment was carried out in the years 2000-2005 in East Central Italy.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Three tillage methods (plowing at a depth of 20-25 cm, chiseling at 30 cm and plowing at 20 cm plus sub-soiling at 50 cm) were evaluated from 2000 to 2005 on an *Acquic Xerorthent, fine, mixed, calcareous, semiactive, mesic* soil. The tillage methods were factorially combined with three biennial rotations (wheat-sugarbeet; wheat-sorghum; wheat-sunflower) replicated four times. Tillage for wheat consisted of plowing at 25 cm in all years and minimum tillage the last three years.

Crops were established in the winter of 2000 in chiselled soil and the first differential tillage started in the summer of the same year. Results of the last five years are reported where there was a tillage effect.

Figure 1: Rainfall (mm) and mean temperatures (°C) of the experimental years compared with the previous twenty-year period.

Weather conditions

In the five years reported, rainfall and temperature were very different (Figure 1). Rainfall in April and June (of interest for wheat) was nearly always below the average, and in June-August (of summer crop and tillage interest) it was often below the average, reaching the lowest values in 2003 when the highest deficit occurred. Rainfall significantly influenced crop results, directly and throughout the effects on tillage.

Temperatures were similar to the 20 year average, with values higher or lower according to low or no rainfall.

Effects of the year

This factor was significant for all traits recorded, confirming that results are significantly influenced by rainfall during the year of cropping and during the year of tillage. Effects of the year were reported when combined with the other factors evaluated.

Tillage effects on summer crops

Sugarbeet

Tillage resulted in significant differences in root yield only in 2002 (Table 1) when plowing plus sub-soiling showed better values than shallow plowing but not better than chiseling. Larger roots had lower sugar content so no difference in sugar yield was found in 2002.

Table 1: Tillage effects on sugarbeet combined with the year*

Years	Root yield (t ha ⁻¹)						Sugar content (°S)					
	Chiseling		Plow+subsoil		Plowing		Chiseling		Plow+subsoil		Plowing	
2001	27.63	d	30.92	cd	28.16	cd	12.2	fg	12.0	fg	11.6	fg
2002	49.33	ab	50.45	a	44.21	b	12.2	fg	11.4	g	12.7	f
2003	21.00	e	21.21	e	19.00	e	17.0	cd	18.5	ab	17.6	bc
2004	29.83	cd	30.08	cd	29.29	cd	19.1	a	19.6	a	17.0	cd
2005	31.25	cd	34.25	c	30.15	cd	15.3	e	15.8	de	15.5	e
Mean	31.81		33.38		30.16		15.2		15.4		14.9	
LSD<0,05			6.2						1.3			

*In all tables, the means with the same letter are not significant differently at P<0,05 according to the "t" test.

Table 2: Tillage effects on sugar yield

Tillage	Sugar yield			
	Theoretic		White	
	(t ha ⁻¹)			
Chiseling	4.68	ab	3.74	ab
Plowing + subsoil	4.93	a	3.92	a
Plowing	4.37	b	3.39	b
Mean	4.66		3.68	
LSD<0.05	0.40		0.37	

On the average for all years, sugar yield was better with plowing plus sub-soiling, without significant differences from chiseling which did not differ from shallow plowing (Table 2).

The difference of 0.5 t ha^{-1} of sugar justifies the higher tillage cost. Chiseling gave intermediate results and was interesting for the lower cost and time required. Tillage influenced the amount of amino nitrogen in the juice, but only in the 2004 sugar on the molasses was higher in shallow plowing, may be for lower water availability (Table 3).

Table 3: Qualitative traits of sugarbeet in combination of tillage and year

Years	Amino nitrogen (meq % g °S)			K		Na		Alcalin.		Purity	
	Chiseling	Plow+ subsoil	Plowing	(meq % g °S)						(%)	
2001	21.9 ij	27.4 gi	27.9 fh	39.2 b		18.3 b		2,3 A		87,5 b	
2002	41.7 ab	46.4 a	37.4 bd	51.7 a		23.5 a		1,8 B		82,7 c	
2003	29.8 eg	33.2 df	34.8 ce	37.7 bc		13.8 c		1,7 B		87,4 b	
2004	25.8 g	25.9 gj	40.1 bc	23.5 d		10.3 c		1,1 C		90,2 a	
2005	21.5 j	24.6 gj	23.4 hj	32.4 c		11.1 c		1,9 B		89,9 a	
Mean	28.1	31.5	32.7	36.9		15.4		1,8		87,5	
LSD < 0.05		5.6		5.4		3.5		0.3		1.3	

Sorghum

Chiseling gave the best yield in 2002 and the worst in 2003. This was probably related to soil moisture at the time of tillage and water availability in the cropping year. In 2002, with the best yield, tillage was done in 2001 with a very dry soil, because it is done after two months without rainfall, and during the cycle, after blooming, the crop had 60 mm of rainfall that allowed good grain filling, but negatively influenced chiseling for the following year.

The 60 mm rainfall totally refilled the water holding capacity of the soil, but, even if tillage was done after ten days, the water in the soil reduced the chisel and sub-soiling action resulting in greater compactness in the deeper layers, which were probably too wet.

A partially similar effect was also present in plowing plus sub-soiling where the sub-soiler had an action similar to the chisel.

Sunflower

The crop was less sensitive than the others to tillage and weather conditions. Yield was not influenced by tillage and in the worse year yield reduced (Table 4) only 20% of the overall mean and 30% less than the best value.

Residual effect of summer crops tillage

On the average for five years, wheat yielded less after sorghum (4.57 t ha^{-1}) than after sunflower (4.78 t ha^{-1}) or sugarbeet (4.78 t ha^{-1}) with a difference of 4.4%. The lower wheat yield after sorghum could be related to the rate of nitrogen applied,

150 kg ha⁻¹, chosen on the basis of the rule of regional low environmental impact. The nitrogen fertilizer applied was not enough for wheat and for the bacteria needed to decompose the sorghum residue. The residual effect of summer crops tillage was not significant neither alone nor combined with tillage for wheat. The 5-year average for wheat yield was, in fact, 5.0 t ha⁻¹ after chiseling, 5.2 t ha⁻¹ after plowing plus sub soiling, and 5.10 t ha⁻¹ after shallow plowing.

Table 4: Yield and its components in sunflower

Years	Yield		Plant height		Head diameter		Stand		Thousand- seed weight	
	(q ha ⁻¹)		(cm)		(cm)		(n m ⁻²)		(g)	
2001	25.9	a	142	c	18.8	b	4,6	c	52,6	B
2002	27.4	a	157	b	22.6	a	5,1	b	61,7	A
2003	19.9	b	152	b	15.8	d	3,9	d	49,6	B
2004	27.9	a	165	a	17.1	c	5,1	b	62,0	A
2005	28.9	a	139	c	19.6	b	5,8	a	50,5	B
Mean	26.0		151		18.8		4.9		55.3	

Tillage for wheat

Shallow plowing or minimum tillage with a cultivator, before planting wheat with a planter combined with a rotary hoe, after the three summer crops, did not produce differences in wheat yield (5.12 vs. 5.13 t ha⁻¹ respectively, for plowing and cultivator) confirming that minimum tillage is enough for the crop.

CONCLUSION

The experiment confirmed the supposed higher need of sugarbeet for deep tillage, whereas sorghum and sunflower were less sensitive. With dry soil, chiseling was enough to prepare a seed bed compared with plowing plus sub-soiling, whereas with moist soil, shallow plowing gave the best results in sorghum but not in sugarbeet. Sorghum, even if in only one year, was more sensitive to tillage than sugarbeet.

Wheat yielded better after sugarbeet and sunflower than after sorghum, which is contrary to the report of Norwood (2000) who found better values after sorghum and corn than after sunflower. Lower wheat yield after sorghum could also be related to the amount of fertilizer applied (150 kg ha⁻¹) which was not enough for the crop and decomposition of sorghum residue.

Plowing plus sub-soiling requires more energy and it could be justified only in sugarbeet. Minimum tillage was as efficient as shallow plowing for wheat seedbed preparation.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank Mauro Tiberi for soil classification and Mangoni Lorella for help in data recording.

REFERENCES

- Laureti, D., Pieri, S., 2004. Risultati produttivi del girasole con lavorazioni ridotte del terreno. L'Informatore Agrario, Speciale Girasole. LX(10): 63-64.
 Norwood, C.A., 2000. Dryland winter wheat as affected by previous crops. Agron. J. 92: 121-127.
 Roth, C.M., Shroyer, J.P., Paulsen, G.M., 2000. Allelopathy of sorghum on wheat under several tillage systems. Agron. J. 92: 855-860.

REDUCCIÓN DE LABRANZA DEL SUELO EN ITALIA CENTRAL-ORIENTAL

RESUMEN

La influencia de varios métodos de labranza del suelo (arado en la profundidad de 20-25 cm, cincelado en la profundidad de 30 cm y arado en 20 cm más aradura de subsuelo en 50 cm) combinado factorialmente con tres rotaciones bienales (trigo-remolacha azucarera; trigo-sorgo; trigo-girasol) fue investigado en el período entre 2000 y 2005. La remolacha azucarera confirmó la supuesta necesidad para el arado profundo aumentada, mientras que sorgo y girasol eran menos sensibles. En el suelo seco, el cincelado fue suficiente para la preparación de presiembra en relación con la variante con el arado y aradura de subsuelo. En el suelo húmedo, el arado bajo dio buenos resultados con sorgo pero no con la remolacha azucarera. El arado más aradura de subsuelo, requieren más energía que puede justificarse únicamente en remolacha azucarera. El trigo dio mejor rendimiento después de remolacha azucarera y girasol que después de sorgo. La labranza mínima fue igualmente eficaz como el labrado bajo para la preparación de siembra para el trigo.

DIMINUTION DES FAÇONS CULTURALES DANS L'ITALIE DU CENTRE EST

RÉSUMÉ

L'effet de plusieurs façons culturales (labourage à une profondeur de 20-25 cm, chiselage à 30 cm et labourage à 20 cm plus sous-solage à 50 cm) combinées de manière factorielle à trois rotations biennales (blé- betteraves sucrières; blé- sorgho; blé- tournesol) a été observé au cours de la période 2000 - 2005. La betterave sucrière a confirmé le besoin supposé d'un travail du sol plus profond tandis que le sorgho et le tournesol se sont montrés moins sensibles. Pour le sol sec, le chiselage suffisait à préparer le semis comparativement à la variante labourage-sous-solage. Pour le sol humide, un labourage superficiel a donné de bons résultats avec le sorgho mais non avec la betterave sucrière. Le labourage plus sous-solage exige plus d'énergie et il n'est justifié que pour la betterave sucrière. Le blé a eu un meilleur rendement après la betterave sucrière et le tournesol après le sorgho. Un travail du sol minimal a été aussi efficace qu'un labourage superficiel dans la préparation du sol pour les semences du blé.