

# The signed $k$ -domination number of directed graphs

Research Article

Maryam Atapour<sup>1</sup>, Seyyed Mahmoud Sheikholeslami<sup>1\*</sup>, Rana Hajypory<sup>2†</sup>, Lutz Volkmann<sup>3‡</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics, Azarbaijan University of Tarbiat Moallem, Tabriz, I.R. Iran

<sup>2</sup> Department of Mathematics, Islamic Azad University, Branches of Heris, Heris, I.R. Iran

<sup>3</sup> Lehrstuhl II für Mathematik, RWTH-Aachen University, Aachen, Germany

Received 1 March 2010; accepted 4 October 2010

**Abstract:** Let  $k \geq 1$  be an integer, and let  $D = (V, A)$  be a finite simple digraph, for which  $d_D^-(v) \geq k - 1$  for all  $v \in V$ . A function  $f : V \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$  is called a signed  $k$ -dominating function (SkDF) if  $f(N^-[v]) \geq k$  for each vertex  $v \in V$ . The weight  $w(f)$  of  $f$  is defined by  $\sum_{v \in V} f(v)$ . The signed  $k$ -domination number for a digraph  $D$  is  $\gamma_{kS}(D) = \min \{w(f) \mid f \text{ is an SkDF of } D\}$ . In this paper, we initiate the study of signed  $k$ -domination in digraphs. In particular, we present some sharp lower bounds for  $\gamma_{kS}(D)$  in terms of the order, the maximum and minimum outdegree and indegree, and the chromatic number. Some of our results are extensions of well-known lower bounds of the classical signed domination numbers of graphs and digraphs.

**MSC:** 05C20, 05C69, 05C45

**Keywords:** Signed  $k$ -dominating function • Signed  $k$ -domination number • Directed graph

© Versita Sp. z o.o.

## 1. Introduction

In this paper,  $D$  is a finite simple digraph with vertex set  $V(D) = V$  and arc set  $A(D) = A$ . A digraph without directed cycles of length 2 is an *oriented graph*. The *order*  $n(D) = n$  of a digraph  $D$  is the number of its vertices, and the number of its arcs is the *size*  $m(D) = m$ . We write  $d_D^+(v) = d^+(v)$  for the outdegree of a vertex  $v$  and  $d_D^-(v) = d^-(v)$  for its indegree. The *minimum* and *maximum indegree* and *minimum* and *maximum outdegree* of  $D$  are denoted by  $\delta^-(D) = \delta^-$ ,  $\Delta^-(D) = \Delta^-$ ,  $\delta^+(D) = \delta^+$  and  $\Delta^+(D) = \Delta^+$ , respectively. If  $uv$  is an arc of  $D$ , then we also write  $u \rightarrow v$ , and we say

\* E-mail: s.m.sheikholeslami@azaruniv.edu

† E-mail: info@herisiau.ac.ir

‡ E-mail: volkm@math2.rwth-aachen.de

that  $v$  is an *out-neighbor* of  $u$  and  $u$  is an *in-neighbor* of  $v$ . For every vertex  $v \in V$ , let  $N_D^-(v) = N^-(v)$  be the set consisting of all vertices of  $D$  from which arcs go into  $v$ , and let  $N_D^-[v] = N^-[v] = N^-(v) \cup \{v\}$ . If  $X \subseteq V(D)$ , then  $D[X]$  is the subdigraph induced by  $X$ . If  $X \subseteq V(D)$  and  $v \in V(D)$ , then  $E(X, v)$  is the set of arcs from  $X$  to  $v$ . For a real-valued function  $f : V(D) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , the weight of  $f$  is  $w(f) = \sum_{v \in V} f(v)$ , and for  $S \subseteq V$ , we define  $f(S) = \sum_{v \in S} f(v)$ , so  $w(f) = f(V)$ . Consult [6] for the notation and terminology which are not defined here.

Let  $k \geq 1$  be an integer and let  $D$  be a digraph such that  $\delta^-(D) \geq k - 1$ . A *signed  $k$ -dominating function* (abbreviated SkDF) of  $D$  is a function  $f : V \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$  such that  $f(N_D^-[v]) \geq k$  for every  $v \in V$ . The *signed  $k$ -domination number* for a digraph  $D$  is

$$\gamma_{kS}(D) = \min \{w(f) \mid f \text{ is an SkDF of } D\}.$$

As the assumption  $\delta^-(D) \geq k - 1$  is clearly necessary, we always assume that when we discuss  $\gamma_{kS}(D)$ , all digraphs involved satisfy  $\delta^-(D) \geq k - 1$  and thus  $n(D) \geq k$ . A  $\gamma_{kS}(D)$ -function is an SkDF of  $D$  of weight  $\gamma_{kS}(D)$ . For any SkDF  $f$  of  $D$  we define  $P = \{v \in V \mid f(v) = 1\}$  and  $M = \{v \in V \mid f(v) = -1\}$ . When  $k = 1$ , the signed  $k$ -domination number  $\gamma_{kS}(D)$  is the usual *signed domination number*  $\gamma_S(D)$ , which was introduced by Zelinka in [7] and has been studied by several authors (see for example [3]).

The concept of the signed  $k$ -domination number  $\gamma_{kS}(G)$  of undirected graphs  $G$  was introduced by Wang [5]. The special case  $k = 1$  was defined and investigated in [1]. In this article, we present some sharp lower bounds on the signed  $k$ -domination number of digraphs. We make use of the following results and observations.

#### Theorem A ([4]).

For any graph  $G$ ,

$$\chi(G) \leq 1 + \max \{\delta(H) \mid H \text{ is a subgraph of } G\}.$$

#### Theorem B ([3]).

Let  $D$  be a digraph of order  $n \geq 2$  and let  $r$  be a nonnegative integer such that  $\delta^+(D) \geq r$ . Then

$$\gamma_S(D) \geq 2(\chi(G) + r + 1 - \Delta(G)) - n,$$

where  $G$  is the underlying graph of  $D$ .

#### Observation 1.1.

For any digraph  $D$  of order  $n \geq 2$ ,  $\gamma_{kS}(D) \equiv n \pmod{2}$ .

**Proof.** Let  $f$  be a  $\gamma_{kS}(D)$ -function. Since  $n = |P| + |M|$  and  $\gamma_{kS}(D) = |P| - |M|$ , we obtain  $n - \gamma_{kS}(D) = 2|M|$  and this implies the desired result.  $\square$

#### Observation 1.2.

Let  $u$  be a vertex of indegree at most  $k$  in  $D$ . If  $f$  is an SkDF on  $D$ , then  $f$  assigns 1 to each vertex of  $N_D^-[u]$ .

**Proof.** Since  $f(N_D^-[u]) \geq k$  and  $|N_D^-[u]| \leq k + 1$ , the result follows.  $\square$

#### Observation 1.3.

If  $k \geq 2$  is an integer and  $D$  a digraph with  $\delta^-(D) \geq k - 1$ , then

(i)  $\gamma_{kS}(D) \geq \gamma_{(k-1)S}(D)$ , and

(ii) if  $k \geq 3$ , then  $\gamma_{kS}(D) \geq \gamma_{(k-2)S}(D) + 2$ .

**Proof.** (i) Since every signed  $k$ -dominating function of  $D$  is also a signed  $(k-1)$ -dominating function of  $D$ , inequality (i) is proved.

(ii) Let  $f$  be a  $\gamma_{kS}(D)$ -function with  $f(v) = 1$  for  $v \in P$  and  $f(v) = -1$  for  $v \in M$ . We choose an arbitrary vertex  $w \in P$  and define  $P' = P \setminus \{w\}$  and  $M' = M \cup \{w\}$ . In addition, we define  $g : V(D) \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$  by  $g(v) = 1$  for  $v \in P'$  and  $g(v) = -1$  for  $v \in M'$ . Now it is a simple matter to verify that  $g$  is a signed  $(k-2)$ -dominating function of  $D$  of weight

$$w(g) = |P'| - |M'| = |P| - |M| - 2 = \gamma_{kS}(D) - 2.$$

This implies that  $\gamma_{(k-2)S}(D) \leq w(g) = \gamma_{kS}(D) - 2$ , and the proof is complete.  $\square$

The *associated digraph*  $D(G)$  of a graph  $G$  is the digraph obtained when each edge  $e$  of  $G$  is replaced by two oppositely oriented arcs with the same ends as  $e$ . We denote the associated digraph  $D(K_n)$  of the complete graph  $K_n$  of order  $n$  by  $K_n^*$ .

Let  $D = K_n^*$  and let  $k$  be an integer with  $1 \leq k \leq n$ . It is straightforward to show that  $\gamma_{kS}(D) = k$  when  $n+k$  is even, and  $\gamma_{kS}(D) = k+1$  when  $n+k$  is odd. It follows that, if  $k \geq 3$  and  $n+k$  is even, then  $\gamma_{kS}(D) = k$ ,  $\gamma_{(k-1)S}(D) = k$  and  $\gamma_{(k-2)S}(D) = k-2$ , and therefore we have equality in Observation 1.3, (i) and (ii). This example demonstrates that Observation 1.3 is sharp.

#### Observation 1.4.

Let  $D$  be a digraph of order  $n$ . Then  $\gamma_{kS}(D) = n$  if and only if  $k-1 \leq \delta^-(D) \leq k$ , and for each  $v \in V(D)$  there exists a vertex  $u \in N^+[v]$  with indegree at most  $k$ .

**Proof.** If  $k-1 \leq \delta^-(D) \leq k$  and for each  $v \in V(D)$  there exists a vertex  $u \in N^+[v]$  with indegree at most  $k$ , then trivially  $\gamma_{kS}(D) = n$ .

Conversely, assume that  $\gamma_{kS}(D) = n$ . By assumption  $k-1 \leq \delta^-(D)$ . Suppose to the contrary that  $\delta^-(D) > k$  or there exists a vertex  $v \in V(D)$  such that  $d^-(u) \geq k+1$  for each  $u \in N^+[v]$ . If  $\delta^-(D) > k$ , define  $f : V(D) \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$  by  $f(v) = -1$  for some fixed  $v$  and  $f(x) = 1$  for  $x \in V(D) \setminus \{v\}$ . Obviously,  $f$  is a signed  $k$ -dominating function of  $D$  with weight less than  $n$ , which is a contradiction. Thus  $k-1 \leq \delta^-(D) \leq k$ . Now let  $v \in V(D)$  and  $d^-(u) \geq k+1$  for each  $u \in N^+[v]$ . Define  $f : V(D) \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$  by  $f(v) = -1$  and  $f(x) = 1$  for  $x \in V(D) \setminus \{v\}$ . Again,  $f$  is a signed  $k$ -dominating function of  $D$ , which is a contradiction. This completes the proof.  $\square$

#### Corollary 1.5.

If  $D$  is a digraph of order  $n$  such that  $\Delta^-(D) \leq k$ , then  $\gamma_{kS}(D) = n$ .

A *tournament* is a digraph in which for every pair  $u, v$  of vertices, either  $u \rightarrow v$  or  $v \rightarrow u$ , but not both. Next we determine the exact value of the signed  $k$ -domination number for particular types of tournaments. Let  $n$  be an odd positive integer. We have  $n = 2r + 1$ , where  $r$  is a positive integer. We define the circulant tournament  $CT(n)$  with  $n$  vertices as follows. The vertex set of  $CT(n)$  is  $V(CT(n)) = \{u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}\}$ . For each  $i$ , the arcs are going from  $u_i$  to the vertices  $u_{i+1}, u_{i+2}, \dots, u_{i+r}$ , where the indices are taken modulo  $n$ .

#### Proposition 1.6.

Let  $n = 2r + 1$  where  $r$  is a positive integer and let  $1 \leq k \leq r + 1$  be an integer. Then

$$\gamma_{kS}(CT(n)) = \begin{cases} 2k+1 & \text{if } r \equiv k+1 \pmod{2}, \\ 2k+3 & \text{if } r \equiv k \pmod{2}. \end{cases}$$

**Proof.** If  $n = 3$ , then obviously  $\gamma_{kS}(CT(n)) = n$ . If  $k = r$  or  $k = r + 1$  then by Observation 1.4,  $\gamma_{kS}(CT(n)) = n$ . Thus we assume that  $n \geq 5$  and  $k \leq r - 1$ . Let  $f$  be a  $\gamma_{kS}(CT(n))$ -function. If  $f(x) = 1$  for each  $x \in V(CT(n))$ , then  $w(f) = n \geq 2k + 1$ . We may assume, without loss of generality, that  $f(u_0) = -1$ . Consider the sets  $N^-[u_0]$  and  $N^-[u_r]$ .

Since  $f$  is an SkDF on  $\text{CT}(n)$ , we have  $f(N^-[u_0]) \geq k$ ,  $f(N^-[u_r]) \geq k$  if  $r \equiv k+1 \pmod{2}$  and  $f(N^-[u_0]) \geq k+1$ ,  $f(N^-[u_r]) \geq k+1$  when  $r \equiv k \pmod{2}$ . Therefore

$$\omega(f) = f(V(\text{CT}(n))) = f(N^-[u_0]) + f(N^-[u_r]) - f(u_0) \geq \begin{cases} 2k+1 & \text{if } r \equiv k+1 \pmod{2}, \\ 2k+3 & \text{if } r \equiv k \pmod{2}. \end{cases}$$

This implies that

$$\gamma_{kS}(\text{CT}(n)) \geq \begin{cases} 2k+1 & \text{if } r \equiv k+1 \pmod{2}, \\ 2k+3 & \text{if } r \equiv k \pmod{2}. \end{cases}$$

Suppose now that  $s = \lfloor \frac{r-k-1}{2} \rfloor$ ,  $V^- = \{u_1, \dots, u_s, u_{r+1}, \dots, u_{r+s}\}$  and  $V^+ = V(\text{CT}(n)) - V^-$ . Define  $f : V(\text{CT}(n)) \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$  by  $f(u_0) = -1$ ,  $f(v) = 1$  if  $v \in V^+$  and  $f(v) = -1$  when  $v \in V^-$ . For any vertex  $v \in V(\text{CT}(n))$  we have  $|N^-[v]| = r+1$  and  $|N^-[v] \cap V^-| \leq s+1$ . Therefore  $f(N^-[v]) = r-2s-1 \geq k$  and so  $f$  is an SkDF on  $\text{CT}(n)$ . Now we have

$$\gamma_{kS}(\text{CT}(n)) \leq \omega(f) = \begin{cases} 2k+1 & \text{if } r \equiv k+1 \pmod{2}, \\ 2k+3 & \text{if } r \equiv k \pmod{2}. \end{cases}$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

## 2. Lower bounds on signed $k$ -domination numbers of digraphs

In this section we present some sharp lower bounds for  $\gamma_{kS}(D)$  in terms of the order, the maximum and minimum outdegree and indegree, and the chromatic number of  $D$ . Recall that the complement of a graph  $G$  is denoted as  $\overline{G}$ .

### Theorem 2.1.

Let  $k \geq 1$  be an integer, and let  $D$  be a digraph of order  $n \geq k+1$  with  $\delta^-(D) \geq k-1$ . Then

$$\gamma_{kS}(D) \geq 2(k+1) - n,$$

with equality if and only if  $D$  is  $H \vee \overline{K_{n-k-1}}$ , where  $H$  is a digraph of order  $k+1$  with  $\delta^-(H) \geq k-1$  such that  $u \rightarrow v$  for each  $u \in V(H)$  and each  $v \in V(\overline{K_{n-k-1}})$ . Also, if  $d_H^-(w) = k-i$  with  $i = 0, 1$  for a vertex  $w \in V(H)$ , then there are at most  $i$  arcs from  $V(\overline{K_{n-k-1}})$  to  $w$ .

**Proof.** Let  $f$  be an SkDF of  $D$ . If  $f$  assigns 1 to each vertex, then the statement is true, since  $n \geq k+1$ . Now assume that there exists a vertex  $v \in V$  with  $f(v) = -1$ . Then  $f$  assigns 1 to at least  $k+1$  vertices in  $N_D^-(v)$  and so  $|M| \leq n - k - 1$ . Thus

$$\gamma_{kS}(D) = |P| - |M| \geq k+1 - (n - k - 1) = 2(k+1) - n,$$

as desired.

Let  $H$  be a digraph of order  $k+1$  with  $\delta^-(H) \geq k-1$  such that  $u \rightarrow v$  for each  $u \in V(H)$  and each  $v \in V(\overline{K_{n-k-1}})$ . If  $D$  is  $H \vee \overline{K_{n-k-1}}$ , and if for every vertex  $w \in V(H)$  with  $d_H^-(w) = k-i$  for  $i = 0, 1$ , there are at most  $i$  arcs from  $V(\overline{K_{n-k-1}})$  to  $w$ , then we define  $f : V(D) \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$  by  $f(v) = 1$  if  $v \in V(H)$  and  $f(v) = -1$  if  $v \in V(\overline{K_{n-k-1}})$ . It is straightforward to verify that  $f$  is an SkDF of  $D$  with  $w(f) = 2(k+1) - n$  and hence  $\gamma_{kS}(D) = 2(k+1) - n$ .

Now let  $D$  be a digraph such that  $\gamma_{kS}(D) = 2(k+1) - n$ . Let  $f$  be an SkDF of  $D$ . Then  $|P| = k+1$  and  $|M| = n - k - 1$ . Define  $H$  by  $D[P]$ . Since  $f(N_D^-[x]) \geq k$  for every vertex  $x$ , we deduce that  $\delta^-(H) \geq k-1$ ,  $u \rightarrow v$  for each  $u \in V(H)$  and each  $v \in M$  and  $M$  is an independent set. In addition, we observe that for every vertex  $w \in V(H)$  with  $d_H^-(w) = k$ , an arbitrary arc from  $M$  to  $w$  is admissible. This completes the proof.  $\square$

For oriented graphs we now present a sharper lower bound on the signed  $k$ -domination number when  $k \geq 2$ .

**Theorem 2.2.**

Let  $k \geq 2$  be an integer, and let  $D$  be an oriented graph of order  $n$  with  $\delta^-(D) \geq k - 1$ . Then

$$\gamma_{kS}(D) \geq 2(2k - 1) - n,$$

with equality if and only if  $D$  consists of an arbitrary  $(k - 1)$ -regular tournament  $T_{2k-1}$  and a set  $W$  of  $n - (2k - 1)$  further vertices, such that each  $w \in W$  has at least  $k + 1$  in-neighbors in  $T_{2k-1}$  and there is no arc from  $W$  to  $T_{2k-1}$ . Also, if a vertex  $w \in W$  has  $k + 1 \leq t \leq 2k - 1$  in-neighbors in  $T_{2k-1}$ , then  $w$  has at most  $t - k - 1$  in-neighbors in  $W$ .

**Proof.** Let  $f$  be an SkDF of  $D$ . Each vertex  $v \in P$  has at least  $k - 1$  in-neighbors in  $P$ . This implies that

$$\frac{|P|(|P| - 1)}{2} \geq |A(D[P])| \geq (k - 1)|P|,$$

and thus  $|P| \geq 2k - 1$ . Therefore  $|M| \leq n - 2k + 1$ , and we obtain the desired bound as follows,

$$\gamma_{kS}(D) = |P| - |M| \geq 2k - 1 - (n - 2k + 1) = 2(2k - 1) - n.$$

Assume that  $D$  consists of an arbitrary  $(k - 1)$ -regular tournament  $T_{2k-1}$  and a set  $W$  of  $n - (2k - 1)$  further vertices, such that each  $w \in W$  has at least  $k + 1$  in-neighbors in  $T_{2k-1}$ , and there is no arc from  $W$  to  $T_{2k-1}$ . Also, assume that if a vertex  $w \in W$  has  $k + 1 \leq t \leq 2k - 1$  in-neighbors in  $T_{2k-1}$ , then  $w$  has at most  $t - k - 1$  in-neighbors in  $W$ . We define  $f : V(D) \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$  by  $f(v) = 1$  if  $v \in V(T_{2k-1})$  and  $f(v) = -1$  if  $v \in W$ . It is easy to see that  $f$  is an SkDF of  $D$  with  $w(f) = 2(2k - 1) - n$ , and so  $\gamma_{kS}(D) = 2(2k - 1) - n$ .

Now let  $D$  be a digraph such that  $\gamma_{kS}(D) = 2(2k - 1) - n$ . If  $f$  is an SkDF of  $D$ , then  $|P| = 2k - 1$  and  $|M| = n - 2k + 1$ . Define  $T$  by  $D[P]$ . Since  $f(N_D^-(x)) \geq k$  for every vertex  $x$ , we deduce that  $\delta^-(T) \geq k - 1$ , and therefore it follows that

$$(k - 1)(2k - 1) \leq \sum_{v \in V(T)} \delta^-(T) \leq \sum_{v \in V(T)} d_T^-(v) = |A(T)| \leq \frac{n(T)(n(T) - 1)}{2} = (k - 1)(2k - 1).$$

Hence we have equality in this inequality chain, and thus  $T$  is a tournament such that  $d_T^-(x) = k - 1$  for each  $x \in V(T)$ . Because  $d_T^+(x) + d_T^-(x) = n(T) - 1 = 2k - 2$ , for each  $x \in V(T)$ , we conclude that  $T$  is a  $(k - 1)$ -regular tournament. Now it follows that there is no arc from  $M$  to  $P$ , every vertex in  $M$  has at least  $k + 1$  in-neighbors in  $P$ , and if a vertex  $w \in M$  has  $k + 1 \leq t \leq 2k - 1$  in-neighbors in  $P$ , then  $w$  has at most  $t - k - 1$  in-neighbors in  $M$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.3.**

Let  $k \geq 1$  be an integer, and let  $D$  be a digraph of order  $n$  with  $\delta^-(D) \geq k - 1$ . Then

$$\gamma_{kS}(D) \geq n \frac{2 \left\lceil \frac{\delta^-(D) + k + 1}{2} \right\rceil - 1 - \Delta^+(D)}{\Delta^+(D) + 1}.$$

**Proof.** Let  $f$  be a  $\gamma_{kS}(D)$ -function, and let  $s$  be the number of arcs from the set  $P$  to the set  $M$ . The condition  $f(N^-[x]) \geq k$  implies that  $|E(P, x)| \geq |E(M, x)| + k - 1$  for  $x \in P$ , and  $|E(P, x)| \geq |E(M, x)| + k + 1$  for  $x \in M$ . Thus we obtain

$$\delta^-(D) \leq d^-(x) = |E(P, x)| + |E(M, x)| \leq 2|E(P, x)| - k - 1,$$

and so  $|E(P, x)| \geq \left\lceil \frac{\delta^-(D) + k + 1}{2} \right\rceil$  for each vertex  $x \in M$ . Hence we deduce that

$$s = \sum_{x \in M} |E(P, x)| \geq \sum_{x \in M} \left\lceil \frac{\delta^-(D) + k + 1}{2} \right\rceil = |M| \left\lceil \frac{\delta^-(D) + k + 1}{2} \right\rceil. \quad (1)$$

Since  $|E(P, x)| \geq \left\lceil \frac{\delta^-(D)+k-1}{2} \right\rceil$  for  $x \in P$ , it follows that  $|E(D[P])| = \sum_{y \in P} |E(P, y)| \geq |P| \left\lceil \frac{\delta^-(D)+k-1}{2} \right\rceil$ , and so we conclude that

$$s = \sum_{y \in P} d^+(y) - |E(D[P])| \leq \sum_{y \in P} d^+(y) - |P| \left\lceil \frac{\delta^-(D)+k-1}{2} \right\rceil \leq |P| \Delta^+(D) - |P| \left\lceil \frac{\delta^-(D)+k-1}{2} \right\rceil. \quad (2)$$

Inequalities (1) and (2) imply that

$$|M| \leq \frac{|P| \Delta^+(D) - |P| \left\lceil \frac{\delta^-(D)+k-1}{2} \right\rceil}{\left\lceil \frac{\delta^-(D)+k+1}{2} \right\rceil}.$$

Since  $\gamma_{kS}(D) = |P| - |M|$  and  $n = |P| + |M|$ , the last inequality leads to

$$\gamma_{kS}(D) \geq |P| - \frac{|P| \Delta^+(D) - |P| \left\lceil \frac{\delta^-(D)+k-1}{2} \right\rceil}{\left\lceil \frac{\delta^-(D)+k+1}{2} \right\rceil} = \frac{n + \gamma_{kS}(D)}{2} \cdot \frac{2 \left\lceil \frac{\delta^-(D)+k+1}{2} \right\rceil - 1 - \Delta^+(D)}{\left\lceil \frac{\delta^-(D)+k+1}{2} \right\rceil},$$

and this yields the desired result.  $\square$

To see the sharpness of the last result, let  $D = K_n^*$ . If  $k = n$  or  $k = n - 1$ , then Theorem 2.3 leads to  $\gamma_{kS}(D) \geq n$ , and thus  $\gamma_{kS}(D) = n$ .

If  $D(G)$  is the associate digraph of a graph  $G$ , then  $N_{D(G)}^-(v) = N_G(v)$  for each  $v \in V(G) = V(D(G))$ . Thus the following useful observation is valid.

**Observation 2.4.**

If  $D(G)$  is the associate digraph of a graph  $G$ , then  $\gamma_{kS}(D(G)) = \gamma_{kS}(G)$ .

There are many interesting applications of Observation 2.4, such as the following two results.

**Corollary 2.5.**

Let  $k \geq 1$  be an integer, and let  $G$  be a graph of order  $n$  with  $\delta(G) \geq k - 1$ . Then

$$\gamma_{kS}(G) \geq n \frac{2 \left\lceil \frac{\delta(G)+k+1}{2} \right\rceil - 1 - \Delta(G)}{\Delta(G) + 1}.$$

**Proof.** Since  $\delta(G) = \delta^-(D(G))$ ,  $\Delta(G) = \Delta^+(D(G))$  and  $n = n(D(G))$ , it follows from Theorem 2.3 and Observation 2.4 that

$$\gamma_{kS}(G) = \gamma_{kS}(D(G)) \geq \frac{2 \left\lceil \frac{\delta^-(D(G))+k+1}{2} \right\rceil - 1 - \Delta^+(D(G))}{\Delta^+(D(G)) + 1} n = \frac{2 \left\lceil \frac{\delta(G)+k+1}{2} \right\rceil - 1 - \Delta(G)}{\Delta(G) + 1} n. \quad \square$$

**Corollary 2.6 ([5]).**

Let  $k \geq 1$  be an integer, and let  $G$  be an  $r$ -regular graph of order  $n$  with  $r \geq k - 1$ . Then  $\gamma_{kS}(G) \geq \frac{kn}{r+1}$  if  $k + r + 1$  is even, and  $\gamma_{kS}(G) \geq \frac{(k+1)n}{r+1}$  if  $k + r + 1$  is odd.

The special case  $k = 1$  in Corollary 2.6 can be found in [1] and [2]. Counting the arcs from  $M$  to  $P$ , we next prove an analogue to Theorem 2.3.

**Theorem 2.7.**

Let  $k \geq 1$  be an integer, and let  $D$  be a digraph of order  $n$  with  $\delta^-(D) \geq k - 1$ . Then

$$\gamma_{kS}(D) \geq n \frac{\delta^+(D) + 1 - 2 \left\lfloor \frac{\Delta^-(D) - k + 1}{2} \right\rfloor}{\delta^+(D) + 1}.$$

**Proof.** Let  $f$  be a  $\gamma_{kS}(D)$ -function, and let  $s$  be the number of arcs from  $M$  to  $P$ . If  $x \in P$ , then

$$\Delta^-(D) \geq d^-(x) = |E(P, x)| + |E(M, x)| \geq 2|E(M, x)| + k - 1,$$

and thus  $|E(M, x)| \leq \left\lfloor \frac{\Delta^-(D) - k + 1}{2} \right\rfloor$  for each  $x \in P$ . Hence we deduce that

$$s = \sum_{x \in P} |E(M, x)| \leq |P| \left\lfloor \frac{\Delta^-(D) - k + 1}{2} \right\rfloor. \quad (3)$$

If  $x \in M$ , then

$$\Delta^-(D) \geq d^-(x) = |E(P, x)| + |E(M, x)| \geq 2|E(M, x)| + k + 1,$$

and thus  $|E(M, x)| \leq \left\lfloor \frac{\Delta^-(D) - k - 1}{2} \right\rfloor$  for each  $x \in M$ . It follows that

$$s = \sum_{y \in M} d^+(y) - |E(D[M])| \geq |M| \delta^+(D) - |M| \left\lfloor \frac{\Delta^-(D) - k - 1}{2} \right\rfloor. \quad (4)$$

Inequalities (3) and (4) imply that

$$|P| \geq \frac{|M| \delta^+(D) - |M| \left\lfloor \frac{\Delta^-(D) - k - 1}{2} \right\rfloor}{\left\lfloor \frac{\Delta^-(D) - k + 1}{2} \right\rfloor}.$$

Since  $\gamma_{kS}(D) = |P| - |M|$  and  $n = |P| + |M|$ , the last inequality leads to

$$\gamma_{kS}(D) \geq \frac{|M| \delta^+(D) - |M| \left\lfloor \frac{\Delta^-(D) - k - 1}{2} \right\rfloor}{\left\lfloor \frac{\Delta^-(D) - k + 1}{2} \right\rfloor} - |M| = \frac{n - \gamma_{kS}(D)}{2} \cdot \frac{\delta^+(D) - 2 \left\lfloor \frac{\Delta^-(D) - k + 1}{2} \right\rfloor + 1}{\left\lfloor \frac{\Delta^-(D) - k + 1}{2} \right\rfloor},$$

and this yields the desired result immediately.  $\square$

Using Observation 2.4 and Theorem 2.7, we obtain an analogue to Corollary 2.5, and this also leads to Corollary 2.6.

**Theorem 2.8.**

Let  $k \geq 1$  be an integer, and let  $D$  be a digraph of order  $n$  with  $\delta^-(D) \geq k - 1$ . Then

$$\gamma_{kS}(D) \geq \frac{\delta^+(D) + 2k - \Delta^+(D)}{\delta^+(D) + \Delta^+(D) + 2} n.$$

**Proof.** If  $f$  is a  $\gamma_{kS}(D)$ -function, then

$$\begin{aligned} nk &= \sum_{x \in V} k \leq \sum_{x \in V} f(N^-[x]) = \sum_{x \in V} (d^+(x) + 1)f(x) = \sum_{x \in P} (d^+(x) + 1) - \sum_{x \in M} (d^+(x) + 1) \\ &\leq |P|(\Delta^+(D) + 1) - |M|(\delta^+(D) + 1) = |P|(\Delta^+(D) + \delta^+(D) + 2) - n(\delta^+(D) + 1). \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$|P| \geq \frac{n(\delta^+(D) + k + 1)}{\delta^+(D) + \Delta^+(D) + 2},$$

and hence we obtain the desired bound as follows,

$$\gamma_{kS}(D) = |P| - |M| = 2|P| - n \geq \frac{2n(\delta^+(D) + k + 1)}{\delta^+(D) + \Delta^+(D) + 2} - n = \frac{\delta^+(D) + 2k - \Delta^+(D)}{\delta^+(D) + \Delta^+(D) + 2} n. \quad \square$$

Using Observation 2.4, we obtain the following analogue for graphs.

**Corollary 2.9.**

If  $k \geq 1$  is an integer, and  $G$  is a graph of order  $n$  with  $\delta(G) \geq k - 1$ , then

$$\gamma_{kS}(G) \geq \frac{\delta(G) + 2k - \Delta(G)}{\delta(G) + \Delta(G) + 2} n.$$

We note that Corollary 2.9 immediately implies a 1999 result by Zhang, Xu, Li and Liu [8] for the case  $k = 1$ .

**Theorem 2.10.**

Let  $k \geq 1$  be an integer, and let  $D$  be a digraph of order  $n$  with  $\delta^-(D) \geq k - 1$ . Then

$$\gamma_{kS}(D) \geq \frac{kn + |A(D)| - n\Delta^+(D)}{\Delta^+(D) + 1}.$$

**Proof.** If  $f$  is a  $\gamma_{kS}(D)$ -function, then

$$\begin{aligned} nk &\leq \sum_{x \in V} f(N^-[x]) = \sum_{x \in V} (d^+(x) + 1)f(x) = \sum_{x \in P} (d^+(x) + 1) - \sum_{x \in M} (d^+(x) + 1) \\ &= 2 \sum_{x \in P} (d^+(x) + 1) - \sum_{x \in V} (d^+(x) + 1) \leq 2|P|(\Delta^+(D) + 1) - |A(D)| - n. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$|P| \geq \frac{(k + 1)n + |A(D)|}{2\Delta^+(D) + 2},$$

and hence we obtain the desired bound as follows,

$$\gamma_{kS}(D) = |P| - |M| = 2|P| - n \geq \frac{(k + 1)n + |A(D)|}{\Delta^+(D) + 1} - n = \frac{kn + |A(D)| - n\Delta^+(D)}{\Delta^+(D) + 1}. \quad \square$$

**Theorem 2.11.**

Let  $r \geq k \geq 1$  be integers, and let  $D$  be a digraph of order  $n \geq 2$  such that  $\delta^-(D) \geq k - 1$  and  $\delta^+(D) \geq r$ . Then

$$\gamma_{kS}(D) \geq 2(\chi(G) + k + r - \Delta(G)) - n,$$

where  $G$  is the underlying graph of  $D$ .



**Proof.** By Theorem B, we may assume that  $k \geq 2$ . If  $\Delta^-(D) \leq k$ , then  $d^+(x) = d^-(x) = k$  for each  $x \in V(D)$ , and by Observation 1.4,  $\gamma_{kS}(D) = n$ . The result follows. If  $\Delta^-(D) \geq k+1$ , then  $\Delta(G) \geq 2k+1$ .

Let  $\alpha = \frac{\Delta(G) - r - k - 1}{2}$ . We claim that  $r \leq \Delta(G) - k - 1$ . Suppose to the contrary that  $r \geq \Delta(G) - k$ . Since  $d^+(x) + d^-(x) \leq \Delta(G)$ , by the assumption we have  $d^-(x) \leq k$  for each  $x \in V(D)$ . Thus

$$n(\Delta(G) - k) \leq \sum_{x \in V(D)} d^+(x) = \sum_{x \in V(D)} d^-(x) \leq nk,$$

which implies that  $\Delta(G) \leq 2k$ . This is a contradiction, and therefore  $\alpha \geq 0$ . For each  $x \in M$ ,  $|E(P, x)| \geq |E(M, x)| + k + 1$ , and so

$$\Delta(G) \geq \deg(x) = |E(P, x)| + |E(M, x)| + d^+(x) \geq r + 2|E(M, x)| + k + 1,$$

which implies  $|E(M, x)| \leq \alpha$ . Let  $H = D[M]$  be the subdigraph induced by  $M$  and let  $H' = G[M]$  be the underlying graph of  $H$ .

Suppose  $H_1$  is an induced subgraph of  $H$ . Then  $d_{H_1}^-(x) \leq |E(M, x)| \leq \alpha$  for each  $x \in V(H_1)$ , and hence  $\sum_{x \in V(H_1)} d_{H_1}^+(x) = \sum_{x \in V(H_1)} d_{H_1}^-(x) \leq \alpha |V(H_1)|$ . Therefore there exists a vertex  $x \in V(H_1)$  such that  $d_{H_1}^+(x) \leq \alpha$ . This implies that  $\delta(H_1') \leq 2\alpha$ , where  $H_1'$  is the underlying graph of  $H_1$ . By Theorem A,

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(H') &\leq 1 + \max \{ \delta(H'') \mid H'' \text{ is a subgraph of } H' \} \\ &= 1 + \max \{ \delta(H_1') \mid H_1' \text{ is an induced subgraph of } H' \} \leq 1 + 2\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $2|P| - n = \gamma_{kS}(D)$ , it follows that

$$\chi(G) \leq \chi(G[P]) + \chi(G[M]) \leq |P| + 1 + 2\alpha = 1 + 2\alpha + \frac{n + \gamma_{kS}(D)}{2}.$$

Thus

$$\gamma_{kS}(D) \geq 2(\chi(G) + k + r - \Delta(G)) - n,$$

as required.  $\square$

### Theorem 2.12.

Let  $D$  be a digraph of order  $n$  and size  $m$ , and let  $G$  be the underlying graph of  $D$ . Then  $\gamma_{kS}(D) \geq nk - m$ . Furthermore, the bound is sharp.

**Proof.** Let  $f$  be a  $\gamma_{kS}(D)$ -function and  $t$  be the number of arcs from the set  $P$  to the set  $M$ . Then

$$t = \sum_{x \in M} |E(P, x)| \geq \sum_{x \in M} (k+1) = |M|(k+1) = (n - |P|)(k+1). \quad (5)$$

On the other hand,  $m \geq t + |E(P, P)|$ . Since  $|E(P, P)| \geq |P|(k-1)$ , we have  $m \geq (n - |P|)(k+1) + |P|(k-1)$  and thus  $|P| \geq \frac{n(k+1) - m}{2}$ . Since  $\gamma_{kS}(D) = 2|P| - n$ , the result follows.

To prove the sharpness, note that if  $k = n$ , the bound is sharp for  $K_n^*$ . Thus we may assume  $k \leq n - 1$ . Suppose that  $C$  is a directed Hamiltonian cycle in  $K_{k+1}^*$  and consider the digraph  $D = (K_{k+1}^* - E(C)) \vee \overline{K_{n-k-1}}$  where the edges are oriented from  $V(K_{k+1}^* - E(C))$  to  $V(\overline{K_{n-k-1}})$ . Define  $f : V(D) \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$  by  $f(v) = 1$  if  $v \in V(K_{k+1}^* - E(C))$  and  $f(v) = -1$  if  $v \in V(\overline{K_{n-k-1}})$ . Obviously,  $f$  is an  $S_k$ DF of  $D$  and  $w(f) = nk - m$  where  $m$  is the size of  $D$ . Hence,  $\gamma_{kS}(D) = nk - m$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

### Theorem 2.13.

Let  $D$  be a digraph of order  $n$  with outdegree sequence  $d_1^+ \geq d_2^+ \geq \dots \geq d_n^+$  and let  $s$  be the smallest positive integer for which  $\sum_{i=1}^s d_i^+ - \sum_{i=s+1}^n d_i^+ \geq (k+1)n - 2s$ . Then  $\gamma_{kS}(D) \geq 2s - n$ . Furthermore, this bound is sharp.

**Proof.** Let  $f$  be a  $\gamma_{kS}(D)$ -function and  $p = |P|$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} kn &\leq \sum_{x \in V} f(N_D^+[x]) = \sum_{x \in V} (d^+(x) + 1)f(x) = \sum_{x \in P} (d^+(x) + 1) - \sum_{x \in M} (d^+(x) + 1) \\ &\leq |P| - |M| + \left( \sum_{x \in P} d^+(x) - \sum_{x \in M} d^+(x) \right) \leq 2p - n + \left( \sum_{i=1}^p d_i^+ - \sum_{i=p+1}^n d_i^+ \right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $(k+1)n - 2p \leq \sum_{i=1}^p d_i^+ - \sum_{i=p+1}^n d_i^+$ . By the assumption on  $s$ , we must have  $p \geq s$ . This implies that  $\gamma_{kS}(D) = 2p - n \geq 2s - n$ .

In order to show that the bound is sharp, suppose that  $C$  is a directed Hamiltonian cycle in  $K_{k+1}^*$  and consider the digraph  $D = (K_{k+1}^* - E(C)) \vee \overline{K_{n-k-1}}$  where the edges are oriented from  $V(K_{k+1}^* - E(C))$  to  $V(\overline{K_{n-k-1}})$ . By Theorem 2.12,  $\gamma_{kS}(D) = 2(k+1) - n$ . Since the outdegree sequence of  $D$  is

$$\overbrace{n-2, \dots, n-2}^{k+1}, \overbrace{0, \dots, 0}^{n-k-1}$$

and  $(n-2)(k+1) = n(k+1) - 2(k+1)$ , it follows that  $k+1$  is the smallest positive integer such that  $\sum_{i=1}^s d_i^+ - \sum_{i=s+1}^n d_i^+ \geq n(k+1) - 2(k+1)$ , and so  $\gamma_{kS}(D) \geq 2(k+1) - n$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

The special case  $k = 1$  in Theorems 2.12 and 2.13 was recently proved by Karami, Khodkar and Sheikholeslami in [3].

## References

- [1] Dunbar J.E., Hedetniemi S.T., Henning M.A., Slater P.J., Signed domination in graphs, In: Graph Theory, Combinatorics, and Algorithms, 1, Kalamazoo, 1992, Wiley-Intersci. Publ., Wiley, New York, 1995, 311–321
- [2] Henning M.A., Slater P.J., Inequalities relating domination parameters in cubic graphs, Discrete Math., 1996, 158(1–3), 87–98
- [3] Karami H., Sheikholeslami S.M., Khodkar A., Lower bounds on the signed domination numbers of directed graphs, Discrete Math., 2009, 309(8), 2567–2570
- [4] Szekeres G., Wilf H.S., An inequality for the chromatic number of a graph, J. Combin. Theory, 1968, 4, 1–3
- [5] Wang C.P., The signed  $k$ -domination numbers in graphs, Ars Combin. (in press)
- [6] West D.B., Introduction to Graph Theory, 2nd ed., Prentice Hall, Upper Saddle River, 2000
- [7] Zelinka B., Signed domination numbers of directed graphs, Czechoslovak Math. J., 2005, 55(130)(2), 479–482
- [8] Zhang Z., Xu B., Li Y., Liu L., A note on the lower bounds of signed domination number of a graph, Discrete Math., 1999, 195(1–3), 295–298