

The combinatorial derivation and its inverse mapping

Research Article

Igor V. Protasov^{1*}

¹ Department of Cybernetics, Kyiv University, Volodimirska 64, Kyiv 01033, Ukraine

Received 5 November 2012; accepted 1 March 2013

Abstract: Let G be a group and \mathcal{P}_G be the Boolean algebra of all subsets of G . A mapping $\Delta: \mathcal{P}_G \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_G$ defined by $\Delta(A) = \{g \in G : gA \cap A \text{ is infinite}\}$ is called the combinatorial derivation. The mapping Δ can be considered as an analogue of the topological derivation $d: \mathcal{P}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_X, A \mapsto A^d$, where X is a topological space and A^d is the set of all limit points of A . We study the behaviour of subsets of G under action of Δ and its inverse mapping ∇ . For example, we show that if G is infinite and \mathcal{J} is an ideal in \mathcal{P}_G such that $\Delta(A) \in \mathcal{J}$ and $\nabla(A) \subseteq \mathcal{J}$ for each $A \in \mathcal{J}$ then $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{P}_G$.

MSC: 20A05, 20F99, 22A15, 06E15, 06E25

Keywords: Combinatorial derivation • Δ -trajectories • Large, small and thin subsets of groups • Partitions of groups • Stone–Čech compactification of a group

© Versita Sp. z o.o.

1. Introduction

Let G be a group with the identity e . We denote by \mathcal{P}_G and \mathcal{F}_G the families of all and all finite subsets of G . A subset A of a group G is called

- *large* if $G = FA$ for some $F \in \mathcal{F}_G$;
- *small* if $L \setminus A$ is large for each large subset L ;
- *thick* if, for each $F \in \mathcal{F}_G$, there exists $a \in A$ such that $Fa \subseteq A$;
- *prethick* if FA is thick for some $F \in \mathcal{F}_G$;
- *thin* if $gA \cap A$ is finite for each $g \in G, g \neq e$;
- *sparse* if, for each infinite subset $S \subseteq G$, there exists a finite subset $F \subset S$ such that $\bigcap_{g \in F} gA$ is finite.

* E-mail: I.V.Protasov@gmail.com

We use the following elementary observations. A subset A is small if and only if A is not prethick. A subset A is thick if and only if $G \setminus A$ is not large. Each thin subset of a group G is sparse, and each sparse subset of an infinite group G is small. All above defined families of subsets are left and right translation invariant. In the dynamical terminology [4, p.85], large and prethick subsets are called syndetic and piecewise syndetic. We note also that all above subsets can be defined in the much more general context of ballenans [10, 12, 13].

The families Sm_G and Sp_G of all small and sparse subsets of G are ideals in the Boolean algebra \mathcal{P}_G (see [2] and [7] respectively). Recall that a subset $\mathcal{J} \subseteq \mathcal{P}_G$ is an ideal if \mathcal{J} is closed under taking subsets and finite unions (we do not suppose that $G \notin \mathcal{J}$). So the smallest ideal is $\mathcal{J}_\emptyset = \{\emptyset\}$ and the largest ideal is \mathcal{P}_G .

Following [11], we define a mapping $\Delta: \mathcal{P}_G \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_G$ by

$$\Delta(A) = \{g \in G : gA \cap A \text{ is infinite}\},$$

and say that Δ is the *combinatorial derivation*. The mapping Δ can be considered as an analogue of the topological derivation $d: \mathcal{P}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_X$, $A \mapsto A^d$, where X is a topological space and A^d is the set of all limit points of A (see [11]). Clearly, $\Delta(A) = \Delta^{-1}(A)$ and $e \in \Delta(A)$ if and only if A is infinite. We denote

$$\text{Sym}_G = \{\emptyset\} \cup \{A \subseteq G : A = A^{-1}, e \in A\}.$$

Evidently, $\Delta(A) \subseteq AA^{-1}$ but each infinite group G contains a subset A such that $G = AA^{-1}$ and $\Delta(A) = \{e\}$, see [5, Theorem 3]. We use also the inverse to Δ , multivalued mapping ∇ defined by

$$\nabla(A) = \{B \subseteq G : \Delta(B) = A\}.$$

By Lemma 2.6, if G is infinite, the range of Δ is Sym_G .

In this paper, we explore the behaviour of the subsets defined above and some other families of subsets of a group under the action of the operations Δ and ∇ . If G is finite then $\Delta(G) = \emptyset$ so in what follows all groups under consideration are supposed to be infinite. "Countable" means "countably infinite". Thus, we have the following strict inclusions [6]:

$$\mathcal{J}_\emptyset \subset \mathcal{F}_G \subset \mathcal{T}_G \subset \langle \mathcal{T}_G \rangle \subset \text{Sp}_G \subset \text{Sm}_G,$$

where \mathcal{T}_G is the family of all thin subsets of G , $\langle \mathcal{T}_G \rangle$ is the ideal generated by \mathcal{T}_G .

2. Lemmata

Lemma 2.1.

If $A \in \mathcal{P}_G$ and $F \in \mathcal{F}_G$ then $\Delta(FA) = F\Delta(A)F^{-1}$.

Proof. Since F is finite, $g \in \Delta(FA)$ if and only if there are $a, b \in F$ such that $gaA \cap bA$ is infinite, equivalently, $b^{-1}gaA \cap A$ is infinite. \square

Lemma 2.2.

If A is a thick subset of G then $\Delta(A) = G$.

Proof. We fix $g \in G$ and, for each natural number n , pick a finite subset F_n such that $|F_n| = n$. Since A is thick, there is $a_n \in A$ such that $(g^{-1}F_n \cup F_n)a_n \subset A$. It follows that $F_n a_n \subset gA \cap A$. Hence, $gA \cap A$ is infinite and $g \in \Delta(A)$. \square

Lemma 2.3 ([3, Corollary 9]).

If A is prethick then $\Delta(A)$ is large.

Lemma 2.4.

If $\Delta(A)$ is sparse then A is sparse.

Proof. We use the following observation. Let S be a subset of G such that $XY \subseteq S$ for some infinite subsets X, Y of G . Then $Y \subseteq \bigcap_{g \in F} gS$ for each finite subset F of X^{-1} . Hence S is not sparse.

If A is not sparse, there is an infinite subset Z such that $\bigcap_{g \in F} gA$ is infinite for each finite subset F of Z . It follows that $gA \cap hA$ is infinite for all $g, h \in Z$ so $Z^{-1}Z \subseteq \Delta(A)$ and, by the above paragraph, $\Delta(A)$ is not sparse. \square

Lemma 2.5.

Let G be a group, $X = \{x_\alpha : \alpha < |G|\}$ be a subset of G , and for each $\alpha < |G|$, let $X_\alpha = \{x_\beta : \beta < \alpha\}$. If $x_\alpha \notin X_\alpha X_\alpha^{-1} X_\alpha$ for every $\alpha < |G|$ then $|gX \cap X| \leq 2$ for every $g \in G \setminus \{e\}$.

Proof. Assume the contrary and pick distinct $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3$ and distinct $\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3$ such that $gx_{\alpha_i} = x_{\beta_i}$, $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. Changing the numeration, we may suppose that either $\alpha_1 < \beta_1$, $\alpha_2 < \beta_2$ or $\alpha_1 > \beta_1$, $\alpha_2 > \beta_2$. In the first case, we may suppose that $\beta_2 > \beta_1$. Then $x_{\beta_1} x_{\alpha_1}^{-1} = x_{\beta_2} x_{\alpha_2}^{-1}$ implies $x_{\beta_2} \in X_{\beta_2} X_{\beta_2}^{-1} X_{\beta_2}$. The second case is analogous. \square

Lemma 2.6.

For every subset $A \in \text{Sym}_G$, there exist two thin subsets X, Y such that $\Delta(X \cup Y) = A$.

Proof. If $A = \emptyset$, we can take any finite subsets X, Y . Suppose that $A \neq \emptyset$ and $|G| = \aleph_0$. We write the elements of A in a sequence $(a_n)_{n \in \omega}$, $a_0 = e$. If A is finite, all but finitely many a_n are equal to e . Also, we represent G as a union $G = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} F_n$ of an increasing chain $\{F_n : n \in \omega\}$ of finite subsets such that $e \in F_n$, $F_n = F_n^{-1}$.

Then we choose inductively a sequence $(Z_n)_{n \in \omega}$ of finite subsets of G of the form

$$Z_n = \{x_{n0}, \dots, x_{nn}, a_0 x_{n0}, \dots, a_n x_{nn}\}$$

such that, for all $m \leq n < \omega$, the following conditions are satisfied:

- (a) $Z_m Z_n^{-1} \cap F_n \subseteq \{a_0, \dots, a_n, a_0^{-1}, \dots, a_n^{-1}\}$,
- (b) $F_n \{x_{n0}, \dots, x_{nn}\} \cap \bigcup_{i < n} \{x_{i0}, \dots, x_{in}\} = \emptyset$, $F_n \{a_0 x_{n0}, \dots, a_n x_{nn}\} \cap \bigcup_{i < n} \{a_0 x_{i0}, \dots, a_n x_{in}\} = \emptyset$,
- (c) $F_n x_{ni} \cap F_n x_{nj} = \emptyset$, $F_n a_i x_{ni} \cap F_n a_j x_{nj} = \emptyset$ for all distinct $i, j \in \{0, \dots, n\}$.

After ω steps, we put

$$X = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} \{x_{n0}, \dots, x_{nn}\}, \quad Y = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} \{a_0 x_{n0}, \dots, a_n x_{nn}\},$$

and note that $A \subseteq \Delta(X \cup Y)$. If $g \in G \setminus A$, by (a), the set $g(X \cup Y) \cap (X \cup Y)$ is finite. Hence $\Delta(X \cup Y) = A$. By (b) and (c), the subsets X and Y are thin.

If $|A| \leq \aleph_0$ but $|G| > \aleph_0$, we take a countable subgroup H of G such that $A \subseteq H$, forget about G and find two thin subsets X, Y of H such that $\Delta(X \cup Y) = A$ in H . Since $gA \cap A = \emptyset$ for each $g \in G \setminus H$, we have $\Delta(X \cup Y) = A$ in G .

At last, let $|A| > \aleph_0$. Repeating the arguments from the above paragraph, we may suppose that $|A| = |G|$. We use the lexicographic ordering $<$ on $|G| \times \omega$ $((\gamma, m) < (\alpha, n)$ if $\gamma < \alpha$ or $\gamma = \alpha$ and $m < n$), denote by $\lambda(G)$ the set of all limit ordinals $< |G|$, and put $|\alpha| = |\{\gamma : \gamma < \alpha\}|$.

We enumerate $A = \{a_\alpha : \alpha < |G|\}$ and construct inductively a sequence $(Z_\alpha)_{\alpha < |G|}$ of finite subsets of G and an increasing sequence $(H_\alpha)_{\alpha < |G|}$ of subgroups of G such that if $\alpha \in \lambda(G)$ and $n \in \omega$ then $|H_{\alpha+n}| = \max\{\aleph_0, |\alpha|\}$,

$$Z_{\alpha+n} = \{x_{\alpha+n,0}, \dots, x_{\alpha+n,n}, a_\alpha x_{\alpha+n,0}, \dots, a_{\alpha+n} x_{\alpha+n,n}\}$$

and the following conditions are satisfied:

- (d) $Z_{\alpha+n} \subset H_{\alpha+n+1} \setminus H_{\alpha+n}$,
 (e) $Z_{\alpha+n} Z_{\alpha+n}^{-1} \subset \{a_{\alpha}^{\pm 1}, \dots, a_{\alpha+n}^{\pm 1}\} \cup (H_{\alpha+n+1} \setminus H_{\alpha+n}) \cup \{e\}$,
 (f) $x_{\alpha+n,m} \notin X_{\alpha+n,m} X_{\alpha+n,m}^{-1} X_{\alpha+n,m}$, where

$$X_{\alpha+n,m} = \{x_{\gamma+i,j} : 0 \leq j \leq i, (\gamma+i, j) \prec (\alpha+n, m)\},$$

- (g) $a_{\alpha+m} x_{\alpha+n,m} \notin Y_{\alpha+n,m} Y_{\alpha+n,m}^{-1} Y_{\alpha+n,m}$, where

$$Y_{\alpha+n,m} = \{a_{\gamma+j} x_{j+i,j} : 0 \leq j \leq i, (\gamma+i, j) \prec (\alpha+n, m)\}.$$

We describe a strategy of the choice. We put $H_0 = \{e\}$ and suppose that, for some $\alpha \in \lambda(G)$ and $n \in \omega$, $H_{\alpha+n}$ and $\{Z_{\gamma+i} : \gamma < \lambda(G), i \in \omega, (\gamma, i) \prec (\alpha, n)\}$ have been chosen. We choose $x_{\alpha+n,0}, \dots, x_{\alpha+n,n}$ to satisfy (f), (g) and

$$Z_{\alpha+n} \cap H_{\alpha+n} = \emptyset, \quad Z_{\alpha+n} Z_{\alpha+n}^{-1} \subset \{a_{\alpha}^{\pm 1}, \dots, a_{\alpha+n}^{\pm 1}\} \cup (G \setminus H_{\alpha+n}) \cup \{e\}.$$

After that we denote by $H_{\alpha+n+1}$ the subgroup generated by $H_{\alpha+n} \cup Z_{\alpha+n}$. If $\alpha \in \Lambda(G)$, we put $H_{\alpha} = \bigcup_{\gamma < \alpha} H_{\gamma}$. After $|G|$ steps, we put

$$X = \{x_{\gamma+n,m} : m \leq n < \omega, \gamma < \lambda(G)\}, \quad Y = \{a_{\gamma+m} x_{\gamma+n,m} : m \leq n < \omega, \gamma < \lambda(G)\},$$

and $Z = XY$. By the construction, $A \subseteq \Delta(Z)$. If $g \in \Delta(Z) \setminus A$, then (d), (e) and finiteness of each Z_n imply $|gZ \cap Z| < \aleph_0$. Hence $\Delta(Z) = A$. By (f), (g) and Lemma 2.5, X and Y are thin. \square

3. Results

For a family \mathcal{F} of subsets of a group G , we put $\Delta(\mathcal{F}) = \{\Delta(A) : A \in \mathcal{F}\}$, and say that \mathcal{F} is Δ -complete if $\Delta(\mathcal{F}) \subseteq \mathcal{F}$. If $\nabla(A) \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ for each $A \in \mathcal{F}$, we say that \mathcal{F} is ∇ -complete.

Clearly, $\Delta(\mathcal{F}_G) = \mathcal{I}_{\emptyset}$ and $\Delta(\mathcal{T}_G) = \{\{e\}, \emptyset\}$, so \mathcal{F}_G and \mathcal{T}_G are Δ -complete. By Lemma 2.6, \mathcal{F}_G and \mathcal{T}_G are not ∇ -complete. By [6, Theorem 3.1], each subset $A \in \mathcal{P}_G$ with finite $\Delta(A)$ can be partitioned into finitely many thin subsets.

Theorem 3.1.

For every group G , the following statements hold:

- (i) $\Delta(\langle \mathcal{T}_G \rangle) = \Delta(\text{Sp}_G) = \Delta(\text{Sm}_G) = \text{Sym}_G$;
- (ii) Sp_G and Sm_G are ∇ -complete;
- (iii) $\langle \mathcal{T}_G \rangle$ is not ∇ -complete.

Proof. For (i), we note that $\langle \mathcal{T}_G \rangle \subset \text{Sp}_G \subset \text{Sm}_G$ and apply Lemma 2.6. For (ii) apply Lemmas 2.4 and 2.3, respectively.

(iii) Passing to subgroups, we may suppose that G is countable. We choose inductively a sequence $(F_n)_{n \in \omega}$ of finite subsets of G such that $e \in F_n$, $|F_n| = n+1$ and the set $T = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} F_n F_n^{-1}$ is thin. Modifying the arguments proving Lemma 2.6, we can choose a doubling sequence $(g_{mn})_{m,n \in \omega}$ in G such that the family $\{F_n g_{mn} : m, n \in \omega\}$ is disjoint and $\Delta(\bigcup_{m,n \in \omega} F_n g_{mn}) = T$. We put $A = \bigcup_{m,n \in \omega} F_n g_{mn}$ so $\Delta(A) \in \mathcal{T}_G$.

Since $e \in F_n$, $|F_n| = n+1$ and the subsets $\{F_n g_{mn} : m \in \omega\}$ are pairwise disjoint for each $n \in \omega$, we conclude that $A \notin \langle \mathcal{T}_G \rangle$ using the following characterization of $\langle \mathcal{T}_G \rangle$ from [7]. A subset $S \in \langle \mathcal{T}_G \rangle$ if and only if there exists a natural number n such that, for each $F \in \mathcal{F}_G$, there exists $K \in \mathcal{F}_G$ such that $|Fg \cap S| \leq m$ for every $g \in G \setminus K$. \square

Theorem 3.2.

If an ideal \mathcal{J} in \mathcal{P}_G is Δ -complete and ∇ -complete then $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{P}_G$.

Proof. Since $\emptyset \in \mathcal{J}$ and \mathcal{J} is ∇ -complete, $\mathcal{F}_G \subseteq \mathcal{J}$, in particular, $\{e\} \in \mathcal{J}$. Then ∇ -completeness gives $\mathcal{T}_G \subseteq \mathcal{J}$ and so $\langle \mathcal{T}_G \rangle \subseteq \mathcal{J}$. By Theorem 3.1, $\text{Sym}_G \subseteq \mathcal{J}$. Since \mathcal{J} is an ideal, $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{P}_G$. \square

An ideal \mathcal{J} in \mathcal{P}_G is called a *group ideal* if $\mathcal{F}_G \subseteq \mathcal{J}$ and $AB^{-1} \in \mathcal{J}$ for all $A, B \in \mathcal{J}$. By [9], there are 2^c distinct group ideals in \mathcal{P}_G for a countable group G .

Theorem 3.3.

Let \mathcal{J} be a group ideal in \mathcal{P}_G such that $\mathcal{J} \neq \mathcal{P}_G$. Then $\mathcal{J} \subset \text{Sm}_G$ and \mathcal{J} is Δ -complete.

Proof. Suppose that some member $A \in \mathcal{J}$ is not small. Then A is prethick so $F - A$ is thick for some $F \in \mathcal{F}_G$. By Lemma 2.2, $\Delta(FA) = G$. Clearly, $\Delta(FA) \subseteq FA(FA)^{-1}$. Since \mathcal{J} is a group ideal, $FA(FA)^{-1} \in \mathcal{J}$ so $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{P}_G$. Hence, $\mathcal{J} \subseteq \text{Sm}_G$. By [5, Theorem 3], Sm_G is not a group ideal so $\mathcal{J} \subset \text{Sm}_G$. If $A \in \mathcal{J}$ then $AA^{-1} \in \mathcal{J}$. Since $\Delta(A) \subseteq AA^{-1}$ and \mathcal{J} is an ideal, we have $\Delta(A) \in \mathcal{J}$ so \mathcal{J} is Δ -complete. \square

Let G be an amenable group and let μ be a Banach measure on G (i.e., a finitely additive, probability, left invariant measure $\mu: \mathcal{P}_G \rightarrow [0, 1]$). We consider the ideal $\mathcal{N}_\mu = \{A \in \mathcal{P}_G : \mu(A) = 0\}$ and the ideal $\mathcal{N}_G = \bigcap \{\mathcal{N}_\mu : \mu \text{ is a Banach measure on } G\}$ of the absolute null sets on G . By [6, Theorems 5.1 and 5.2], $\text{Sp}_G \subset \mathcal{N}_G \subset \text{Sm}_G$.

Theorem 3.4.

For an amenable group G , the following statements hold:

- (i) \mathcal{N}_G and \mathcal{N}_μ are not Δ -complete;
- (ii) \mathcal{N}_G and \mathcal{N}_μ are ∇ -complete.

Proof. (i) We use Lemma 2.6 to choose two thin subsets X, Y such that $\Delta(X \cup Y) = G$. Since $X \cup Y$ is sparse, we conclude that \mathcal{N}_G and \mathcal{N}_μ are not Δ -complete.

(ii) It suffices to show that if $\mu(A) > 0$ then $\mu(\Delta(A)) > 0$. We pick a natural number n such that $\mu(A) > 1/n$, and choose $g_1, \dots, g_k \in G$, $k < n$, such that $\mu(g_i A \cap g_j A) = 0$ for all distinct $i, j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, and, for each $g \in G$, there is $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ such that $\mu(gA \cap g_i A) > 0$. We fix $g \in G$ and pick $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ such that $\mu(gA \cap g_i A) > 0$. Since $gA \cap g_i A$ is infinite, we have $g_i^{-1}g \in \Delta(A)$. Hence $G = \{g_1, \dots, g_k\}\Delta(A)$ and $\mu(\Delta(A)) > 0$. \square

For a family \mathcal{F} of subsets of a group G , we denote by $\nabla^*(\mathcal{F})$ the smallest by inclusion ∇ -complete family of subsets of G containing \mathcal{F} . It is easy to see that

$$\nabla^*(\mathcal{F}) = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} \nabla^n(\mathcal{F}),$$

where $\nabla^0(\mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{F}$ and $\nabla^{n+1}(\mathcal{F}) = \{A \subseteq G : \Delta(A) \in \nabla^n(\mathcal{F})\}$. If $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \nabla(\mathcal{F})$ then $\nabla^n(\mathcal{F}) \subseteq \nabla^{n+1}(\mathcal{F})$ for each $n \in \omega$. In particular, $\nabla^n(\mathcal{J}_\emptyset) = \nabla^{n+1}(\mathcal{J}_\emptyset)$. We note that $A \in \nabla^*(\mathcal{J}_\emptyset)$ if and only if $\nabla^n(A) = \{\emptyset\}$ for some $n \in \omega$.

By Theorem 3.1 (ii), $\nabla^*(\mathcal{J}_\emptyset) \subseteq \text{Sp}_G$. We show (Theorem 3.5) that $\nabla^*(\mathcal{J}_\emptyset)$ is much smaller than Sp_G . Following [6], we say that a subset $A \subseteq G$ is k -sparse, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, if every infinite subset X of G contains a subset F such that $|F| = k$ and $\bigcap_{g \in F} gA$ is finite. We denote by $k\text{-Sp}_G$ the family of all k -sparse subsets of G . By [6, Lemma 3.2], $A \in 2\text{-Sp}_G$ if and only if $X^{-1}X \not\subseteq \Delta(A)$ for every infinite subset X of G .

Following [8], we define a family $\tau(\mathcal{F})$ by the rule: $A \in \tau(\mathcal{F})$ if and only if $gA \cap A \in \mathcal{F}$ for each $g \in G$, $g \neq e$. A family \mathcal{F} is τ -complete if $\tau(\mathcal{F}) \subseteq \mathcal{F}$. We denote by $\tau^*(\mathcal{F})$ the smallest τ -complete family containing \mathcal{F} . If \mathcal{F} is a left translation invariant ideal of \mathcal{P}_G and $\{e\} \in \mathcal{F}$, a characterization of $\tau^*(\mathcal{F})$ is given in [1]: $A \in \tau^*(\mathcal{F})$ if and only if for any sequence $(g_n)_{n \in \omega}$ in $G \setminus \{e\}$ there is a number $m \in \omega$ such that

$$\bigcup_{k_0, \dots, k_n \in \{0, 1\}} g_0^{k_0} \dots g_n^{k_n} A \in \mathcal{F}.$$

Theorem 3.5.

For a group G , the following statements hold:

- (i) $\nabla^*(J_\emptyset) \subseteq 2\text{-Sp}_G$;
- (ii) $\nabla^*(J_\emptyset)$ and 2-Sp_G are not ideals;
- (iii) if G is torsion free then $2\text{-Sp}_G \subset \tau^*(\mathcal{F}_G)$.

Proof. (i) It suffices to verify that 2-Sp_G is ∇ -complete. If not, then there is $X \notin 2\text{-Sp}_G$ such that $\Delta(X) \in 2\text{-Sp}_G$. We choose an infinite subset Y of G such that $gX \cap hX$ is infinite for all distinct $g, h \in Y$. Then $Y^{-1}Y \subseteq \Delta(X)$ so $\Delta(X)$ is not 2-sparse.

(ii) By [6, Theorem 3.3], there are two thin subsets A, B of G such that $A \cup B$ is not 2-sparse. Since $A, B \in \nabla^*(J_\emptyset)$, we can apply (i).

(iii) We fix $A \in \text{Sp}_G$ and an arbitrary sequence $(g_n)_{n \in \omega}$ in $G \setminus \{e\}$. Since G is torsion free, we can choose $\{0, 1\}$ -sequence $(m_n)_{n \in \omega}$ in ω such that the sequence $(g_0^{m_0} \dots g_n^{m_n})_{n \in \omega}$ is injective. Since A is 2-sparse, there are $p, q \in \omega$, $p < q$, such that

$$g_0^{m_0} \dots g_p^{m_p} A \cap g_0^{m_0} \dots g_q^{m_q} A \in \mathcal{F}_G,$$

so $g_p^{m_p} \dots g_q^{m_q} A \cap A \in \mathcal{F}_G$. Hence, $A \in \tau^*(\mathcal{F}_G)$ and $2\text{-Sp}_G \subseteq \mathcal{F}_G$.

By [1, Theorem 1.2], $\tau^*(\mathcal{F}_G)$ is an ideal, so, by (ii), the inclusion $2\text{-Sp}_G \subset \tau^*(\mathcal{F}_G)$ is strict. \square

References

- [1] Banach T., Lyaskovska N., On thin-complete ideals of subsets of groups, Ukrainian Math. J., 2011, 63(6), 865–879
- [2] Brown T.K., On locally finite semigroups, Ukrainian Math. J., 1968, 20(6), 631–636
- [3] Erde J., A note on combinatorial derivation, preprint available at <http://arxiv.org/abs/1210.7622>
- [4] Hindman N., Strauss D., Algebra in the Stone–Čech Compactification, de Gruyter Exp. Math., 27, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, 1998
- [5] Lutsenko Ie., Thin systems of generators of groups, Algebra Discrete Math., 2010, 9(2), 108–114
- [6] Lutsenko Ie., Protasov I.V., Sparse, thin and other subsets of groups, Internat. J. Algebra Comput., 2009, 19(4), 491–510
- [7] Lutsenko Ie., Protasov I., Thin subsets of balleanes, Appl. Gen. Topology, 2010, 11(2), 89–93
- [8] Lutsenko Ie., Protasov I.V., Relatively thin and sparse subsets of groups, Ukrainian Math. J., 2011, 63(2), 254–265
- [9] Protasov I.V., Counting Ω -ideals, Algebra Universalis, 2010, 62(4), 339–343
- [10] Protasov I.V., Selective survey on subset combinatorics of groups, J. Math. Sci. (N.Y.), 2011, 174(4), 486–514
- [11] Protasov I.V., Combinatorial derivation, preprint available at <http://arxiv.org/abs/1210.0696>
- [12] Protasov I., Banach T., Ball Structures and Colorings of Graphs and Groups, Math. Stud. Monogr. Ser., 11, VNTL, L'viv, 2003
- [13] Protasov I., Zarichnyi M., General Asymptology, Math. Stud. Monogr. Ser., 12, VNTL, L'viv, 2007