IN MEMORIAM

PROF. DR. HAN J.W. DRIJVERS

[1] Prof. Dr. Han J.W. Drijvers passed away on 11 February 2002 at the age of 67. He was a retired professor of Semitic Languages and Literature and Archaeology of the Near East at the University of Groningen, the Netherlands.

[2] Born 25 September 1934 in Winschoten, a little provincial town in the North of the Netherlands, Han Drijvers always remained rooted in Groningen, the province he loved so much. In his grammar school years he already showed great interest in the phenomenon of religion. As a result he decided to study theology at the University of Groningen, where he came into contact with the Semitic languages. He decided to graduate in both Theology and Semitics. After writing a Ph.D. on *Bardaisan of Edessa* (1966) he received a personal lectureship in Semitic Literature and Linguistics at the faculty of Arts (1970) and, in addition, in the Religions of the Era of Hellenism and the Roman Empire at the faculty of Theology (1972). This combination of Language and (Religious) Culture has characterised his career and is reflected in his publications on many topics ranging from the earliest stages of ancient Syriac literature to the history and religions of the Near East and Hellenism, archaeology and religious phenomenology. Next to his dissertation his edition of *The Book of the Laws of Countries: Dialogue on Fate of Bardaisan of Edessa* (1965) and his study *Cults and Beliefs at Edessa* (1980) are but two of his numerous publications.

- [3] In 1976 his lectureship was converted into a personal professorate. After the retirement of his mentor in Semitics, Prof. Dr. J.H. Hospers, Han Drijvers became the professor in ordinary at the Institute of Semitic Languages and Cultures, and Archaeology of the Near East of the University of Groningen (1986). In earlier years he already had been involved in the development of this department, but now he guided it rather effectively through the rough seas of budget cuts and academic “restructuring”. Under his supervision several dissertations on Syriac and related topics were written and Groningen became a household name in the field of Syriac Studies.
- [4] Drijvers not only has made a name for himself as a scholar, but also as an administrator. He has been, among others, a member of the University Board (1972-1974) and Dean of the Faculty of Arts (1981-1984). He also has been a member of many national and international academic committees and boards. Most Syriac scholars will remember him presiding the business meetings at various Symposia Syriaca. He has been a participant of all symposia, except for the last, when his physical condition made it impossible for him to travel to Sydney—much to his regret.
- [5] Han Drijvers not only was an academic, but he also was an active member of society. He was, for example, a member of the national Blood Transfusion Board and he was involved in the encouragement and study of modern art. As main editor he was involved in a number of studies on regional artists. At his retirement in 1999 he was appointed by the queen Companion of the Order of Orange Nassau for his achievements in academics and in society.
- [6] During the last years of his life Han was hampered by a disease, not even he was able to conquer. He did put up a brave fight, but in February of this year it finally got the better of him. He will be missed.

J.J. Van Ginkel, University of Utrecht

FR. FRANCIS MAHIEU OF KURISUMALA ASHRAM

- [1] “Kurisumala Ashram” has several unique characteristics. “Kurusu” is the translation of the word *Cross*, into Malayalam, the language of Kerala, the small State in the South West tip of India; “Mala” means *mountain*; “Ashram” means *Monastery*. Hence, the name

describes the community of monks who practise austerity and live a strict monastic life on the mount of the Cross in the high hills of Kerala.

- [2] That this monastic foundation is in the diocese of Tiruvalla of the Syro-Malankara Catholic Church is a paradox. There are three distinct individual churches of the Catholic Communion in Kerala. The largest and most flourishing is the Syro-Malabar Church. The Roman Catholic Church comes next and the Malankara Church is the smallest and youngest consisting mostly of uniates from the Syrian Orthodox Church. The founders of the Ashram, Fr. Bede Griffiths and Acharya Francis Mahieu, should normally have chosen to establish the monastery in any one of the several archdioceses or dioceses of the Malabar Church which has plentiful vocations to the priesthood and religious life. It can only be considered a very special blessing of God granted to the Malankara Catholic Church that Kurisumala Ashram is in the diocese of Tiruvalla of that Church.

- [3] Fr. Bede Griffiths, the eminent scholar and author, spent the last years of his life at Shantivanam in Tamilnadu. Thus, Fr. Francis Mahieu became the lone guiding spirit of the Ashram at Kurisumala. Prior to his arrival in India he was a Cistercian Monk in his native Belgium. In India he embraced the best aspects of Indian spirituality and culture. It is a measure of his total commitment to local adaptation that he acquired a masterly knowledge of Syriac, the original language of the Malankara Syrian liturgy. He was able to translate from Syriac into English a few of the most important liturgical texts such as the *Panqitho*, the worship book for feast days throughout the year. In the monastery, the liturgical services are in the Malankara tradition. On an experimental basis, with the official sanction of ecclesiastical authorities, there is an Indianised celebration of the Holy Mass. In this, the chants, ceremonies and symbols are mostly adapted from the Hindu form of worship. This practice bears testimony to the genuine respect and appreciation that Fr. Francis had of Indian culture and religions. He always endeavoured to nurture this attitude in the entire Kurisumala monastic community and to transmit it to the countless devotees of various Christian denominations and other religions who regularly reach the monastery for prayer and meditation. A couple of years ago, Fr.

Francis prudently had the Kurisumala Ashram affiliated with the Cistercian Confederation in Australia.

- [4] When Fr. Francis Mahieu was called to eternal rest and happiness with the Lord in the 91st year of his life on January 31, 2002, the esteem and admiration he earned among all sections of people of this country became evident. His funeral was attended by large numbers of people from every caste, class and religion. The large number of religious sisters of various Congregations was particularly conspicuous. They are beneficiaries of the atmosphere and spirit of prayer, silence and mortification at Kurisumala.

- [5] The brilliant beacon set by Fr. Francis on the mount of the Cross will continue to shine and to enlighten generations to come.

- [6] Francis Acharya was very much interested in SEERI (St. Ephrem Ecumenical Institute, Kottayam, Kerala, India). He promoted its vision and mission. By sending Syriac prayer books for Lent, Holy week etc. he requested SEERI to bring the unfulfilled dream of Kurisumala into realization—namely the dream of having a community where the West Syriac Liturgy will be faithfully observed in its original language and this liturgical heritage fruitfully studied. In the world Syriac Conferences held in SEERI, he was a much coveted speaker and participant. In the Acharya's death, SEERI lost an irreplaceable supporter. SEERI had the privilege to publish in its *Moran Etho* series, the inspirational work of Francis Acharya entitled *The Clothing Ritual of Monks*.

- [7] May this great monk, devoted follower of West Syrian liturgy and spirituality and true lover of India and this country's rich spiritual heritage, rest in Abraham's bosom enjoying eternal celestial bliss.

Jacob Thekeparampil, St. Ephrem Ecumenical Research Institute

THE DISPUTE BETWEEN THE CHERUB AND THE THIEF

SEBASTIAN P. BROCK

UNIVERSITY OF OXFORD

ABSTRACT

The lively verse dialogue between the Repentant Thief (Luke 23:43) and the Cherub guarding the entrance to Paradise (Genesis 3:24) is an excellent representative of the ancient literary genre of dispute literature that has remained popular in the Middle East in various languages for nearly four millennia. The aim of the present article is to make the poem available in translation to an English-speaking audience. The introduction gives an outline of the poem's wider context, and ends with some suggestions about how it might be revived for use today.

AN ANCIENT MESOPOTAMIAN GENRE

- [1] One of the most long-lived literary genres of the Middle East is the Precedence Disputation, the oldest examples of which go back to Sumerian literature of the early second millennium BC. The thread of continuity, over nearly four thousand years, can be traced through Akkadian, Middle Persian, Jewish Aramaic, Syriac, Arabic and Persian,¹ right up to the present day when examples in Modern

¹ Overviews can be found in G.J. Reinink and H.L.J. Vanstiphout (eds), *Dispute Poems and Dialogues in the Ancient and Mediaeval Near East*

Syriac and in Modern Arabic have been collected.² Among Syriac authors, it was Ephrem who, in the mid fourth century AD, first adapted this ancient literary genre to a Christian context, with a series of Precedence Disputes between Death and Satan, where each argues that he has the greater control over human beings.³

- [2] Although these formalized Precedence Disputes may be in either prose or verse, the vast majority of the Syriac examples are in verse, following a regular structure; this consists of a short introduction, followed by the dispute or argument between two persons speaking in alternate stanzas, and ending with a brief conclusion (or sometimes, doxology). The verse structure employed is that of the *sogbitha*, with short stanzas consisting of four isosyllabic lines; very frequently the central dialogue has an alphabetic acrostic.

THE SYRIAC DIALOGUE POEMS

- [3] The great majority of the Syriac dispute and dialogue poems deal with biblical subjects, though there are a few on secular topics.⁴ In those that take their starting point in an episode in the Bible, the dispute element is usually more in the form of an argument, and this, while presented in a lively (and sometimes humorous) manner, may at the same time convey some underlying teaching of a more profound nature. It is not known what the exact context, liturgical

(*Orientalia Lovaniensia Analecta* 42; Leuven, 1991); R. Murray, "Aramaic and Syriac dispute poems and their connections", in M.J. Geller, J.C. Greenfield and M.P. Weitzman (eds), *Studia Aramaica* (Journal of Semitic Studies, Supplement 4; 1995), 157-87; S.P. Brock, "The Dispute Poem: from Sumer to Syriac", *Journal of the Canadian Society for Syriac Studies* 1 (2001), 1-10.

² Modern Syriac: e.g. L. Yaure, "A poem in the Neo-Aramaic dialect of Urmia", *Journal of Near Eastern Studies* 16 (1957), 73-87 (Samovar and Boys); Modern Arabic: e.g. C.D. Holes, "The rat and the ship's captain", *Studia Orientalia* 75 (1995), 101-20.

³ Carmina Nisibena LII-LIV; English translation of LII in S.P. Brock, *The Harp of the Spirit: Eighteen Poems of Saint Ephrem* (Studies Supplementary to Sobornost, 4; 1983), 70-72.

⁴ A list is given in S.P. Brock, "Syriac dispute poems: the various types", in Reinink and Vanstiphout, *Dispute Poems and Dialogues*, 109-19 (reprinted in *From Ephrem to Romanos* (Aldershot, 1999), chap. VII (and Addenda, 4-5).

or other, Ephrem had in mind for his Disputes between Death and Satan, but subsequently these dialogue *soghyatha* came to be written and used for the Night Office (*Lehya/Lilyo*). In the extant liturgical manuscripts the dialogue *soghyatha* are mainly grouped around the Nativity-Epiphany and Holy Week. The poems tend to be preserved in their complete form only in the earliest manuscripts, dating from the ninth to the twelfth century; in manuscripts of a later date the poems are often either abbreviated or with alternate stanzas only (thus giving only one speaker's verses); in the latter case, the manuscript was specifically intended for use by just one of two choirs.

- [4] The dialogue *soghyatha* have unfortunately not fared well in the various printed editions of the West Syriac Feniḡtho and East Syriac Ḥudra: those that do feature are usually either in a very truncated form, or give just alternate verses; only very rarely do they preserve the text complete. As it happens, the Dialogue between the Cherub and the Thief, translated below, happens not to feature in any printed liturgical edition known to me, despite the fact that it has an extensive manuscript tradition and translations into Modern Syriac, indicating its continuing popularity over the centuries.

THE CHERUB AND THE THIEF

- [5] Like many of the Dialogue *soghyatha*, that between the Cherub and the Thief takes as its starting point a single biblical verse, namely Luke 23:43, where Christ tells the Thief who acknowledges him, "Today you shall be with me in Paradise".
- [6] The unknown author, who probably belongs to the fifth century, sets the scene of the poem at the gates of Paradise, where the Thief encounters the Cherub⁵ who has been given the task of guarding the entrance of Paradise against anyone from the banished human race who might try to approach (Genesis 3:24). The lively argument between the two continues until the Thief finally produces the key to Paradise—the cross—that he bears.
- [7] The Dispute between the Cherub and the Thief is to be found in numerous liturgical manuscripts of both the Syrian Orthodox Church and of the Church of the East, as well as in three Modern

⁵ Whereas the Hebrew and Septuagint have the plural, Cherubim, the Peshitta has the singular.

Syriac versions. The Syriac text (based on an East Syriac manuscript) was first published by E. Sachau in 1896, and this has been recently republished, along with the three Modern Syriac versions (one of which Sachau had also published), in an excellent and attractive volume entitled *Il ladrone e il cherubino. Dramma liturgico cristiano orientale in siriano e neoaramaico*, by Fabrizio Pennacchietti.⁶ Another edition of the poem, this time based on much earlier Syrian Orthodox manuscripts, is included in my (*Sughyotho Mgabbyotho*);⁷ an English translation of this was subsequently published in India,⁸ and it is basically the same translation which is republished below. The differences between the East and West Syriac texts of the poem are not great, as will be seen from the list of more important differences given below, after the translation. Although the West Syriac manuscripts are very considerably older than the East Syriac ones, it is likely that the latter sometimes preserve the original text (thus, for example, at 33b “He put on a body”, in the East Syriac manuscripts, preserves the early Syriac metaphor for the incarnation which later Syrian Orthodox tradition tended to abandon).⁹

SOME OTHER TREATMENTS OF THE THEME

- [8] The *sogitha* may well have been known to Jacob of Serugh (d. 521), one of whose verse homilies is on the same subject; attention is drawn to the main parallels in the brief commentary which follows the translation. After a long prologue (pp. 658-666), Jacob’s *memra* provides the following speeches: Thief to Christ (pp. 666-668), Christ to the Thief (pp. 668-669); these are followed by a narrative,

⁶ Torino, 1993. On the cover will be found a reproduction of a medieval illustration of the topic (for this, see J.Leroy, “La sogitha du cherubin et du larron, source d’une miniature du manuscrit syriaque BM Add.7169”, *Parole de l’Orient* 6/7 (1975/6), 413-19.

⁷ Monastery of St Ephrem, Holland, 1982 (it features as no. 13, pp. 61-5); for the contents and sources of this collection of 26 pieces, see “Syriac dialogue poems: marginalia to a recent edition”, *Le Muséon* 97 (1984), 29-58.

⁸ *Sogitha: Syriac Dialogue Hymns* (Syrian Churches Series XI; Kottayam, 1987), 28-35. There is also a French translation by F. Graffin, in *L’Orient Syrien* 12 (1967), 481-90.

⁹ The East Syriac recension may also be original at 3d, 18d, 25c, 28c, 33a and 50c.

and then the Cherub and the Thief are given alternating speeches: Cherub to Thief: pp. 671-672, 673-673, 675, 676-677, 680-681, 684-685; and Thief to Cherub: pp. 672-673, 674-675, 675-676, 677-680, 681-683, 685-687.

- [9] Jacob's older contemporary, Narsai, by contrast, does not provide any homily on this subject. In Greek literature of the period there are occasional vague parallels, where the Cherub is introduced, but a sustained dialogue between the Cherub and the Thief is very rare, and is best attested in the second half of a Greek text attributed to John Chrysostom (*Clavis Patrum Graecorum* 4877), published by M. van Esbroeck, together with early Georgian and Arabic translations.¹⁰ The few parallels between this text and the *Soghitha* are noted in the Commentary below.

THE DRAMATIC POTENTIAL AND A SUGGESTION FOR TODAY

- [10] It would seem that it is only with this particular dialogue poem that the dramatic potential of the genre was exploited, with the poem being acted out in a stylised form of liturgical drama. A description of this, belonging to the early twentieth century, can be found in W.A. Wigram's *The Assyrians and their Neighbours*:¹¹

... the boy to whom it has been given to 'act the Penitent Thief' for that year, storms the sanctuary *vi et armis*, and is driven back again and again by the blazing torches held by the deacons, who for the nonce represent the Cherubim that guarded Paradise with the flaming sword. At last the Penitent Thief secures the cross that lies always on a table at the entrance of the sanctuary—and which each worshipper kisses on entering the church—and comes forward brandishing that passport to bliss. Then the deacon-angels receive him, and—seeing that souls are always borne by angels into Paradise, and also that no unordained man may set foot in the sanctuary, the boy is carried pick-a-back into the 'Altar-enclosure'.

¹⁰ "Homélie éphrémiennne sur le bon Larron en grec, géorgien et arabe", *Analecta Bollandiana* 101 (1983), 327-62.

¹¹ London, 1929, p. 198.

[11] In a modern context both this, and the other dialogue poems on biblical topics would seem to offer excellent material for catechetical use, above all with children. Here it is worth mentioning that the Dialogue between John the Baptist and Jesus (based on Matthew 3:14) was performed (in English translation, of course!) in England a few years ago at a school for children with learning disabilities. For use of this sort in church schools, the English translation could, if thought necessary, be abbreviated and/or adapted; indeed, there is no reason why the dialogue might not be imaginatively rewritten, simply taking elements from here and there in the original Syriac poems.

[12] It is not only with children that these lively dialogue poems deserve to be brought back into life again, for they could also well be used to good effect set to music once again—either employing their traditional *qole* (the most commonly found is ܠܚܢܐ ܠܚܢܐ), or to new musical settings. It would seem that here is a wonderful opportunity for the various Suryoyo *gude*, or musical groups, to draw creatively on this their splendid heritage, whose roots lie in ancient Mesopotamia.

TEXT

ܠܚܢܐ ܠܚܢܐ ܠܚܢܐ ܠܚܢܐ

ܕܡܢ ܠܚܢܐ ܠܚܢܐ ܠܚܢܐ	1
ܠܚܢܐ ܠܚܢܐ ܠܚܢܐ ܠܚܢܐ	
ܠܚܢܐ ܠܚܢܐ ܠܚܢܐ ܠܚܢܐ	
ܠܚܢܐ ܠܚܢܐ ܠܚܢܐ ܠܚܢܐ	
ܠܚܢܐ ܠܚܢܐ ܠܚܢܐ ܠܚܢܐ	2
ܠܚܢܐ ܠܚܢܐ ܠܚܢܐ ܠܚܢܐ	
ܠܚܢܐ ܠܚܢܐ ܠܚܢܐ ܠܚܢܐ	
ܠܚܢܐ ܠܚܢܐ ܠܚܢܐ ܠܚܢܐ	
ܠܚܢܐ ܠܚܢܐ ܠܚܢܐ ܠܚܢܐ	3
ܠܚܢܐ ܠܚܢܐ ܠܚܢܐ ܠܚܢܐ	
ܠܚܢܐ ܠܚܢܐ ܠܚܢܐ ܠܚܢܐ	
ܠܚܢܐ ܠܚܢܐ ܠܚܢܐ ܠܚܢܐ	

- 4
 כִּי יִהְיֶה הַיּוֹם הַזֶּה
 כִּי יִהְיֶה הַיּוֹם הַזֶּה
 כִּי יִהְיֶה הַיּוֹם הַזֶּה
 כִּי יִהְיֶה הַיּוֹם הַזֶּה
- 5
 כִּי יִהְיֶה הַיּוֹם הַזֶּה
 כִּי יִהְיֶה הַיּוֹם הַזֶּה
 כִּי יִהְיֶה הַיּוֹם הַזֶּה
 כִּי יִהְיֶה הַיּוֹם הַזֶּה
- 6
 כִּי יִהְיֶה הַיּוֹם הַזֶּה
 כִּי יִהְיֶה הַיּוֹם הַזֶּה
 כִּי יִהְיֶה הַיּוֹם הַזֶּה
 כִּי יִהְיֶה הַיּוֹם הַזֶּה
- 7
 כִּי יִהְיֶה הַיּוֹם הַזֶּה
 כִּי יִהְיֶה הַיּוֹם הַזֶּה
 כִּי יִהְיֶה הַיּוֹם הַזֶּה
 כִּי יִהְיֶה הַיּוֹם הַזֶּה
- 8
 כִּי יִהְיֶה הַיּוֹם הַזֶּה
 כִּי יִהְיֶה הַיּוֹם הַזֶּה
 כִּי יִהְיֶה הַיּוֹם הַזֶּה
 כִּי יִהְיֶה הַיּוֹם הַזֶּה
- 9
 כִּי יִהְיֶה הַיּוֹם הַזֶּה
 כִּי יִהְיֶה הַיּוֹם הַזֶּה
 כִּי יִהְיֶה הַיּוֹם הַזֶּה
 כִּי יִהְיֶה הַיּוֹם הַזֶּה
- 10
 כִּי יִהְיֶה הַיּוֹם הַזֶּה
 כִּי יִהְיֶה הַיּוֹם הַזֶּה
 כִּי יִהְיֶה הַיּוֹם הַזֶּה
 כִּי יִהְיֶה הַיּוֹם הַזֶּה
- 11
 כִּי יִהְיֶה הַיּוֹם הַזֶּה
 כִּי יִהְיֶה הַיּוֹם הַזֶּה
 כִּי יִהְיֶה הַיּוֹם הַזֶּה
 כִּי יִהְיֶה הַיּוֹם הַזֶּה

ܐܠ ܩܬܠܐ ܡܢ ܫܚܝܬܐ
 *ܕܫܚܝܬܐ ܕܝܗܘܐ ܕܥܠܝܐ ܕܫܚܝܬܐ

ܕܫܚܝܬܐ ܕܝܗܘܐ ܕܥܠܝܐ ܕܫܚܝܬܐ 12
 ܕܫܚܝܬܐ ܕܝܗܘܐ ܕܥܠܝܐ ܕܫܚܝܬܐ
 ܕܫܚܝܬܐ ܕܝܗܘܐ ܕܥܠܝܐ ܕܫܚܝܬܐ
 *ܕܫܚܝܬܐ ܕܝܗܘܐ ܕܥܠܝܐ ܕܫܚܝܬܐ

ܕܫܚܝܬܐ ܕܝܗܘܐ ܕܥܠܝܐ ܕܫܚܝܬܐ 13
 ܕܫܚܝܬܐ ܕܝܗܘܐ ܕܥܠܝܐ ܕܫܚܝܬܐ
 ܕܫܚܝܬܐ ܕܝܗܘܐ ܕܥܠܝܐ ܕܫܚܝܬܐ
 *ܕܫܚܝܬܐ ܕܝܗܘܐ ܕܥܠܝܐ ܕܫܚܝܬܐ

ܕܫܚܝܬܐ ܕܝܗܘܐ ܕܥܠܝܐ ܕܫܚܝܬܐ 14
 ܕܫܚܝܬܐ ܕܝܗܘܐ ܕܥܠܝܐ ܕܫܚܝܬܐ
 ܕܫܚܝܬܐ ܕܝܗܘܐ ܕܥܠܝܐ ܕܫܚܝܬܐ
 *ܕܫܚܝܬܐ ܕܝܗܘܐ ܕܥܠܝܐ ܕܫܚܝܬܐ

ܕܫܚܝܬܐ ܕܝܗܘܐ ܕܥܠܝܐ ܕܫܚܝܬܐ 15
 ܕܫܚܝܬܐ ܕܝܗܘܐ ܕܥܠܝܐ ܕܫܚܝܬܐ
 ܕܫܚܝܬܐ ܕܝܗܘܐ ܕܥܠܝܐ ܕܫܚܝܬܐ
 *ܕܫܚܝܬܐ ܕܝܗܘܐ ܕܥܠܝܐ ܕܫܚܝܬܐ

ܕܫܚܝܬܐ ܕܝܗܘܐ ܕܥܠܝܐ ܕܫܚܝܬܐ 16
 ܕܫܚܝܬܐ ܕܝܗܘܐ ܕܥܠܝܐ ܕܫܚܝܬܐ
 ܕܫܚܝܬܐ ܕܝܗܘܐ ܕܥܠܝܐ ܕܫܚܝܬܐ
 *ܕܫܚܝܬܐ ܕܝܗܘܐ ܕܥܠܝܐ ܕܫܚܝܬܐ

ܕܫܚܝܬܐ ܕܝܗܘܐ ܕܥܠܝܐ ܕܫܚܝܬܐ 17
 ܕܫܚܝܬܐ ܕܝܗܘܐ ܕܥܠܝܐ ܕܫܚܝܬܐ
 ܕܫܚܝܬܐ ܕܝܗܘܐ ܕܥܠܝܐ ܕܫܚܝܬܐ
 *ܕܫܚܝܬܐ ܕܝܗܘܐ ܕܥܠܝܐ ܕܫܚܝܬܐ

ܕܫܚܝܬܐ ܕܝܗܘܐ ܕܥܠܝܐ ܕܫܚܝܬܐ 18
 ܕܫܚܝܬܐ ܕܝܗܘܐ ܕܥܠܝܐ ܕܫܚܝܬܐ
 ܕܫܚܝܬܐ ܕܝܗܘܐ ܕܥܠܝܐ ܕܫܚܝܬܐ
 *ܕܫܚܝܬܐ ܕܝܗܘܐ ܕܥܠܝܐ ܕܫܚܝܬܐ

- 19 **לשם** כל דתתא דמחמ מר
 מרמחא דמחמא דמחמא דמחמא.
 מרמחא דמחמא דמחמא דמחמא
 *למחמא דמחמא דמחמא
- 20 **חזק** ול לי חזק כל דתתא
 דמחמא דמחמא דמחמא.
 דמחמא דמחמא דמחמא דמחמא
 *למחמא דמחמא דמחמא דמחמא
- 21 **לשם** ול לי חזק כל דתתא
 דמחמא דמחמא דמחמא.
 מרמחא דמחמא דמחמא דמחמא
 *למחמא דמחמא דמחמא דמחמא
- 22 **חזק** מרמחא דמחמא דמחמא
 מרמחא דמחמא דמחמא.
 מרמחא דמחמא דמחמא דמחמא
 *למחמא דמחמא דמחמא דמחמא
- 23 **לשם** מרמחא דמחמא דמחמא
 מרמחא דמחמא דמחמא.
 מרמחא דמחמא דמחמא דמחמא
 *למחמא דמחמא דמחמא דמחמא
- 24 **חזק** מרמחא דמחמא דמחמא
 מרמחא דמחמא דמחמא.
 מרמחא דמחמא דמחמא דמחמא
 *למחמא דמחמא דמחמא דמחמא
- 25 **לשם** מרמחא דמחמא דמחמא
 מרמחא דמחמא דמחמא.
 מרמחא דמחמא דמחמא דמחמא
 *למחמא דמחמא דמחמא דמחמא
- 26 **חזק** מרמחא דמחמא דמחמא
 מרמחא דמחמא דמחמא.
 מרמחא דמחמא דמחמא דמחמא

- וספא קדשא קדשא קדשא
 * כחיה ליה יחיה *
- 42 קדשא
 קדשא קדשא קדשא
 ליה קדשא קדשא קדשא
 * כחיה ליה יחיה *
- 43 קדשא
 קדשא קדשא קדשא
 ליה קדשא קדשא קדשא
 * כחיה ליה יחיה *
- 44 קדשא
 קדשא קדשא קדשא
 ליה קדשא קדשא קדשא
 * כחיה ליה יחיה *
- 45 קדשא
 קדשא קדשא קדשא
 ליה קדשא קדשא קדשא
 * כחיה ליה יחיה *
- 46 קדשא
 קדשא קדשא קדשא
 ליה קדשא קדשא קדשא
 * כחיה ליה יחיה *
- 47 קדשא
 קדשא קדשא קדשא
 ליה קדשא קדשא קדשא
 * כחיה ליה יחיה *
- 48 קדשא
 קדשא קדשא קדשא
 ליה קדשא קדשא קדשא
 * כחיה ליה יחיה *

- 49 **ሕይወቱን ለድህረ ገጽ
 ተዘከረው ለድህረ ገጽ
 ነፍሱን ለድህረ ገጽ ለድህረ ገጽ
 ለድህረ ገጽ ለድህረ ገጽ
 50 ለድህረ ገጽ ለድህረ ገጽ
 ለድህረ ገጽ ለድህረ ገጽ
 ለድህረ ገጽ ለድህረ ገጽ
 ለድህረ ገጽ ለድህረ ገጽ
 51 ለድህረ ገጽ ለድህረ ገጽ
 ለድህረ ገጽ ለድህረ ገጽ
 ለድህረ ገጽ ለድህረ ገጽ
 ለድህረ ገጽ ለድህረ ገጽ**

TRANSLATION

- At the Crucifixion I beheld a marvel
 when the Thief cried out to our Lord,
 “Remember me, Lord, on the day when You
 come
 to that Kingdom which does not pass away”.
 [Luke 23:43]

REFRAIN

- Praise to You, Lord, for at Your coming
 sinners turned back from their wickedness;
 they entered and found shelter
 in the Garden of Eden—which is the holy
 Church.
- He made a petition, stretched out and gave it
 to the crucified King, asking for mercy;
 and He who is full of mercy heard his cry
 and opened the door to his request.
- “Remember me, Lord”, was what he cried out on
 the cross,
 “in that Kingdom which does not pass away,
 [Luke 23:43]
 and in that glory in which You will be revealed

- may I behold Your rest, seeing that I have
acknowledged You”. [cp. Luke 12:8]
4. Our Lord replied, “Since you have acknowledged
me
this very day you shall be in the Garden of Eden;
in very truth, man, you will not be kept back
from that Kingdom to which you are looking.
5. “Take with you the cross as a sign, and be off:
it is a great key whereby the mighty gate
of that Garden shall be opened,
and Adam, who has been expelled, shall enter
again”. [Gen. 3:24]
6. The word of our Lord was sealed
like a royal missive from the palace;
it was handed over to the thief
who took it and made off for the Garden of Eden.
7. The Cherub heard him and rushed up,
he grabbed the Thief at the gate,
stopping him with the sharp blade that he held.
All astonished, he addressed him as follows:
8. CHERUB “Tell me, my man, who has sent you?
What is it you want, and how did you get here?
What is the reason that brought you here?
Reveal and explain to me who it is who has sent
you”.
9. THIEF “I will tell you who has sent me,
just hold back your blade and listen to my words.
I am a thief, but I supplicated for mercy,
and it was your Lord who sent me on my way
here”.
10. CHERUB “By what powerful means did your arrival take
place?
Who brought you to this dread spot?
Who transported you across the sea of fire
so that you could enter Eden? Who is it who sent
you?”

11. THIEF “It was through the power of the Son, who sent me,
that I crossed over and came here without hindrance.
Through Him I subdued all powers
and I have come to enter here, seeing that He has given me confidence”.
12. CHERUB “You are indeed a thief, just as you have said,
but you can’t steal into this region of ours:
it is fenced in with the sword that guards it.
[Gen. 3:24]
Turn back, my man, you have lost your way”.
13. THIEF “I was indeed a thief, but I have changed:
it was not to steal that I have come here.
Look, I’ve got with me the key to Eden,
to open it up and enter: I will not be prevented”.
14. CHERUB “Our region is awesome and cannot be trodden,
for fire is its indomitable wall;
the blade flashes out all around it.
How is it you have made so bold as to come here?”
15. THIEF “Your region is indeed awesome, just as you have said,
—but only until our Lord mounted the cross,
when He transfixed the sword of all suffering
so that your blade no longer kills”.
16. CHERUB “Ever since the time that Adam left
I haven’t ever seen anyone turn up here;
your race has been banished from the Garden;
you shall not enter it, so don’t argue any more”.
17. THIEF “Ever since the time that Adam left
your Lord has been angered at our race,
but now He is reconciled and has opened up the gate. [Rom. 5:10; Eph. 2:16]
It is to no purpose that you are standing here”.
18. CHERUB “You should realize that it isn’t possible
for an unclean man to enter in here

—and you are a murderer, and a shedder of blood.
Who is it who has brought you to this pure
place?”

19. THIEF “You should realize that such is the wish
of Him who makes the unclean clean, who was
crucified together with me;
with the blood from His side He has washed me
completely clean. [John 19:34]
It was He who has sent me to Paradise”.
20. CHERUB “Be off with you, man, and don’t argue any
further,
for this is what I have been ordered:
to guard from your race, by means of the sword,
the Tree of Life that is to be found in here”.
[Gen. 3:22]
21. THIEF “Be off with you, angel; you should learn and see
that I’ve left behind, hanging on Golgotha,
that very Fruit of Salvation that’s in your garden
so that our race may now enter without any
hindrance”.
22. CHERUB “Eve and Adam fell into debt and wrote out
a document that will not be erased: [Col. 2:14]
they went out of here under sentence
to live in low estate in the land of thorns”.
[Gen. 3:18]
23. THIEF “The debt is repaid. Just listen, O Cherub:
the document has now been transfixed on the
cross; [Col. 2:14]
by means of both blood and water your Lord has
wiped it out,
and pinned it there with nails so that it won’t be
exact”.
24. CHERUB “Adam was driven out from this Garden
and there is no way he can enter here again,
for the sword’s blade is revolving
and he’ll encounter it should he come near”.

25. THIEF “He who was driven out has returned to his
 father’s house,
 for the great Shepherd has gone out and found
 [John 10:11]
 that sheep that had left the Garden;
 carrying him on His shoulders, He has escorted
 him back”. [Luke 15:5]
26. CHERUB “It is something totally novel that I’ve seen today:
 a path leading back into the Garden.
 But here are Adam’s footprints, take a look:
 he has left here and not returned again”.
27. THIEF “Jesus your Lord has performed a novel deed,
 for now He has released Adam who had been
 confined;
 He has raised up whole crowds from inside Sheol,
 [Matt. 27:52]
 and they have sent me in advance, to open up for
 them”.
28. CHERUB “I am the cherub: how is it you have transgressed
 against my office of guarding, with which I’ve
 been entrusted?
 A fiery being like me cannot be vanquished,
 but as for you, an offspring of Adam, how bold
 you are!”
29. THIEF “I am your companion and we have but a single
 Lord
 in common for both of us;
 His authority is much higher than either yours or
 mine,
 and so I’ve no fear, seeing that it was He who has
 sent me”.
30. CHERUB “You simply cannot enter in here,
 for it is a resplendent place that no one can tread:
 the Shekhina is escorted around inside it,
 and the sword of fire is guarding it”.
31. THIEF “You cannot hold anyone back,
 for the sword is not blunted and made dull.

The cross has opened up the Garden of Eden;
there's no means by which it can still be kept
closed”.

32. CHERUB “Haven’t you heard from the Bible
how the cherub and the sword go round
guarding the way to the Garden of Eden,
so that none of Adam’s offspring can enter here?”
33. THIEF “Haven’t you heard from the Revelation
that your Lord has come down and become man,
thus reconciling Adam, who was in a state of
anger,
bringing back to Eden the one who had been
driven out?”
34. CHERUB “The sign of the revolving sword
that guards the Tree of Life
frightened off Adam when he was driven out,
so how is it that you’re not afraid?”
35. THIEF “The sign of your Lord is with me,
and by it the sharp sword is blunted;
but it too is the sentence remitted,
and by it, Adam, once expelled, shall return”.
36. CHERUB “The ranks of fire are standing here,
thousands of them in bands innumerable;
the multitudes are awesome, and quite simply
you can’t travel on any further and enter among
them”.
37. THIEF “The multitudinous ranks of which you’ve told me
are themselves in awe as they look upon the
Cross:
the sign of the Son inspires them with awe
and they worship before it, while me they hold in
honour”.
38. CHERUB “The sign of my Lord is upon the Chariot,
[Is. 66:15]
resplendent upon the Throne, but from us it is
hidden, [Ezek. 1:26-7]

- so how is that you—as you are claiming—
carry this sign of His and escort it?”
39. THIEF “His sign is upon the Chariot above,
but look, His Cross is on Golgotha below,
and with His own blood He has written a new
missive
permitting Adam to come back into the Garden”.
40. CHERUB “O agent in blood, who has brought you here?
Who is it has sent you, a murderer?
The sword is drawn, and if you make bold
the blade will flash out against you”.
41. THIEF “O agent for the King, don’t be upset;
your authority is repealed, for your Lord has
willed it so.
It is His cross that I’ve brought to you as a sign:
look and see if it’s genuine, and don’t be so
angry”.
42. CHERUB “This Cross of the Son which you’ve brought to
me
is something I dare not look upon at all.
It is both genuine and awesome; no longer will
you be debarred
from entering Eden, seeing that He has so willed
it”.
43. THIEF “The Cross of your Lord has breached the fence
[Eph. 2:14]
that had been built up between us and you,
Anger has passed away and peace has come,
and the path to Eden is no longer cut off”.
44. CHERUB “He who was slain has sent to me and testified
with His own blood
that I should let go of the blame which I’ve been
wielding.
Fearful is this sign which you have brought me;
enter in, O heir; I will not turn you back”.
45. THIEF “Resurrection has occurred for the race of
humankind

that had been thrust out of their home.
 You cherubim and angels, rejoice with us,
 [cp. Luke 15:10]
 for we have returned now to your city”.

46. CHERUB “Great is the compassion that has been shown to
 you,
 the descendants of Adam who sinned and thus
 died.
 Enter, thief, you will not be kept back,
 for the gate is now open for those who repent”.
47. THIEF “Great and most glorious is the compassion of my
 Lord,
 for His mercy has effected and His love has
 constrained Him.
 Rejoice with us, O spiritual beings,
 for we have been mingled into your race”.
48. CHERUB “The Gentle One has held back from your race
 [Matt.11:29]
 the blade and the sword that I have been wielding.
 Outcasts who have returned, have no fear,
 enter inside the Garden with exultation”.
49. THIEF “Praise be in Eden that is now at peace,
 peace on earth which has been liberated.
 Blessed is the Crucified One who has reconciled
 us
 so that we shall not longer be deprived of your
 race”.
50. Thanks be to You, O Lord of all,
 who have brought back Adam who had been
 driven out,
 while to the thief who asked for mercy
 You opened up the gate that had been closed.
51. Thanks be to You, at whose word
 the thief entered into the Garden of Eden,
 and there was good hope for Adam again
 and he returned to the place from which he had
 gone out.

VARIANTS

[13] The following are the main variants in the East Syriac manuscript tradition (edited by Sachau and Pennacchiotti):

- 3:b ... when you will be revealed.
 c ... you will come.
 d (May I see) Your compassion.
- 8:d (explain to me) who you are.
- 9:a ... who are questioning me.
- 17:a ... Adam sinned.
- 18:d to the place of the upright.
- 24:a Your race (was driven out ...)
- 25:b the Good Shepherd
 c that had strayed from the flock.
- 27:c ... raised up the dead.
 d and He has sent me.
- 28:c (A fiery being) I am who..
- 30:b for this place may not be trodden.
- 33:a seen
 b and put on a body.
 c who had been driven out.
 d who had been in a state of anger.
- 34:a The fire and the ...
- 36:d and pass through among them.
- 38:b seated upon ...
- 39:a His radiance ...
- 40:cd (transposed).
- 42:a of Jesus.
 d come and enter Eden.
- 43:a of the Son.
- 44:d I will not make bold; enter in, O heir.

- 45:d we have arrive at.
 46:d for those who enter in.
 47:b His love willed it.
 d to your assembly.
 48:a The Cross ...
 49:d ... your ranks.
 50:c in (the person of) the thief.
 51:d who returned.

COMMENTARY

Abbreviation: JS = P. Bedjan, *Homiliae Selectae Mar-Jacobi Sarugensis*, V (Paris/Leipzig, 1910), cited by page and line (other volumes of JS are cited by page alone).

1c cp. JS 666:11, 15; 668:18.

2ab cp. JS 666:19-20, "He (the Thief) sought from Him mercy, that He would have compassion on him in the Kingdom; / he made supplication that was full of suffering to present to Him".

2d cp. JS 666:22, "Open up the door of mercy to me so that I may enter the fair place". And 668:21, "He opened up the great door of mercy before his petition".

3d cp. JS 668:5, "I have acknowledged You".

4c cp. JS 668:22-669:1, "Verily, verily I say to you, consider as true, O man, / that today you will rejoice with me in the Kingdom".

5b For the Cross as a "key", see already Ephrem, Hymns on the Resurrection II:1; in Jacob, cp. JS 669:10, 670:15, 674:3 (also in his Letters, ed. Olinder, 3:19-20).

6ab For "royal missive" (*sagra* = Latin *sacra*), cp. JS 669:20-21, and especially 670:4, "with His living name He would seal the royal missive (*sagra*) for the thief on the right hand". Though not yet found in Ephrem, the term *sagra* is later frequently used to denote messages from the heavenly world to earth, above all in the context of the Annunciation. That it was a letter from Christ which the Thief took with him is a theme found in a few Greek sources, notably Romanos' Kontakion on the Adoration of the Cross (ed.

Maas-Trypanis, no 23), stanzas 10-11 (where the Thief tells the Cherub that he has with him a letter (*gramma*) with Christ's seal on it; likewise in the Greek text attributed to John Chrysostom, edited by van Esbroeck, Thief tells the Cherub that "Christ has written for me a letter (*epistolen*)" (section 8). In the Cave of Treasures 51:23 (ed. S-M.Ri), Christ wrote with his own blood a *sagra* of Adam's return and sent it by the hands of the Thief.

7d cp. JS 671:2, "The Cherub met him and stood there in amazement to question him".

8a cp. JS 671:3-4, "Tell me, man, who are you? Where are you from? / How did you come over the awesome path of flame?"

9c cp. JS 673:1, "I am a thief who used to go about with hateful deeds".

10c For "sea of fire", cp. JS 670:18, 671:12, 673:21.

12c Behind the term "fenced" (*sig*), lies an allusion to the "fence" (*syaga*) of Eph. 2:14, "He has broken down the fence of hostility". Syriac writers regularly understand this verse as referring to the "fence" keeping humanity out of Paradise, subsequent to the Fall); thus already Ephrem, Madrashe on Paradise II.7, IV.1 etc; cp. JS 686:20, "He has broken down the fence, and so your standing here is redundant".

13a cp. JS 674:11, "I was a thief, but mercy captured me from (my) hateful deeds".

14bcd cp. JS 674:4-5, "And there is a wall of fire and you cannot break through the partition; / the blade of fire flares out and is fearful, and if you should be so bold...".

17d cp. JS 686:20, cited above, on **12c**.

19c cp. JS 674:12, "I bathed and was scoured clean of the evils with which I was befouled".

23b The Georgian and Arabic text edited by van Esbroeck also adduces Col. 2:4 (section 12; not in his Greek text).

25bcd The Georgian and Arabic text likewise introduces the theme of the lost sheep (section 12; again absent from the Greek).

30c The term “Shekhina” (ܫܚܝܢܐ), or Divine Presence, is quite common in Syriac poets from Ephrem (e.g. *Madrashe* on Paradise II.11) onwards.

33b The East Syriac text, with “put on a body” almost certainly preserves the original reading here. This archaic phraseology (already found in the Acts of Thomas, Aphrahat, Ephrem, and in the earliest Syriac translation of the Nicene Creed) was subsequently disapproved of by Philoxenus in the light of the Christological controversies; it was accordingly avoided by many (but by no means all) Syrian Orthodox writers.

36a For “The ranks of fire” (ܕܪܝܬ ܐܝܬܐ), cp. JS 669:19.

40a For “man of blood”, cp. JS 675:19 and 677:2.

44d cp. JS 669:18, “Tell those who are lost that Adam, the heir, has returned”.

47b The phrase “His love has constrained Him” also occurs in the *Soghitha* on Mary and the Angel (verse 1b), and in a *Soghitha* attributed to Ephrem (*Soghitha* II.2 in E. Beck, *Hymni de Nativitate*): “The daughter of poor parents has become mother to the Rich One / whose love has thus constrained Him”. The same verb (‘*sa*’) also features a number of times in Jacob’s *memre* (e.g. I, 507; II, 137),¹² although not in that on the Cherub and the Thief, where the nearest parallel is 686:18, “He became a Mediator and pacified His Father for His love so wished it”.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Brock, S.P. “The Dispute Poem: from Sumer to Syriac”, *Journal of the Canadian Society for Syriac Studies* 1 (2001), 1-10.
- *The Harp of the Spirit: Eighteen Poems of Saint Ephrem* (Studies Supplementary to Sobornost, 4; 1983), 70-72.
- *Sogiatha: Syriac Dialogue Hymns* (Syrian Churches Series XI; Kottayam, 1987), 28-35.
- *Sughyotho Mgabyotho* ܫܘܓܝܘܬܐ ܡܓܒܝܘܬܐ, (Monastery of St Ephrem, Holland, 1982), pp. 61-5.

¹² Jacob normally prefers the verb *ngad* (e.g. I, 609; II, 349, 507 etc.).

- “Syriac dispute poems: the various types”, in Reinink and Vanstiphout, *Dispute Poems and Dialogues*, 109-19 (reprinted in *From Ephrem to Romanos* (Aldershot, 1999), chap. VII (and Addenda, 4-5).
- “Syriac dialogue poems: marginalia to a recent edition”, *Le Muséon* 97 (1984), 29-58.
- Graffin, F. “La soghitha du chérubin et du larron,” *L'Orient Syrien* 12 (1967), 481-90.
- Holes, C.D. “The rat and the ship’s captain”, *Studia Orientalia* 75 (1995), 101-20.
- Leroy, J. “La sogitha du cherubin et du larron, source d’une miniature du manuscrit syriaque BM Add.7169”, *Parole de l’Orient* 6/7 (1975/6), 413-19.
- Murray, R. “Aramaic and Syriac dispute poems and their connections”, in M.J. Geller, J.C. Greenfield and M.P. Weitzman (eds), *Studia Aramaica* (Journal of Semitic Studies, Supplement 4; 1995), 157-87.
- Pennacchietti, F. *Il ladrone e il cherubino. Dramma liturgico cristiano orientale in siriano e neoaramaico*, (Torino: Zamorani, 1993).
- Reinink, G.J. and Vanstiphout, H.L.J. (eds), *Dispute Poems and Dialogues in the Ancient and Mediaeval Near East* (Orientalia Lovaniensia Analecta 42; Leuven, 1991).
- Sachau, E. “Über die Poesie in der Volkssprache der Nestorianer”, *Sitzungsberichte der Königlich-Preussischen Akademie der Wissenschaften zu Berlin* XI.8 (1896), 179-215.
- van Esbroeck, M. “Homélie éphrémienne sur le bon Larron en grec, géorgien et arabe”, *Analecta Bollandiana* 101 (1983), 327-62.
- Yaure, L. “A poem in the Neo-Aramaic dialect of Urmia”, *Journal of Near Eastern Studies* 16 (1957), 73-87.