Health literacy of children and adolescents: Conceptual approaches and developmental considerations

Janine Bröder and Graça S. Carvalho

Introduction

The interest in children and adolescents’ health literacy has strongly increased in recent years (Ormshaw et al., 2013; Bröder et al., 2017). Childhood and adolescence are life phases in which major physical, cognitive and emotional development processes take place. Likewise, health-promoting attitudes, beliefs and behaviours surface in these life phases, and can be supported by meeting children and adolescents’ information needs and fostering their active involvement in their own health. Therefore, addressing health literacy from an early age onwards is argued to be a promising investment in children’s health and wellbeing now and throughout their adolescence and adult lives (Borzekowski, 2009; Sanders et al., 2009; Velardo and Drummond, 2016).

The health literacy concept is multifaceted, with diverse conceptual understandings. Sørensen and colleagues (2012, p 3) define health literacy as ‘being linked to literacy and entail[ing] people’s knowledge, motivation and competences to access, understand, appraise, and apply health information in order to make judgments and take decisions in everyday life concerning healthcare, disease prevention, and health promotion to maintain or improve quality of life during the life course.’

As for adults, there are various health literacy conceptualisations and definitions addressed to children and adolescents, prominently addressed as outcomes of school health education (Joint Committee on National Health Education Standards, 1995; Paakkari and Paakkari, 2012) or through the focus on their parents or care takers’ health literacy (DeWalt and Hink, 2009; Connelly and Turner, 2017). Moreover, there is limited evidence available regarding their fit and appropriateness for the target group, given their life phase-specific particularities and needs (Bröder et al., 2017). Indeed, Fairbrother and colleagues (2016) have revealed gaps in understanding not only ‘what’ health literacy (skills) children and adolescents have and develop in the different life phases, but also ‘how’ they actually interact with health-related information in their everyday lives. Similarly, Velardo and Drummond (2016) stress the need to bring forward a child-centred
health literacy understanding that integrates children’s understanding, attitudes and choices related to health and health-related information.

The purpose of this chapter is, therefore, to highlight life phase particularities for health literacy by exploring children and adolescents’ health literacy from development-focused perspectives. Thus, this chapter focuses on:

- synthesising the available definitions, models and research discourse on children and adolescents’ health literacy;
- describing and discussing considerations of developmental processes and life phase particularities, for children and adolescents’ health literacy available in the literature.

Current conceptual approaches for health literacy in childhood and adolescence

Health literacy is a highly diverse construct, with over 100 different definitions for the general population (Malloy-Weir et al, 2016) and at least 12 definitions and 20 models addressing children and adolescents (Bröder et al, 2017). The major perspectives in the conceptual discussion of children and adolescents’ health literacy are synthesised in this section.

Most commonly, it has been described as an individual attribute that addresses how children and adolescents access, comprehend, evaluate and communicate health information and messages, and how these are used for health-related decision-making and behaviours (Bröder et al, 2017). Within most of the conceptualisations there is a focus on the acquisition and utilisation of individual competencies, skills and knowledge that comprise health literacy. Most frequently, there is an emphasis on individual cognitive abilities, such as reading, writing, critical thinking or information-processing skills (Wolf et al, 2009). Nevertheless, it has also been argued to be an umbrella concept, containing, in addition to cognitive attributes, affective attributes (for instance, self-reflection, self-efficacy, motivation), operational or behavioural attributes (such as communicative and social skills) or specific technical skills (such as navigating the healthcare setting or system, technological information-searching skills; see Bröder et al, 2017). An example for a skill-centred concept is provided by Paakkari and Paakkari (2012), who define health literacy as a learning outcome of school health education, and assess students’ abilities in a testing situation. Therefore, health literacy is clearly detached from the actual actions or behaviour in a given environment. This skill assessment is common within many performance-based measurement tools of health literacy in school (Ormshaw et al, 2013).

Another common approach concerns areas of action related to health literacy by focusing on how health information is used and applied in different health-related life settings (Sørensen et al, 2012) and the ‘context of everyday life’, that is, in the family and social environment (Kickbusch, 2008). Hence, health literacy is argued to be a personal and societal asset and a resource for one’s own health and
the health of others (Nutbeam, 2008). Moreover, health literacy can be regarded as a tool for personal empowerment (Crondahl and Eklund Karlsson, 2016).

At the outcome level, many concepts define broad levels of purposes and effects of health literacy, such as healthy behaviours and increased personal health and wellbeing. Often, models and definitions imply a sequential association effect between health information on the one hand, and ‘healthier’ decision-making, reducing risks and promoting personal health on the other, being mediated by personal health literacy. This implies the underlying assumption of a rational acting subject, engaging in deliberate health-related choices and actions. By stressing the active role of the individual, these concepts presume a high degree of personal agency – the capacity of acting independent of structural factors (Stones, 2015). Moreover, these assumptions fall short in considering the complexity and broader set of factors affecting behaviour and behavioural change. These are, for example, interdependencies between the subject and their life contexts, cultural factors, social support as well as affective and emotional aspects, such as self-efficacy, self-determination, habits and belief systems (Malloy-Weir et al, 2016; Bröder et al, 2017). As some abilities may be helpful for some children and adolescents in a specific context, other situations and contexts may require the adaptation of such abilities or even totally different abilities. Moreover, children and adolescents’ personal abilities as well as their opportunities for applying their health literacy are determined by the demands posed on them in a given situation as well as by their socio-ecological and cultural environments.

The importance of considering health literacy as being linked to a given environment, social contexts (Kickbusch et al, 2013) or as a product of the person’s attributes and situational demands (Nutbeam, 2017) has been widely emphasised within the health literacy discourse. For child and adolescent health literacy, Bröder and colleagues (2017) have identified different conceptual approaches in models for addressing the relation of contextual factors and health literacy, but only very few definitions referring to it. Most frequently, contextual factors are addressed as antecedents of health literacy: (a) the interpersonal context, for example parental socioeconomic status and the home environment; (b) situational determinants, such as the degree of social support, and influences from family and peers, the school and community setting, media etc; and (c) the broader social and cultural environment, for example, characteristics of the health and education system, as well as political and demographic variables. Some models address the contextual relationship of health literacy through sociological perspectives, proposing a health literacy socialisation model (Paek et al, 2011), or socio-ecological model of health literacy for adolescents (Wharf Higgins et al, 2009). Within the definitions found in the literature, the relevance of contextual factors is only vaguely considered through the recognition of different health contexts and life settings, for example, in the domains of healthcare, disease prevention and health promotion (Bröder et al, 2017). As a result, the interdependency of sociocultural and socioeconomic factors is recognised, but far less understood than the prevailing focus on individual attributes (Sentell et al, 2017).
Regarding the focus of health literacy in children and adolescents, many studies have addressed the health literacy of people close to the child, such as caregivers, mothers, parents and teachers’ health literacy (Mackert et al., 2015). As these people are certainly important contributors to children or adolescents’ health and health literacy, researchers have proposed that child and adolescent health literacy be regarded as the sum result of the health literacy skills and resources available in the proximal social context, namely, adults, peers or institutions the adolescents trust in. Among others, this is referred to as ‘collective’ (Sanders et al., 2009), ‘distributed’ (Edwards et al., 2015) or ‘public’ health literacy (Freedman et al., 2009).

However, it is equally important to recognise children and adolescents’ health literacy potential from a very young age onwards (Borzekowski, 2009). Nevertheless, most conceptualisations have not included these target groups, not even indirectly, so that children and adolescents are just assumed as having similar health literacy needs and skills sets as their close adults (Bröder et al., 2017). Hence, there are still shortfalls in the recognition of children and adolescents’ subjective perspectives on health, their informational needs and the adequate levels of participation in health decision-making (Brady et al., 2015; Velardo and Drummond, 2016; Bröder et al., 2017). As a result, this target group particularities and voices remain underrepresented in health literacy literature. To address this gap, together with the purpose of discussing approaches for targeting health literacy in children and adolescents, Okan and colleagues (2016), by building on the existing 4D model (Forrest et al., 1997), proposed a 5D model that highlights five dimensions of particularities in children and adolescents: differential epidemiology and health patterns; demographic patterns and inequalities; developmental processes; dependency within intergenerational relationships and power structures; and democracy through participation and citizenship.

Besides general health literacy, numerous topic-specific (for example, oral, mental or diabetes), area- and context-specific (for example, science, media, technology, information) literacies are defined for the target group or general population (Mackert et al., 2015). The outlined conceptual heterogeneity as well as the occurrence of many specific forms of health literacies – or related literacies – has resulted in a high internal differentiation or even fragmentation of the health literacy concept. Consequently, this conceptual heterogeneity poses challenges for health literacy measurements (see Chapters 5, 6 and 8, this volume), and influences how health literacy for children and adolescents is operationalised and promoted in practice and policy.

**Particularities of children and adolescents’ development for health literacy**

Given the conceptual heterogeneity and gaps in life phase-specific understandings for health literacy of children and adolescents, this section explores and discusses children and adolescents’ particularities for health literacy through a development perspective. Developmental aspects are important for better understanding of
(a) how individual health literacy develops during these early life phases, and
(b) how general developmental processes and changes interact and affect a child
or adolescent’s health literacy. Hence, this section outlines current approaches
of how developmental aspects are being considered within current child and
adolescent health literacy literature and research. It is structured into psychological
and sociological perspectives, and then discussed and complemented with insights
from the respective theories and research fields.

**Psychological perspective on health literacy and development**

A common approach for a psychological perspective on health literacy and
development is to focus on cognitive abilities – for example, development-
dependent health literacy levels with three successive developmental stages
(Borzekowski, 2009; Sanders et al, 2009; Lambert and Keogh, 2014). The
development perspective from Sanders and colleagues (2009) is presented in
Table 3.1 for children up to the ages of 4, 10, 14 and 18. In this model, health
literacy is conceptualised within four skill areas (prose/document literacy, oral
literacy, numeracy and systems-navigation skills), and examples of activities are
provided for each age and development stage. A more extensive classification
of such an approach can be found within the US National Health Education
Standards (Joint Committee on National Health Education Standards, 1995) that
provides a detailed overview of the health literacy skills that students of a certain
school grade should achieve.

Advocates of these stage models argue that they may provide an overview or a
guideline of what health literacy skills can be expected of children and adolescents
at each specific stage, and hence may enable comparisons at the population level.
Nevertheless, stage models on child and adolescent development have in common
that they set normative standards that a child should be able to reach at a certain age.
In other words, such a development perspective is strongly ‘top-down’ as it limits
health literacy to a predefined set of abilities: if a child develops such abilities, he/
she is considered health literate; if not, he/she is left to a low score, as, for example,
with the widely used measurement tools that typically measure only distinctive
skills, such as reading abilities (TOFHLA, Test of Functional Health Literacy in
Adults) or word recognition (for example, the REALM measurement tool, Rapid
Estimate of Adult Literacy in Medicine) (see Chapters 5 and 6, this volume).

Moreover, stage models offer an idealistic, one-size-fits-all approach, implying
that all children develop at the same speed and reach certain levels at a particular
age, not taking into account individual–environmental interaction. Hence, they
build on the questionable assumption that it is possible to determine how children’s
understanding of health and illness and their health literacy skills typically evolve,
regardless of the environment or the culture in which a child lives. In contrast,
Gossen and Nürnberg (2013) have argued that children gain computer skills at
an increasingly younger age, and that nowadays, ‘age’ is not a very good indicator
of children’s abilities.
Sociological perspectives on health literacy and development

Health literacy scholars have also stressed the interrelatedness of personal skills with structural and situational factors in a given setting. Therefore, it is critical to review and discuss considerations of sociological perspectives of children’s development for the health literacy of children and adolescents by focusing on

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>By age 4, a child should be able to...</th>
<th>Prose/document literacy skills</th>
<th>Verbal/expressional skills (oral literacy)</th>
<th>Numeracy skills</th>
<th>Systems-navigation skills</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Communicate with an adult, caregiver or health provider about health behaviours (eg, tooth brushing, physical activity)</td>
<td>Recognise the relative value of health choices (eg, food portion sizes)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Describe how the media can influence health behaviours</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>By age 10, a child should be able to...</th>
<th>Understand the content of a child-oriented handout about bike helmet use</th>
<th>Describe ways to prevent common childhood injuries and health problems</th>
<th>Identify the characteristics of healthy versus non-healthy foods on the basis of sugar or fat content in nutrition labels</th>
<th>Describe how the media can influence health behaviours</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>By age 14, a child should be able to...</td>
<td>Develop a written plan to attain a personal health goal that addresses personal strengths, needs and risks</td>
<td>Demonstrate refusal, negotiation and collaboration skills to enhance peer and family influence on health behaviours</td>
<td>Analyse personal susceptibility to injury, illness or death if engaging in unhealthy behaviours</td>
<td>Evaluate the validity of health information, products and services and access valid health information and counselling services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>By age 18, a child should be able to...</td>
<td>Complete a document with a child’s medical history and health needs and read and understand the patient’s bill of rights</td>
<td>Identify a child or family’s health behaviours and establish personal health goals for a family or child</td>
<td>Understand and use simple forms of medication and understand results of child health screening tests (eg, newborn screening results, growth chart)</td>
<td>Complete the enrolment process for child health insurance and obtain school-based health services</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Sanders et al (2009)
four prominent sociological approaches: sociocultural aspects of the development; socio-ecological approaches; childhood socialisation: a modern perspective; and the new sociology of childhood: the concept of intergenerational order.

**Sociocultural aspects of the development**

Health literacy researchers have applied Vygotsky’s (1978) theory of sociocultural development to health literacy (Borzekowski, 2009) for highlighting the fundamental role of social interactions with regards to health literacy and development. Vygotsky challenged the concept of development taking place in distinct stages. Rather, he stressed the importance of social interaction, viewing children’s learning as taking place in a social context. A central aspect in his approach is the assumption that one’s development potential is limited to a ‘zone of proximal development’, the distance between the child’s actual development level and the level it can potentially achieve through guidance and support. Hence, through ‘scaffolding’, the health literacy abilities a child can develop through temporary adult guidance or peer collaboration would exceed the health literacy a child could attain by him/herself. Vygotsky’s interest in how cognitive processes are directly impacted by the specific culture in a child’s surrounding results in the concept of the ‘inter-subjectivity of social meanings’. It refers to the shared understanding of social meanings that occur within social groups through negotiation and communication (Smith et al, 2015). As health information is loaded with cultural meaning as well, Okan and colleagues (2016) argue for the crucial importance of children’s participation within a cultural community to observe how their peers and adults seek and derive meaning from information and engage in health decision-making. Promoting children and adolescents’ health literacy may benefit from the concept of ‘guided participation’, proposed by Paradise and Rogoff (2009), who draw on the idea of scaffolding by Vygotsky (1978). It entails that ‘children actively engage in cultural practices’ when ‘adult models guide and regulate performance while creating temporary scaffolds that offer a form of bridge between old patterns and new’ (Ansell, 2017, p 562). Hence, it allows children to receive appropriate levels of guidance as they encounter and master new activities.

**Socio-ecological approaches**

Wharf Higgins and colleagues (2009) and Okan and colleagues (2017) proposed a socio-ecological approach to health literacy for children and adolescents that includes internal and external factors affecting their health literacy. This socio-ecological perspective on development was originally introduced by Bronfenbrenner (1979), and represents a prominent approach for highlighting the relationships between people and their social world within health literacy research. As such, the relationship between individuals and their social world are structured in dynamic micro-, meso- and macro-layers, while taking into
account a life course perspective on the chronologic dimension. Wharf Higgins and colleagues (2009) locate: (a) internal influences on children and adolescents’ health literacy at the micro context, including factors such as age, gender, beliefs, values, experiences and socioeconomic status; (b) intrapersonal influences at the meso context, bridging between the micro and the macro context, and include factors such as ‘social support and influences, the quality and nature of human interactions, peers, family’ (Wharf Higgins et al, 2009, p 352); and (c) external influences, namely, environmental and structural factors in society, the community and neighbourhood affecting people’s health at the macro context. They concluded that any approach that aims to promote health literacy effectively needs to consider the structure of adolescent’s social worlds, and the reciprocal interaction between individuals and their social environment (Wharf Higgins et al, 2009; Okan et al, 2017).

Childhood socialisation: a modern perspective

Paek and colleagues (2011) proposed a health socialisation model for health literacy that focuses on the direct, relative and mediating role of interpersonal (that is, parents, peers, schools) and medial socialisation agents for adolescent health literacy. The study revealed that interpersonal and media socialisation agents have ‘similar important roles’ in the development of adolescents’ health literacy skills (Paek et al, 2011, p 143). They concluded that the recent developments in online social media and social networks blurred the line between ‘traditional’ media and interpersonal channels by ‘building new types of relationships and may serve as a proxy for interpersonal health information sources’ (Paek et al, 2011, p 143). Indeed, modern types of media, including prominent social media channels such as YouTube, Facebook and Twitter, apply multimodal designs, such as text-based, visual, audio and image (Tse et al, 2015). Hence, utilising multimodal social media designs in health literacy interventions and assessments seems promising and requires students to develop multimodal literacies instead of learning how to use single modes, such as print-based media, as advocated by multi-literacies researchers (Cope and Kalantzis, 2005; Kress, 2010).

By focusing on the (one-directional) impact of social and media structures on the individual’s health literacy, Paek and colleagues’ research (2011) is in line with traditional structure-centred approaches to socialisation. Nevertheless, modern socialisation researchers have emphasised the mutual dependency and continuous interaction between personal agency and structures (Bauer et al, 2012; Richter and Hurrelmann, 2016). Hence, socialisation is defined as the process of emergence, formation and lifelong development of human personality, entailing the reciprocal adjustment of individuals and society (Bauer et al, 2012). Richter and Hurrelmann (2016, p 270) proposed the concept of ‘the individual as a productive processor of internal and external reality’ that has been popular in German–speaking countries, with limited consideration in English publications. It assumes that personality development is largely constructed and self-directed
through coping with developmental tasks, and a person’s constant interaction
with their ‘outer reality’, that is, the social and material environment, and the
‘inner reality’, that is, their biophysical and psychological structures of human
personality (Richter and Hurrelmann, 2016). This process takes place as ‘the
individual assimilates environmental factors and reconciles them with his/her
existing views and potentials, and at the same time he/she endeavours to achieve
equilibrium between environmental demands and his/her own needs, interests
and abilities’ (2016, p 269). Hence, the emphasis on the child as the central agent
of socialisation can help to understand the active role that is attributed to the
person’s health literacy. As such, common health literacy concepts presume that
children and adolescents possess adequate degrees of agency and capacity to act
in a given environment. Nevertheless, it has not been investigated what degree
of active agency children and adolescents possess with regards to health literacy
at a certain age and developmental stage, and how this agency is acquired. In
addition to the need of making children’s active role for health literacy visible,
Richter and Hurrelmann’s concept (2016) emphasises the person’s needs and
interests, and the importance of voicing, hearing and understanding children
and adolescents’ own perspectives, beliefs and needs. Therefore, it is impossible
to observe and understand how children and young people are socialised with
regards to their health and health information by using health literacy approaches
that focus on predefined skill areas and standardised testing.

New sociology of childhood: the concept of intergenerational order

Next to modern socialisation models, health literacy researchers, including
Fairbrother and colleagues (2016) and Velardo and Drummond (2016), have
stressed the relevance of the ‘new’ childhood sociology (NCS) paradigms (Bühler-
Niederberger, 2010; James and Prout, 2015) for a child-centred approach to health
literacy. NCS stresses children’s role as active social actors and embodied beings in
their social worlds. It therefore overcomes the traditional sociological perspective
on childhood, rooted within the structural functionalist paradigm, where the
child is regarded as future becoming, waiting to be moulded by adults (Bühler-
Niederberger, 2010). Also, NCS researchers Alanen (2009) and Mayall (2009)
introduced the concept of (inter-)generational order, which Okan and colleagues
(2016) considered to be a helpful approach for understanding the social dimensions
of health literacy. While traditional views distinguish adults and children into two
categories with specific duties and rights that vary with age, development stage
and context, the new concept of intergenerational order stresses the dynamics
of adult–child relations as unequal power structures. As such, an adolescent’s
health literacy agency – referring to their actual options for engaging with
health information and decision-making in a given environment – is ‘bounded
by and in intergenerational relations as well as in wider socioeconomic contexts
and bodily, social and material resources’ (Brady et al, 2015, p 174). Through an
extensive ethnographic study, Lareau (2011) showed that an unequal distribution
of resources, mainly caused by inequality from social class, manifest in patterns of unequal intergenerational relations and educational approaches (see Chapter 37, this volume). These observations revealed the robustness of the social conditions that children are born into, their manifestation and reproduction in children’s life trajectories though unequal power structures and parenting strategies. The latter are characterised by the degree of parental intervention in their child’s institutional career, the degree of free-time activities being structured/scheduled and promoted, and the culture of verbal interaction (Lareau, 2011). In summary, viewing children as being positioned in intergenerational relations sheds light on unequal power structures and the way children and adolescents, as their own social groups, are viewed, listened to and involved in health literacy in different health-related settings, such as their home, school context or healthcare setting.

**Conclusion**

The purpose of this chapter was to highlight life phase particularities for health literacy by exploring children and adolescents’ health literacy from a development-focused perspective. First, the chapter highlighted that health literacy is commonly considered as a combination of predefined individual attributes with a strong focus on cognitive skills. Within this approach, the focus is on ‘what’ – mostly cognitive – prerequisites children and adolescents need for understanding and dealing with health information and engaging in health decision-making. Indeed, specific skills are rather easy to promote through individual focused intervention, which is in line with traditional health education paradigms that focus on the provision of information. Nevertheless, children and adolescents’ knowledge acquisition is embedded in contextualised narratives, for instance, conversations, personal interpretation, stories, gossip, carrying norms, morals and ideas of sociocultural practices. Learning and developing health literacy-relevant skills and knowledge can take place in highly variable and specific ways that are unique to the child and their family’s specific situation and needs. Hence, health literacy must be recognised as a personal asset that can take on many forms and dimensions as one’s life trajectory is shaped by one’s experiences, social condition and choices, among others. Understanding health literacy as a personal asset of children and adolescents requires approaches that depart from a person-centred point of view, with the aim of observing and understanding the person’s strategies for encountering and dealing with information, their personal mix of resources and belief systems. A child-centred health literacy understanding would therefore consider children and adolescents as active and reflective members of the society, acknowledging their individual perspectives, beliefs, expertise, personal resources and embodied understandings. Hence, future research should address how children and adolescents actually mobilise their resources and capabilities to practice healthy decisions in the context of their everyday life. This implies a shift away from judging/classifying individuals by their health literacy skill levels towards observing how these skills are practised and developed by the child within
a given environment. This relates to the sociocultural research paradigms on literacy, which focus on how literacy is being practised, viewing it as culturally and historically embedded and situated in everyday practice (Barton et al, 2000).

Second, health literacy researchers (for example, Nutbeam, 2017) have stressed the need to focus on health literacy not as an individual attribute, but as the product of the interaction between social conditions and individual skills related to health information-seeking and health-related decision-making. Hence, what and how well a person is able to use their skills largely depends on the situation or task at hand, and interrelations with environmental factors. Considering insights from childhood socialisation and childhood sociology enables a differentiated understanding of this individual–contextual interaction. This can be referred to as the social embeddedness of health literacy, which has remained under-explored in current conceptual and empirical research. As intergenerational relationships and an unequal distribution of power are manifest inequalities, they influence children and adolescent’s health literacy development and their opportunities for participating in health-related decision-making. Future research should therefore address how the health literacy of children and adolescents is promoted or hindered through the intergenerational transfer of abilities, values, habits and norms as well as the internalisation of societal ideologies.

It is important to understand the relationship between the social and material structures of the environment and personal agency, including one’s biological and psychological factors, for health literacy. Hence, it is proposed to shift the focus away from individual skills and to consider it as the interaction between (a) resources at the personal, interpersonal and societal level and (b) the situation demands in a given environmental setting. This highlights that, in addition to promoting skills, health literacy research needs to address questions of how children and adolescents encountering health information have opportunities and alternatives for their action in a given environment. If personal health literacy agency is best developed through continuous and hands-on practice in everyday health-related life situations, it is crucial that there are protected spaces and responsive structures available for children and adolescents to do so. Hence, future health literacy research, policy and practice needs to focus on making children’s health literacy abilities visible, by insisting on their meaningful participation with issues that concern their health, and by voicing their perspectives with regards to health and health information.
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