these credentials in the United States at the present time. However, with the recent advent of the CAQ in sports medicine by the American Academy of Family Practice and board certification by the Joint Board of Sports Medicine of the American Osteopathic Association, more physicians will be certified. Sports medicine fellowships are also multiplying, with the typical fellowship being of 1-year’s duration and oriented toward primary care sports medicine.

Physicians-in-training who aspire to careers in primary care sports medicine at the Division I university level would apparently be well advised to complete a residency in family medicine and become board certified, then serve a 1-year primary care fellowship in sports medicine with subsequent sports medicine certification. Orthopedic surgeons who would be team physicians for Division I universities should probably complete a fellowship in orthopedic sports medicine, which is becoming a subspecialty of orthopedics. Because of lack of funds, smaller universities and colleges will probably continue their arrangement of volunteer team physicians (either family physician or orthopedist), but the physician they seek should probably be similarly trained to be competent, to be competitive, and for (the physician’s) medicolegal protection.

Delusional parasitosis: A practical guide for the family practitioner in evaluation and treatment strategies

MICHAEL WINSTEN, DO

Delusional parasitosis (DP) is a psychiatric syndrome in which the patient falsely believes he or she is infested with parasites despite clear evidence to the contrary. Patients with DP generally think that mites, lice, or other insects have invaded their skin and most frequently seek treatment from dermatologists or family practitioners. These patients are usually reluctant to seek psychiatric care or to accept a referral to a psychiatrist. This article provides a comprehensive biopsychosocial understanding of the complexities of DP and suggests a practical strategy for evaluation and treatment by the family practitioner. Treatment with antipsychotic drugs, such as pimozide, is discussed as is a treatment team approach involving a psychiatrist, dermatologist, and family practitioner.

(Key words: Parasitosis, delusional; delusional disorder, somatic; folie à deux; psychotic disorder, induced; pimozide; biopsychosocial considerations; treatment; etiology)

Delusional parasitosis (DP) is a psychiatric syndrome in which patients have a fixed, encapsulated, and false belief that they are infested with parasites. Persons afflicted with this disorder are much more likely to present to dermatologists or family practitioners than to psychiatrists. This likelihood is problematic because nonpsychiatrists often feel ill-equipped to deal with this type of illness. The situation is further complicated with the patient’s reluctance to accept psychiatric treatment. A number of psychiatric and organic considerations should be considered when treating patients with DP. What follows is a description of a comprehensive biopsychosocial approach to understanding and treating these difficult patients.

Described by Thieberger in 1894 as acaraphobia, DP was later mentioned by Perrin as parasitophobic neurodermatitis. More extensive studies further delineated the multiple, complex characteristics and causes of DP, including a review in Europe by Skott in 1978 that included more than 400 cases. In the 1980s, Munro referred to DP as a monosymptomatic hypochondriacal psychosis.

The Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fourth Edition (DSM-IV) classifies DP as a delusional disorder, somatic type. Other examples of similarly classified disorders include body dysmorphic disorder, defined as a preoccupation with an imagined defect in appearance that can be held with delusional intensity. Another is olfactory reference syndrome in which patients believe they are emitting a foul odor. In DP and these other syndromes, the psychosis is often limited to one fixed delusion, and the patient may function normally in all other areas of life. If the delusional thought processes are more global, extremely bizarre, or pervasive and mul-
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tifocal, one may conclude that the DP
symptoms are a manifestation of a more
incapacitating syndrome, such as schizo-
phrenia, obsessive-compulsive disorder,
or major depression with psychotic fea-
tures.13-14

Epidemiologic and clinical
characteristics
Generally heterogeneous, patients with
DP come from varied social, economic,
and occupational backgrounds. Nonethe-
less, some characteristics predominate.
Older persons are more likely than
younger persons to have this disorder.
The average age of onset is 51.9 years.
Similarly, more women than men are
affected, with a 2:1 female-to-male ratio.
Female predominance increases with age.1
In general, patients with DP are resis-
tant to and difficult to treat, leading to
frustration for both patient and physi-
cian.

There may exist a variety of other
possible predisposing factors for devel-
oping DP, such as a history of actual
infestation with lice or scabies or
decreased visual acuity.3

Approximately 12% to 25% of
patients present with folie à deux. In
other words, a shared delusion is pre-
sent in which another person (usually
the spouse) also mistakenly believes he
and his partner are infested.15

Most frequently, the patient with DP
is a middle-aged to elderly woman who
is socially isolated. She has a history of
“doctor-shopping” with regard to der-
matologists or family practitioners. She
will often have received multiple, re-
dundant, and sometimes potentially dan-
gerous dermatologic treatments. Evidence
of excoriation and skin damage from
overuse of “remedies” often exists. This
damage can further complicate diagnosis
and treatment or worsen symptoms
because of secondary irritation.

These patients tend to use bizarre or
dangerous home remedies. Consultation
of pest control specialists or entomologists
commonly occurs. In an attempt to rid
themselves of their imagined parasites,
patients frequently relocate or repetitively
fumigate their home.16

Sometimes, these patients bring to the
office visit small containers filled with
mixed debris (excoriated skin particles,
hair, paper, lint) to “prove” the para-
sites exist. This container, known as the
matchbox sign, serves only to frustrate
physician and patient when no parasites
are actually found.17

Patients with DP often display abnor-
mal personality traits and meet criteria for
such personality disorders as schizoid,
histrionic, or obsessive-compulsive dis-
orders.18 The treating physician must
realize that DP is a generally well-cir-
cumscribed disorder with a separate life
of its own enabling the patient to func-
tion relatively well in other areas of life.
Nonetheless, DP may also be a symp-
tom or manifestation of a more global,
pervasive psychiatric depressive disorder
or schizophrenia. If this latter scenario is
present a different treatment strategy
from the one described herein would be
used.

Differential diagnosis
A full organic workup must be com-
pleted to evaluate for the source of a
patient’s abnormal sensations. Obvi-
ously, a thorough evaluation by a derma-
tologist should be conducted to rule out
an unusual or insidious dermatologic
cause of pruritus or an actual infesta-
tion.2 Combinations of biologic/organic,
psychologic, and social factors may con-
tribute to DP as well. Skott and Lyell
emphasize that DP can be traced to mul-
tiple causes.5 As such, collaboration
among professionals (dermatologist, fam-
ily practitioner, and psychiatrist) proves
essential for successful treatment.19

Substance abuse—particularly co-
caine, amphetamine, or chronic alcohol
abuse—often coexists as an associated
cause of DP. Other drugs, namely corti-
costeroids and phenelzine, have been
linked with DP symptoms.

Parkinson’s disease and Huntington’s
chorea represent two neurologic disorders
that should be excluded. So, too, should
delusions associated with dementia.

Acquired immunodeficiency syndrome
or syphilis or other infectious diseases
may be implicated as well. A compre-
sensive list of conditions associated with
DP is provided in the Table.12,20

Appropriate laboratory studies should
include complete blood cell count, SMA-
20 blood chemistries, thyroid function
tests, measurement of vitamin B12/folate
levels, rapid plasma reagin (test for
syphilis), urinalysis, and drug screen. An
electrocardiogram (ECG), electroence-
phalogram, or computed tomography of
the brain may also be considered.1

Upon interviewing the patient, the
physician often can make a psychody-
namic formulation that can shed light
on the underlying nature and cause of
the patient’s delusions.14 For example,
the patient may genuinely feel dysesthe-
sia or pruritus but misinterprets this sen-
sation as being caused by parasitic infes-
tation.21 Frequently, these sensations
represent symbolic misinterpretations
based on premorbid psychological or
social conflicts, or conflicts involving
repressed sexual and/or aggressive desires.
This misinterpretation may also be
attributable to underlying personality
traits or disorders (obsessive/compulsive,
hysterical somatization). The delusion
accompanying DP may represent a com-
promise formation where the symptoms
stabilize the patient’s emotional state.
Thus, she may not want to give up this
“emotional safety net”; otherwise, she
would have to deal with the existence of
an emotional problem.

These concepts and the nature of DP
perhaps are best illustrated by specific
cases. In particular, the case illustrations
that follow emphasize the concept of
delusions that serve to prevent more
severe ego disintegration. They also illus-
strate repressed conflicts concerning sex-
uality and aggression.20,22

Case I
A 47-year-old white widow presented to
an ambulatory care general practice clin-
ic. She was meticulously groomed
and dressed. She requested treatment for
“tiny mites or bugs burrowing into the back
of my neck and upper arms.” No physical
evidence of infestation was present. Upon
further investigation into the patient’s
history, it was determined that the patient
had been seeking treatment for “para-
sites” at several other clinics, including
dermatology and family practice offices.
### Table

#### Conditions Associated With Delusional Parasitis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substance abuse</th>
<th>Infections</th>
<th>Neurologic origins</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol</td>
<td>Tuberculosis</td>
<td>Dementia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amphetamines</td>
<td>AIDS</td>
<td>Parkinson’s disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cocaine</td>
<td>Syphilis</td>
<td>Huntington’s chorea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Central nervous system tumors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Head trauma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medications</td>
<td>Endocrine disorders</td>
<td>Malignancies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phenelzine</td>
<td>Thyroid disease</td>
<td>Lymphoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corticosteroids</td>
<td>Diabetes mellitus</td>
<td>Breast</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Chronic lymphocytic leukemia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychiatric disorders</td>
<td>Psychodermatologic origins</td>
<td>Other entities*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schizophrenia</td>
<td>Factitial dermatosis</td>
<td>Congestive heart failure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Affective disorder</td>
<td>Neurotic excoriation</td>
<td>Polycythemia vera</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dementia with delusions</td>
<td>Münchhausen syndrome</td>
<td>Hepatic disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nondelusional simple phobia</td>
<td>Trichotillomania</td>
<td>Renal disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obsessive/compulsive disorder</td>
<td></td>
<td>Vitamin B₁₂ deficiency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Undiagnosed dermatologic disorder</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Modified from Johnson and Anton.²

* Entities specifically causing dysesthesias and pruritus.

The patient indicated that she was exhausted and frustrated that some of the physicians, “didn’t even believe that there were any bugs.” She stated that several tests and examinations performed by dermatologists were unable to find any bugs because “they are very small and go deep under my skin.”

On some occasions, the patient had been treated with topical antiparasitics lindane (Kwell) or permethrin 1% lice treatment (Nix). While she admitted these treatments provided temporary relief, the “bugs always came back.” At least one dermatologist suggested she see a psychiatrist, which caused her to exclaim, “Can you believe the nerve of that doctor?”

Her problem began approximately 2 years earlier after she had had a one-time sexual encounter with a man whom she said “gave the bugs to me.” Her husband had died 4 years prior to that aforementioned sexual encounter.

In a supportive fashion, a psychiatry referral was suggested; however, the patient immediately rejected this suggestion and left the office in a hostile manner.

**Case 2**

A 74-year-old white woman presented to a dermatologist’s office with a long history of reported parasites infesting her torso and upper extremities. She had been to the office on three previous occasions and had been treated with topical antiparasitic agents at her insistence. She had been to the office on three previous occasions and had been treated with topical antiparasitic agents at her insistence, despite being informed that no evidence was found of infestation.

The patient had multiple excoriations from incessant scratching. She reported bathing in a mixture of water and hydrogen peroxide to “kill the bugs.” This treatment only resulted in further skin irritation.

She brought to the office a small plastic container of debris, mostly dust, hair, and skin scrapings. She wanted the sample to be examined microscopically to “prove” the bugs existed. (No parasites were found.) The patient previously had refused referral to a psychiatrist.

Her husband accompanied her during this visit; he was equally adamant and frustrated, insisting that his wife was infested and no one had been able to help her. A regimen of low-dose haloperidol (Haldol) was suggested, but she refused this treatment upon being told this drug was “psychiatric” medication.

**Case 3**

A 33-year-old white woman reluctantly came to a community mental health center. She had a 1-year history of a persistent belief that insects were infesting her skin and her home. Her family, friends, and physicians had been urging her for months to consider psychiatric treatment. She firmly believed that the bugs were real and felt “ridiculous” in seeking treatment from a psychiatrist.

Preoccupation with bugs had greatly
affected her daily life and her relationships. She had sent “samples” to entomology laboratories and had her home fumigated regularly to no avail and against her husband’s protests. She felt depressed because of her inability to prove or explain the existence of the insects.

She had no history of other delusional themes or psychotic symptoms and had generally functioned well in other areas of her life. Her family practitioner had prescribed sertraline hydrochloride, (Zoloft), 50 mg/d, which was helpful for her mood but did not affect her delusion.

A compassionate, nonconfrontational therapeutic understanding was established, and she agreed to a trial of pimozide (Orap). An initial dosage of 2 mg/d was titrated to 6 mg/d over 8 weeks. Visits were scheduled every 1 to 2 weeks to monitor her progress and to evaluate any side effects. Her preoccupation with infestation gradually diminished and was no longer an issue 2 months after initiation of treatment.

**Antipsychotic agents**

Several studies during the past 20 years have demonstrated the superior efficacy of the high potency neuroleptic pimozide in treating DP. Pimozide is a centrally mediated antipruritic effect. This action is in addition to its antipsychotic properties.

Side effects associated with pimozide mirror those of more commonly used high-potency antipsychotics. The most significant of these include extrapyramidal reactions, akathisia (motor restlessness), orthostatic hypotension, galactorrhea, sedation, QT prolongation, and tardive dyskinesia. Extrapyramidal reactions may be treated with benzotropine mesylate (Cogentin), trihexyphenidyl hydrochloride (Artane), or diphenhydramine hydrochloride (Benadryl). Akathisia may be treated with a reduced dosage of pimozide and/or the addition of low doses of a β-blocker or benzodiazepine.

The complex biopsychosocial nature of DP necessitates a varied treatment protocol with an emphasis on the following approaches:

- Confirm presumptive diagnosis of DP by ruling out actual parasitic infestation, other organic causes (Table), or a more global underlying psychiatric condition.
- Listen to the patient’s history in a nonjudgmental, nonthreatening fashion. A therapeutic relationship can be more easily established if the patient feels her doctor is taking her seriously. Nonjudgmental listening is crucial for lessening the patient’s frustration and sense of isolation.
- Tactfully ask how the condition has affected her life. Her answer should help the physician judge the severity of the illness and may reveal problem areas that merit further investigation. Be alert to any area where the patient will allow intervention.
- Consider anxiolytic medications to help with reactive symptoms, keeping in mind that such medications will not generally eradicate any delusions. Studies suggest that tricyclic antidepressants, such as nortriptyline hydrochloride (Pamelor) may be helpful, particularly if evidence of underlying depression exists, or if DP may be a psychotic symptom from an otherwise hidden or atypical major depression. Hydroxyzine pamoate (Vistaril) may also be beneficial because it has both antipruritic and anxiolytic properties. Another choice may be the antidepressant doxepin hydrochloride with its strong antihistaminic action.

- Order appropriate tests before prescribing pimozide, the drug of choice, or any other antipsychotic agent. In addition to a baseline ECG and liver function tests, the patient’s serum electrolyte count and levels of calcium, phosphorous, magnesium, and blood urea nitrogen/creatinine should be ascertained. Cardiac status via ECG should be monitored periodically during treatment with pimozide.

Contraindications to pimozide treatment include congenital long QT syndrome, a significant history of cardiac arrhythmia, concomitant use of drugs that increase the QT interval, and previous known allergy or severe adverse reactions to pimozide.

Initiate treatment at 1 mg/d, increasing by 1 mg every 5 to 7 days based on the patient’s response. Single or divided doses may be prescribed. Many patients show a response at 4 mg/d or less. To minimize the possibility of adverse effects and to lessen the likelihood of tardive dyskinesia, it is best to maintain a dosage of less than 10 mg/d, with an absolute maximum of 20 mg/d. It may take several weeks before a response is noted. Nonetheless, the physician should attempt to find the minimum effective dosage for maintenance therapy. The dosage may be gradually tapered or discontinued after several months, but many patients relapse after discontinuation of the drug, thereby necessitating reinstitution of pimozide.

**Further considerations**

A great deal of controversy can be found in the literature regarding treatment of DP by nonpsychiatrists. Ultimately, the physician’s comfort level should be weighed against the risks/benefits of treatment and/or the patient’s potential for continued suffering without treatment. Repeated attempts by the family practitioner to forge an alliance with a psychiatrist are recommended but not always possible.
Informed consent may also be an issue. A patient who adamantly refuses any psychiatric explanation for her symptoms is likely to refuse treatment with neuroleptics if she is told the drug is a psychiatric medication. The physician may wish to present the pimozide as a means to “help with the uncomfortable itching” or to “keep the bugs from bothering you.” The physician may choose to describe potential side effects without specifically referring to its psychiatric application. Again, such an omission poses an ethical dilemma; whether the physician chooses to take this approach depends on the patient’s severity of illness, risks/benefits of treatment, and the physician’s comfort level and philosophy.

Another consideration for improving the treatment of patients with DP is the establishment of Psychiatry/Dermatology liaison clinical training during residency. Such a liaison would establish a forum for the exchange of insights and information about such disorders in which effective treatment demands the coordination of two different medical specialties.

Such an effort has been undertaken at Stanford University by Gould and Gragg. Patients with DP and other psychocutaneous disorders are evaluated by dermatology and psychiatry residents together. A comprehensive treatment regimen is then prescribed. If this coordinated practice can be instituted on a wider basis, it is more likely that the treatment outcomes of such complex patients will significantly improve.
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