EVALUATION AND COMPARISON OF RESPIRATORY SYMPTOMS AND LUNG CAPACITIES IN TILE AND CERAMIC FACTORY WORKERS OF YAZD
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Tile workers are exposed to dust particles and are susceptible to multiple pulmonary complications. Problems like asthma, chronic obstructive pulmonary symptoms, and silicosis are more common among them. As there are many tile factories in Yazd, we decided to evaluate the respiratory symptoms and lung capacities in these workers and compare them with controls.

This study included 176 tile and ceramic factory workers occupationally exposed to dust and 115 unexposed workers as controls. We recorded the respiratory symptoms using the British Medical Research Council questionnaire and measured lung capacities of the two groups.

All study subjects were male, and the two groups were comparable in age and smoking. The exposed group had frequent respiratory symptoms and a significant relationship between them and duration of employment. In addition, lung capacities in ceramic workers with symptoms were lower than in workers without the symptoms.

Even though the respiratory symptoms were more frequent in the exposed group than in controls, lung capacities of the two groups were similar.

KEY WORDS: asthma, ceramic industry, COPD, silicosis

Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) is a major cause of chronic morbidity and mortality throughout the world, and further increase in the prevalence and mortality of the disease can be expected in the coming decades (1). Although cigarette smoking is clearly the major risk factor for COPD, there is an increasing recognition that occupational exposure associated with coal mining, hard rock mining, tunnel mining and construction, concrete manufacturing (2), metal foundries, construction industry, glass or ceramics production, and granite or stone industries increase the likelihood of COPD (3). A population-based investigation has suggested that one in five cases of COPD may be attributed to occupational exposure (4). Workers in tile and ceramic industries are exposed to a variety of dusts which can cause pulmonary diseases. Considering the high prevalence of COPD and preventability of occupational diseases, studies on the effects of specific occupational exposure could have a major public health impact.

Occupational exposure to dust such as crystalline silica, mica, kaolin, quartz, and tridymite is common in tile and ceramic industries. Diseases caused by inhalation of these dusts include silicosis, lung cancer, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), and several extra-pulmonary diseases (5, 6). Work-related contaminants can produce different lung diseases in different people. These inter-individual differences could be a consequence of numerous factors (7).

Most studies show that pulmonary diseases require exposure to high dust levels for prolonged periods. These studies describe quantitative relationships
between dust exposure and pulmonary fibrosis, emphysema, airflow obstruction, and reduced lung function as aspects of silicosis (8, 9). Oxman et al reviewed the evidence of exposure to occupational dust containing silica and of COPD from 13 reports, including three cohorts of coal miners and one of gold miners without massive pulmonary fibrosis. In all studies, a statistically significant relationship between cumulative respirable dust, loss of lung function, and bronchitis symptoms was found in both smokers and non-smokers (10). Reduced lung function has also been reported with exposure to low levels of concrete dust containing silica (1). A synergistic effect of smoking and silica dust exposure on COPD mortality was shown in South African gold miners (11). Cumulative dust exposure was found to increase the risk of death from COPD in conjunction with smoking (12). At present, numerous tile and granite factories have developed in Iran, and many workers are employed in this industry. We therefore decided to do a research about them. The objectives were to examine the ventilatory effects of exposure to different levels of dust containing crystalline silica, evaluate respiratory symptoms and lung capacities of these workers, and compare them with controls. The study population consisted of exposed workers, while the control population consisted of unexposed workers.

SUBJECTS AND METHODS

Population

This study was carried out in 2005-2006. The tile factory in Yazd in central Iran manufactures ceramic and tiles. The prime materials used are silica, kaolin, Na$_2$SO$_4$, NaCO$_3$, and ZnO$_2$. The main production processes include the ball mill, the press, the preparation unit, mucilage production, and the furnace. Workers are exposed to dusts and particles from various sections of the plant. Exposed and control subjects were selected to match in age, height, weight, employment years, and smoking status. The 180 exposed male tile workers were randomly selected from a pool of 1350 workers, and for controls we randomly selected 123 unexposed male office workers. We excluded workers with a history of respiratory infections within four weeks from the beginning of the study. Therefore, the group of 180 workers exposed to respirable dust containing silica was reduced to 176 who did not have any recent respiratory infection. In the control group, five workers were excluded due to exposure to respiratory occupational hazards and three whose data were incomplete, and the control group finally counted 115 unexposed workers. Lung function was tested in the winter. All workers were categorised into occupational groups according to department and job title. Informed consent was obtained from all participants.

Questionnaire

A standardised, self-reporting questionnaire was used and interviews were performed after the lung function tests. The questionnaire was a modified translated version of the British Medical Research Council questionnaire, and questions included demographic information, occupational history, past medical history, use of drugs, respiratory symptoms (cough, sputum, dyspnoea), asthma history, smoking habits, and allergies (13). The subjects were considered to have chronic obstructive pulmonary symptoms (COPS) if they were short of breath when walking with people of their own age on level ground, (dyspnoea grade ≥3), cough every day or produced phlegm for three consecutive months over the previous 2 years, or experienced wheezing for more than a week. Criteria for work-related upper respiratory symptoms (WRURS) included more than usual nasal symptoms (prickling or watering nose, or sneezing) at work. Work-related lower respiratory symptoms (WRLRS) were defined as more than usual cough, phlegm production, shortness of breath, or wheezing during at work. Nonsmokers were defined as individuals who had never smoked or smoked less than a total of five packs in their lifetime and current smokers were defined as those who smoked more than one cigarette a day for at least one year.

Lung Function Tests

Spirometric tests were carried out with a closed–system, portable FUKUDA ST 300 spirometer with automatic data processing. Measurements and procedures including calibration, data selection, and BTPS correction were in accordance with the standards of the American Thoracic Society (14). A trained assistant performed all lung function measurements. All workers were informed about the investigation and the spirometry test. To exclude a training effect, all workers were made familiar with
this type of survey one week before the measurements took place. Spirometry was performed between 8 a.m. and 2 p.m. All tests were based on the standards of the American Thoracic Society (16). We recorded forced vital capacity (FVC) and forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV₁), and used the spiograph FEV₁/FVC ratio and the highest sum of FVC plus FEV₁ for analysis.

Workplace Sampling

Samples of inhalable dust were collected for analysis on glass filters connected to an air sampler pump (model 224–30, SKC) with airflow of 2.5 L min⁻¹. We took ten samples for every production unit on randomly selected days of the week, over one month, and calculated the mean. Filters were weighed using the gravimetrical method by weighing filter mass on an electrical balance.

Data Analysis

All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS 11.5 for Windows (Statistical Products and Service Solutions, Inc., Chicago). Comparisons of crude prevalence rates across the study groups were made using Pearson’s chi-square or Fischer’s exact test. For testing differences between the means, we used Student’s t-test or analysis of variance. Statistical significance was defined as the P value of less than 0.05 (two-tailed).

RESULTS

All exposed and control workers were male. Both groups were of similar age (range: 23-59 years) and standing height, and did not differ significantly in weight, employment years, and smoking status (Table 1). The distribution of workers according to the production unit was as follows: ball mill 5.1%; preparation unit 5.1%; press 33%; mucilage production 26.1%; and furnace 30.7%. The exposed and control group differed significantly in the prevalence of COPS (at least one pulmonary symptom, cough, phlegm, wheezing, or dyspnoea), WRURS, and WRLRS. In the exposed workers, WRLRS were present in 18.8%, WRURS in 17%, and COPS in 21%. In controls, WRLRS were present in 7.8%, WRURS in 5.2%, and COPS in 10.4%. To investigate the relationships between these variables, we used the chi-square test, and the results were statistically significant in the exposed workers (Table 1).

The analyses showed that in the exposed group, respiratory symptoms were more frequent in current smokers than in non-smokers, but these differences were not statistically significant (Table 2).

Table 3 shows respiratory symptoms in the exposed workers in relation to employment duration. Statistical showed a significant relationship between workers with more than 12 years of employment and respiratory symptoms compared to workers with less than 12 years of employment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demographic data and respiratory symptoms</th>
<th>Exposed workers (n=176)</th>
<th>Control workers (n=115)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1Age / year</td>
<td>37.7±7.4</td>
<td>38.4±8.7</td>
<td>n. s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1Height / m</td>
<td>173±6.7</td>
<td>172.5±6.6</td>
<td>n. s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1Weight / kg</td>
<td>76.2±11.6</td>
<td>77.2±12.5</td>
<td>n. s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1Employment duration / year</td>
<td>11.3±4.7</td>
<td>10.4±4.9</td>
<td>n. s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2Smokers</td>
<td>53 (30.1 %)</td>
<td>33 (28.7 %)</td>
<td>n. s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2Non-smokers</td>
<td>123 (69.9 %)</td>
<td>82 (71.3 %)</td>
<td>n. s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2COPS</td>
<td>37 (21 %)</td>
<td>12 (10.4 %)</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2WRURS</td>
<td>30 (17 %)</td>
<td>6 (5.2 %)</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2WRLRS</td>
<td>33 (18.8 %)</td>
<td>9 (7.8 %)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Data are presented as mean ± standard deviation
2 Data are presented as the absolute number and percent of subjects
n. s. – statistically not significant
COPS - chronic obstructive pulmonary symptoms
WRURS - work-related upper respiratory symptoms
WRLRS - work-related lower respiratory symptoms
Table 4 shows the distribution of lung function, expressed as percentage of the predicted normal lung function, in the exposed workers and controls. Mean FVC, FEV₁, and FEV₁/FVC were lower in the exposed group than in controls, but the difference was not statistically significant.

Furthermore, there were no significant differences in the lung function and respiratory symptoms between the exposed workers from different production units. Workers with respiratory symptoms had lower FVC and FEV₁ than workers without respiratory symptoms, the t-test showed a significant relationship between these parameters (P=0.02). In the control group, lung function did not have a significant association with respiratory symptoms. Table 5 shows mean concentrations of total particles in the ambient air at different production units.

**DISCUSSION**

This study suggests that exposure to dust in ceramic manufacture is associated with a significant prevalence of respiratory symptoms. We observed a higher prevalence of WRLRS, WRURS, COPS in the exposed group than in control population. Recent studies have brought strong evidence that occupational exposure to dust can alone cause COPD. Myers and Cornell (15) reported a clear effect of exposure to extremely high dust levels on FVC and FEV₁ in 268 South African brickworkers. The results of their study also demonstrated a clear association between acute and chronic respiratory symptoms, self-reported dust exposure, and evidence of airflow limitation, independent of the effect of smoking. Sakar et al. (16) performed a study to estimate the incidence of silicosis and its relation with work-related factors among workers exposed to silica in the ceramic industry. Cough and sputum rates were higher in the silicosis group. It was concluded that the ceramic industry was associated with a higher risk of silicosis and this risk increased with exposure duration and age. Dust is the major cause of damage to the respiratory epithelium and chronic inflammation of the airways, resulting in respiratory symptoms such as cough, sputum, and shortness of breath.
The above mentioned studies and the results of our study point out that airway obstruction and work-related respiratory symptoms are present in workers exposed to relatively high levels of respirable dust containing silica. In a study by Bahrami et al., workers in ceramic factories reported more respiratory symptoms than controls, but the differences were not statically significant (18). Respiratory symptoms in workers exposed to dust were also more frequent than in controls, but the difference was not significant (18), which may have been affected by the number of samples taken or by the use of personal protective equipment. The classification of smoking was based on self-reported information from a standardised questionnaire. Current smokers had more symptoms than nonsmokers. Smoking is the leading cause of COPD, but occupational factors can also result in COPD (19). Concomitant smoking and exposure to occupational contaminants raises this incidence (7, 20).

The observed relationship between exposure to dust and lung function may have been affected by selection bias. However, for our analyses we have used a control population with similar demographic characteristics age, height, weight, years of employment. In the exposed workers, lung function tests were near normal, but lower than in controls, although there was no significant difference from the control group. Findings of different studies vary between different industries. In an investigation carried out by Sakar et al., FEV\textsubscript{1} and FVC were lower in the “silica” group, but this was not statistically significant (16). A longitudinal study in 711 granite workers showed no statistically significant association between lower FVC or FEV\textsubscript{1} and employment duration at mean respirable dust levels of 0.6 mg m\textsuperscript{-3} (with a 10 % silica content) (22). In contrast, a South African study showed a higher incidence of chronic bronchitis associated with impaired lung function in gold miners than in non-miners, independent of smoking. These findings were strongly related to exposure to silica-containing dust, and not to a specific silicotic effect in the lungs.

### Table 4 Mean lung function in the exposed and control workers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lung function</th>
<th>Exposed workers (n=176)</th>
<th>Control workers (n=115)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% SD</td>
<td>% SD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FVC</td>
<td>81.4 12.3</td>
<td>82 13</td>
<td>n. s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV\textsubscript{1}</td>
<td>83.5 12.4</td>
<td>84.7 15.1</td>
<td>n. s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV\textsubscript{1}/FVC</td>
<td>102.8 11</td>
<td>103.1 11.2</td>
<td>n. s.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 5 Total dust concentrations measured in the air sampled in different production units of the ceramic factory

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Production units</th>
<th>Total dust concentration / mg m\textsuperscript{-3} Mean ± SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mucilage production (n = 10)</td>
<td>2.1±0.168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Furnace (n = 10)</td>
<td>4.2±0.345</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ball mill (n = 10)</td>
<td>6.7±0.620</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preparation unit (n = 10)</td>
<td>7.5±0.672</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Press (n = 10)</td>
<td>13.2±1.34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

n = number of air samples
Studies in granite workers have shown a drop in lung function at average silica levels ranging from below 0.1 mg m$^{-3}$ to 0.16 mg m$^{-3}$ (23, 24). An Iranian study by Bahrami et al. in four occupation groups showed that the lung function of ceramic workers who worked for less than 20 years was similar to that of controls, but dropped significantly in ceramic workers with more than 20 years of employment (18). As the length of exposure in our study was less than 20 years, it is possible that it will take more time for adverse effects on lung function to appear. In other words, if we repeat this study in ten years our exposed group may show a significant drop in lung function grouping respect to controls. Lung function begins to drop at the age of 25 to 30 years, and tobacco smoke accompanied by exposure workplace reduces it further (25).

In our study, workers with respiratory symptoms had a lower lung function than workers without respiratory complaints. Individuals with impaired lung function do not always have more respiratory symptoms, but a correlation between these two has already been reported (26). The presence of chemical vapours, fumes, odours and other mineral dusts, should also be taken into account, as they may contribute to the respiratory effects. Although workers are exposed to a mixture of occupational contaminants, including diesel exhausts, previous studies have shown that the respiratory effects may largely be contributed to the inhalation of siliceous dust (5). In our study, mean forced ventilatory volumes were relatively low in both groups, and belonged to the lower end of normal, according to the standards proposed by the European Respiratory Society (27).

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, although respiratory symptoms in ceramic workers in various production units of the factory were more frequent than in controls, lung function tests do not show a clear reduction. Since the harmful effects of occupational dust have been found by various studies, especially at longer exposure times, lung function (FEV$\_1$, FVC, FEV$\_1$/FVC) of workers exposed to silica dust is expected to deteriorate with the duration of employment.
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Sažetak

OCJENA I USPOREDBA RESPIRATORNIH SIMPTOMA I KAPACITETA PLUĆA ĆU RADNIKA TVORNICE KERAMIČKIH PLOČICA IZ YAIZDA ĆU IRANU

Radnici u proizvodnji keramičkih pločica izloženi su česticama prašine te su skloni višestrukim plućnim komplikacijama. U njih je veća učestalost tegoba poput astme i simptoma kronične opstrukcije pluća te silikoze. Budući da u Yazdu ima mnogo tvornica keramike, odlučili smo upravo u tome gradu ocijeniti respiratorne simptome i izmjeriti kapacitet pluća njihovih radnika i usporediti ih s kontrolnom skupinom. Ispitivanje je stoga obuhvatilo 176 radnika u proizvodnji keramičkih pločica izloženih prašini te 115 neizloženih radnika koji su činili kontrolnu skupinu. Respiratorni simptomi bili su česti u izloženoj skupini te je u njoj uočena značajna povezanost između respiratornih simptoma i radnoga staža u tvornici. Usto su izloženi radnici s respiratornim simptomima iskazali slabije kapacitete pluća od radnika bez simptoma. Ovi su respiratorni simptomi bili češći u izloženoj skupini negoli u kontrolnoj, ali se njihovi kapaciteti pluća nisu bitno razlikovali.

KLJUČNE RIJEČI: astma, keramička industrija, KOPB, silikoza
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