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Copper concentration was estimated in tap water samples obtained from 70 households in Zagreb, serviced by a public water supply system. First-draw and flushed samples of tap water were collected in the morning and total copper concentration was determined by graphite furnace atomic absorption spectrometry with Zeeman-effect background correction. We also estimated the contribution of plumbing material to copper concentrations in tap water. In households with copper pipes, median and range copper values were 310 µg L⁻¹ [(27 to 632) µg L⁻¹] in first-draw samples and 16 µg L⁻¹ [(5 to 52) µg L⁻¹] in flushed samples. Corresponding values for households with galvanised pipes were 140 µg L⁻¹ [(11 to 289) µg L⁻¹] and 8 µg L⁻¹ [(1 to 42) µg L⁻¹], respectively. Copper concentrations in household tap water in Zagreb were far below the proposed safe limits set by the Croatian and WHO regulations and EPA standards, and drinking water in Zagreb is not a significant source of copper exposure.
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Levels of copper found naturally in ground water and surface water are generally very low. Most copper contamination in drinking water is a result of corrosion of copper pipes or fittings. Copper is widely used for household plumbing and is normally resistant to corrosion. However, low pH, high temperature, low total dissolved solids (TDS) content, and high amounts of dissolved oxygen or carbon dioxide can increase the leaching of copper into the water. Naturally soft water is more corrosive than hard water because it is more acidic and has low TDS.

According to the WHO recommendations (1) and current Croatian legislation (2), the maximum permissible concentration (MPC) for copper in drinking water is 2,000 µg L⁻¹, whereas the maximum contaminant level regulated by the US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) (3) for copper in ground and drinking water is 1,000 µg L⁻¹.

Copper in drinking water in the concentration higher than 2,000 µg L⁻¹ can cause a bitter metallic taste and result in blue-green stains on plumbing fixtures (4). Intake of elevated copper in drinking water can induce acute gastrointestinal symptoms, such as epigastric pain, nausea, vomiting, and diarrhoea (5).

Most household water supply lines in Croatia are made of galvanised steel, but the use of copper as plumbing material has increased over the last years. This article reports the concentrations of copper measured in drinking water in the households of the city of Zagreb and its surroundings.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Zagreb’s water supply system

The city of Zagreb, with a population of 850,000, lies on the alluvium of the Sava River. Water supply system uses groundwater from the aquifer composed
of water-bearing sand and gravel stratum with clay layer on a surface (6, 7). Opened in 1878, it is only five years younger than Vienna’s water supply system, but five years older than the one in Munich.

Water quality in the water supply system has been controlled from 1879 in its physical and chemical aspects, and from 1888 in its bacteriological aspects. Results of water analysis in composed water samples for the five major pumping sites from 1 to 31 December 2009 indicate acceptable levels for all presented parameters (8). According to pH values, groundwater from Zagreb is neutral to slightly basic. Conductivity varies between 400 µS cm⁻¹ and 1000 µS cm⁻¹, and the groundwater temperature is 12 °C to 17 °C. The content of oxygen dissolved in the water varies from <1 mg L⁻¹ to 10 mg L⁻¹. Hardness is 13 dH to 23 dH (7, 8).

Sampling and analysis

Tap water samples were obtained from 70 households in Zagreb and surroundings serviced by the public water supply system. Two water samples were collected at each household in the morning. Fist-draw water sample was collected after the water has been allowed to stand in the pipes undisturbed overnight for at least six hours. The second, flushed water sample was collected after cold water had run from the kitchen faucet for two minutes. All samples were collected in acid-washed polyethylene containers. On arrival to the laboratory, each sample was preserved with concentrated suprapure nitric acid (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) to obtain pH<2 (total concentration of nitric acid was 1 % V/V), and was kept refrigerated until analysis, for at least 24 hours.

Samples were obtained from the households of 70 employees of our Institute. They were asked to fill in a questionnaire and answer about plumbing material used for water pipes and the age of the building and water pipes.

Total copper in tap water was determined by graphite furnace atomic absorption spectrometry using a Perkin-Elmer AAAnalyst 600 with a Zeeman background correction system. Samples were diluted five times in 1 % (V/V) HNO₃ and analysed by direct injection (20 µL) into graphite furnace together with 5 µL of matrix modifier containing 1.0 g L⁻¹ Pd (Merck) and 0.6 g L⁻¹ Mg(NO₃)₂ (Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO, USA). All measurements were performed in triplicate. Measurement accuracy was controlled by analysing a NIST standard reference material no. 1643d - Trace elements in water (National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MA, USA) - with copper content of (22.76±0.31) µg L⁻¹. The mean and standard deviation of our measurement was (22.54±0.22) µg L⁻¹. The precision of the method, expressed as relative standard deviation (RSD) and calculated on the basis of seven replicates of the SRM 1643d sample, was 6 % when measured on the same day (within-day precision) and 8 % when measured on different days (day-to-day precision). The recovery of the method, calculated on the basis of measurement of the SRM 1643d samples spiked with 25 µg L⁻¹, 50 µg L⁻¹, 80 µg L⁻¹, and 100 µg L⁻¹, was (98±3) % (range: 95 % to 103 %). The average characteristic mass of copper obtained by measuring 140 water samples was 16.71 pg, which is in very good agreement with the theoretical result of (17±3.4) pg, declared by the manufacturer.

Statistics

Due to a small number of samples, copper concentration in drinking water was not normally distributed and the results were presented as median and range. The significance of the difference in copper concentrations between copper and galvanised pipes and between fist-draw and flushed water samples was calculated using the Mann-Whitney U-test (Z, p). We used Spearman rank regression analysis to estimate the association between the copper concentration in drinking water and the age of water pipes.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Table 1 shows the range, the 10th, 25th, 50th (median), 75th, and 90th percentile values for copper in the fist-draw and flushed samples of drinking water, regardless of material used for plumbing. The highest overall copper levels were found in the first-draw water samples that had been standing in pipes all night. Our results have confirmed that flushing the pipes before using the tap water significantly reduces copper concentration in drinking water (Mann-Whitney U-test: Z=9.771, p<0.0001).

In households with copper pipes, median and range copper values in first-draw samples were 310 µg L⁻¹ [(27 to 632) µg L⁻¹], whereas in households with galvanised pipes they were 140 µg L⁻¹ [(11 to 289) µg L⁻¹] (Mann-Whitney U-test: Z=2.173, p=0.03). Corresponding copper values in flushed samples were 16 µg L⁻¹ [(5 to 52) µg L⁻¹] and 8 µg L⁻¹.
samples from Zagreb was 10.52 µg L⁻¹. Concentration copper value for the means of triplicates measured in Rijeka after water was flushed for 2 minutes. Median three samples from Zagreb and one sample from they measured copper and other trace elements in only Croatia was also measured by Fiket et al. (9). However, 190 µg L⁻¹ in Breda, the Netherlands, and 30 µg L⁻¹ in Athens, Greece and Dublin, Ireland. Geometric mean concentration in first-draw water samples from copper plumbing.

Authors did not measure copper in the first-draw water samples nor did they report the material used for plumbing.

Our results are similar to data reported for several European cities (10): mean copper levels obtained in the first-draw water samples were 30 µg L⁻¹ in Mannheim, Germany, 20 µg L⁻¹ in Nancy, France, 190 µg L⁻¹ in Breda, the Netherlands, and 30 µg L⁻¹ in Athens, Greece and Dublin, Ireland. Geometric mean and 95 % confidence interval for copper concentration in drinking water that had been standing in pipes over night in Krakow, Poland was 8 µg L⁻¹ [(1 to 110) µg L⁻¹] (11). In another study from Germany, mean copper concentration was 183 µg L⁻¹ in 1619 stagnated water samples and 106 µg L⁻¹ in 1660 random daytime samples (12). The highest median copper concentration of 930 µg L⁻¹ in the first-draw water samples was reported by Scandinavian authors (13). After flushing for 30 s copper concentration decreased to 190 µg L⁻¹ and after flushing until the water ran consistently cold the concentration dropped to 80 µg L⁻¹. A median copper concentration of 260 µg L⁻¹ in stagnated drinking water from drinking water fountains in 50 schools in the state of New Jersey, USA, decreased to 68 µg L⁻¹ after 10 min of flushing in the morning, but levels increased by lunchtime to 120 µg L⁻¹ (14).

Our results have shown that drinking water contributes only marginally to copper intake. An average person who drinks eight glasses of water (1.6 L) with copper in the concentration of 1 µg L⁻¹ to 52 µg L⁻¹ found in flushed samples in our study would have a daily copper intake from tap water of 1.6 µg to 83.2 µg. Taking into account the highest copper concentration found in the first-draw water samples (632 µg L⁻¹) and sample volume of 0.2 L to 0.4 L that was typically drank in the morning (as coffee or tea), daily intake of copper would be 4.4 µg to 252.8 µg. This is much lower than the recommended dietary allowance (RDA) of 900 µg d⁻¹ for adults (15).

In conclusion, all tested samples had lower copper concentrations than the current Croatian (2), WHO (1), and EPA (3) water standards. Drinking water in Zagreb is not a significant source of copper exposure.

### Table 1 Copper concentration in drinking water of 70 households in the city of Zagreb and surroundings (Croatia)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percentile</th>
<th>Cu in first-draw water / µg L⁻¹</th>
<th>Cu in flushed water / µg L⁻¹</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>11 to 632</td>
<td>1 to 52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10th</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25th</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50th</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75th</td>
<td>285</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90th</td>
<td>433</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The concentration of copper in the tap water in Croatia was also measured by Fiket et al. (9). However, they measured copper and other trace elements in only three samples from Zagreb and one sample from Rijeka after water was flushed for 2 minutes. Median copper value for the means of triplicates measured in samples from Zagreb was 10.52 µg L⁻¹. Concentration of copper in sample from Rijeka was 1.67 µg L⁻¹.
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Sažetak

BAKAR U PITKOJ VODI KUĆANSTAVA GRADA ZAGREBA

Koncentracija bakra u pitkoj vodi određena je u 70 kućanstava grada Zagreba koja su priključena na gradski vodovod. U svakom kućanstvu uzeta su po dva uzorka pitke vode: uzorak vode uzet iz slavine odmah ujutro nakon stajanja vode u vodovodnoj cijevi tijekom noći, tj. najmanje šest sati, te uzorak vode uzet iz iste slavine nakon što je voda puštena da teče dvije minute. Ukupna koncentracija bakra određena je elektrotermičkom atomskom apsorpcijskom spektrometrijom uz Zeemanovu korekciju nespecifične apsorpcije. Osim toga, ispitan je utjecaj materijala od kojeg su izrađene vodovodne cijevi na koncentraciju bakra u pitkoj vodi. U kućanstvima s bakrenim cijevima, vrijednosti medijana i raspona bakra bile su 310 µg L⁻¹ [(27 do 632) µg L⁻¹] u uzorcima pitke vode koja je stajala u cijevima tijekom noći, te 16 µg L⁻¹ [(5 do 52) µg L⁻¹] u uzorcima vode uzetim nakon isplahnjivanja cijevi. Odgovarajuće vrijednosti bakra dobivene u kućanstvima s galvaniziranim cijevima bile su 140 µg L⁻¹ [(11 do 289) µg L⁻¹], odnosno 8 µg L⁻¹ [(1 do 42) µg L⁻¹]. Rezultati pokazuju da su koncentracije bakra izmerene u pitkoj vodi kućanstava grada Zagreba znatno niže od predloženih graničnih vrijednosti propisanih hrvatskim, WHO i EPA-standardima. Bakar u pitkoj vodi grada Zagreba nije značajan izvor izloženosti bakru.
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