DETERMINATION OF BENZODIAZEPINES IN URINE VIA BENZOPHENONE DERIVATIVES USING LIQUID CHROMATOGRAPHY-TANDEM MASS SPECTROMETRY
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The aim of this study was to validate a new method for determining benzodiazepines in urine via their benzophenone derivatives, based on liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS). Selected benzodiazepines were analysed after acid hydrolysis of urine and extraction by ethyl acetate in the presence of an internal standard. Samples were analysed using electrospray ionization LC-MS/MS in a multiple reaction monitoring mode. The chromatographic run time on a reversed phase C18 analytical column was set for 9 min. This method was validated in 21 patients receiving methadone. Benzodiazepines intake was established in two out of three patients. LC-MS/MS results were also compared with the rapid immunoassay and the methods showed good agreement. However, in three cases benzodiazepines were detected by LC-MS/MS, but not by the immunoassay. The sensitivity of the developed LC-MS/MS method is comparable to or even higher than of previously reported methods, which makes it suitable as a confirmatory method.
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Benzodiazepines are the most frequently prescribed sedatives and hypnotic drugs. There is evidence that they induce dependence and can also be abused (1). Abuse of benzodiazepines is often associated with abuse of alcohol and other psychoactive substances. It is particularly widespread among heroin addicts treated with methadone (2-4). Benzodiazepines as part of a managed methadone program alleviate some of the withdrawal symptoms of treated heroin addicts such as insomnia, nausea, anxiety, and depression. Their abuse is associated mostly with the extension of mitigation of withdrawal symptoms.

Even though benzodiazepines are structurally similar, they can not be analysed as a group because there are many pharmacologically active metabolites involved (5). Analysis of benzodiazepines and their metabolites is very important for establishing abuse or poisoning. They are mainly determined in urine and less often in serum (6). The most convenient screening methods are based on the immunoassay and only positive results need to be confirmed, usually using gas chromatography or liquid chromatography coupled with mass spectrometry (5-7). These methods are not suitable for quantification in some biological samples due to the presence of their different metabolites and/or other substances in the matrix with similar properties. This is why urine samples are usually hydrolysed with glucuronidase to obtain free drugs/metabolites or with acid to yield benzophenones (5, 8).

As heroin addicts on methadone could be abusing one or more benzodiazepines, it is important to use...
a method that can confirm the presence of several benzodiazepines at the same time.

We have developed a liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) method that determines low levels of various benzodiazepines in urine at the same time via their products of hydrolysis, the corresponding benzophenones. The advantage is that conjugated and non-conjugated metabolites of a benzodiazepine can be determined as benzophenones. However, there have been only a few reports so far on benzodiazepine analysis via their benzophenone derivatives, and these mainly refer to GC-MS (6) or HPLC with UV detection (9).

We validated our method by analysing the following benzophenones BF-bromazepam, BF-diazepam, BF-nordiazepam, BF-lorazepam and BF-clonazepam in urine. Selected benzophenones correspond to the most relevant used/abused benzodiazepines in methadone treatment. BF-nordiazepam also identifies oxazepam, which is a main metabolite of several benzodiazepines. Suitable selectivity and sufficient sensitivity of the developed method has been confirmed in real samples of addicts receiving methadone. In addition, we compared our method with the standard immunoassay.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Standards and chemicals

Diazepam, nordiazepam, lorazepam, clonazepam, and bromazepam were a gift of the Institute of Forensic Medicine (Faculty of Medicine, University of Ljubljana, Slovenia). Benzophenone standards of these benzodiazepines were obtained by acid hydrolysis according to the procedure described by Schutz (10). Using preparative chromatography, we additionally purified the standards to >99 % chromatographic purity. The identity of the benzophenones was confirmed by NMR and MS.

Acetonitrile (Sigma-Aldrich, Germany) and ammonium acetate (Fisher Scientific, UK) were of the HPLC grade and all other chemicals of the analytical grade.

Specimens

Control urine was obtained from healthy volunteers. Test urine samples, collected from 21 patients on methadone therapy (one sample per each patient), were provided by Nova Gorica Hospital, Slovenia. Urine was collected in plastic containers and frozen at -20 °C.

Urine extraction procedure

Three millilitres of urine were filtered, centrifuged, and transferred to a flask containing 5 mL of concentrated hydrochloric acid and 50 µL of internal standard (IS) (BF-clonazepam). The flask was immersed in an oil bath at 100 °C for 1 h. After cooling to room temperature, the acidity of content was adjusted to pH 9 to pH 11 by adding ammonia. After extraction with 10 mL of ethyl acetate in four steps, the organic phase was evaporated to dryness at 40 °C. The residue was redissolved in 200 µL of methanol/water solution (1:1, v/v), and an aliquot of 50 µL was injected into the LC-MS/MS instrument (Varian, USA).

Instrumentation

Chromatographic separation was carried out with a ProStar 210 liquid chromatograph (Varian, USA) on a Luna C18(2), 50 mm x 2.0 mm column with a guard column Luna C18(2), 4 mm x 2.0 mm (Phenomenex, USA), both thermostated at 50 °C. The injection volume was 50 µL. The initial mobile phase was 65 % 5 mmol L⁻¹ ammonium acetate (adjusted to pH 3.8 with acetic acid) (A) and 35 % acetonitrile (B). Gradient from 65 % A to 20 % A in 7.5 min at 0.4 mL min⁻¹ flow rate was used. The system was then re-equilibrated at the initial condition for 1.5 min at a flow of 0.7 mL min⁻¹. The total run time was 9 min.

The ProStar 210 liquid chromatograph was coupled to a mass spectrometer. The benzophenones were detected with a triple quadrupole 1200L MS/MS (Varian, USA) in a positive electrospray ionization mode. The pressure of the drying gas (nitrogen) was set to 150 kPa and the temperature to 350 °C. The collision gas (argon) pressure was 0.200 kPa. Capillary, detector, and other voltages were all optimised to give maximal signal for the benzophenones. Data were recorded in the multiple reaction monitoring (MRM) mode (Table 1). Quadrupoles Q1 and Q3 were set at one and two unit mass resolution, respectively. Instrument control, data acquisition, and quantification were performed with a Varian MS Workstation, Ver. 6.5.

The MS/MS was protected from sample contamination with a flow-diverter valve, which was programmed to allow the flow from the column to...
the MS/MS only between 2.5 min and 7.7 min. At all other times the flow was diverted to waste, and 50 % acetonitrile was delivered to the MS/MS by an infusion pump (Harvard 11+, Harvard Apparatus, USA) at 0.05 mL min\(^{-1}\).

**Method validation**

The specificity of the method was determined by analysing urine samples of six healthy control subjects. The presence or absence of interfering peaks at the retention times of benzophenones was determined. Standard calibration curves were constructed to ensure linearity of the instrument response. After filtration and centrifugation, control urine was spiked with standard solutions of each benzophenone to give final concentrations of 5 µg L\(^{-1}\), 10 µg L\(^{-1}\), 25 µg L\(^{-1}\), 50 µg L\(^{-1}\), 100 µg L\(^{-1}\), 250 µg L\(^{-1}\), and 500 µg L\(^{-1}\). The limit of detection was determined based on signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) of 3. The limit of quantification was determined as the lowest concentration on the calibration curve that exhibited acceptable accuracy (deviation less than ±20 %). Accuracy was determined by comparing concentrations calculated from the calibration curve with known concentrations of spiked urine samples. Precision (CV / %) and accuracy (bias / %) were also determined at different concentration levels on standard solutions of benzophenones (n=6). Recovery was determined at 100 µg L\(^{-1}\) and 250 µg L\(^{-1}\) (n=6) based on comparison of the peak areas of extracted samples with those of non-extracted solutions of benzophenones prepared in the reconstitution solvent with the same amount as in the spiked urine samples. Matrix effect was evaluated by comparing benzophenone responses from the spike-after-extraction samples with neat standard at the same concentration (250 µg L\(^{-1}\)). Stability was determined by comparing concentrations found in two spiked urine samples (100 µg L\(^{-1}\) and 250 µg L\(^{-1}\)) left in the thermostated autosampler at 4 °C for 12 h to the nominal values at 0 h.

**Immuoassay**

Urine samples of patients on methadone treatment were analysed using the Syva®RapidTest d.a.u.® BZO (Syva Company, USA) with a cutoff of 300 ng mL\(^{-1}\) of oxazepam.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

**Method validation**

The specificity of the LC-MS/MS method was confirmed by the absence of peaks at benzophenone retention times in blank urine samples from control subjects (Figure 1). Figure 2 shows good resolution between the analytes in a spiked blank sample and no interference by any extractible endogenous material present in urine. Table 1 shows the specificity of the method through second MRM transition for each analyte.

**Immuoassay**

Urine samples of patients on methadone treatment were analysed using the Syva®RapidTest d.a.u.® BZO (Syva Company, USA) with a cutoff of 300 ng mL\(^{-1}\) of oxazepam.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Benzophenone</th>
<th>Detection of different benzodiazepines via the same benzophenone</th>
<th>MRM transition (m/z)</th>
<th>Collision energy / eV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BF-diazepam</td>
<td>diazepam, temazepam, camazepam</td>
<td>228→193</td>
<td>-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BF-nordiazepam</td>
<td>diazepam, nordiazepam, oxazepam, medazepam, clorazepate, prazepam, pinazepam, temazepam chordiazepoxide</td>
<td>232→154</td>
<td>-8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BF-lorazepam</td>
<td>lorazepam</td>
<td>266→154</td>
<td>-8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BF-bromazepam</td>
<td>bromazepam</td>
<td>277→106</td>
<td>-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BF-clonazepam</td>
<td>clonazepam</td>
<td>260→195</td>
<td>-10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MRM: multiple reaction monitoring; the transition for quantification is underlined

### Table 1
Detection of benzodiazepines in urine via benzophenones and MRM transitions for the detection of benzophenones by LC-MS/MS
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The limit of detection for the benzophenones ranged from 0.5 µg L\(^{-1}\) to 1 µg L\(^{-1}\). Limit of quantification was set to the lowest point in the calibration curve to meet the acceptable criteria (accuracy bias <20 %), except for BF-nordiazepam where the value was 10 µg L\(^{-1}\) (Table 2).

Repeatability of the method was not established in urine samples but in standard benzophenone solutions, because of the small extract volume. Precision at 10 µg L\(^{-1}\) was better than 7.2 % and accuracy better than 13.5 % in all cases. At higher concentrations, both parameters were even better (Table 3). Extraction recoveries, measured at two concentrations, were consistent (CV<12 %) and greater than 78 % for all analytes (Table 4). This makes the method suitable for screening. The matrix effect of benzophenones, which also includes IS, was within the acceptable limits (93 % to 98 %) and thus

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Benzophenone</th>
<th>LOD / µg L(^{-1})</th>
<th>LOQ / µg L(^{-1})</th>
<th>Accuracy / %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BF-diazepam</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>12.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BF-nordiazepam</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>17.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BF-lorazepam</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>19.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BF-bromazepam</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1 Chromatogram of blank urine sample from a healthy volunteer

Figure 2 Chromatogram of a urine sample from a healthy volunteer spiked with benzophenone standards (100 µg L\(^{-1}\))
Table 3 Precision (repeatability) and accuracy of benzophenones in standard solutions by LC-MS/MS (n=6).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Benzophenone</th>
<th>10 μg L⁻¹ CV / %</th>
<th>Bias / %</th>
<th>100 μg L⁻¹ CV / %</th>
<th>Bias / %</th>
<th>250 μg L⁻¹ CV / %</th>
<th>Bias / %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BF-diazepam</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>-10.8</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BF-nordiazepam</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BF-lorazepam</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>-5.4</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BF-bromazepam</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>-8.9</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>-1.8</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CV: coefficient of variance (precision)

Figure 3 Chromatogram of a negative urine sample from a patient on methadone treatment

Figure 4 Chromatogram of a positive urine sample from a patient on methadone treatment; it shows the peaks of the benzophenones of nordiazepam, diazepam and lorazepam

ion suppression from the urine matrix was negligible for this method.

Stability evaluation of prepared spiked urine samples showed that the analytes were stable under storage conditions. The concentrations for all benzophenones ranged from 95.6 % to 105.6 %.

Choosing appropriate IS is important for achieving acceptable method performance, especially with LC-MS/MS. Use of deuterated IS is highly recommended since matrix effect should not affect the relative efficiency of the ionisation of the analyte and IS. We used structurally similar BF-clonazepam as IS because benzophenones are not commercially available as deuterated IS. Clonazepam is highly metabolised in vivo (ca. 99 %) and the endogenous content of BF-clonazepam is very low, which renders error negligible, because IS is used at a high concentration.
Determination of benzophenones in addicts on methadone treatment

Twenty-one urine samples of patients on methadone therapy were analysed for the presence of benzophenones. Chromatograms of negative and positive urine samples are shown in Figures 3 and 4, respectively. Fourteen samples were positive for both BF-diazepam and BF-nordiazepam. This indicates that diazepam has been taken, since nordiazepam is a metabolite of diazepam. A third benzophenone, BF-lorazepam, was found with the other two in only one sample. These results confirm that benzodiazepines are often co-administered to patients on methadone therapy, especially diazepam which was taken in two thirds of the studied cases. Unfortunately, we could not access medical records of patients who provided urine samples to compare reported benzodiazepine prescriptions and our findings. Even so, our findings are in line with published data on heroin addicts on methadone treatment. Detection of an additional benzodiazepine (lorazepam) makes our approach even more valuable compared to immunoassay, because it not only confirmed prescribed benzodiazepine, but also indicated to potential abuse of other benzodiazepines.

To verify the efficiency of our method we also tested the 21 urine samples for benzodiazepines using the rapid immunoassay. Twelve turned out positive, of which 11 matched the LC-MS/MS findings. The LC-MS method thus confirmed the great majority of the positive immunoassay results, with an additional three samples that were negative by immunoassay due its higher limit of detection. In fact, the sensitivity of our LC-MS/MS method for determination of benzodiazepines via benzophenones is comparable to GC-MS (6) and much higher than HPLC with UV detection (9). All this makes it a suitable confirmatory method for benzodiazepine abusers.

CONCLUSION

In current practice, urine samples are screened by the immunoassay, which is fast and convenient, and only positive results require confirmation. Benzodiazepines or their main metabolites are routinely determined in urine (7, 11, 12). To confirm benzodiazepines in urine, we have adopted an alternative approach via benzophenones. Under the conditions of acid hydrolysis of the diazepine ring to the corresponding benzophenone, it is possible that two or more different benzodiazepines could form the same benzophenone. This reduces the specificity of the method, but the overall sensitivity is increased, since all benzodiazepine metabolites (conjugated or non-conjugated) in urine are converted to the same benzophenone. Our method detects the most relevant benzodiazepines used or abused in methadone treatment (Table 1). However, it does not detect newer benzodiazepines such as alprazolam, as they do not hydrolyse to benzophenones.

Our LC-MS/MS method is flexible and can be used to detect other compounds such as methadone or heroin. This kind of versatility, selectivity, and sensitivity will definitely secure its place in laboratory practice.
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Izvleček

DOLOČANJE BENZODIAZEPINOV V URINU PREKO BENZOFENONSKIH DERIVATOV Z UPORABO TEKOČINSKE KROMATOGRAFIJE SKLOPLJENE S TANDEMSKO MASNO SPEKTROMETRIJO

Razvili smo selektivno in občutljivo metodo za določanje nekaterih benzodiazepinov v urinu preko določanja njihovih benzofenonov. Metoda temelji na tekočinski kromatografiji, sklopljeni s tandemsko masno spektrometrijo (LC-MS/MS). Izbrane benzodiazepine smo analizirali po kisli hidrolizi urinskih vzorcev in ekstrakciji z etilacetatom v prisotnosti internega standarda. Vzorce smo analizirali z elektorazprševalno ionizacijo z MRM načinom detekcije. Čas kromatografske ločbe na reverzofazni (C_{18}) analitski koloni je bil 9 min.

Metoda je bila validirana in preizkušena na 21 pacientih, ki so prejemali metadonsko terapijo. Pri dveh tretjinah primerov je bil vnos benzodiazepinov tudi potrjen. Vzorce smo testirali tudi s hitro imunokemijsko metodo in rezultate primerjali z rezultati pridobljenimi z LC-MS/MS metodo. Ugotovili smo dobro ujemanje med rezultati pridobljenimi z obema metodama. Kljub temu smo v treh primerih določili prisotnost benzodiazepinov z LC-MS/MS metodo, ki je z imunokemijsko metodo nismo. Občutljivost razvite metode je primerljiva ali celo boljša od predhodno opisanih metod, zato jo lahko uporabimo kot potrditveno metodo.

KLJUČNE BESEDE: diazepam, lorazepam, presnovki benzodiazepinov, metadonska terapija, zloraba zdravil
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