NEUROCOGNITIVE, MENTAL HEALTH, AND GLUCOSE DISORDERS IN FARMERS EXPOSED TO ORGANOPHOSPHORUS PESTICIDES
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About 25 million agricultural workers in the developing world suffer from at least one episode of poisoning each year, mainly by anticholinesterase-like organophosphates (OPs). The objective of this cross-sectional study was to establish the OP toxicity in 187 occupationally exposed farmers in terms of neurocognitive impairment, mental health status, clinical symptoms, diabetes, and hematological factors. The exposed group was compared to 187 healthy age-, sex-, and education-matching controls. Neurocognitive impairment was measured using the Subjective Neurocognition Inventory (SNI) and mental health status using the General Health Questionnaire-28 (GHQ-28). The subjects were also tested for fasting blood glucose (FBG), blood urea nitrogen (BUN), cholesterol (CL), triglycerides (TG), creatinine, oral glucose tolerance test (GTT), high-density lipoprotein (HDL), aspartate aminotransferase (AST), alanine aminotransferase (ALT), and alkaline phosphatase (ALP).

The exposed farmers showed higher FBG ($p<0.001$), BUN ($p=0.007$), CL ($p<0.001$), oral GTT ($p<0.001$), and lower AST ($p<0.001$), ALP ($p<0.001$), and creatinine ($p=0.004$) than controls. The rates of anxiety/insomnia and severe depression were also significantly higher in the farmers than in controls ($p=0.015$ and $p<0.001$, respectively). Meanwhile, the rate of social dysfunction was significantly lower than in controls ($p<0.001$). Disorders affecting psychomotor speed, selective attention, divided attention, verbal memory, nonverbal memory, prospective memory, spatial functioning, and initiative/energy were all lower in the farmers ($p<0.001$). Farmers showed clinical symptoms eczema, saliva secretion, fatigue, headache, sweating, abdominal pain, nausea, superior distal muscle weakness, inferior distal muscle weakness, inferior proximal muscle weakness, breath muscle weakness, hand tingling, foot tingling, epiphoria, polyuria, miosis, dyspnoea, bradycardia, and rhinorrhoea, which all significantly correlated with the number of working years.

These findings indicate that farmers who work with OPs are prone to neuropsychological disorders and diabetes.
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A WHO task group has lately estimated that the number of pesticide poisoning may soar to three million cases and result in more than 250,000 deaths (1). However, the problem is more complicated according to a recent survey in Asia, indicating that as many as 25 million farmhands suffer episodic poisoning every year (2). Anticholinesterase compounds, organophosphates (OPs), and carbamates (CMs) are for the most part used in farming, as well as in human and veterinary medicine. These compounds can affect mammalian systems by inhibiting acetylcholinesterase (AChE) at the synapses and muscular junctions, which may result in hypercholinergic preponderance. Apparently, the mechanisms causing brain, muscle or other organ damage by OPs are mostly related to a change in oxidant-antioxidant balance, which in turn results in free radical-mediated injury, as supported by many studies (3-10). Careless use of pesticides like OPs may cause diverse health complications by upsetting the nervous, endocrine, reproductive, and immune systems (11-13). In both types of diabetes, long-term increase in glucose starts complications in target organs. As the production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) and reactive nitrogen species (RNS) rises, so does oxidative stress (14). This, possibly together with the rise of fatty acids, favours the adverse effects of high glucose. Type 2 diabetes is more complex than type 1 and has two known mechanisms. One is insulin resistance, in which insulin is less active on peripheral tissues, and the other one is insulin deficiency, in which pancreatic beta cells cannot release insulin properly. Genes and environment are responsible for these malfunctions (15). It is estimated that the number of people who suffer from type 2 diabetes will rise from 171 million in 2000 to 366 million in 2030 (16). Many studies corroborate that OP exposure might contribute to type 2 diabetes (17-25). Although the overall evidence of neurological and neurobehavioral effects of long-term OP exposure is thought to be small, it is not that difficult to find some (26, 27). Environmental agents which have neurotoxic effects may cause a wide variety of problems, including mental retardation and disability as well as subtle changes in performance, which are mainly related to exposure time and the amount of the chemical agent (28). This points to a correlation between exposure to OP and mitochondrial toxicity, especially in the central nervous system (29-31). OPs are known to inhibit acetyl cholinesterase (AChE), but they can also act through non-cholinergic pathways (32) such as acylpeptide hydrolase (33), which is more sensitive than AChE to dichlorvos, the parent compound of metrifonate, a therapeutic agent used in the treatment of cognitive impairment and Alzheimer’s disease. However, we still know little about the direct action of acylpeptide hydrolase on cognitive processes and the physiological and molecular effect of OPs (33). Moreover, OPs can inhibit neuropathy target esterase (NTE) to cause paralysis of lower-limbs and a distal injury to long nerve axons (34). Main neurotoxic effects in humans caused by OPs include the cholinergic syndrome, the intermediate syndrome, delayed polyneuropathy, and chronic neuropsychiatric disorder (27, 35).

Acute health effects are very well known, but the long-term effects of chronic low-level exposure are still to be evaluated (8, 12, 13, 15). The aim of our study was to determine neurocognitive impairment, mental health status, clinical symptoms, diabetes incidence, and haematological disorders in farmers occupationally exposed to OP pesticides in southwest Iran.

SUBJECTS AND METHODS

This comparative cross-sectional study included 374 subjects, 252 men and 122 women. All gave informed consent before enrolment, and the study protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Board. A summary of demographic data on workers and control subjects is given in Table 1. The first group included 187 (16 to 80-year-old) horticulture farmers who worked in a village in the southwest of Iran. Control subjects consisted of 187 age-matching workers from the same village who were not engaged in any agricultural work and had no history of job-related exposure to OP pesticides. Information on working history, socioeconomic status (salary, education), and lifestyle (smoking, alcohol consumption, drug use, vitamin or antioxidant supplementation, and dietary habits) were obtained at an interview with expert examiner. Neurocognitive impairment was measured using the Subjective Neurocognition Inventory (SNI) and mental health status was evaluated using the General Health Questionnaire-28 (GHQ-28) as described elsewhere (36-38). All subjects underwent a thorough clinical examination for any signs or symptoms of chronic illnesses. Subjects with chronic illnesses or receiving drug or radiation therapy, consuming alcohol, drugs of abuse, or antioxidant supplementation or subjects...
exposed to toxins other than OPs at screening were excluded from the study.

Blood samples were collected from the subjects throughout the spraying season on Saturdays (being the first day of the work week in Iran) between 7 and 8 a.m., that is, before work. Blood was tested for fasting blood glucose (FBG), blood urea nitrogen (BUN), cholesterol (CL), triglycerides (TG), creatinine, high-density lipoprotein (HDL), aspartate aminotransferase (AST), alanine aminotransferase (ALT), and alkaline phosphatase (ALP).

To identify high-risk subjects for neurocognitive impairment, we used the Iranian version of SNI. This self-report inventory contains 76 questions about everyday memory and attention problems. Subjects had to answer each question on a five-point Likert scale from “very frequently” to “never”. The questions covered selective attention (ten items), divided attention (four items), long-term memory (seven items), prospective memory (seven items), and psychomotor retardation (nine items). The GHQ-28 was also used to identify the following four symptoms in the high-risk subjects: “somatic symptoms”, “anxiety and insomnia”, “social dysfunction”, and “severe depression”.

**Statistical analysis**

To compare worker and control data, we used the two-sided forms of Mann-Whitney U and Student’s t-tests. The association between variables was established using Spearman’s correlation coefficient. All data, except for demographic, are presented as median and quartiles or mean ± SD. The p values lower than 0.05 were considered statistically significant. The analysis was run on StatsDirect version 2.7.9 (Cheshire, UK).

**RESULTS**

Farmers and controls did not significantly differ in age, but controls smoked for significantly longer than farmers did, even though the amount of cigarettes smoked did not differ significantly (Table 1).

Table 2 shows the somatic symptoms, anxiety and insomnia, social dysfunction, and severe depression in workers and controls. The rates of anxiety and insomnia (p=0.015) and severe depression (p<0.001) were significantly higher in the farmers than controls, while the rate of social dysfunction was significantly lower than in controls (p<0.001).

Table 3 shows that psychomotor speed (PS), selective attention (SA), divided attention (DA), verbal memory (VM), nonverbal memory (NVM), prospective memory (PM), spatial functioning (SF), and initiative/energy (I/E) were significantly lower in workers than controls (p<0.001).

Table 4 shows haematological parameters in the two groups. Median FBG (p<0.001), BUN (p=0.007),

---

**Table 1** Summary of demographic data in workers and control subjects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subjects</th>
<th>Farmers (n=187)</th>
<th>Controls (n=187)</th>
<th>p value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age / years</td>
<td>37.94±12.41</td>
<td>37.05±11.78</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex Male</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>61</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work history/year</td>
<td>9.78±7.72</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking / years</td>
<td>0.39±2.45</td>
<td>1.36±5.3</td>
<td>0.026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking / cigarette per day</td>
<td>0.62±3.75</td>
<td>1.07±4.1</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data represent mean ±SD.
*Two-sided Student’s t-test

**Table 2** The status of mental health in workers and controls

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Somatic symptoms</th>
<th>Anxiety and insomnia</th>
<th>Social dysfunction</th>
<th>Severe depression</th>
<th>GHQ-28</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control (n=187)</td>
<td>7(6 to 7)</td>
<td>7(4 to 7)</td>
<td>7(7 to 7)</td>
<td>3(0 to 7)</td>
<td>24(19 to 28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farmers (n=187)</td>
<td>7(4 to 10)</td>
<td>8(4 to 11)</td>
<td>6(4 to 8)</td>
<td>5.5(2 to 9.25)</td>
<td>26.5(16.75 to 37.25)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

p value* 0.907 0.030 0.002 0.0001 0.060

Data represent median and quartiles (Q1-Q3). GHQ-28: General Health Questionnaire-28.
*Two-sided Mann-Whitney U test
Table 3 Neurocognitive impairment in controls and farmers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>PS</th>
<th>SA</th>
<th>DA</th>
<th>VM</th>
<th>NVM</th>
<th>PM</th>
<th>SF</th>
<th>I/E</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Controls (n=187)</td>
<td>31(29 to 34)</td>
<td>18(17 to 19)</td>
<td>35(32 to 38)</td>
<td>18(16 to 20)</td>
<td>32(30 to 38)</td>
<td>18(16 to 34)</td>
<td>20(17 to 26)</td>
<td>33(30 to 35)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farmers (n=187)</td>
<td>23(19 to 29)</td>
<td>29(24 to 35)</td>
<td>14(10.25 to 17.75)</td>
<td>26(20.25 to 32)</td>
<td>26.5(20.25 to 32)</td>
<td>14.5(11 to 16)</td>
<td>17(14 to 21)</td>
<td>24(19 to 31)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*P value* 0.0001 0.0001 0.0001 0.0001 0.0001 0.0001 0.0001 0.0001

Data represent the median and quartiles (Q1-Q3). PS: psychomotor speed; SA: selective attention; DA: divided attention; VM: verbal memory; NVM: nonverbal memory; PM: prospective memory; SF: spatial functioning; and I/E: initiative/energy.

*Two-sided Mann-Whitney U test

Table 4 Haematological parameters in farmers and controls

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>FBG / mg dL^{-1}</th>
<th>BUN / mg dL^{-1}</th>
<th>Creatinine / mg dL^{-1}</th>
<th>CL / mg dL^{-1}</th>
<th>TG / mg dL^{-1}</th>
<th>HDL / mg dL^{-1}</th>
<th>AST / IU L^{-1}</th>
<th>ALT / IU L^{-1}</th>
<th>ALP / IU L^{-1}</th>
<th>Oral GTT / mg dL^{-1}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Farmers (n=187)</td>
<td>84.90 (76.25 to 94)</td>
<td>31.02 (23 to 38)</td>
<td>0.83 (0.7 to 0.9)</td>
<td>174.5 (151 to 194.5)</td>
<td>44.85 (40 to 50)</td>
<td>24.52 (18 to 29)</td>
<td>20.19 (12 to 24)</td>
<td>93.14 (73.25 to 109)</td>
<td>86.27 (79 to 95)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Controls (n=187)</td>
<td>78.31 (62 to 84)</td>
<td>28.99 (23 to 34)</td>
<td>0.87 (0.8 to 0.9)</td>
<td>160.61 (143 to 180.75)</td>
<td>138.73 (115 to 170.75)</td>
<td>31.31 (25 to 34.75)</td>
<td>20.82 (15 to 25)</td>
<td>113.74 (92 to 131)</td>
<td>68.43 (70 to 80)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*P value* 0.0001 0.014 0.008 0.0001 0.77 0.051 0.0001 0.108 0.0001 0.0001

Data represent median and quartiles (Q1-Q3).

*Two-sided Mann-Whitney U test

FBG – fasting blood glucose
BUN – blood urea nitrogen
CL – cholesterol
TG – triglycerides
HDL – high-density lipoprotein
AST – aspartate aminotransferase
ALT – alanine aminotransferase
ALP – alkaline phosphatase
GTT – glucose tolerance test

CL (p<0.001), and oral GTT (p<0.001) were significantly higher in workers than controls, whereas AST (p<0.001), ALP (p<0.001), and creatinine (p=0.004) were significantly lower than in controls.

There was a positive correlation between the number of working years on one side and eczema (r²=0.403, p<0.0001), saliva secretion (r²=0.307, p<0.0001), fatigue (r²=0.433, p<0.0001), headache (r²=0.472, p<0.0001), abdominal pain (r²=0.235, p<0.0001), nausea (r²=0.265, p<0.0001), superior distal muscle weakness (r²=0.215, p<0.0001), inferior distal muscle weakness (r²=0.196, p<0.001), inferior proximal muscle weakness (r²=0.166, p<0.004), breath muscle weakness (r²=0.138, p<0.017), hand tingling (r²=0.438, p<0.0001), foot tingling (r²=0.435, p<0.0001), epiphoria (r²=0.279, p<0.0001), polyuria (r²=0.210, p<0.0001), miosis (r²=0.202, p<0.0001), dyspnœa (r²=0.345, p<0.0001), bradycardia (r²=0.191, p<0.001), and nasal flowing (r²=0.311, p<0.0001) on the other.

The interviews clearly showed that only 2.67% of the workers used proper protection at work such as masks.

DISCUSSION

Compared to controls, farmers in this study have shown significantly higher neurocognitive impairment, psychological distress, and FBG, BUN, CL, and oral GTT levels and significantly lower AST, ALP, and
creatinine. These findings suggest that exposure to OPs had resulted in a sort of toxicity in the farmers, especially as there is a positive correlation between years of work and clinical symptoms. Interviews with the farmers have shown that they did not use proper protection like masks, gloves, long boots, head cover, or face cover, and that they did not shower regularly, even though showers were available. The only safety they used was a kind of cloth mask.

Our findings support a significant positive correlation between working history and clinical symptoms in pesticide sprayers found by Singh et al. (39) in organ systems such as the respiratory, ocular, gastrointestinal, and skin. Our farmers also complained of pesticide poisoning symptoms. They showed a marked rise in neurocognitive impairment and psychological distress. Similarly, Rothlein et al. (40) reported an association between low-level pesticide exposure and deficits in neurobehavioral performance. Rohlman et al. (41) reported that exposure to low pesticide levels over many years in agriculture is associated with neurological impairment parameters such as selective attention, symbol and digit, and reaction time. Moreover, Davies et al. (42) claim to provide powerful evidence of the causal relationship between OP exposure and neuropsychiatric disorders, including mood destabilisation and cognitive impairment. In fact, many studies report higher incidence of neuropsychological disorders in OP-exposed workers (43, 44) through oxidative stress and consequent apoptotic neuronal cell death (3). OPs also induce neurocognitive disorders by inhibiting the activity of NTE acylpeptide hydrolase (33, 34).

Our finding of increased FBG is in agreement with an earlier study in workers chronically exposed to OPs (45). Small doses of bisphenol-A affected glucose metabolism in rodents in the study by Alonso-Magdalena et al. (46), which suggests that a change in glucose homeostasis may cause type 2 diabetes. This imbalance might also be caused by low-dose OP exposure through endocrine disorder (47). Various OPs can contribute to the development of obesity, dyslipidaemia, and insulin resistance - common precursors of type 2 diabetes and cardiovascular diseases (48, 49). Very recently, Pakzad et al. (50) reported that diazinon disturbs glucose homeostasis in adipose tissues through oxidative stress. All these findings make a point that OPs put glucose out of balance; they may induce diabetes by stimulating hepatic gluconeogenesis and glycogenolysis or disruption of insulin effects in peripheral organs (9).

Our present study has confirmed that farmers are prone to neuropsychological disorders and diabetes caused by OP exposure. Future studies should be investigating the effects of natural antioxidants in OP-exposed workers in the hope that they can lessen OP toxicity.
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Sažetak

POREMEĆAJI NEUROKOGNITIVNE FUNKCIJE, MENTALNOGA ZDRAVLJA I RAZINE GLUKOZE U ZEMLJORADNIKA IZLOŽENIH ORGANOFOSFORNIM PESTICIDIMA

Oko 25 milijuna zemljoradnika iz zemalja u razvoju imalo je barem jednu epizodu trovanja na godinu, uglavnom antikolinesteraznim organofosfatima. Cilj ovoga križnog ispitivanja bio je utvrditi u 187 profesionalno izloženih zemljoradnika toksično djelovanje organofosfata na neurokognitivnu funkciju, mentalno zdravlje, kliničke simptome, dijabetes i hematološke parametre. Izložena je skupina uspoređena s odgovarajućom kontrolnom skupinom od 187 ispitanika odgovarajuće dobi, spola i obrazovanja. Neurokognitivni poremećaji mjeren je s pomoću Inventara za subjektivnu procjenu neurokognitivne funkcije (izv. Subjective Neurocognition Inventory, krat. SNI), a mentalno zdravlje ocijenjeno s pomoću Upitnika o općem zdravstvenom stanju s 28 stavki (izv. General Health Questionnaire-28, krat. GHQ-28). Ispitanicima su također napravljene pretrage glukoze u krvi nataše (FBG), ureje u krvi (BUN), kolesterola (CL), triglicerida (TG), kreatinina, zatim test podnošljivosti oralne glukoze (GTT), lipoproteina visoke gustoće (HDL), aspartat aminotransferaze (AST), alanin aminotransferaze (ALT) i alkalne fosfataze (ALP).

Izloženi zemljoradnici imali su značajno više nalaze FBG-a ($p<0.001$), BUN-a ($p=0.007$), CL-a ($p<0.001$) i GTT-a ($p<0.001$) te značajno niže nalaze AST-a ($p<0.001$), ALP-a ($p<0.001$) i kreatinina ($p=0.004$) od kontrolnih ispitanika. Također su u odnosu na kontrolu značajno češće imali tjeskobe/nesanice, odnosno snažnu depresiju ($p=0.015$, odnosno $p<0.001$). S druge strane, učestalost poremećaja socijalne funkcije bila je značajno manja nego u kontrolnih ispitanika ($p<0.001$). Svi poremećaji koji utječu na brzinu psihomotornog odgovora, selektivnu pažnju, podijeljenu pažnju, verbalno pamćenje, neverbalno pamćenje, prospektivno pamćenje, prostornu funkciju te inicijativu/energiju bili su slabije izraženi u zemljoradnika ($p<0.001$). Zemljoradnici su iskazali kliničke simptome poput ekceama, slijenja, umora, glavobolje, znojenja, boli u trbuhu, mućnine, slabosti gornjih i donjih, distalnih i proksimalnih mišića te respiratornih mišića, trnaca u šakama i stopalima, epiforije, poliurije, mioze, dispneje, bradikardije i curenja iz nosa, a svi su simptomi bili značajno povezani s godinama staža. Ovi rezultati ispitivanja upućuju na to da su zemljoradnici koji rade s organofosfatima skloniji neuropsihološkim poremećajima i dijabetesu.

**KLJUČNE RIJEČI:** kognitivni poremećaji, šećerna bolest, trovanje organofosfornim spojevima
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