Existence and multiplicity of solutions for a class of superlinear elliptic systems

In this paper, we establish the existence and multiplicity of solutions for a class of superlinear elliptic systems without Ambrosetti and Rabinowitz growth condition. Our results are based on minimax methods in critical point theory.
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1 Introduction and main results

We consider the following elliptic system:

\[
\begin{align*}
-\Delta u &= v^{p-1} \quad \text{in } \Omega, \\
-\Delta v &= f(u) \quad \text{in } \Omega, \\
u = v &= 0 \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega,
\end{align*}
\]

(1.1)

where \(1 < p \leq 2\), \(\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N\) \((N \geq 2)\) is a smooth bounded domain and \(f \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})\). Here and in the following, we denote \(s^a = \text{sgn}(s)|s|^a\).

If \(f(s) = s^{q-1}\), system (1.1) is known as the Lane–Emden system (see [11, 15, 20]). Recently, the existence and multiplicity of solutions for system (1.1) has been studied by many authors, see [4, 9, 14, 16, 17, 19–22, 26–29, 31]. Especially, de Figueiredo and Ruf [17] proved the existence of nontrivial solutions for system (1.1), where the function \(f\) is superlinear and with no growth restriction. By using variational and perturbative methods, Salvatore [29] established the existence of infinitely many solutions both in the symmetric and in the non-symmetric cases. The following result is stated in [29].

**Theorem 1.1** ([29, Theorem 1.1]). Let \(1 < p \leq 2\) if \(N = 2, 3\) or \(1 < p < \frac{N}{N-2}\) if \(N \geq 4\). Assume that \(f\) verifies following conditions:

(f1) there exist constants \(\mu > q\) and \(s_0 \geq 0\) such that

\[0 < \mu F(s) \leq f(s)s \quad \text{for all } |s| \geq s_0,\]

where \(F(s) = \int_0^s f(t) \, dt\) and \(q = \frac{p}{p-1}\).

(f2) \(f(s) = o(s^{q-1})\) for \(s\) near 0.

Then, problem (1.1) has a nontrivial solution. If, further, \(f\) is odd, then problem (1.1) has infinitely many pairs of solutions. Moreover, if \(f\) is Hölder continuous, the solutions are classical.
Here, condition (f1) is the classical Ambrosetti–Rabinowitz condition, which was first used in [2]. Over the last few decades, the Ambrosetti–Rabinowitz condition has appeared in various kinds of studies for superlinear problems, e.g., elliptic equations, Hamiltonian systems and wave equations, see [12, 17, 20, 23–25, 27, 29, 32] and references therein. Some researchers have been successful in replacing the Ambrosetti–Rabinowitz condition by other superquadratic conditions. In [9], Chen and Zou introduced a class of new condition which is weaker than the Ambrosetti–Rabinowitz condition. They improved Theorem 1.1 and showed that the conclusion of Theorem 1.1 remains valid if (f1) is replaced by the following condition (see [9, Theorem 1.1]):

(f1') There exist a constant $s_0 \geq 0$ and a decreasing function $\theta(s) \in C(\mathbb{R} \setminus (-s_0, s_0))$, such that

$$0 < (q + \theta(s))F(s) \leq f(s)s \quad \text{for all } |s| \geq s_0,$$

where $\theta(s) > 0$ and $\lim_{|s| \to +\infty} |s|\theta(s) = \lim_{|s| \to +\infty} \int_{s_0}^{\pm \infty} \frac{\theta(t)}{t} dt = +\infty.$

In this paper, motivated by the works [9, 17, 27, 29], we consider the existence and multiplicity of solutions for problem (1.1). We state our main results in the following theorems.

**Theorem 1.2.** Let $1 < p \leq 2$ if $N = 2, 3$ or $1 < p < \frac{N}{N-2}$ if $N \geq 4$. Suppose that $f$ satisfies the following conditions:

(f3) there exists a positive constant $s_0 > 0$ such that

$$F(s) s - qF(s) \geq \frac{F(s)}{|s|^q} \quad \text{for all } |s| \geq s_0, \quad \text{where } q = \frac{p}{p-1},$$

(f4) $\lim_{|s| \to +\infty} \frac{F(s)}{|s|^q} = +\infty,$

(f5) $\lim_{|s| \to 0} \frac{F(s)}{|s|^q} = 0.$

Then, problem (1.1) has a nontrivial solution. If, further, $f$ is odd, then problem (1.1) has infinitely many pairs of solutions. Moreover, if $f$ is Hölder continuous, then the solutions are classical.

**Remark 1.3.** For Hamiltonian systems, the corresponding condition (f3) is due to Tang and Wu [30].

**Remark 1.4.** Obviously, we deduce from condition (f1') that there is a positive constant $M$ such that

$$F(s) \geq M|s|^q e^{\int_{s_0}^{|s|} a(t) dt} = M|s|^q G(|s|) \quad \text{for all } |s| \geq s_0,$$

where $G(|s|) = e^{\int_{s_0}^{|s|} a(t) dt}$. Then, one has

$$\frac{F(s)}{|s|^q} \geq MG(|s|) \to +\infty \quad \text{as } |s| \to +\infty.$$

Since $\lim_{|s| \to +\infty} |s|\theta(s) = +\infty$, there exists some positive constant $s_0 > 1$ such that

$$\theta(s) > |s|^{-1} > |s|^{-q} \quad \text{for all } |s| \geq s_0.$$

Thus, condition (f1') implies (f3) and (f4). Hence, our Theorem 1.2 generalizes [29, Theorem 1.1] and [9, Theorem 1.1]. There are functions $F$ satisfying the assumptions of our Theorem 1.2 but not satisfying the corresponding assumptions in [9, 29]. For example,

$$F(s) = |s|^q \ln(1 + |s|^q) + \sin |s|^q - \ln(1 + |s|^q) \quad \text{for all } s \in \mathbb{R}.$$

**Theorem 1.5.** Let $N \geq 4$, $\frac{N}{N-2} \leq p \leq 2$ and $q = \frac{p}{p-1}$. Suppose that (f4), (f5) hold and

(f6) there exist two positive constants $M_0$ and $\eta$ such that $|f(s)| \leq M_0(|s|^{q-1} + 1)$, where $\eta \in (q, \frac{Nq}{N-2q})$ if $2 \leq q < \frac{N}{2}$, or $\eta \in (q, +\infty)$ if $q = \frac{N}{2},$

(f7) there exists a constant $\beta > N(\eta - q)/2q$ such that

$$\lim_{|s| \to +\infty} \frac{F(s) s - qF(s)}{|s|^\beta} > 0.$$

Then, problem (1.1) has a nontrivial solution. If, further, $f$ is odd, then problem (1.1) has infinitely many pairs of solutions. Moreover, if $f$ is Hölder continuous, then the solutions are classical.
Remark 1.6. Theorem 1.5 generalizes [29, Theorem 1.2]. There are functions satisfying the assumptions of our Theorem 1.5 and not satisfying the assumptions in [29]. For example,

\[ F(s) = |s|^q \ln(1 + |s|^q) \]

satisfies our Theorem 1.5, but does not satisfy (f1), and hence the corresponding results in [17, 27, 29] are not applicable.

Theorem 1.7. The conclusion of Theorem 1.5 remains valid if we replace (f5), (f6) and (f7) by (f2) and the following condition

(f8) there exist three positive constants \( s_1 \) and \( m_1, m_2 \) such that

1. \( f(s)s - qF(s) \geq m_1|s|^q, \text{if } |s| \geq s_1, \)
2. \( |f(s)|^q \leq m_2(f(s)s - qF(s))|s|^{q-1} \), \( \text{if } |s| \geq s_1, \) where \( \sigma > N/(2q) \).

Remark 1.8. For Schrödinger equations, the corresponding condition (f8) is due to Ding and Luan [13]. The condition (f8) is weaker than the usual Ambrosetti–Rabinowitz-type condition (f1) (see [13]). Thus, Theorem 1.7 extends [29, Theorem 1.2].

We shall also study the following nonhomogeneous system:

\[
\begin{aligned}
-\Delta u &= \nu^{p-1} \quad \text{in } \Omega, \\
-\Delta v &= f(u) + h(x) \quad \text{in } \Omega, \\
u &= v = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega.
\end{aligned}
\] (1.2)

By using perturbative methods, we shall establish the following multiplicity results.

Theorem 1.9. Let \( 1 < p \leq 2 \) and \( q = \frac{p}{p-1} \). Suppose that (f4), (f5), (f6) and (f7) hold, where \( \eta \in (q + \frac{2q}{N}, \frac{Nq}{N - 2q}) \) if \( 2 \leq q < \frac{N}{2} \), or \( \eta \in (q + \frac{2q}{N}, +\infty) \) if \( q = \frac{N}{2} \). If \( f \) is odd, then for any \( h \in L^{\beta/(\beta-1)} \) system (1.2) has infinitely many solutions, where

\[
\frac{\beta}{\beta - 1} < \frac{2q\eta}{N(\eta - q)} - 1.
\]

Furthermore, if \( f \) is Hölder continuous and \( h \in C^1(\overline{\Omega}) \), then the solutions are classical.

Theorem 1.10. Suppose that (f2), (f4) and (f8) hold. If \( f \) is odd, then for any \( h \in L^p \) with \( p + 1 < 2q\sigma/N \), system (1.2) has infinitely many solutions. Furthermore, if \( f \) is Hölder continuous and \( h \in C^1(\overline{\Omega}) \), then the solutions are classical.

2 Proof of main results

For \( 1 < p \leq 2 \), system (1.2) can be written as

\[
\begin{aligned}
(-\Delta u)^{1/p} &= \nu \quad \text{in } \Omega, \\
-\Delta v &= f(u) + h(x) \quad \text{in } \Omega, \\
u &= v = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega.
\end{aligned}
\]

Thus, system (1.2) is equivalent to the following fourth-order equation:

\[
\begin{aligned}
-\Delta(-\Delta u)^{1/p} &= f(u) + h(x) \quad \text{in } \Omega, \\
\Delta u &= 0 \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega.
\end{aligned}
\] (2.1)

To set our problem variationally, we consider the space \( E = W^{2,q}(\Omega) \cap W^{1,q}_0(\Omega) \) endowed with the norm

\[
\|u\|_E = \left( \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u|^q \right)^{1/q},
\]
which is equivalent to usual intersection norm (see [18, Lemma 9.17])

$$
\|u\|_E = \max \left\{ \|u\|_{W^{2,q}}, \|u\|_{W^{1,q}} \right\}.
$$

From [29, Proposition 2.1], it is easy to see that the weak solutions of problem (1.2) are the critical points of the energy functional

$$
\Phi(u) = \frac{1}{q} \int_\Omega |\Delta u|^q \, dx - \int_\Omega F(u) \, dx + \int_\Omega h u \, dx, \quad u \in E.
$$

Hence, the problem of finding weak solutions of problem (1.2) is equal to the one of seeking the critical points of $\Phi$.

**Proposition 2.1** ([29, Proposition 2.2]). Let $1 < p \leq 2$, let $f$ be Hölder continuous and let $h \in C^1(\Omega)$. Let $u \in E$ be a weak solution of (2.1) and $v = -(\Delta u)^{\frac{2}{3}}$. Then $(u, v)$ is a classical solution of (1.2).

The following embedding results are well known in the literature (see [1]):

(i) $1 < p \leq 2$ if $N = 2, 3$ or $1 < p < \frac{N}{N-2}$ if $N \geq 4$, one has $q > \frac{N}{2}$, then the imbedding $W^{2,q}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow C(\Omega)$ is compact, where $C(\Omega)$ is the space of the continuous bounded functions on $\Omega$ with the norm

$$
\|u\|_C = \sup_{x \in \Omega} |u(x)|.
$$

Hence, there exists a positive constant $K_C$ such that

$$
\|u\|_C \leq K_C \|u\| \quad \text{for all } u \in E. \quad (2.2)
$$

(ii) $p = \frac{N}{N-2}, \ N \geq 4$, i.e. $q = \frac{N}{2}$, then the imbedding $W^{2,\frac{N}{2}}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L'$, for $2 < r < +\infty$ is compact. Hence, there exists a positive constant $K_r$ such that

$$
\|u\|_r \leq K_r \|u\|, \quad 2 < r < +\infty \quad \text{for all } u \in E. \quad (2.3)
$$

(iii) $p \leq 2$, $N \geq 4$, one has $q < \frac{N}{2}$, then the imbedding $W^{2,q}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{\frac{Nq}{N-2q}}$ is continuous. The embedding $W^{2,q}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L'$, for $q < r < \frac{Nq}{N-2q}$ is compact. Hence, there exists a positive constant $K_q$ such that

$$
\|u\|_r \leq K_q \|u\|, \quad q < r \leq \frac{Nq}{N-2q} = q^{**} \quad \text{for all } u \in E. \quad (2.4)
$$

For reader’s convenience, we recall an abstract result introduced by Bolle in [6, 7] for dealing problems with symmetry breaking. Following [10], here we state a version of this theorem which involves functionals which are of class $C^1$.

Let $E$ be a Banach space with $E = E^- \oplus E^+$, where dim$(E^-) < +\infty$, and let $\{e_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ be a basis of $E^+$. Set

$$
E_0 = E^-, \quad E_{k+1} = E_k \oplus \mathbb{R} e_{k+1}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N},
$$

so that

$$
E_0 \subset E_1 \subset \cdots \subset E_k \subset \cdots \subset E.
$$

Let

$$
J(\theta) = J(\theta, \cdot) : [0, 1] \times E \to \mathbb{R}, \quad \theta \in [0, 1]
$$

be a $C^1$ functional and $J'_\theta(u) = \frac{\partial J}{\partial u}(\theta, u)$. Then $J$ has the following four properties:

(i) $J$ satisfies the following variant of the classical condition (C): any sequence $((\theta_n, u_n))_n \subset [0, 1] \times E$ such that $(J(\theta_n, u_n))_n$ is bounded and $\lim_{n \to \infty} (1 + \|u_n\|) |J'_\theta(\theta_n, u_n)| = 0$ converges up to subsequences,

(ii) for any $b > 0$ there exists $M_b > 0$ such that

$$
|J'_\theta(u)| \leq b \implies |\frac{\partial J}{\partial \theta}(\theta, u)| \leq M_b (\|J'_\theta(u)\| + 1) (\|u\| + 1), \quad (\theta, u) \in [0, 1] \times E,
$$

...
Lemma 2.4

Remark 2.3. If (i1) or (i2) is verified, then there exist two continuous maps \( g_1, g_2 : [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \), Lipschitz continuous with respect to the second variable, such that \( g_1(\theta, \cdot) \leq g_2(\theta, \cdot) \) and if \( (\theta, u) \in [0, 1] \times E \) then

\[
J'_\theta(u) = 0 \implies g_1(\theta, J_\theta(u)) \leq \frac{\partial J}{\partial \theta}(\theta, u) \leq g_2(\theta, J_\theta(u)),
\]

Proof of Theorem 1.2. 2.1 The homogeneous case

Let \( \psi_i : [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \) be the flow associated to \( g_i \), i.e. the solution of the problem

\[
\begin{cases}
\frac{\partial \psi_i}{\partial \theta}(\theta, s) = g_i(\theta, \psi_i(\theta, s)), \\
\psi_i(0, s) = s.
\end{cases}
\]

Here, \( \psi_i(\theta, \cdot) \) is continuous, non-decreasing on \( \mathbb{R} \) and \( \psi_1(\theta, \cdot) \leq \psi_2(\theta, \cdot) \). Let

\[
g_1(s) = \sup_{\theta \in [0,1]} |g_1(\theta, s)|, \quad g_2(s) = \sup_{\theta \in [0,1]} |g_2(\theta, s)|.
\]

Thus we can define

\[
\Gamma_k = \{ y \in C(E_k \cap B_{R_k}(0), E) : y \text{ odd}, y(u) = u \text{ if } u \in E_k \cap \partial B_{R_k}(0) \}, \quad c_k = \inf_{y \in \Gamma_k} \sup_{u \in E_k \cap B_{R_k}(0)} J_\theta(y(u)).
\]

Let \( \psi_i : [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \) be the flow associated to \( g_i \), i.e. the solution of the problem

\[
\begin{cases}
\frac{\partial \psi_i}{\partial \theta}(\theta, s) = g_i(\theta, \psi_i(\theta, s)), \\
\psi_i(0, s) = s.
\end{cases}
\]

Here, \( \psi_i(\theta, \cdot) \) is continuous, non-decreasing on \( \mathbb{R} \) and \( \psi_1(\theta, \cdot) \leq \psi_2(\theta, \cdot) \). Let

\[
g_1(s) = \sup_{\theta \in [0,1]} |g_1(\theta, s)|, \quad g_2(s) = \sup_{\theta \in [0,1]} |g_2(\theta, s)|.
\]

Now, we are in the position to state the following Theorem (for a proof, see [6, Theorem 3] and [7, Theorem 3]).

Theorem 2.2. There exists a constant \( C \in \mathbb{R} \) such that if \( k \in \mathbb{N} \), then

(i1) either \( J_\theta \) has a critical level \( c_k \) with \( \psi_2(1, c_k) < \psi_1(1, c_{k+1}) \leq c_k \),

(ii) or \( c_{k+1} - c_k \leq C(1(1) + g_1(1) + 1)

Remark 2.3. If \( g_2 \geq 0 \) in \( [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R} \), the function \( \psi_2(\cdot, s) \) is non-decreasing on \( [0, 1] \). Hence, \( c_k \leq \hat{c}_k \) for all \( c_k \) verifying case (i1).

Lemma 2.4 ([29, Lemma 5.1]). Let \( \Omega \) be a smooth bounded domain. There exists a Schauder basis \( \{e_i\}_k \) in \( W^{2,q}(\Omega) \) such that for \( r \in [q, \frac{Nq}{N-2q}] \) there is \( C_1 > 0 \) such that

\[
C_1 k^{\frac{N}{2} + \frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{r}} \|u\|_r \leq \|u\|_{W^{2,q}} \text{ for all } u \in \overline{\text{span}}[e_k, e_{k+1}, \ldots].
\]

We shall now prove our results.

2.1 The homogeneous case \( h = 0 \)

Proof of Theorem 1.2. As shown in [5], a deformation lemma can be proved with the weaker condition (C) which is due to Cerami [8] replacing the usual Palais–Smale condition, and it turns out that the Mountain Pass Theorem and the symmetric version of Mountain Pass Theorem (see [2, 24]) hold true under condition (C).

First, we will prove that \( \Phi \) satisfies condition (C), i.e. for every sequence \( \{u_n\} \subset E \), \( \{u_n\} \) has a convergent subsequence if

\[
\{\Phi(u_n)\} \text{ is bounded and } (1 + \|u_n\|)\|\Phi'(u_n)\| \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty.
\]
Let $L_0$ be a positive constant such that
\[ |\Phi(u_n)| \leq L_0, \quad (1 + \|u_n\|)\|\Phi'(u_n)\| \leq L_0. \tag{2.5} \]

By using a standard argument, we shall prove that $\{u_n\}$ is a bounded sequence in $E$. If not, we can assume that $\|u_n\| \to +\infty$ as $n \to \infty$. Let $w_n = \frac{u_n}{\|u_n\|}$, so that $\|w_n\| = 1$. If necessary considering a subsequence, we can suppose that
\[ w_n \rightharpoonup w \quad \text{weakly in } E, \]
\[ w_n \to w \quad \text{strongly in } C(\Omega) \tag{2.6} \]
as $n \to \infty$.

From (2.5) and (2.6), we have
\[ \left| \int_{\Omega} \frac{F(u_n)}{\|u_n\|^q} \, dx - \frac{1}{q} \right| \leq \frac{L_0}{\|u_n\|^q}. \tag{2.7} \]

By (f4), there exist two constants $s_2 > s_0$ and $L_1$ such that
\[ F(s) \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } |s| \geq s_2, \tag{2.8} \]
and
\[ |f(s)| \leq L_1, \quad |F(s)| \leq L_1 \quad \text{for all } |s| \leq s_2. \tag{2.9} \]

Hence, it follows that
\[ F(s) \geq -L_1, \quad s \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{2.10} \]

If $w \neq 0$, letting $\Omega_1^+ = \{ x \in \Omega : |w(x)| > 0 \}$ and $\Omega_1^- = \Omega \setminus \Omega_1^+$, one has $|\Omega_1^+| > 0$, where $|\cdot|$ is the Lebesgue measure. Since $\|u_n\| \to +\infty$, we get $|u_n| \to +\infty$ as $n \to \infty$ for $x \in \Omega_1^+$. From (f4), we obtain
\[ \lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{F(u_n)}{\|u_n\|^q} = +\infty \quad \text{on } \Omega_1^+, \]
It follows from (2.10) and Fatou’s lemma that
\[ \liminf_{n \to +\infty} \int_{\Omega} \frac{F(u_n)}{\|u_n\|^q} \, dx \geq \liminf_{n \to +\infty} \left( \int_{\Omega_1^+} \frac{F(u_n)}{\|u_n\|^q} |w_n|^q \, dx - \|u_n\|^{-q}L_1|\Omega_1^-| \right) = +\infty, \]
which contradicts (2.7). Thus, $\|u_n\|$ is bounded.

If $w \equiv 0$, by (2.7), we have
\[ \lim_{n \to +\infty} \int_{\Omega} \frac{F(u_n)}{\|u_n\|^q} \, dx = \frac{1}{q}. \tag{2.11} \]

By (f5), there exists a constant $s_3$ with $s_3 < s_0$ such that
\[ |F(s)| \leq |s|^q \quad \text{for all } |s| \leq s_3. \tag{2.12} \]

It follows from (f3), (2.8), (2.9) and (2.12) that
\[ \frac{|F(s)|}{|s|^q} \leq f(s)s - qF(s) + 1 + s_3^{-q}L_1 = f(s)s - qF(s) + L_2 \quad \text{for all } s \in \mathbb{R}, \]
where $L_2 = 1 + s_3^{-q}L_1$. Thus, it follows that
\[ \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{F(u_n)}{\|u_n\|^q} \right| \, dx \leq \int_{\Omega} \left( f(u_n) - qF(u_n) \right) \, dx + L_2 \, |\Omega|
= q\Phi(u_n) - \langle \Phi'(u_n), u_n \rangle + L_2 \, |\Omega| \leq (q + 1)L_0 + L_2 \, |\Omega|. \]
Hence, we find

\[ \left| \int \frac{F(u_n)}{\|u_n\|^q} \, dx \right| \leq \|w_n\|^q_{\infty}((q + 1)L_0 + L_2|\Omega|) \to 0 \]

as \( n \to \infty \), which is a contradiction to (2.11). So, \( \|u_n\| \) is bounded. And, condition (C) holds.

Next, we will show that \( \Phi \) satisfies the conditions of the Mountain Pass Theorem. Let \( S = \{ v \in E : \|v\| = 1 \} \).

Fix some \( v \in S \), so that

\[ \|v\|_q = \left( \frac{1}{\Omega} |v|^q \, dx \right)^{1/q} > 0. \]

We find from condition (f4) that for \( L_v = \frac{2}{q|v|^q} \) there exists a positive constant \( L_3 \) such that

\[ \Phi(s) \geq L_v |s|^q - L_3, \quad s \in \mathbb{R}. \]

Thus, we find

\[ \Phi(\lambda v) = \frac{1}{q} \int_\Omega |\Delta(\lambda v)|^q \, dx - \frac{1}{q} \int_\Omega |\lambda v|^q \, dx \leq \frac{|\lambda|^q}{q} - L_v |\lambda|^q \int_\Omega |v|^q \, dx + L_3|\Omega|, \]

and hence

\[ \lim_{|\lambda| \to +\infty} \Phi(\lambda v) = -\infty. \] (2.13)

From (f5), there exists a positive constant \( \delta > 0 \) such that

\[ \frac{|F(s)|}{|s|^q} \leq \frac{1}{2qK^q_C|\Omega|} \quad \text{for all } |s| \leq \delta. \] (2.14)

For \( u \in E \) with \( \|u\| \leq \delta/K_C \), we have \( \|u\|_\infty \leq \delta \). We conclude from (2.14) that

\[ \Phi(u) \geq \frac{1}{q} \int_\Omega |\Delta u|^q \, dx - \frac{1}{q} \int_\Omega |u|^q \, dx \geq \frac{1}{q} \|u\|^q - \frac{1}{2qK^q_C|\Omega|} \|u\|^q \geq \frac{1}{2q} \|u\|^q. \]

Choose \( \rho \in (0, \delta/K_C) \); then there exists \( \alpha > 0 \) such that

\[ \inf_{\|u\| = \rho} \Phi(u) \geq \alpha > 0. \] (2.15)

Obviously, we have \( \Phi(0) = 0 \). Thus, it follows from (2.13) and (2.15) that \( \Phi \) satisfies the conditions of the Mountain Pass Theorem (see [2, 24]) with condition (C). Hence, there exists a nontrivial critical point, which implies that the problem (1.1) has a nontrivial solution.

Further, if \( f \) is odd, then \( \Phi \) is even. Following the above arguments, it is easy to find that \( \Phi \) satisfies all the conditions of the symmetric version of Mountain Pass Theorem (see [2, 24]) with condition (C). Thus, Theorem 1.2 holds.

**Proof of Theorem 1.5.** First, we claim that \( \Phi \) satisfies condition (C), i.e. for every sequence \( \{u_n\} \subset E \), \( \{u_n\} \) has a convergent subsequence if \( |\Phi(u_n)| \) is bounded and \( (1 + \|u_n\|)\|\Phi'(u_n)\| \to 0 \) as \( n \to \infty \).

Let \( M_1 > 0 \) be a constant such that

\[ |\Phi(u_n)| \leq M_1, \quad (1 + \|u_n\|)\|\Phi'(u_n)\| \leq M_1. \] (2.16)

From a standard argument, we only need to show that \( \{u_n\} \) is a bounded sequence in \( E \).

From (f7), we find that there exist two constants \( M_2, M_3 > 0 \) such that

\[ f(s)s - qF(s) \geq M_2 |s|^q - M_3 \quad \text{for all } s \in \mathbb{R}. \] (2.17)
Thus, by (2.16) and (2.17), we have
\[
(q + 1)M_1 \geq q\Phi(u_n) - \langle \Phi'(u_n), u_n \rangle = \int_{\Omega} (f(u_n)u_n - qF(u_n)) \, dx \geq M_2 \int_{\Omega} |u_n|^\beta \, dx - M_5|\Omega|.
\]
Hence, there exists a positive constant $M_6$ such that
\[
\int_{\Omega} |u_n|^\beta \, dx < M_6. \tag{2.18}
\]

By (f6) and (f7), it is clear that $\eta \geq \beta$. If $\eta = \beta$, then $\|u_n\|$ is obviously bounded in $E$. Thus, it suffices to consider the case $\eta > \beta$.

Starting with $2 \leq q < \frac{N}{\eta}$, we have
\[
\frac{N(\eta - q)}{2q} < \eta < \frac{Nq}{N - 2q}.
\]
Choosing $\alpha = \frac{Nq(\eta - \beta)}{Nq + 2q\beta - 2q\eta}$, we get $0 < \alpha < q$. Set
\[
\tau = \frac{\beta}{\eta - \alpha} = \frac{Nq + 2q\beta - 2q\eta}{Nq + 2q\eta - \eta N} > 1.
\]

We conclude from Hölder’s inequality, (2.4) and (2.18) that
\[
\int_{\Omega} |u_n|^q \, dx = \int_{\Omega} |u_n|^{q - \alpha} |u_n|^\alpha \, dx = \int_{\Omega} |u_n|^\beta |u_n|^\alpha \, dx \\
\leq \left( \int_{\Omega} |u_n|^{\beta/\tau} \, dx \right)^{1/\tau} \left( \int_{\Omega} |u_n|^{\tau\alpha} \, dx \right)^{1/\tau} \leq M_4^{1/\tau} K_q^{\alpha} \|u_n\|^\alpha \tag{2.19}
\]
for all $n$, where $\tau' = \tau/(\tau - 1) = q^{\ast \ast}/\alpha$.

From (f6), (2.4) and (2.19), there exists a positive constant $M_5$ such that
\[
\langle \Phi'(u_n), u_n \rangle = \|u_n\|^q - \int_{\Omega} f(u_n)u_n \, dx \\
\geq \|u_n\|^q - \int_{\Omega} |f(u_n)||u_n| \, dx \geq \|u_n\|^q - M_0 \int_{\Omega} |u_n|^q + |u_n| \, dx \\
= \|u_n\|^q - M_0 \int_{\Omega} |u_n|^q - M_0 \int_{\Omega} |u_n| \, dx \geq \|u_n\|^q - M_0^{1/\tau} K_q^{\alpha} \|u_n\|^\alpha - M_5\|u_n\|
\]
for all $n$. Since $q > \alpha$, by (2.16), $\|u_n\|$ is bounded in $E$.

When $q = \frac{N}{\tau}$, we consider the case $\eta \in (q, +\infty)$ and $\beta > \eta - q$. Let $\alpha_1 = \frac{q + \eta - \beta}{2}$, to have $0 < \alpha_1 < q$. Set
\[
\tau_1 = \frac{\beta}{\eta - \alpha_1} = \frac{2\beta}{\eta - q + \beta} > 1.
\]

We conclude from Hölder’s inequality, (2.3) and (2.18) that
\[
\int_{\Omega} |u_n|^q \, dx = \int_{\Omega} |u_n|^{q - \alpha_1} |u_n|^\alpha \, dx = \int_{\Omega} |u_n|^{\beta/\tau_1} |u_n|^{\alpha_1} \, dx \\
\leq \left( \int_{\Omega} |u_n|^{\beta/\tau_1} \, dx \right)^{1/\tau_1} \left( \int_{\Omega} |u_n|^{\tau_1\alpha_1} \, dx \right)^{1/\tau_1} \leq M_4^{1/\tau_1} K_{\tau_1\alpha_1} \|u_n\|^\alpha \tag{2.20}
\]
for all $n$, where $\tau_1' = \tau_1/(\tau_1 - 1)$. 
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Now from (f6), (2.3) and (2.20), we find
\[
\langle \Phi'(u_n), u_n \rangle = \|u_n\|^q - \int_\Omega f(u_n)u_n \, dx \geq \|u_n\|^q - \int_\Omega |f(u_n)||u_n| \, dx \geq \|u_n\|^q - M_0 \left(\|u_n\|^q + |u_n|\right) \, dx
\]
\[
= \|u_n\|^q - M_0 \int_\Omega |u_n| \, dx - M_0 \int_\Omega |u_n| \, dx \geq \|u_n\|^q - M_0M_1^{1/r}K^{a_1}_{r,a_1}\|u_n\|^{a_1} - M_6\|u_n\|
\]
for all n and some positive constant \(M_6 > 0\). Since \(q > \alpha_1\), \(\|u_n\|\) is bounded in \(E\).

Thus it follows that \(\{u_n\}\) is bounded in \(E\). From a standard argument, it follows that \(\Phi\) satisfies condition (C).

Finally, from (f4) and (f5), as in the proof of Theorem 1.2, the conclusion follows immediately.

**Proof of Theorem 1.7.** We claim that \(\Phi\) satisfies condition (C), i.e. for every sequence \(\{u_n\} \subset E\), \(\{u_n\}\) has a convergent subsequence if \(\langle \Phi(u_n) \rangle\) is bounded and
\[
(1 + \|u_n\|)\|\Phi'(u_n)\| \to 0 \quad \text{as } n \to \infty.
\]

It follows from (f8) that there exist two positive constants \(m_3\) and \(m_4\) such that
\[
m_3 \geq q\Phi(u_n) - \langle \Phi'(u_n), u_n \rangle = \int_\Omega (f(u_n)u_n - qF(u_n)) \, dx \geq m_1 \int_\Omega |u_n|^q \, dx - m_4|\Omega|.
\]

Thus, we find
\[
\int_\Omega |u_n|^q \, dx \leq m_5
\]
for all n and some positive constant \(m_5\). Assume by contradiction that \(\|u_n\| \to \infty\) as \(n \to \infty\). Setting \(v_n = \frac{u_n}{\|u_n\|}\), by (2.22) we get
\[
\int_\Omega |v_n|^q \, dx = \frac{1}{\|u_n\|^q} \int_\Omega |u_n|^q \, dx \leq \frac{m_5}{\|u_n\|^q} \to 0 \quad \text{as } n \to \infty.
\]

Since
\[
\langle \Phi'(u_n), u_n \rangle = \|u_n\|^q - \int_\Omega f(u_n)u_n \, dx = \|u_n\|^q - \left(1 - \frac{\int_\Omega f(u_n)u_n}{\|u_n\|^q}\right) \int_\Omega |u_n|^q \, dx,
\]
we have
\[
1 - \frac{\int_\Omega f(u_n)u_n}{\|u_n\|^q} \int_\Omega |u_n|^q \, dx = o(1).
\]

If \(2 \leq q < \frac{N}{2}\), by \(\|v_n\|_{L^q} \leq K_r\) for all \(r \in (q, \frac{Nq}{N-2q})\). Hence, for \(r \in (q, \frac{Nq}{N-2q})\), we deduce from Hölder’s inequality and (2.23) that
\[
\int_\Omega |v_n|^{q'} \, dx \leq K_{q,r}^{q'-q}\int_\Omega |v_n|^q \, dx \left(\int_\Omega |v_n|^{q'} \, dx\right)^{(q'-q)/(q''-q)} \to 0 \quad \text{as } n \to \infty.
\]

From (f2), (f8), (2.21) and (2.25), we can find a positive constant \(m_6\) such that
\[
\left|\int_\Omega f(u_n)u_n \right| \leq \left(\int_\Omega |u_n|^{q-1} |v_n|^q \, dx\right)^{\sigma} \left(\int_\Omega |u_n|^q \, dx\right)^{1/\sigma} \left(\int_\Omega |v_n|^{q\sigma'} \, dx\right)^{1/\sigma'} \leq m_6 \left(\int_\Omega |v_n|^{q\sigma'} \, dx\right)^{1/\sigma'} \to 0
\]
as \(n \to \infty\), where \(\sigma' = \sigma/(\sigma - 1)\). Therefore, from (2.24) and (2.26), we obtain \(1 = o(1)\), which is a contradiction. Hence, \(\{u_n\}\) is bounded.
If \( q = \frac{N}{2} \), then we have \( \|v_n\|_{L^q} \leq K \) for all \( r \in (q, +\infty) \). And, for \( r \in (q, +\infty) \), it follows from Hölder’s inequality and (2.23) that

\[
\int_{\Omega} |v_n|^r \, dx \leq \left( \int_{\Omega} |v_n|^{r(\frac{N}{r} - 1)} \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \left( \int_{\Omega} |v_n|^q \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \to 0 \quad \text{as } n \to \infty.
\] (2.27)

Clearly, by applying (2.27) we obtain again (2.26), then the contradiction follows from (2.24) and (2.26). Hence, \( \{u_n\} \) is bounded.

Hence, by a standard argument, we find that \( \Phi \) satisfies condition (C). The conclusion follows immediately by \((f2)\) and \((f4)\) arguing as in the proof of Theorem 1.2.

\[\square\]

2.2 The non-homogeneous case \( h \neq 0 \)

**Proof of Theorem 1.9.** Let

\[
\Phi_\theta(u) = \Phi(\theta, u) = \frac{1}{q} \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u|^q \, dx - \int_{\Omega} F(u) \, dx - \theta \int_{\Omega} hu \, dx = \Phi_0(u) - \theta \int_{\Omega} hu \, dx \quad \text{for all } (\theta, u) \in [0, 1] \times E.
\]

Obviously, \( \Phi_0(u) = \Phi(0, u) \) is an even functional. As in the proof of Theorem 1.5, it is easy to see that \( \Phi \) satisfies the Cerami-type assumption (I1). Here, we have

\[
\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \theta}(\theta, u) = -\int_{\Omega} hu \, dx, \quad \langle \Phi_\theta'(u), v \rangle = \langle \Phi_0'(u), v \rangle - \theta \int_{\Omega} hv \, dx, \quad u, v \in E.
\]

And there exists a positive constant \( M_7 \) such that

\[
\left| \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \theta}(\theta, u) \right| \leq \int_{\Omega} |hu| \, dx \leq M_7 \|u\| \quad \text{for all } (\theta, u) \in [0, 1] \times E.
\] (2.28)

Thus, condition (I2) holds. Further, from (2.17), we have

\[
q \Phi_\theta(u) - \langle \Phi_\theta'(u), u \rangle = \int_{\Omega} (f(u)u - qF(u)) \, dx + \theta(1 - q) \int_{\Omega} hu \, dx \geq M_2 \|u\|_\beta^\beta - M_3 |\Omega| - \theta(q - 1)\|h\|_\beta \|u\|_\beta.
\]

Since \( \beta > 1 \), if \( \Phi_\theta'(u) = 0 \), there exists a positive constant \( M_8 \) such that

\[
\left| \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \theta}(\theta, u) \right| \leq \|h\|_\beta \|u\|_\beta \leq M_8 (\Phi_\theta^2(u) + 1)^{\frac{1}{M}}.
\]

Then, condition (I3) holds with

\[
g_2 = -g_1 = M_9 (s^2 + 1)^{\frac{1}{M}}.
\] (2.29)

Let \( \{e_k\}_k \) be a Schauder basis of \( W^{2, q}(\Omega) \). Take

\[
E_k = W_0^{1, q}(\Omega) \bigcap \text{span}\{e_1, \ldots, e_k\}, \quad E_k = W_0^{1, q}(\Omega) \bigcap \text{span}\{e_k, e_{k+1}, \ldots\}.
\]

For each \( k \in \mathbb{N} \), since \( \dim E_k < \infty \), all the norms are equivalent, so there exists a constant \( \delta_k > 0 \) such that

\[
\|u\| \leq \delta_k \|u\|_q \quad \text{for all } u \in E_k.
\] (2.30)

From \((f4)\), there exists \( M_9 > 0 \) such that

\[
F(s) \geq \frac{2\delta_k^q}{q} |s|^q - M_9 \quad \text{for all } s \in \mathbb{R}.
\] (2.31)
For $u \in E_k$, we conclude from (2.28), (2.30) and (2.31) that
\[
\Phi(u) = \frac{1}{q} \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u|^q \, dx - \int_{\Omega} F(u) \, dx - \theta \int_{\Omega} h u \, dx \\
\leq \frac{1}{q} \|u\|^q - \frac{2\delta^q}{q} \|u\|^q - M \|\Omega\| + M_7 \|u\| \leq - \frac{1}{q} \|u\|^q - M \|\Omega\| + M_7 \|u\|
\]
which implies that
\[
\Phi(u) \to -\infty, \quad \text{as } \|u\| \to \infty \text{ in } E_k.
\]
Thus, condition (I4) holds.

Now we have
\[
c_k = \inf_{y \in \Gamma_k} \sup_{u \in \partial E_k(0)} \Phi_0(y(u)),
\]
where
\[
\Gamma_k = \{ y \in C(E_k \cap \bar{B}_R(0), X) : y \text{ odd, } y(u) = u \text{ if } u \in E_k \cap \partial B_R(0) \}.
\]
According to Theorem 2.2, if the case (i2) occurs for $k$ large enough, by (2.29), we obtain
\[
c_{k+1} - c_k \leq C(c_{k+1}^\frac{1}{k} + c_k^\frac{1}{k} + 1). \tag{2.32}
\]
It follows from [3, Lemma 3.5] that there exists an integer $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that
\[
c_k \leq CK^\frac{k}{k}, \quad k \geq k_0. \tag{2.33}
\]
Further, by (f6), we get
\[
\Phi_0(u) \geq \frac{1}{q} \|u\|^q - \frac{M_0}{q} \|u\|^q - M_0 \|u\|_1. \tag{2.34}
\]
Thus, it follows from Lemma 2.4 that there exist two positive constants $M_{10}$ and $M_{11}$ such that
\[
\Phi_0(u) \geq \frac{1}{q} \|u\|^q - M_{10} k^{\frac{1}{\eta - q}} \|u\|^q - M_{11}, \quad u \in E_k^{\perp}.
\]
By an easy calculation, for $k$ large enough there exists $\rho_k > 0$ such that
\[
\Phi_0(u) \geq M_{12} k^{\frac{2\eta q - q}{\eta - q}} - 1, \quad u \in E_k^{\perp} \cap \partial B_{\rho_k}(0),
\]
where $M_{12} > 0$ is independent of $k$.

As in [23, Lemma 1.44], from the Borsuk–Ulam Theorem, we can deduce that
\[
y(E_k \cap B_{R_k}(0)) \cap \partial B_{\rho}(0) \cap E_k^{\perp} \neq \emptyset
\]
for all integers $k, \rho < R_k$ and $y \in \Gamma_k$. Thus, we have
\[
\max \Phi_0(y(E_k \cap B_{R_k}(0))) \geq \inf \Phi_0(\partial B_{\rho_k}(0) \cap E_k^{\perp})
\]
and
\[
c_k \geq M_{12} k^{\frac{2\eta q - q}{\eta - q}} - 1 \quad \text{for } k \text{ large.} \tag{2.35}
\]
From (2.33) and (2.35), if
\[
\frac{\beta}{\beta - 1} \leq \frac{2q\eta}{N(\eta - q)} - 1,
\]
this leads to a contradiction. Therefore, case (i1) of Theorem 2.2 occurs. From Remark 2.3, $\Phi$ has a critical level $c_k$. Thus, Theorem 1.9 holds.
Proof of Theorem 1.10. First, following the proof of Theorem 1.7, we can show that $\Phi$ satisfies the CERAMI-type condition (I1). As in the proof of Theorem 1.9, it also follows that $\Phi$ satisfies conditions (I2) and (I4). Further, we deduce from (f8) that there exists a positive constant $m_7$ such that

$$q\Phi_\theta(u) - \langle \Phi'_\theta(u), u \rangle = \int_\Omega (f(u)u - qF(u)) \, dx + \theta(1 - q) \int_\Omega hu \, dx \geq m_1 \|u\|^q - m_7 |\Omega| - \theta(q - 1)\|h\|_p \|u\|_q.$$  

Since $q > 1$, if $\Phi'_\theta(u) = 0$, we obtain

$$\left| \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \theta} (\theta, u) \right| \leq \|h\|_p \|u\|_q \leq m_8 (\Phi_\theta^2(u) + 1)^{\frac{1}{2q}}$$

for some positive constant $m_8$. Then, condition (I3) holds with

$$g_2 = -g_1 = m_8(s^2 + 1)^{\frac{1}{2q}}. \tag{2.36}$$  

According to Theorem 2.2, if the case (i2) occurs for $k$ large enough, by (2.36), we obtain

$$c_{k+1} - c_k \leq C_1 (c_{k+1}^{\frac{1}{2}} + c_k^{\frac{1}{2}} + 1). \tag{2.37}$$  

It follows from [3, Lemma 3.5] that there exists an integer $k_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$c_k \leq C_1 k^{\frac{1}{2q}}, \quad k \geq k_1. \tag{2.38}$$  

Further, by (f4), there exists a positive constant $s_4$ such that

$$F(s) \geq 0, \quad |s| \geq s_4. \tag{2.39}$$  

From (f8) and (2.39), for $|s| \geq s_5 = \max\{s_1, s_4\}$, one has

$$|f(s)|^\sigma \leq m_2 (f(s)s - qF(s)|s|^{(q-1)\sigma}) \leq m_2 |f(s)| |s|^{(q-1)\sigma+1}. \tag{2.40}$$  

We conclude from (2.40) that

$$|f(s)| \leq m_2 |s|^\frac{(q+1)}{\sigma+1} \quad \text{for all } |s| \geq s_5.$$  

Then, there exist two positive constants $m_9$ and $m_{10}$ such that

$$|F(s)| \leq m_9 |s|^{\frac{q}{\sigma+1}} + m_{10}, \quad s \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{2.41}$$  

Thus, we have

$$\Phi_\theta(u) \geq \frac{1}{q} \|u\|^q - m_9 \|u\|^{\frac{q}{\sigma+1}} - m_{10} \|u\|_1. \tag{2.42}$$  

Now it follows from Lemma 2.4 that there exist two positive constants $m_{11}$ and $m_{12}$ such that

$$\Phi_\theta(u) \geq \frac{1}{q} \|u\|^q - m_{11} \|u\|^{\frac{q}{\sigma+1}} \|u\|^{\frac{q}{\sigma+1}} - m_{12}. \tag{2.42}$$  

By an easy calculation, for $k$ large enough there exists $\rho_k > 0$ such that

$$\Phi_\theta(u) \geq m_{13} k^{\frac{2q}{\sigma+1}}, \quad u \in E_{k-1}^+ \cap \partial B_{\rho_k}(0),$$

where $m_{13} > 0$ is independent of $k$.  

As in [23, Lemma 1.44], from the Borsuk–Ulam Theorem, we can deduce that

$$\gamma(E_k \cap B_{R_k}(0)) \cap \partial B_{\rho_k}(0) \cap E_{k-1}^+ \neq \emptyset$$

for all integers $k, \rho < R_k$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma_k$. Then, one has

$$\max \Phi_\theta(\gamma(E_k \cap B_{R_k}(0))) \geq \inf \Phi_\theta(\partial B_{\rho_k}(0) \cap E_{k-1}^+)$$

and

$$c_k \geq m_{13} k^{\frac{2q}{\sigma+1}} \quad \text{for } k \text{ large}. \tag{2.42}$$

By (2.38) and (2.42), if

$$\frac{q}{q - 1} < \frac{2q\sigma}{N} - 1,$$

this leads to a contradiction. Therefore, case (i1) of Theorem 2.2 occurs. From Remark 2.3, $\Phi$ has a critical level $c_k \geq c_k$ for $k$ large. In conclusion, Theorem 1.10 holds. \qed
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