Three new records of copepods (Siphonostomatoida) parasitic on marine fishes of Iraq, including the relegation of two species of Lernanthropinus to Lernanthropinus temminckii (von Nordmann, 1864)
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Abstract

Three parasitic copepods (Siphonostomatoida) belonging to three different genera were recovered from marine fishes of Iraq, and are listed here as new records. The sea lice Caligus epinepheli Yamaguti, 1936 (Caligidae) was collected from the Japanese threadfin bream, Nemipterus japonicus (Bloch). It had been frequently reported from teleost fishes around the world. The second record, comprising male and female, was another caligid, rarely caught from fishes – Hermilius longicornis Bassett-Smith, 1898, collected from the giant catfish, Netuma thalassina (Rüppell). This paper features the first description of the male of the latter species. The third record was the lernanthropid, Lernanthropinus temminckii (von Nordmann, 1864) (Lernanthropidae), redescribed based on the specimens collected from the greater lizard fish, Saurida tumbil (Bloch) (Synodontidae). In order to clarify its taxonomic status, our specimen was compared with the holotype of L. gibbosus (Pillai, 1964) from the collections of Zoological Survey of India, Kolkata, and the syntypes of L. sauridae Do in Ho and Do, 1985 and L. temminckii from the collections of the Smithsonian Institution, Washington D.C. We found similarities in the morphology of the body, mouthparts, and legs 1–4 in three above-mentioned species. The prominent feature, the setation pattern of legs 1 and 2 was similar in all the female specimens examined. In the light of this, we formally relegate L. gibbosus and L. sauridae to synonymy with L. temminckii. Another important similarity is that Lernanthropinus gibbosus, L. sauridae, and L. temminckii have exclusively been parasitic on lizardfishes (Synodontidae). The attachment site of all three copepods reported from Iraq were the gill filaments.
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Introduction

Parasitic copepods are common on cultured and wild marine fishes (Ho and Lin 2004; Johnson et al. 2004) and freshwater as well (Piasecki et al. 2004). However, some copepods like caligid, lernaeopodids, hatschekids, and lernanthropids are dominant among the parasitic copepods of fishes (Boxshall and Halsey 2004; Ho and Lin 2004; Johnson et al. 2004; Moon and Kim 2013). In Asia, parasitic copepods are well studied in several countries such as Japan, Taiwan, India, and Korea (Pillai 1985; Kim 1998; Ho and Lin 2004; Venmathi Maran et al. 2009; Moon and Kim 2012, 2013), but only a limited number of parasitic copepods was described or recorded from the middle east countries like Kuwait and Egypt (Ho and Sey 1996; Ho and Kim 2000; Boxshall and El-Rashidy 2009; El-Rashidy and Boxshall 2010). In this study, three parasitic copepods belonging to three different genera including two species from the family Caligidae Burmeister, 1835 and one from the Lernanthropidae Kabata, 1979 are reported. The genus Caligus Müller,
1785 is one of the major genera of the Caligidae parasitic on teleost fishes around the world. There are currently more than 250 valid species of Caligus (Dojiri and Ho 2013), in contrast, Hermilius Heller, 1865, another genus from the same family has so far been reported with just 8 species (Boxshall and Halsey 2004; Dojiri and Ho 2013). In this study, we report a species from both Caligus and Hermilius and the male of latter species is also described.

The Lernanthropidae is a large family that comprises more than 150 species that are exclusively parasitic on gill filaments of marine teleosts using their prehensile antennae and maxillipeds (Boxshall and Halsey 2004). In female, leg 3 is modified as large, folded lamellae for clinging to the gill filaments (Ho et al. 2011). The genus Lernanthropinus Do in Ho et Do 1985 (Lernanthropidae) was adopted based on two lateral plates (Ho and Do 1985). Following this feature, eight species of Lernanthropinus de Blainville, 1822 were transferred to Lernanthropinus (see Ho and Do 1985; Ho et al. 2008, 2011) including the one here, we report and redescribe as Lernanthropinus temminckii (von Nordmann, 1864). In this study, we relegate two other species of Lernanthropinus collected from the same host of India and Japan to L. temminckii (Pillai 1964, 1985; Cressey and Cressey 1979; Ho and Do 1985), which reduces the number of valid species of Lernanthropinus to six.

In the presently reported study from Iraq, three parasitic copepods were recovered from three different marine fish hosts such as the Japanese threadfin bream, Nemipterus japonicus (Bloch, 1791) (Perciformes: Nemipteridae); the giant catfish Netuma thalassina (Rüppell, 1837) (Siluriformes: Ariidae); and the greater lizard fish, Saurida tumbl (Bloch, 1795) (Aulopiformes: Synodontidae). This is also the first report of occurrence on these three parasitic copepods from Iraq.

Materials and Methods

Copepod specimens were carefully removed from the gills of the host fish collected from the fishing port of Al-Faw City, Basrah Province, Iraq (29°46´N; 48°49´E) using fine forceps and observed under a dissecting microscope. The collected copepods were preserved in 70% ethanol. Preserved copepods were cleared in a drop of 85% lactic acid or lactophenol prior to examination using an Olympus BX51 differential phase contrast microscope. Selected specimens were measured intact using an ocular micrometer and/or dissected and examined according to the wooden slide procedure (Humes and Gooding 1964). Measurements given in millimeter (mm) are in the range followed by the mean in parentheses. Measurements from collections of Zoological Survey of India (ZSI), Kolkata, and United States National Museum for Natural History (USNMNH), Smithsonian Institution, Washington D.C., USA were also studied for the comparisons. Morphological terminology follows Ho and Lin (2004) and Huys and Boxshall (1991) and fish names conform to FishBase (Froese and Pauly 2013). Voucher specimens are deposited at the National Institute of Biological Resources (NIBR), Incheon, Korea.

Results and Discussion

Order Siphonostomatoida Thorell, 1859
Family Caligidae Burmeister, 1835
Genus Caligus Müller, 1785
Caligus epinepheli Yamaguti, 1936 (Fig. 1A)


Caligus annularis Yamaguti, 1954: 375
C. chiloscyllii Pillai, 1967: 1556.
C. paxillifer Yamaguti, 1954: 375
C. sciaenae Gnanamuthu, 1947: 43

Material examined. 5♀♀ (NIBRIV0000276263) from gill filaments of Japanese threadfin bream, Nemipterus japonicus (Bloch); Fishing Port, Al-Faw City, Basrah Province, Iraq, 4 June 2011.

Systematic accounts of adult female. Body (Fig. 1A) 2.58 (2.38–2.91, n = 5) mm long, excluding setae on caudal rami. Cephalothoracic shield 1.16 (1.06–1.26) mm long and 1.13 (1.01–1.25) mm wide, excluding lateral hyaline membranes. Genital complex 0.89 (0.86–0.92) mm long and 0.83 (0.80–0.86) mm wide. Abdomen longer than wide, 0.41 (0.39–0.43) × 0.26 (0.24–0.28) mm. Caudal ramus longer than wide (86 × 68 μm), armed with 3 long and 3 short setae.

Leg 1 1-0; III, I, 3 (vestigial)
Leg 2 1–1; 1–1; I, II, 5
Leg 3 1–0; 1–1; 7
Leg 4 1–0; I, III

Male. Unknown from Iraq (this study) and Taiwan (Ho and Lin 2003), but reported from Japan (Shiino 1952), India (Pillai 1985), and Malaysia (Leong 1984).

Remarks. The diagnostic feature of C. epinepheli is the absence of three plumose setae, which are commonly found on the posterior margin of the terminal segment of the exopod of leg 1. Although over 250 species of Caligus are reported
Fig. 1. *Caligus epinepheli* Yamaguti, 1936, female. A – habitus, dorsal; *Hermilius longicornis* Bassett-Smith, 1898, female. B – habitus, dorsal; C – abdomen, ventral; D – caudal ramus, ventral; E – leg 5. Scale bars: A = 200 μm, B = 400 μm; C, D, E = 50 μm
(Dojiri and Ho 2013), this character is shared by 8 of its con-
geners, i.e., *C. affinis* Heller, 1866; *C. ariiculus* Wilson, 1928; *C. (Subcaligus) bocki* Heegaard, 1943; *C. enormis* Wilson, 1913; *C. lagocephali* Pillai, 1961; *C. mauritanicus* Brian, 1924; *C. mirabilis* Leigh-Sharpe, 1934; and *C. productus* Dana, 1852 (Dojiri and Ho 2013). Some of these species can be easily distinguished from *C. epinepheli* (cf. Ho and Lin 2003; Dojiri and Ho 2013).

**Distribution.** It is widely distributed (Boxshall and El-
Rashidy 2009), but predominantly occurs in Asian countries such as Japan (Yamaguti 1936, 1963), India (Pillai 1963; Rangnekar and Murti 1963), Sri Lanka (Kirtisinghe 1964), Malaysia (Leong 1984), Kuwait (Ho and Sey 1996), and Tai-
wan (Ho and Lin 2003). It has also been recorded from Aus-
tralia (Kabata 1965) and the Caribbean Sea (Cressey 1991).

**Genus Hermilius** Heller, 1865

*Hermilius longicornis* Bassett-Smith, 1898

(Figs. 1B-4)

tris* Pillai, 1961: 123.

**Material Examined.** 4♀♀ (NIBRIV0000276264) and 1♂ (NIBRIV0000276265) from gills of giant catfish, *Netuma*

---

**Fig. 2. Hermilius longicornis** Bassett-Smith, 1898, female. A – antennule; B – antenna; C – postantennary process; D – mandible; E – maxillule; F – maxilla; G – maxilliped; H – tip of maxilliped; I – sternal furca. Scale bars: A, C–E, H = 50 μm; B, F, G, I = 100 μm
Three Parasitic Copepods from Iraq


Adult Female. Body (Fig. 1B) 3.41 (3.26–3.55, $n = 4$) mm long excluding setae on caudal rami. Cephalothorax $1.27 \times 1.83$, wider than long with deep notches anteromedially and folded ventrally; Genital complex large ($1.75 \times 0.96$), longer than wide and longer than cephalothorax, broadened distally with flat sides, bearing pair of spermatophores (Fig. 1C). Abdomen (Fig. 1C) short ($260 \times 217 \mu m$), unisegmented, slightly longer than wide. Caudal ramus (Fig. 1D) about 1.5 times ($96 \times 64 \mu m$) longer than wide armed with 3 long and 3 short plumose setae.

Antennule (Fig. 2A) 2-segmented; proximal segment with 27 setae on anterodistal surface; distal segment with 1 subterminal seta on posterior margin and 11 setae plus 2 aes-

Fig. 3. Hermilius longicornis Bassett-Smith, 1898, female. A – leg 1; B – leg 2; C – leg 3; D – leg 4. Scales bars: A–D = 50 μm
thetascs distally. Antenna (Fig. 2B) 3-segmented; proximal segment smallest; middle segment swollen, with a small distal seta; distal segment a long recurved, sharply pointed, strong claw, sharp auxiliary process in terminal region with 1 subterminal seta. Postantennal process (Fig. 2C) represented by large fleshy lobe. Mandible (Fig. 2D) as typical in Hermilius comprised with 12 teeth distally. Maxillule (Fig. 2E) comprising papilla bearing 3 setae, long dentiform process. Maxilla (Fig. 2F) 2-segmented; proximal segment large and unarmed; distal segment slender with subterminal hyaline membrane on outer edge and 2 unequal elements terminally, terminal calamus small armed with spinules on both sides. Maxilliped (Fig. 2G) more than slender, indistinctly 3-segmented; proximal segment largest but unarmed; middle segment small; terminal segment long with sharp claw terminally bearing basal seta and small subterminal tine (Fig. 2H). Sternal furca (Fig. 2I) broad, tines with broad spatulated membranes.

Armature on rami of legs 1–4 as follows (Roman numerals indicating spines and Arabic numerals indicating setae):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Leg</th>
<th>Exopod</th>
<th>Endopod</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Leg 1</td>
<td>1–0;III,1,3</td>
<td>(vestigial)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leg 2</td>
<td>I–1;I–1;I,II,5</td>
<td>0–1; 0–2; 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leg 3</td>
<td>I–0;I–1;7</td>
<td>0–1; 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leg 4</td>
<td>I–0;III</td>
<td>(missing)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Leg 1 (Fig. 3A) protopod with large, plumose outer seta and another small, inner seta in addition to spinules on ventral surface; vestigial endopod as knob covered with setules; proximal seg-

![Fig. 4. Hermilius longicornis Bassett-Smith, 1898, male. A – habitus, dorsal; B – abdomen, ventral; C – antenna; D – maxilliped; E – leg 5; F – leg 6. Scale bars: A = 200 μm; B. 100 μm; C = 50 μm; E, F = 25 μm]
Fig. 5. *Lernanthropinus temminckii* (von Nordmann, 1864), female. A – habitus, dorsal; B – habitus, lateral; C – head, ventral; D – abdomen, ventral; E – caudal rami, ventral. Scale bars: A, B = 500 μm; C, E = 50 μm; D = 100 μm.
ment of exopod with row of setules on inner margin and short spiniform seta at outer distal corner; outer terminal element on last segment of exopod longer than inner 2 elements; pecten at base of all 3 outer terminal elements. Leg 2 (Fig. 3B) coxa small with large plumose inner seta posteriorly; basis with small outer simple seta, small marginal inner setules in addition to inner marginal membrane; with strong outer spines on proximal and middle segments of exopod. Leg 3 (Fig. 3C) protopod with small outer and large inner plumose seta, membrane on outer edge and patch of spinules in outer portion of ventral surface; outer flange on spine and swelling of first segment of exopod with stronger outer spine on middle segment of exopod. Leg 4 (Fig. 3D) protopod large with plumose outer seta; pectens on both exopodal segments at insertion of each of 4 outer shorter spines. Leg 5 (Fig. 1E) represented by papilla bearing 2 small setae located at posterolateral corner of genital complex anterior to genital pore.

![Fig. 6. Lernanthropinus temminckii (von Nordmann, 1864), female. A – antennule; B – antenna; C – maxillule; D – mandible; E – maxilla; F – maxilliped. Scales bars: A–F = 50 μm](image-url)
**Adult Male.** Body (Fig. 4A) 1.61 (n = 1) mm long excluding setae on caudal rami. Cephalothorax 0.79 × 0.84 mm, wider than long with deep notches and folding. Fourth pediger, convex-shaped, wider than long. Genital complex (Fig. 4B) 0.35 × 0.29 mm, longer than wide and swollen midlaterally, narrowed anteriorly, broadened posteriorly. Abdomen (Fig. 4B) short (0.21 × 0.13 μm), 1-segmented, slightly longer than wide. Caudal ramus (Fig. 4B) (83 × 56 μm) longer than wide armed with 3 long and 3 short plumose setae. Antennule as in female. Antenna (Fig. 4C) 3-segmented; proximal segment transversed; middle segment slender, projected with corrugated pads; distal segment strongly falcated with sharp claw; sharp auxiliary process in terminal region with spine-like seta at base of auxiliary process and small seta inwardly near process. Postantennal process, Mandible, Maxillule and Maxilla as in female. Maxilliped (Fig. 4D) large, indistinctly 3-segmented; proximal segment largest but unarmed; middle segment small; terminal segment long with sharp claw bearing long basal seta and small subterminal seta. Sternal furca as in female. Legs 1–4 as in female. Leg 5 (Fig. 4E) represented by papilla bearing 2 setae located at midlateral portion of genital somite and leg 6 (Fig. 4F) tipped with 2 setae posteriorly.

**Remarks.** The structure and armature on the exopod of leg 4 are characteristic to the species of the genus *Hermilius*. The diagnostic features of female *H. longicornis* are: 1) 1-segmented abdomen; 2) 3-segmented antenna; 3) 1-segmented exopod of leg 4, spine III is longer; 4) presence of 6 plumose setae on caudal rami.

*Fig. 7. Lernanthropinus temminckii* (von Nordmann, 1864), female. A – leg 1; B – leg 2; C – leg 3; D – leg 4; E – leg 5. Scales bars: A–E=50 μm.


Material examined. 1♀ (NIBRIV0000276266) from gill filaments of greater lizard fish Saureida tumbil (Bloch), Fishing Port, Al-Faw City, Basrah Province, Iraq, 03 November 2011.

Other material examined. Lernanthropus gibbosus Pillai, 1964: Holotype ♂ (Reg. No. C4447/1, Trivandrum, India) from collections of Zoological Survey of India, Calcutta; Lernanthropinus sauridae Do in Ho and Do, 1985. Syntypes: 1♀ and 1♂ (Reg. No. 190646. Kojima Bay, Japan) from collections of Smithsonian Institution, Washington D.C., USA; Lernanthropus temminckii von Nordmann, 1864 (= L. temmenckii); Syntypes: 8♀♀ specimens (Reg. No. 180570, Trivandrum, India), 1♂ (Reg. No. 180567, Thailand); 1♀ (Reg. No. 180568, Unknown locality), 1♀ (Reg. No. 180569, off Somalia); 1♀ (Reg. No. 180571, the Philippines), all from collections of Smithsonian Institution, Washington D.C., USA.

Adult female. Body (Fig. 5A, B) 6.64 mm long, typical appearance of lernanthropid. Head 1.64 × 1.48 mm, bearing large fleshy fold on either side anterior to oral region (see Fig. 5A, C) and at posterior margin, formed as bulged ridge (see Fig. 5B). Trunk protruded laterally at anterior end. All pedigerous somites fused (Fig. 5C) and not demarcated except leg 3. Urostyle well exposed to both dorsal (Fig. 5A) and ventral sides. Genital complex narrowed posteriorly, pair of spermatophores seen attached (Fig. 5D). Abdomen (Fig. 5D) 0.75 × 0.41 mm, wider than long. Caudal ramus (Fig. 5E) 0.27 × 0.7 mm longer than wide, armed anteriorly with 2 slender setae and posteriorly with 4 small spiniform setae.

Antennule (Fig. 6A) indistinctly 6-segmented; armed with 1 spiniform basal seta. Antenna (Fig. 6B) indistinctly 3-segmented; proximal segment stout and small; middle segment stout and larger than other 2 segments, having small spiniform seta posterolaterally; distal segment forming as curved claw bearing spiniform seta mid laterally. Maxillule (Fig. 6C) bilobed, outer lobe bearing 1 larger seta and 2 spiniform setae; inner lobe longer bearing 3 setae terminally. Mandible (Fig. 6D) moderately long, bearing 8 teeth distally. Maxilla (Fig. 6E) 2-segmented; with laceratus, larger and brachium bearing subterminally 1 seta on medial surface; terminal claw armed with rows of denticles on medial surface in addition to 1 basal seta. Maxilliped (Fig. 6F) indistinctly 3-segmented; corpus robust bearing small papilla on myxal surface; subchela with seta-bearing papilla on medial surface of shaft; terminally striated claw bearing 1 spiniform basal seta.

Leg 1 (Fig. 7A) with inconspicuous protopod having 1 slender outer seta and 1 spiniform inner element with rows of denticles; exopod 1-segmented and bearing 5 robust spines articulated with spinules, medial surface having rows of den-
Table I: Hosts and localities of six valid species of *Lernanthropinus* Do (Crustacea: Copeoda: Siphonostomatoida) after relegation of *L. gibbosus* (Pillai) and *L. sauridae* Do to *L. temminckii* (von Nordmann)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><em>Lernanthropinus</em> spp.</th>
<th>Host: Common Name</th>
<th>Host order: Family</th>
<th>Locality</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Lernanthropinus decapteri</em> (Pillai, 1964)</td>
<td><em>Decapterus russelli</em> (Rüppel, 1830): Indian Scad</td>
<td>Perciformes: Carangidae</td>
<td>Trivandrum, Bay of Bengal, India</td>
<td>Pillai (1964, 1985)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Lernanthropinus forficatus</em> (Redkar, Rangnekar et Murti, 1949)</td>
<td><em>Trichurus lepturus</em> Linnaeus, 1758 (= <em>T. haumela</em>): Largehead hairtail</td>
<td>Perciformes: Trichiuridae</td>
<td>Mumbai (=Bombay), Maharashtra, India</td>
<td>Redkar <em>et al.</em> (1949)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Lepturacanthus savala</em> (Cuvier, 1829) (= <em>Trichurs savala</em>): Savalai hairtail</td>
<td>Trichiuridae</td>
<td>Kerala, West Coast of India</td>
<td>Pillai (1967, 1985)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Lernanthropinus temminckii</em> (von Nordmann, 1864) [= <em>L. gibbosus</em> (Pillai, 1964)]</td>
<td><em>Synodus saurus</em> Linnaeus, 1758 (= <em>Saurus lacerta</em>): Atlantic lizardfish</td>
<td>Aulopiformes Synodontidae</td>
<td>East Indies</td>
<td>(von Nordmann, 1864)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Saurida tumbl</em> (Bloch, 1795): Greater lizardfish</td>
<td>Synodontidae</td>
<td>Trivandrum, Bay of Bengal, India Trivandrum, Bay of Bengal, India the Philippines, Gulf of Thailand Al-Faw City, Iraq</td>
<td>Pillai (1964, 1985) Cressey and Cressey (1979)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Saurida undisquarnis</em> (Richardson, 1848): Brushthroat lizardfish</td>
<td>Synodontidae</td>
<td>Off Somalia</td>
<td>Cressey and Cressey (1979)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Saurida elongata</em> (Temminck &amp; Schlegel, 1846): Slender lizardfish</td>
<td>Synodontidae</td>
<td>Kojima Bay, Okayama Prefecture, Japan</td>
<td>Do in Ho and Do (1985)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Campoglossa glaycos</em> (Lacepède, 1801) (=<em>Lichia vadigo</em>): Vadigo</td>
<td></td>
<td>Nice, France</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Cynoscion striatus</em> (Cuvier, 1829): Striped weakfish</td>
<td>Sciaenidae</td>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td>Timi and Etchegoin (1996)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
articles; endopod small tipped with 1 seta, lateral region having row of denticles. Leg 2 (Fig. 7B) slightly reduced than leg 1; exopod armed with 5 spines smaller than leg 1; endopod bearing 1 seta as like leg 1. Leg 3 (Fig. 7C), not fused, modified as 2 fleshy lamellae for exopod and endopod, both unarmed. Leg 4 (Fig. 7D) represented by pair of bilobate process, long, fleshy, having identical blunt tips. Leg 5 (Fig. 7E) represented by 2 papillae having 1 plumose seta midlaterally and 1 spinoform seta posteriorly.

Male. Unknown from Iraq (present study), but reported from Trivandrum, India (Pillai 1964, 1985), the Andaman Sea, Gulf of Thailand (Cressey and Cressey 1979) and Kojima Bay, Japan (Ho and Do 1985).

Remarks. The diagnostic features of the genus Lernanthropinus are: 1) the presence of postero lateral plate-like structures on the trunk, 2) the absence of dorsal and ventral plates on the trunk, and 3) both leg 4 and the urostyle are visible in dorsal and ventral views (see Ho and Do 1985). According to Walter (2013), Lernanthropinus contains the following eight species: L. decapteri (Pillai, 1964); L. forficatus (Redkar, Rangnekar et Murti, 1949); L. gibbosus (Pillai, 1964); L. nematistii Deets et Benz, 1988; L. sauridae Do in Ho and Do, 1985; L. sphyraenae (Yamaguti and Yamasu, 1959); L. temminckii (von Nordmann, 1864) and L. trachuri (Brian, 1903). The female and male are known for all species, except L. decapteri and, until now, L. temminckii as well.

Among the eight species of Lernanthropinus, L. gibbosus, L. sauridae and L. temminckii have been reported exclusively from lizardfishes (Synodontidae). Von Nordmann (1864) described L. temminckii based on a female collected from the Atlantic lizardfish Synodus saurus (Linnaeus) from the East Indies (Table 1). Cressey and Cressey (1979) later incompletely redescribed the female of the same species based on specimens collected from the Greater lizardfish Saurida tum- bil (Bloch) off India and in the Bay of Bengal and from the brushtooth lizardfish Saurida undosquamis (Richardson) from off Somalia. The descriptions of L. gibbosus provided by Pillai (1964, 1985) were based on specimens of both sexes collected from the gills of S. tumbil from India. The description of L. sauridae provided by Do in Ho and Do (1985) was based on females and males collected from the gills of the slender lizardfish Saurida elongata (Temminck and Schlegel) from Kojima Bay, Okayama Prefecture, Japan.

Lernanthropinus gibbosus, L. sauridae and L. temminckii share many features in common. The only apparent differences between these three species are the maxillule, the maxilliped and the setation pattern of legs 1 and 2 in the female. Nevertheless, Pillai (1985) considered the possibility that L. gibbosus is synonymous with L. temminckii. In order to clarify this issue, we compared our specimens from Iraq with the holotype (Reg. No. C4447/1) of L. gibbosus deposited at the Zoological Survey of India, Calcutta, India, a syntype of L. sauridae (Reg. No. 190646) and L. temminckii (Reg. Nos. 180567, 180568, 180569, 180570, 180571) accessioned at the Smithsonian Institution, Washington D.C., USA. We found that all three species have similar morphologies of the body, mouthparts and legs 1–4. More importantly, the maxillule, the maxilliped and the setation pattern of legs 1 and 2 are similar between all the female specimens examined. In the light of this, we formally relegate L. gibbosus and L. sauridae as junior synonyms of L. temminckii.

Ho et al. (2008) recognized two groups in the genus Lernanthropinus based on whether or not the endopods of leg 3 are fused along their medial margin to form a “false ventral plate.” Lernanthropinus temminckii, along with L. forficatus and L. nematistii, are in the group with unfused leg 3 endopods.

The congener L. forficatus was first reported from large- head hairtail Trichurus lepturus Linnaeus, 1758 (= T. haumela) from Mumbai, India (Redkar et al. 1949) with incomplete descriptions (Pillai 1985). But, later it was re- described by Pillai (1985) from Trichurus savala Cuvier, 1829 along the west coast of India. Hence, we compare with Pillai’s (1985) redescription. Lernanthropinus temminckii differs from its congener L. forficatus in the following features: 1) head is formed as a bulged ridge posteriorly (vs. absence of bulged ridge in L. forficatus); 2) antennule is 6-segmented (vs. 7-segmented in L. forficatus); 3) maxillule possesses 2 spiniform setae in the outer lobe (vs. absent in L. forficatus); 4) endopod of legs 1 and 2 is armed with 1 seta (vs. absent in L. forficatus); 5) exopod of leg 2 is armed with 5 small spines (vs. 4 small spines in L. forficatus) (see: Pillai 1967, 1985).

Another congener L. nematistii was parasitic on rooster fish Nematistius pectoralis Gill, 1862, reported from Mexico by Deetz and Benz (1988) (Table 1). Lernanthropinus temminckii differs from L. nematistii in the following features: 1) antennule is 6-segmented (vs. 7-segmented in L. nematistii); 2) maxilla is having a subterminal seta in the brachium (vs. bifid process in the branchium of maxilla of L. nematistii); 3) mandible with 8 teeth (vs. 6 teeth in L. nematistii); 4) simple seta in the endopod of leg 1 (vs. denticulated seta in the endopod of leg 1 of L. nematistii); 5) leg 5 is having 2 setae (vs. 1 seta in the leg 5 of L. nematistii).

The zoogeography of lernanthropids showed that majority of them occurs in the tropical waters of Taiwan, India, Japan and UK. India has been recorded with most number of lernanthropids. It has 6 genera including 44 species (Pillai 1985). However, Taiwan contains 7 genera including 20 species (Ho et al. 2008) and the UK with 5 genera including 9 species (Ho and Do 1985; Ho et al. 2008) and the UK with 5 genera including 5 species (Kabata 1979; Boxshall and Halsey 2004).

Distribution. Occurs on Indo-West Pacific lizardfishes (see: Table 1).
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