The objective of this study is to evaluate the impacts of teat traumatization on Holstein cows caused by two different types of milking systems (CMS – conventional milking system and AMS – an automatic milking system). The experiments were performed in two locations: at Žabčice School Farm of Mendel University in Brno and at ZEMAX, a. s. in Šitborčice. For the evaluation of the degree of traumatization, thermographic images depicting surface temperatures of teats were used. This paper tested the hypothesis that, at extreme conditions, the teat traumatization of Holstein cows does not depend on used milking system. The hypothesis was disproved by the performed monitoring. From statistical point of view, it was observed that there were significant differences depending on which milking system was used.

Keywords: AMS – automatic milking system; CMS – conventional milking system; heat stress; teat traumatization

In recent years, there has been a number of significant changes in dairy production within the Czech Republic. The first milking robot in the Czech Republic was installed at the farm Seleka Pacov Inc. in November 2003 (Havlík, 2007). Opinions on this new milking technology vary among agricultural practisers, experts and researchers not only in this country, but also abroad. The major difference between conventional milking system (CMS) and automatic milking system (AMS) lies in the motivation for cows to enter the milking stall. In CMS, the cows are driven to the milking parlour two to three times per day. In AMS, the cows enter the milking stall voluntarily and are milked throughout the day without human intervention (Weiss et al., 2004).

With climate changes, there is an increase in the quantity of days when the cows are exposed to heat stress. Therefore, this thesis examines the impacts of the milking systems during extreme conditions. The influence of the environment can not be ignored, as it can cause stress and thus negatively affect the condition of the organism (Fraser and Broom, 1990; Soch et al. 2000, 2005). High ambient heat and humidity have profound effects on the production, health, profitability, and welfare of dairy cattle (Shock et al., 2016). By altering the thermal environment for livestock, climate change could affect the costs and returns of animal production – thereby affecting the animal health, reproduction, and the efficiency with which livestock convert feed into retained products (especially meat and milk). Extremely low and high temperatures have a negative impact on dairy cows. The impacts of low temperatures were observed by several experiments (Angrecka and Herbut, 2015; Brouček et al., 1991), while Brouček et al. (Brouček et al., 2006), Noordhuizen and Bonnefoy (Noordhuizen and Bonnefoy, 2015) and other authors were focused on impacts of extremely high temperatures. For dairy cows, the exposure to higher temperatures causes the decrease in milk output and reduces the content percentages of fat, solids, lactose, and protein in milk (Kadzere et al., 2002; St-Pierre et al., 2003; West, 2003). Dairy cows react to stress variously by: decrease in feed intake and increase in water intake, changes in metabolic rate and maintenance requirements, increased water loss caused by evaporation, increase in respiration rate, changes in hormone concentration in blood and increased body temperature (Knížková and Kunc, 2002).

Because the heat stress also reduces the fertility of cattle and thus reduces the reproduction rates, a solution might be lying in opting for breeds of cattle that are better suited to high temperatures. The welfare of dairy cows can be evaluated on the basis of the temperature – humidity index (THI) values. This index is commonly used as a practical indicator for the determination of stress degree on dairy cattle caused by weather conditions (Brouček et al., 2009). For example, Sharma et al. (Sharma et al., 1983) found that Jerseys were more resistant to heat stress in terms of milk production than Holsteins. Temperature variations within the range from -0.5 °C to +25 °C have insignificant impact on milk production (West, 2003). The assumed neutral temperatures for dairy cattle range from -5 °C to 25 °C (Knížková et al., 2002). An upper critical temperature can be used as a point when an animal reaches the limit of its thermo-neutral zone and begins to experience stress due to heat. For example, the stated upper critical temperature for dairy cattle is usually 25–26 °C (Berman et al., 1985). According to Brouček et al. (Brouček et al., 2008), the upper critical temperature for Holsteins is 21 °C. At higher temperatures, the cows may show increase in respiration rate and decrease in voluntary feed intake. With decrease in feed intake, there comes decrease in milk output of up to 5 litres per day (Couflalk, 2013).
Thermographic method was used for evaluation of milking techniques, because this method has been proven by many researchers. For example, Kunc (2009) states that the thermographic method for evaluating of the quality of the milking process is entirely comparable with other commonly used methods. For further research, we suggest thermographic method. Simplicity, non-destructive and non-contact approach and flexibility can be considered the undeniable advantages of this method.

The objective of this study was to evaluate the traumatization impacts of a CMS and AMS on teats at extreme conditions by thermographic method.

Material and methods

Two groups of dairy cattle have been monitored at the farms in Žabčice and Šitbořice. The selected farms were located close to each other (12 km), they breed the same type of dairy cattle and provide the same breeding conditions. Building design of constructions for livestock is also very similar. However, the identical conditions could not have been ensured. In order to increase the objectivity of the measurements, the large groups of animals were purposefully selected chosen with emphasis on high measurement count.

Firstly, there was carried out observation of teat traumatization at farm Žabčice, which is part of the Mendel University in Brno. Dairy cows are milked twice a day at milking intervals of 12 hours. Livestock production is focused on breeding cows with closed herd turnover. The average yearly milk production per farm is 8745 litres per cow. The average market production represents 94.56%. Cows were milked through parlour ALFA LAVAL side by side 2x14. Average vacuum level was 43.1 kPa. In average, 550 cows are milked daily.

The second farm is ZEMAX Šitbořice, a.s. Agricultural production consists of breeding of Holstein cattle. Milking is performed by milking robots. Cows are voluntarily milked by four robots (LELY ASTRONAUT A2 and LELY ASTRONAUT A4) with free cow traffic to the AMS. Average vacuum level was 43.4 kPa. In contrast to CMS, cows milked by a robot are supposed to attend the milking station voluntarily. The average milking performed per cow is 2.7 times per day. The milking barn includes automatic ventilation. The average yearly milk production per farm is 9640 litres per cow. The average market production represents 95.3%. In average, 270 cows are milked daily.

Both indoor and outdoor temperatures, as well as relative humidity and air velocity inside the stable were measured during the experiments. The values were measured at an interval of 30 minutes and mean values were calculated from the obtained data. Thermographic method was used to measure the surface temperature of the cow teats, at first after the cows’ arrival to milking parlour, then after washing the teats and at last after removing the teat cups. The changes in the mean values of the teat surface temperature have been evaluated. There has been performed 40 measurements in each stall. Camera FLIR ThermaCAM EX320 was used to capture the thermographic images. Ambient temperature was measured by means of glass mercury thermometer. The relative humidity was measured by means of a hygrometer 899 of laboratory type (Ziara Zvolen, Slovak Republic). Air velocity was measured by means of an anemometer Testo 417. Software FlirTools version 5.6 was used to evaluate thermographic images. There are two possible ways of how to determine the mean temperature in the selected area. The first possibility allows us to observe the area of a square or rectangle; and the second possibility allows us to observe surface in the shape of a circle or ellipse. For our experiment, the most suitable

Figure 1 Thermographic images of the udder and teats
shape is an ellipse, because it covers almost the entire surface of the teat as shown in Figure 1.

For the documentation of environmental impacts on dairy cow, THI index was calculated in 2015. Air temperature and relative humidity were recorded at a climatological station of the Department of Agrosystems and Bioclimatology of Mendel University in Brno that is situated within testing area “Obora”. It is located in the Dyje-Svratka ravine. Measurements at farm Žabčice followed the methodology of the Czech Hydro-meteorological Institute (Slabá, 1972; Fišák, 1994).

THI was calculated according to Brouček et al. (2006) (1):

\[
THI = 0.8 \cdot \frac{R}{T_{\text{max}} - 14.4} + 46.4
\]

where:

- \(T_{\text{max}}\) – maximum temperature per day (°C)
- \(R\) – average relative humidity per day (%)

From the point of view of heat stress, the mean values \(THI < 70\) are considered thermo-neutral; the values \(70 < THI < 72\) represent mild heat stress (MHS); the values \(72 < THI < 78\) represent heat stress (HS); and the values \(THI \geq 78\) represent severe heat stress (SHS).

For statistical evaluation of the results, the program Statistica Cz ver. 12 (StatSoft) was used. The paired t-test was used to determine the significance of the differences.

### Results and discussion

Measurements were performed during the same day. At the farm Žabčice, there were following conditions: average outdoor temperature 30.5 °C, average outdoor relative humidity 54.0%, average temperature in the stable 32.6 °C, average relative humidity in the barn 52.5%, average temperature in the milking parlour 33.8 °C, average relative humidity in the milking parlour 59.5%, air velocity in the barn was lesser than 0.4 m s\(^{-1}\).

At the farm Šitbořice, there were following conditions: average outdoor temperature 31.2 °C, average outdoor relative humidity 52.2%, average temperature in the stable 31.7 °C, average relative humidity in the barn 51.8%, air velocity in the barn was lesser than 0.4 m s\(^{-1}\).

The following changes in teat surface temperature during the milking \(\Delta T\) have been observed. At the farm Žabčice, cleaning routine before milking decreased the teat temperature of 2.35 K; at farm Šitbořice, this decrease was of approximately 2.56 K. The temperature decrease was larger by 0.21 K at farm Šitbořice, but the difference is not statistically significant \((P > 0.05)\) as shown in Table 1.

During milking, there was an increase in the teat temperature of approximately 3.44 K at the farm Žabčice; at the farm Šitbořice, the temperature increase was approximately of 2.95 K. The average increase at the farm Šitbořice was lower by approximately 0.49 K. The difference was statistically significant \((P < 0.05)\) as shown in Table 1.

The total difference between the teat temperatures before washing and after milking demonstrates that there was an increase in teat temperature of approximately 1.09 K at the farm Žabčice; at the farm Šitbořice, this increase was approximately of 0.38 K. The average increase at the farm Šitbořice was lower by approximately 0.71 K and the difference was statistically significant \((P < 0.05)\) as shown in Table 1. The changes in teat surface temperature during the milking process was higher at farm Žabčice while using CMS. We conclude that the teat traumatization at heat stress

### Table 1 
Statistical differences and significance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Farm</th>
<th>Washing</th>
<th>Milking</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(\Delta T)(K)</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>(\Delta T)(K)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Žabčice</td>
<td>-2.35</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>3.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Šitbořice</td>
<td>-2.56</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>2.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difference</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Significance</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(\Delta T\): average temperature difference; SD: standard deviation; NS: not significant; S: significant
Changes of the teats temperature before washing and after milking was lower by approximately 0.49 K at the farm using AMS. The difference was statistically significant. During milking, there was observed an increase in teat temperature lower by about 0.71 K at the farm using AMS. The difference was statistically significant. From our study, we conclude that the teat traumatization during exposure to heat stress is lower when using AMS.
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