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Abstract

In this paper using a fixed point theory on a cone we present some new results on the existence of multiple positive solutions for singular nonlocal boundary value problems involving integral conditions with derivative dependence.

1. Introduction.

In this paper we consider the existence of positive solutions of nonlinear nonlocal boundary value problems (BVP) of the form

\[-x'' = q(t)f(t, x(t), x'(t)), t \in (0, 1)\]  \hspace{1cm} (1.1)

with integral boundary conditions

\[x'(0) = 0, \quad x(1) = \alpha[x] = \int_0^1 x(s)dA(s)\] \hspace{1cm} (1.2)

involving a Stieltjes integral, where \(A \in BV[0, 1]\).

Il’in and Moiseev first considered the existence of a solution to

\[x''(t) = f(t, x(t), x'(t)), \quad t \in (0, 1),\]
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\[ x(0) = 0, \ x(1) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i x(\eta_i) \]

(see [10, 11]). Using degree-theoretic arguments, Gupta et al obtained conditions on the existence of solutions for the \( m \)-point boundary problem

\[ x''(t) = f(t, x(t), x'(t)) + e(t), \ t \in (0, 1), \]
\[ x(0) = 0, \ x(1) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i x(\eta_i) \]

(see [7, 8]). In [12] using a Leray-Schauder alternative Ma showed the existence of at least one solution of

\[ x''(t) = f(t, x(t), x'(t)) + e(t), \ t \in (0, 1), \]
\[ x'(0) = 0, x(1) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i x(\eta_i). \]

In [22, 24], Webb and Infante considered

\[-x'' = q(t)f(t, x(t)), t \in (0, 1) \quad (1.3)\]

with boundary conditions

\[ x'(0) = 0, x(1) = \alpha [x] = \int_0^1 x(s)dA(s), \quad (1.4)\]

where \( dA(s) \) has a signed measure, and established the existence of positive solutions and multiple positive solutions for BVP (1.3)-(1.4) when \( f \) is continuous and independent of \( x' \).

The boundary condition in BVP (1.1)-(1.2) generalizes the boundary conditions in [7-8, 10-13] and (1.1) generalizes the equations in [9,13-18,21-24] (there \( f \) is independent of \( x' \)). One goal in this paper is to attempt to fill a gap in the theory of singular nonlocal boundary value problems involving integral conditions with derivative dependence.

Our paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we present some lemmas and preliminaries. In Section 3, two theorems are listed to show that \( x' \) of \( f(t, x, x') \) can lead to BVP (1.1)-(1.2) having no positive solutions. In section 4, we discuss the existence of multiple positive solutions for BVP (1.1)-(1.2) when \( f \) has no singularities. Section 5 presents the multiplicity of positive solutions for BVP (1.1)-(1.2) when \( f \) is singular at \( x = 0 \) but not at \( x' = 0 \). In Section 6, we discuss the multiplicity of positive solutions for BVP (1.1)-(1.2) when \( f \) is singular at \( x' = 0 \) but not at \( x = 0 \). In Section 7, we consider the case \( f \) is singular at \( x = 0 \) and \( x' = 0 \).
2. Preliminaries

Let \( R = (-\infty, +\infty), \ R^+ = (0, +\infty), \ \overline{R}^+ = [0, +\infty), \ R^- = (-\infty, 0) \) and we list the following conditions for convenience.

(C1) \( A \in BV[0, 1] \) with \( \int_0^1 G(t,s) dA(t) \geq 0 \) for a.e. \( s \in [0, 1], \ 0 \leq \int_0^1 dA(s) \) and \( \int_0^1 |dA(t)| < 1 \), where

\[
G(t,s) = \begin{cases}
1 - t, & 0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1 \\
1 - s, & 0 \leq t \leq s \leq 1,
\end{cases}
\]

(C2)

\[ q \in C[0, 1], \ q(t) > 0 \text{ on } (0, 1) \text{ and } f \in C([0, 1] \times R^+ \times R^-, \overline{R}^+) \text{ with} \]

\[ 0 < f(t, x, y) \leq |h(x) + w(x)| r(|y|) + v(|y|) \text{ on } [0, 1] \times R^+ \times R^-, \]

where \( w, v \in C(R^+, \overline{R}^+) \) are nonincreasing \( \text{ or } w \equiv 0, v \equiv 0 \) and \( h, r \in C(\overline{R}^+, \overline{R}^+) \) are nondecreasing with \( \int_0^1 q(s) r(k_0 \frac{1}{1 - s}) ds < +\infty \), for all \( k_0 > 0 \),

(C3)

there exists a constant \( a \in (0, \frac{1}{2}) \) such that

\[
\lim_{x \to +\infty} \frac{f(t, x, y)}{x} = +\infty,
\]

uniformly for \( (t, y) \in [a, 1 - a] \times (-\infty, 0) \).

Let \( p(t) = 1 - t, \ t \in [0, 1] \) and \( C^1_p[0, 1] = \{ x : [0, 1] \to R \ | \ x \text{ is continuous on } [0, 1] \text{ and continuously differentiable on } (0, 1) \text{ with} \]

\[ \sup_{t \in (0, 1)} p(t)|x'(t)| = \sup_{t \in (0, 1)} (1 - t)|x'(t)| < +\infty \}. \]

For \( x \in C^1_p \), define \( \|x\| = \max\{\|x\|_1, \|x\|_2\} \) where

\[
\|x\|_1 = \max_{t \in [0,1]} |x(t)|, \ |x|_2 = \sup_{t \in (0,1)} (1 - t)|x'(t)|.
\]

Lemma 2.1 \( C^1_p \) is a Banach space. Also for any \( x \in C^1_p, \ |x'(t)| \leq \frac{\|x\|}{1 - t}, \) \( t \in (0, 1) \).

Let

\[ P = \{ x \in C^1_p \ | x(t) \text{ is concave and nonincreasing on } [0, 1] \text{ and } x(0) \geq \|x\|_2, \alpha [x] \geq 0 \}. \tag{2.1} \]

It is easy to see that \( P \) is a cone in \( C^1_p[0, 1] \).

We note the definition of the fixed point index \( i(A, \Omega \cap P, P) \). Suppose that \( \Omega \) is a bounded open set in real Banach space \( E \) with \( \emptyset \in \Omega \) as its vectorial zero, \( P \) is a cone of \( E \) and \( A : \overline{\Omega} \cap P \to P \) is continuous and compact. Assume that
$r : E \to P$ is a retraction mapping, i.e., $r$ is continuous and $r(x) = x$ for all $x \in P$. Choose $R > 0$ big enough such that $T_R = \{ x \in E : \| x \| < R \} \supseteq \overline{\Omega} \cap P$. Then

$$i(A, \Omega \cap P, P) := \deg(I - A \cdot r, T_R \cap r^{-1}(\Omega \cap P), \theta),$$

where $I : E \to E$ is an identity operator and $\deg(I - A \cdot r, T_R \cap r^{-1}(\Omega \cap P), \theta)$ is the Leray-Schauder degree (see [6]). The following lemmas are needed in Section 4-7.

**Lemma 2.2** (see [6]) Let $\Omega$ be a bounded open set in real Banach space $E$, $P$ be a cone of $E$, $\theta \in \Omega$ and $A : \overline{\Omega} \cap P \to P$ be continuous and compact. Suppose

$$\lambda Ax \neq x, \forall x \in \partial \Omega \cap P, \lambda \in (0, 1].$$

Then

$$i(A, \Omega \cap P, P) = 1.$$

**Lemma 2.3** (see [6]) Let $\Omega$ be a bounded open set in real Banach space $E$, $P$ be a cone of $E$, $\theta \in \Omega$ and $A : \overline{\Omega} \cap P \to P$ be continuous and compact. Suppose

$$Ax \not\geq x, \forall x \in \partial \Omega \cap P.$$

Then

$$i(A, \Omega \cap P, P) = 0.$$

**Remark:** $Ax \not\geq x \iff x - Ax \not\in P$.

**Lemma 2.4** If $x \in P$ (defined above in (2.1)), then $\| x \| = \| x \|_1$.

**Proof.** If $x \in P$, one has

$$\| x \|_1 = \max \{|x(t)| t \in [0, 1]\} = x(0) \geq \| x \|_2.$$

Then

$$\| x \| = \max \{\| x \|_1, \| x \|_2\} = \| x \|_1.$$

The proof is complete.

**Lemma 2.5** Assume $\overline{\Phi} \in C((0, 1), R^+) \text{ with } \int_0^1 \overline{\Phi}(t)dt < \infty$ and $F(t) = \int_0^t G(t, s)\overline{\Phi}(s)ds + c$, where $c \geq 0$ is a constant. Then $F \in P$. 


Proof. From the definition of $F$, we have
\[
\begin{align*}
F''(t) &\leq 0, \quad t \in (0, 1), \\
F'(0) &= 0, \quad F(1) = c \geq 0,
\end{align*}
\]
which means that
\[
F \text{ is nonincreasing and concave down on } [0, 1].
\tag{2.2}
\]
with $F(1) = c \geq 0$. Now (2.2) implies that for $t \in [0, 1]$, $F(t) = F((1-t)0 + t \cdot 1) \geq (1-t)F(0) + tF(1) \geq (1-t)F(0) = (1-t)\|F\|_1$, \forall $t \in (0, 1)$ and
\[
F(0) = \max_{t \in [0,1]} F(t) = \|F\|_1.
\]
Then
\[
\|F\|_2 = \sup_{t \in (0,1)} |(1-t)F'(t)|
\]
\[
= \sup_{t \in (0,1)} |(1-t) \int_0^t \Phi(s)ds| \leq \sup_{t \in (0,1)} |F(t)| = \|F\|_1 = F(0). \tag{2.3}
\]
Moreover, from (C1), one has
\[
\alpha[F] = c \int_0^1 dA(s) + \int_0^1 F(s)dA(s)
\]
\[
= c \int_0^1 dA(s) + \int_0^1 \Phi(\tau) \int_0^\tau G(s,\tau)dA(s)d\tau \geq 0. \tag{2.4}
\]
Hence, (2.2), (2.3) and (2.4) guarantee that $F \in P$. The proof is complete.

For $x \in P$, define an operator by
\[
(Bx)(t) = \alpha[x] + \int_0^1 G(t,s)q(s)f(s,x(s) + \gamma_1(s),x'(s) + \gamma_2(s))ds, \quad \forall t \in [0, 1],
\tag{2.5}
\]
where $\gamma_1 \in C[0, 1]$, $\gamma_2 \in C[0, 1]$ with $\min_{t \in [0,1]} \gamma_1(t) > 0$ and $\max_{t \in [0,1]} \gamma_2(t) < 0$.

**Lemma 2.6** Assume that (C1) and (C2) hold. Then $B : P \to P$ is continuous and compact.
Proof. First we show $B : P \to P$ is well defined. For $x \in P$, from $(C_1)$ and $(C_2)$, we have

$$|(Bx)(t)| = |\alpha[x] + \int_0^1 G(t, s)q(s)f(s, x(s) + \gamma(s), x'(s) + \gamma_2(s))ds|$$

$$\leq \|x\| \int_0^1 |dA(s)| + \int_0^1 (1 - s)q(s)|f(s, x(s) + \gamma_1(s), x'(s) + \gamma_2(s))|ds$$

$$\leq \|x\| \int_0^1 |dA(s)| + \int_0^1 (1 - s)q(s)|h(x(s) + \gamma_1(s))$$

$$+ w(x(s) + \gamma_1(s))|r(|x'(s) + \gamma_2(s)|) + v(|x'(s) + \gamma_2(s)|)|ds$$

$$\leq \|x\| \int_0^1 |dA(s)| + \int_0^1 (1 - s)q(s)[h(|x| + \|\gamma_1\|)] + w(\min_{s \in [0,1]} \gamma_1(s))]$$

$$\cdot [r\left(\frac{|x| + \|\gamma_2\|}{1 - s}\right)]$$

and

$$(1 - t)(|Bx|(t))' \leq |(Bx)'(t)|$$

$$= |\int_0^t q(s)f(s, x(s) + \gamma_1(s), x'(s) + \gamma_2(s))ds|$$

$$\leq \int_0^t q(s)[h(|x| + \|\gamma_1\|)] + w(\min_{s \in [0,1]} \gamma_1(s))]$$

$$\cdot [r\left(\frac{|x| + \|\gamma_2\|}{1 - s}\right)]$$

Then $B$ is well defined. For every $x \in P$, let $\overline{\Phi}(t) = q(t)f(t, x(t) + \gamma_1(t), x'(t) + \gamma_2(t))$, $c = \alpha[x]$ and $F(t) = \int_0^t G(t, s)\overline{\Phi}(s)ds + c$. It is easy to see that all conditions of Lemma 2.5 hold, which implies that $Bx \in P$. As a result, $BP \subseteq P$. Moreover, since

$$|(Bx)'(t_1) - (Bx)'(t_2)|$$

$$= \left|\int_{t_1}^{t_2} q(s)f(s, x(s) + \gamma_1(s), x'(s) + \gamma_2(s))ds\right|$$

$$\leq \int_{t_1}^{t_2} q(s)[h(|x| + \|\gamma_1\|)] + w(\min_{s \in [0,1]} \gamma_1(s))]$$

$$\cdot [r\left(\frac{|x| + \|\gamma_2\|}{1 - s}\right)]$$

the Cauchy Principle guarantees that

$$\lim_{t \to 0^+} (Bx)'(t)$$

exists and $\lim_{t \to 1^-} (Bx)'(t)$ exists,

which means that $Bx \in C^1[0, 1]$.  

Next we show that \( B : P \to P \) is continuous. Assume that \( \{x_m\}_{m=1}^\infty \subseteq P \) and \( x_0 \in P \) with \( \lim_{m \to +\infty} x_m = x_0 \). Then, there exists an \( M > 0 \) such that \( \|x_m\| \leq M \) for all \( m \in \{1, 2, \cdots \} \) (Lemma 2.1 guarantees that \( \|x_m'(t)\| \leq \frac{M}{1-t} \), \( \forall t \in (0,1) \)). Thus,

\[
\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
\lim_{m \to +\infty} (x_m(t) + \gamma_1(t)) = x_0(t) + \gamma_1(t), \quad t \in [0,1], \\
\lim_{m \to +\infty} (x_m'(t) + \gamma_2(t)) = x_0'(t) + \gamma_2(t), \quad t \in (0,1)
\end{array} \right. \quad (2.6)
\]

and

\[
|f(t, x_m(t) + \gamma_1(t), x_m'(t) + \gamma_2(t))| \\
\leq |h(x_m(t) + \gamma_1(t)) + \omega(x_m(t) + \gamma_1(t))||r(|x_m'(t) + \gamma_2(t)|)| + v(|x_m'(t) + \gamma_2(t)|))| \\
\leq |h(M + ||\gamma_1||)| + \omega(\min_{t \in [0,1]} \gamma_1(t))|r(|x_m'(t) + \gamma_2(t)|)| + v(\min_{t \in [0,1]} \gamma_2(t)|).
\]

(2.7)

From (2.6) and (2.7), the Lebesgue Dominated Convergence Theorem guarantees that

\[
\|Bx_m - Bx_0\|_1 \\
\leq |\alpha [x_m - x_0]| + \max_{t \in [0,1]} \int_0^1 G(t, s) q(s) |f(s, x_m(s) + \gamma_1(s), x_m'(s) + \gamma_2(s))| \\
- f(s, x_0(s) + \gamma_1(s), x_0'(s) + \gamma_2(s))| ds| \\
\leq \|x_m - x_0\| \int_0^1 |dA(s)| + \int_0^1 (1-s) q(s) |f(s, x_m(s) + \gamma_2(s), x_m'(s) + \gamma_2(s))| \\
- f(s, x_0(s) + \gamma_1(s), x_0'(s) + \gamma_2(s))| ds| \\
\to 0, \text{ as } m \to +\infty
\]

and

\[
\|Bx_m - Bx_0\|_2 \\
= \sup_{t \in (0,1)} (1-t) - \int_0^t q(s) |f(s, x_m(s) + \gamma_1(s), x_m'(s) + \gamma_2(s))| \\
- f(s, x_0(s) + \gamma_1(s), x_0'(s) + \gamma_2(s))| ds| \\
\leq \int_0^1 q(s) |f(s, x_m(s) + \gamma_1(s), x_m'(s) + \gamma_1(s)) - f(s, x_0(s) + \gamma_1(s), x_0'(s) + \gamma_2(s))| ds \\
\to 0, \text{ as } m \to +\infty
\]

which imply that

\[
\lim_{m \to +\infty} \|Bx_m - Bx_0\| = 0.
\]

Hence, \( B : P \to P \) is continuous.

Finally we show for any bounded \( D \subseteq P \), \( B(D) \) is relatively compact. Since \( D \) is bounded, there exists an \( M > 0 \) such that \( \|x\| \leq M \) for all \( x \in D \)
Lemma 2.1 guarantees that |x′(t)| ≤ \( \frac{M}{1 - t} \), \( \forall t \in (0, 1) \). Thus, (C2) gives

\[
\|Bx\|_1 = \max_{t \in [0, 1]} |\alpha[x] + \int_0^1 G(t, s)q(s)f(s, x(s) + \gamma_1(s), x′(s) + \gamma_2(s))ds|
\]

\[
\leq \|x\| \int_0^1 |dA(s)| + \int_0^1 (1 - s)q(s)|f(s, x(s) + \gamma_1(s), x′(s) + \gamma_2(s))|ds
\]

\[
\leq M \int_0^1 |dA(s)| + \int_0^1 (1 - s)q(s)[h(x(s) + \gamma_1(s)) + w(x(s) + \gamma_1(s))]
\]

\[
\cdot [r(|x′(s) + \gamma_2(s)|) + v(|x′(s) + \gamma_2(s)|)]ds
\]

\[
\leq M \int_0^1 |dA(s)| + \int_0^1 (1 - s)q(s)[h(M + \|\gamma_1\|) + w(\min_{s \in [0, 1]} \gamma_1(s))]\]

\[
\cdot [r\left(\frac{M + \|\gamma_2\|}{1 - s}\right) + v(\min_{s \in [0, 1]} |\gamma_2(s)|)]ds.
\]

Consequently,

the functions belonging to \{(BD)(t)\} are uniformly bounded on [0, 1] \quad (2.8)

and

the functions belonging to \{(BD)'(t)\} are uniformly bounded on [0, 1] \quad (2.9)

and so

functions belonging to \{(Bx)(t), x \in D\} are equicontinuous on [0, 1]. \quad (2.10)
Since
\[|(Bx)'(t_1) - (Bx)'(t_2)|\]
\[= \left| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} q(s)f(s,x(s) + \gamma_1(s), x'(s) + \gamma_1(s))ds \right|\]
\[\leq \left| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} q(s)[h(M + \|\gamma_1\|) + w(\min_{s \in [0,1]} \gamma_1(s))] [r(M + \|\gamma_2\|) + v(\min_{s \in [0,1]} |\gamma_2(s)|)]ds,\]
for any \( \varepsilon > 0 \), there exists a \( \delta > 0 \) such that
\[|(Bx)'(t_1) - (Bx)'(t_2)| < \varepsilon, \quad \forall |t_1 - t_2| < \delta, \quad x \in D,\]
which means that
the functions from \( \{(Bx)'(t), x \in D\} \) are equicontinuous on \( (0,1) \). (2.11)

Now \( \{Bx, x \in D\} \subseteq C^1[0,1] \), where \( C^1[0,1] = \{ y : [0,1] \to R : y(t) \}
\]
is continuously differentiable on \( [0,1] \) is a Banach space with norm \( \|y\|_0 = \max\{\max_{t \in [0,1]} |y(t)|, \max_{t \in [0,1]} |y'(t)|\}\).

From (2.8)-(2.11), the Arzela-Ascoli theorem guarantees that \( B(D) \) is relatively compact in \( C^1[0,1] \). Then, for any \( \{x_n\} \subseteq D \), there exists a \( y_0 \in C^1[0,1] \)
and a subsequence \( \{x_{n_i}\} \) of \( \{x_n\} \) such that
\[\lim_{n_i \to +\infty} \|Bx_{n_i} - y_0\|_0 = 0.\]

Now since
\[\|Bx_{n_i} - y_0\|\]
\[= \max\{\max\{|(Bx_{n_i})(t) - y_0(t)| t \in [0,1]\}, \sup\{p(t)|(Bx_{n_i})'(t) - y'_0(t)| t \in (0,1)\}\}\]
\[\leq \max\{\max\{|(Bx_{n_i})(t) - y_0(t)| t \in [0,1]\}, \max\{|(Bx_{n_i})'(t) - y'_0(t)| t \in [0,1]\}\}\]
\[= \|Bx_{n_i} - y_0\|_0,\]
we have
\[\lim_{n_i \to +\infty} \|Bx_{n_i} - y_0\| = 0,\]
i.e., \( BD \) is relatively compact in \( C^1_p \).

Hence, \( B : P \to P \) is continuous and compact.

**Remark 1:** We use the two functions \( \gamma_1 \in C[0,1], \gamma_2 \in C[0,1] \) with
\[\min_{t \in [0,1]} \gamma_1(t) > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \max_{t \in [0,1]} \gamma_2(t) < 0 \]
to help us remove the singularity of \( f(t,x,y) \) at \( x = 0 \) and \( y = 0 \). If \( f(t,x,y) \) is continuous at \( x = 0 \) and \( y = 0 \),
we would take \( \gamma_1 \equiv 0, \gamma_2 \equiv 0, t \in [0,1] \).
Remark 2: Suppose that \( x \in P \) satisfies \( x = Bx \), i.e.,
\[ x(t) = \alpha[x] + \int_0^1 G(t,s)q(s)f(s,x(s) + \gamma_1(s),x'(s) + \gamma_2(s))ds, \quad \forall t \in [0,1]. \]
Obviously, \( x(1) = \alpha[x] \) and direct differentiating yields that
\[ x'(t) = -\int_0^t q(s)f(s,x(s) + \gamma_1(s),x'(s) + \gamma_2(s))ds, \quad t \in (0,1), \]
which together with \( q(s)f(s,x(s) + \gamma_1(s),x'(s) + \gamma_2(s)) \in C[0,1] \) means that
\[ x'(0) = 0 \]
and
\[ x''(t) = -q(t)f(t,x(t) + \gamma_1(t),x'(t) + \gamma_2(t)), \quad t \in (0,1). \]
Hence, \( x(t) \) satisfies
\[ x''(t) = -q(t)f(t,x(t) + \gamma_1(t),x'(t) + \gamma_2(t)), \quad t \in (0,1) \]
with
\[ x'(0) = 0, \quad x(1) = \int_0^1 x(s)dA(s). \]

Lemma 2.7 Assume that \((C_1), (C_2)\) and \((C_3)\) hold. Then there exists \( R_0 > 0 \) such that
\[ i(B,\Omega_R \cap P, P) = 0, \quad \forall R \geq R_0, \]
where \( \Omega_R = \{x \in C_p||x|| < R\} \).

Proof. Let \( N^* = \frac{2}{\int_a^1 (1-s)q(s)ds} \). From \((C_4)\), there exists \( R' > 0 \) such that
\[ f(t,x,y) \geq N^* x, \quad \forall x, y \in (a,1), \quad t \in [a,1 - a]. \] (2.12)
Let \( R_0 = \frac{R'}{a} \). For all \( R > R_0 \), set
\[ \Omega_R = \{x \in C_p||x|| < R\}. \]
Now we show that
\[ Bx \not\leq x, \quad \forall x \in P \cap \partial \Omega_R. \] (2.13)
In fact, suppose that there is a \( x_0 \in P \cap \partial \Omega_R \) with \( Bx_0 \leq x_0 \). Lemma 2.4 implies that \( x_0(t) \geq (1-t)||x_0||, \quad \forall t \in (0,1) \), and so \( x_0(t) \geq a||x_0|| \geq aR \geq R' \).
for all \( t \in [a, 1-a] \). Thus, \( x_0(t) + \gamma_1(t) \geq a \|x_0\| \geq aR \geq R' \) for all \( t \in [a, 1-a] \) also. Then, from (2.12), we have
\[
f(t, x_0(t) + \gamma_1(t), x_0'(t) + \gamma_2(t)) \geq N^*(x_0(t) + \gamma_1(t)) \\
\geq N^*aR, \quad \forall t \in [a, 1-a],
\]
and so
\[
x_0(0) \geq (Bx_0)(0) \\
= \alpha[x_0] + \int_0^1 (1-s)q(s)f(s, x_0(s) + \gamma_1(s), x_0'(s) + \gamma_2(s))ds \\
\geq \int_a^{1-a} (1-s)q(s)f(s, x_0(s) + \gamma_1(s), x_0'(s) + \gamma_2(s))ds \\
\geq \int_a^{1-a} (1-s)q(s)N^*(x_0(s) + \gamma_1(s))ds \\
\geq \int_a^{1-a} (1-s)q(s)dsN^*aR \\
> R,
\]
which implies that \( \|x_0\| \geq \|x_0\|_1 > R \), a contradiction to \( x_0 \in P \cap \partial \Omega \). Then, (2.13) is true. From Lemma 2.3, it is easy to see that
\[
i(B, P \cap \Omega, P) = 0.
\]
The proof is complete.

3. Nonexistence of positive solutions to BVP(1.1)-(1.2)

In this section, we notice that the presence of \( z \) in \( f(t, x, z) \) can lead to the nonexistence of positive solutions to (1.1)-(1.2).

**Theorem 3.1** Suppose that there is a \( \beta \in C((0,1), (0, +\infty)) \) and \( \delta > 0 \) such that
\[
f(t, y, z) \leq -\beta(t), \quad \forall (t, y, z) \in (0,1) \times (0, +\infty) \times [-\delta, 0).
\]
Then (1.1)-(1.2) has no positive solutions.

**Proof.** Suppose \( y_0(t) \) is a positive solution to (1.1)-(1.2). Then
\[
y_0''(t) + q(t)f(t, y_0(t), y_0'(t)) = 0, \quad t \in (0, 1) \\
y_0'(0) = 0, \quad y_0(1) = \alpha[y],
\]
Suppose \( y_0(t) \) is a positive solution to (1.1)-(1.2). Then
\[
y_0''(t) + q(t)f(t, y_0(t), y_0'(t)) = 0, \quad t \in (0, 1)
\]
\[
y_0'(0) = 0, \quad y_0(1) = \alpha[y],
\]
which means that there is a \( t_0 \in (0, 1) \) with \( y_0'(t_0) < 0 \), \( y_0(t_0) > 0 \) (other- 
wise \( y'(t) \geq 0 \) for all \( t \in (0, 1) \) which would contradict \( y(1) = \alpha[y] \leq 
\max_{t \in [0,1]} y(t) \int_0^1 |dA(s)| \leq \max_{t \in [0,1]} y(t) \)). Let \( t_* = \inf\{t < t_0|y_0'(s) < 0 \text{ for all } s \in [t, t_0]\} \). Clearly,
\[
t_* \geq 0 \text{ and } y_0'(t_*) = 0, \quad y_0'(t) < 0 \text{ for all } t \in (t_*, t_0].  \tag{3.2}
\]
The continuity of \( y_0'(t) \) implies that there is a \( \gamma > 0 \) such that \( 0 > y_0'(t) > -\delta 
\text{ for all } t \in (t_*, t_* + \gamma] \). Then (3.1) guarantees that \( f(t, y_0(t), y_0'(t)) \leq -\beta(t) \) for 
all \( t \in (t_*, t_* + \gamma] \), which implies that
\[
y_0''(t) \geq \beta(t) > 0, \quad \forall t \in (t_*, t_* + \gamma],
\]
and so
\[
y_0'(t) > 0, \quad \forall t \in (t_*, t_* + \gamma],
\]
which contradicts (3.2).

Consequently, (1.1)-(1.2) has no positive solutions.

**Theorem 3.2** Suppose \( q \in C[0, 1] \) with \( q(t) > 0 \) for all \( t \in (0, 1) \) and here are two 
functions \( h \in C((0, +\infty), (0, +\infty)), \ g \in C((-\infty, 0), (0, +\infty)) \) with
\[
|f(t, y, z)| \leq h(x)g(z), \quad \forall (t, y, z) \in [0, 1] \times (0, +\infty) \times (-\infty, 0),
\]
where
\[
\int_0^z \frac{1}{g(r)} \, dr = +\infty \text{ for all } z < 0.  \tag{3.3}
\]

Then (1.1)-(1.2) has no positive solutions.

**Proof.** Suppose \( y_0(t) \) is a positive solution to (1.1)-(1.2). Then
\[
y_0''(t) + q(t)f(t, y_0(t), y_0'(t)) = 0, \quad t \in (0, 1)
\]
\[
y_0'(0) = 0, \quad y_0(1) = \alpha[y],
\]
which means that there is a \( t_0 \in (0, 1) \) with \( y_0'(t_0) < 0 \), \( y_0(t_0) > 0 \) (other- 
wise \( y'(t) \geq 0 \) for all \( t \in (0, 1) \) which would contradict \( y(1) = \alpha[y] \leq 
\max_{t \in [0,1]} y(t) \int_0^1 |dA(s)| \leq \max_{t \in [0,1]} y(t) \)). Let \( t_* = \inf\{t < t_0|y_0'(s) < 0 \text{ for all } s \in [t, t_0]\} \). Clearly,
\[
t_* \geq 0 \text{ and } y_0'(t_*) = 0, \quad y_0'(t) < 0 \text{ for all } t \in (t_*, t_0].  \tag{3.4}
\]
Then, from (3.3),
\[
-y_0''(t) = q(t)f(t, y_0(t), y_0'(t)) \leq q(t)h(y_0(t))g(y_0'(t)), \quad t \in (t_*, t_0],
\]
and so
\[-\frac{y''_0(t)}{g(y'_0(t))} \leq q(t)h(y_0(t)), \ t \in (t_*, t_0].\]
Integration from \(t_*\) to \(t_0\) yields
\[+\infty = \int_{y'_0(t_0)}^{0} \frac{1}{g(r)} dr \leq \int_{t_*}^{t_0} q(s)h(y_0(s))ds < +\infty.\]
This is a contradiction.
Consequently, (1.1)-(1.2) has no positive solutions.

**Example 3.1.** Consider the boundary value problems
\[
x'' + (1 - t)^a[1 - (|x'|)^a][x^b + x^{-d}] = 0, \ t \in (0, 1),
\]
\[
x'(0) = 0, \ x(1) = \int_{0}^{1} x(s)dA(s),
\]
where \(dA(t) = \frac{1}{8} \sin 2\pi t dt, \ a > 0, \ b > 0, \ d > 0.\)

It is easy to see that \(f(t, x, z) = (1-t)^a(1-(|z|)^a)[x^b + x^{-d}]\) for all \((t, x, z) \in [0, 1] \times [0, +\infty) \times (-\infty, +\infty).\) Since \(\lim_{x \to 0^+} (x^b + x^{-d}) = \lim_{x \to +\infty} (x^b + x^{-d}) = +\infty,\) there is a \(c_0 > 0\) such that \(x^b + x^{-d} \geq c_0\) for all \(x \in (0, +\infty).\) Then \(f(t, x, z) \leq (1-t)^a(1-2^a)c_0\) for all \((t, x, z) \in (0, 1) \times (0, +\infty) \times [-\frac{1}{2}, 0).\) Then Theorem 3.1 guarantees that (3.5)-(3.6) has no positive solutions.

**Example 3.2.** Consider the boundary value problems
\[
x'' + (-x')^a[1 + x^b] = 0, \ t \in (0, 1),
\]
\[
x'(0) = 0, \ x(1) = \int_{0}^{1} x(s)dA(s),
\]
where \(dA(s) = \frac{1}{8} s ds, \ a > 1, \ b > 1, \ \mu > 0, \ t \in [0, 1].\)

Let \(h(x) = 1 + x^b, \ g(y) = (-y)^a.\) It is easy to see that
\[|f(t, x, y)| = [1 + x^b](-y)^a = h(x)g(y), \ \forall (t, x, y) \in [0, 1] \times [0, +\infty) \times (-\infty, 0]\]
and
\[\int_{-\infty}^{0} \frac{1}{g(y)} dy = +\infty, \ \forall z < 0.\]

Theorem 3.2 implies that (3.7)-(3.8) has no positive solutions.
4. Multiple positive solutions to BVP(1.1)-(1.2) without singularities

In this section, \( f \) is continuous for \((t, x, y) \in [0, 1] \times [0, +\infty) \times (-\infty, 0]\) and \( c_0 = \int_0^1 |dA(s)| \).

**Theorem 4.1** Suppose \((C_1) - (C_3)\) hold with \( w(t) = 0 \) and \( v(t) = 0 \) for all \( t \in [0, +\infty) \) and

\[
\sup_{\epsilon \in (0, +\infty)} \frac{(1 - c_0)c}{I^{-1}(h(c)) \int_0^1 q(s)ds} > 1, \tag{4.1}
\]

where \( I(z) = \int_0^z r(u)du, \ z \in R^+, \ I(+\infty) = +\infty. \)

Then (1.1) has at least two positive solutions \( x_{0,1}, x_{0,2} \in C^1[0, 1] \cap C^2(0, 1) \) with \( x_{0,1}(t) \geq 0 \) and \( x_{0,2}(t) > 0 \) on \((0, 1)\).

**Proof.** From (4.1), choose an \( R_1 > 0 \) with

\[
\frac{R_1(1 - c_0)}{I^{-1}(h(R_1)) \int_0^1 q(s)ds} > 1. \tag{4.2}
\]

From \((C_3)\), choose an \( R_2 > \max\{R_1, \frac{R'}{\alpha} \} \) \((R' \) is defined as in \((2.12))\).

For \( x \in P \), define

\[
(Tx)(t) = \alpha[x] + \int_0^1 G(t, s)q(s)f(s, x(s), x'(s))ds, \ t \in [0, 1]. \tag{4.3}
\]

It is easy to see that Lemma 2.6 guarantees that the operator \( T \) in \((4.3)\) is continuous and compact from \( P \) to \( P \) (note here \( \gamma_1(t) \equiv 0 \) and \( \gamma_2(t) \equiv 0 \), for \( t \in [0, 1] \)).

Let

\[
\Omega_1 = \{x \in C^1_p \|x\| < R_1\}
\]

and

\[
\Omega_2 = \{x \in C^1_p \|x\| < R_2\}.
\]

Then, we claim that

\[
\mu Tx \neq x, \ \forall \mu \in (0, 1], \ x \in P \cap \partial \Omega_1. \tag{4.4}
\]

Now we show that \((4.4)\) is true. Suppose there exists an \( x_0 \in P \cap \partial \Omega_1 \) and a \( \mu_0 \in (0, 1) \) such that \( x_0 = \mu_0 Tx_0 \). Then

\[
\begin{cases}
  x''_0(t) + \mu_0 q(t)f(t, x_0(t), x'_0(t)) = 0, & t \in (0, 1) \\
  x'_0(0) = 0, & x_0(1) = \alpha[x_0].
\end{cases} \tag{4.5}
\]
which means that \( x_0(t) \geq 0 \) is on \((0,1)\) with \( x'_0(0) = 0 \) and \( x'_0(t) \) is non-increasing on \((0,1)\). Without loss of generality, we assume that \( x'_0(t) < 0 \) for all \( t \in (0,1) \) (obviously, (4.7) is true for \( x'_0(t) = 0 \)). From (4.5), we have
\[
-x'_0(t) \leq q(t)f(t, x_0(t), x'_0(t)) \leq q(t)h(x_0(t))r(-x'_0(t)), \quad \forall t \in (0,1),
\]
which means that
\[
\frac{-x''_0(t)}{r(-x'_0(t))} \leq h(x_0(t))q(t) \leq h(R_1)q(t), \quad \forall t \in (0,1).
\] (4.6)
Integration from 0 to \( t \) yields
\[
I(-x'_0(t)) - I(-x'_0(0)) = I(-x'_0(t)) \leq h(R_1) \int_0^t q(s)ds,
\]
and so
\[
-x_0'(t) \leq I^{-1}(h(R_1)) \int_0^t q(s)ds, \forall t \in (0,1).
\] (4.7)
Now integrate from 0 to 1 to obtain
\[
R_1(1 - c_0) \leq x_0(0) - x_0(1) \leq I^{-1}(h(R_1)) \int_0^1 q(s)ds,
\]
a contradiction to (4.2). Then, (4.4) is true. Lemma 2.1 implies that
\[
i(T, \Omega_1 \cap P, P) = 1.
\] (4.8)
From Lemma 2.7, we have
\[
i(T, P \cap \Omega_2, P) = 0,
\]
and so
\[
i(T, P \cap (\Omega_2 - \overline{\Omega}_1), P) = -1.
\] (4.9)
As a result, there exist \( x_1 \in P \cap \Omega_1 \) and \( x_2 \in P \cap (\Omega_2 - \overline{\Omega}_1) \) such that \( x_1 = T x_1 \) and \( x_2 = T x_2 \).

Consequently, BVP(1.1)-(1-2) has at least two different nonnegative solutions \( x_1(t) \) and \( x_2(t) \) with \( \|x_1\| < R_1 < \|x_2\| \).

**Example 4.1.** Consider the boundary value problems
\[
x'' + \mu[1 + |x'|^a][1 + x'^b] = 0, \quad t \in (0,1)
\] (4.10)
\[ x'(0) = 0, \quad x(1) = \int_0^1 x(s)dA(s), \quad (4.11) \]

with \( dA(t) = \frac{1}{8} \sin 2\pi t dt, \) \( 0 < a < b > 1 \) and \( \mu > 0. \) If

\[ \mu < \sup_{c \in (0, +\infty)} \frac{J_0^{(1-c_0)c} \int_0^1 s ds}{1 + c^b}, \quad (4.12) \]

then BVP(4.10)-(4.11) has at least two different positive solutions \( x_{0,1}, x_{0,2} \in C^1[0,1] \cap C^2(0,1). \)

It is easy to see that all conditions of Theorem 4.1 hold. Then, Theorem 4.1 guarantees that BVP(4.10)-(4.11) has at least two different positive solutions

\[ x_{0,1}, x_{0,2} \in C^1[0,1] \cap C^2(0,1). \]

5. Multiple positive solutions to BVP(1.1)-(1.2) with singularity at \( x = 0 \) but not at \( x' = 0 \)

In this section our nonlinearity \( f \) may be singular at \( x = 0 \) but not at \( x' = 0 \) and \( c_0 = \int_0^1 |dA(s)|. \)

**Theorem 5.1** Suppose \((C_1)-(C_3)\) hold with \( w \in C((0, +\infty), (0, +\infty)) \cap L_{\text{loc}}[0, +\infty) \) and \( v(t) \equiv 0 \) for all \( t \in [0, +\infty) \) and

\[ \sup_{c \in (0, +\infty)} I^{-1}((\|q\|_0 |ch(c) + \int_0^c w(s)ds|)) > 1, \quad \text{where} \]

\[ I(z) = \int_0^z \frac{u}{r(u)} du, \quad z \in (0, +\infty), \quad I(+\infty) = +\infty, \quad \|q\|_0 = \max_{t \in [0,1]} q(t). \]

Then (1.1) has at least two positive solutions \( x_{0,1}, x_{0,2} \in C^1[0,1] \cap C^2(0,1) \) with \( x_{0,1}(t) > 0 \) and \( x_{0,2}(t) > 0 \) on \( (0,1). \)

**Proof.** From (5.1) and the continuity of \( I^{-1} \) and \( h, \) choose an \( R_1 > 0, \) and a \( \varepsilon > 0 \) with \( \varepsilon < \frac{R_1}{2} \) with

\[ \frac{R_1 (1 - c_0)}{I^{-1}(R_1 + \varepsilon) h(R_1 + \varepsilon) \|q\|_0 + \|q\|_0 \int_0^{R_1 + \varepsilon} w(s)ds} > 1. \]

(5.2)

From \((C_3), \) choose a \( R_2 > \max\{R_1, \frac{R'}{2}\} \) \( (R' \) is defined as in (2.12)).
Let $n_0 \in \{1, 2, \cdots \}$ be chosen so that $\frac{1}{n_0} < \varepsilon$, and let $N_0 = \{n_0, n_0+1, \cdots \}$. For each $n \in N_0$, for $x \in P$, define

$$(T_n x)(t) = \alpha[x] + \int_0^1 G(t, s)q(s)f(s, x(s) + \frac{1}{n}, x'(s))ds, \quad t \in [0, 1].$$ (5.3)

Lemma 2.6 implies that $T_n : P \rightarrow P$ is continuous and compact (here $\gamma_1(t) \equiv \frac{1}{n}$ and $\gamma_2(t) \equiv 0$, for $t \in [0, 1]$).

Let

$$\Omega_1 = \{x \in C^1_q \|x\| < R_1 \}$$

and

$$\Omega_2 = \{x \in C^1_q \|x\| < R_2 \}.$$

Then, for each $n \in N_0$, we claim that

$$\mu T_n x \neq x, \quad \forall \mu \in (0, 1], \ x \in P \cap \partial \Omega_1.$$ (5.4)

Now we show that (5.4) is true. Suppose there exists an $x_0 \in P \cap \partial \Omega_1$ and a $\mu_0 \in (0, 1]$ such that $x_0 = \mu_0 T_n x_0$. Then

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
x_0''(t) + \mu_0 q(t)f(t, x_0(t) + \frac{1}{n}, x'_0(t)) = 0, \quad t \in (0, 1) \\
x_0(0) = 0, \ x_0(1) = \alpha[x_0],
\end{array} \right.$$ (5.5)

which means that $x_0(t) > 0$ is on $(0, 1)$ with $x_0'(0) = 0$ and $x_0'(t)$ is nonincreasing on $(0, 1)$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $x_0'(t) < 0$ for all $t \in (0, 1)$ (obviously, (5.7) is true for $x_0'(t) = 0$). From (5.5), we have

$$-x_0''(t) \leq q(t)f(t, x_0(t) + \frac{1}{n}, x'_0(t)) \leq q(t)[h(x_0(t) + \frac{1}{n}) + w(x_0(t) + \frac{1}{n})]r(-x_0'(t)),$$

$$\forall t \in (0, 1),$$

which means that

$$\frac{-x_0''(t)}{r(-x_0'(t))} \leq [h(x_0(t) + \frac{1}{n}) + w(x_0(t) + \frac{1}{n})]q(t), \quad \forall t \in (0, 1)$$

and so

$$\frac{x_0''(t)x'_0(t)}{r(-x_0'(t))} \leq [h(x_0(t) + \frac{1}{n}) + w(x_0(t) + \frac{1}{n})](-x_0'(t))q(t), \quad \forall t \in (0, 1).$$ (5.6)

Integration from 0 to $t$ yields

$$I(-x_0'(t)) - I(-x_0'(0)) = I(-x_0'(t))$$
\[
\leq \|q\|_0 h(x_0(0) + \frac{1}{n}) (x_0(0) + \frac{1}{n}) + \|q\|_0 \int_{a[x_0]+\frac{1}{n}}^{x_0(0)+\frac{1}{n}+1} w(s) \, ds,
\]
and so
\[
-x'_0(t) \leq I^{-1}((\|q\|_0 h(R_1 + \varepsilon)(R_1 + \varepsilon) + \|q\|_0 \int_{0}^{R_1+\varepsilon} w(s) \, ds), \forall t \in (0, 1], \quad (5.7)
\]
Now integrate from 0 to 1 to obtain
\[
R_1(1-c_0) \leq x_0(0) - x_0(1) \leq I^{-1}((\|q\|_0 h(R_1 + \varepsilon)(R_1 + \varepsilon) + \|q\|_0 \int_{0}^{R_1+\varepsilon} w(s) \, ds), \forall t \in (0, 1],
\]
a contradiction to (5.2). Then, (5.4) is true.
From Lemma 2.2, for each \( n \in N_0 \), we have
\[
i(T_n, P \cap \Omega_1, P) = 1. \quad (5.8)
\]
Lemma 2.7 implies that
\[
i(T_n, P \cap \Omega_2, P) = 0,
\]
and so
\[
i(T_n, P \cap (\Omega_2 - \overline{\Omega}_1), P) = -1, \quad n \in N_0. \quad (5.9)
\]
As a result, for each \( n \in N_0 \), there exist \( x_{n,1} \in P \cap \Omega_1 \) and \( x_{n,2} \in P \cap (\Omega_2 - \overline{\Omega}_1) \) such that \( x_{n,1} = T_n x_{n,1} \) and \( x_{n,2} = T_n x_{n,2} \).
Now we consider \( \{x_{n,1}\}_{n \in N_0} \) and \( \{x_{n,2}\}_{n \in N_0} \). Obviously, since \( \{x_{n,1}\}_{n \in N_0} \) is bounded, it is easy to see that
\[
\{x_{n,1}(t)\} \text{ is uniformly bounded on } [0, 1] \quad (5.10)
\]
with \( \max_{t \in [0, 1]} |x_{n,1}(t)| \leq R_1, \forall n \in N_0. \)
Using \( x_{n,1} \) instead of \( x_0 \) in (5.2), from (5.7), one has
\[
-x'_{n,1}(t) \leq I^{-1}((\|q\|_0 h(R_1 + \varepsilon)(R_1 + \varepsilon) + \|q\|_0 \int_{0}^{R_1+\varepsilon} w(s) \, ds), \forall t \in (0, 1],
\]
which yields that
\[
\{x'_{n,1}(t)\} \text{ is uniformly bounded on } [0, 1] \quad (5.11)
\]
and so
\[
\{x_{n,1}(t)\} \text{ is equicontinuous on } [0, 1]. \quad (5.12)
\]
Using \( x_{n,1} \) instead of \( x_0 \) in (5.6), we have
\[
\frac{x_{n,1}'(t)x_{n,1}''(t)}{r(-x_{n,1}'(t))} \leq [h(x_{n,1}(t) + \frac{1}{n}) + w(x_{n,1}(t) + \frac{1}{n})]q(t), \quad \forall t \in (0, 1).
\]
Integration from \( t_1 \) to \( t_2 \) yields
\[
|I(-x_{n,1}'(t_1))) - I(-x_{n,1}'(t_2))| = \left| \int_{-x_{n,1}'(t_1)}^{-x_{n,1}'(t_2)} \frac{r}{g(r)} dr \right|
\leq [h(R_1 + \varepsilon)|x_{n,1}(t_1) - x_{n,1}(t_2)| + \left| \int_{-x_{n,1}(t_1)+\frac{1}{n}}^{-x_{n,1}(t_2)+\frac{1}{n}} w(r) dr \right|]||q||_0.
\]
Since \( w \in L_{loc}[0, +\infty) \), from (5.12), we have
\[
\{I(-x_{n,1}')\} \text{ is equicontinuous on } [0, 1]. \quad (5.13)
\]
Since
\[
|x_{n,1}'(t_1) - x_{n,1}'(t_2)| = |I^{-1}(I(-x_{n,1}'(t_1))) - I^{-1}(I(-x_{n,1}'(t_2)))|
\]
and \( I^{-1} \) is uniformly continuous on \([0, I(R_1)]\), we have
\[
\{x_{n,1}'\} \text{ is equicontinuous on } [0, 1]. \quad (5.14)
\]
From (5.10), (5.11), (5.12) and (5.14), the Arzela-Ascoli Theorem guarantees the existence of a subsequence \( N = (n_j) \) of \( N_0 \) and a function \( x_{0,1} \in C^1[0, 1] \) with \( \lim_{j \to +\infty} x_{n_j,1} \to x_{0,1} \) with
\[
x_{0,1}(0) = 0. \quad (5.15)
\]
From
\[
\lim_{j \to +\infty} f(t, x_{n_j,1}(t) + \frac{1}{n_j}, x_{n_j,1}'(t)) = f(t, x_{0,1}(t), x_{0,1}'(t)), \quad \forall t \in (0, 1),
\]
\[
x_{n_j,1}(t) = x_{n_j,1} \left( \frac{1}{2} \right) + x_{n_j,1}' \left( \frac{1}{2} \right) \left( t - \frac{1}{2} \right)
+ \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{t} (s - t) q(s) f(s, x_{n_j,1}(s) + \frac{1}{n_j}, x_{n_j,1}'(s)) ds
\]
for \( t \in (0, 1) \) and
\[
x_{n_j,1}(1) = \int_{0}^{1} x_{n_j,1}(s) dA(s),
\]
the Lebesgue Dominated Convergence Theorem guarantees that
\[ x_{0,1}(t) = x_{0,1}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) + x_{0,1}'\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(t - \frac{1}{2}\right) + \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{t} (s-t) q(s) f(s, x_{0,1}(s), x'_{0,1}(s)) \, ds \]
(5.16)
\[ x_{0,1}(1) = \int_{0}^{1} x_{0,1}(s) dA(s) = \alpha [x_{0,1}] \]  
(5.17)
Hence, from (5.15)-(5.17), \( x_{0,1}(t) \) is a positive solution to BVP(1.1)-(1.2) with \( \|x_{0,1}\| \leq R_1 \). Also (5.2) guarantees that \( \|x_{0,1}\| < R_1 \).

For the set \( \{x_{n,2}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq (\Omega_2 - \overline{\Omega}_1) \cap P \), from the same proof for the set \( \{x_{n,1}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \), we can obtain a convergent subsequence \( \{x_{n,2}\} \) with \( \lim_{n \to +\infty} x_{n,2} = x_{0,2} \in C^1 \). Moreover, \( x_{0,2} \) is a positive solution to equation (1.1) with \( R_1 < \|x_{0,2}\| < R_2 \).

Consequently, BVP(1.1)-(1.2) has at least two different positive solutions \( x_{0,1}(t) \) and \( x_{0,2}(t) \) with \( \|x_{0,1}\| < R_1 < \|x_{0,2}\| \).

**Example 5.1.** Consider the boundary value problems
\[ x'' + \mu[1 + |x'|^a][1 + x^b + x^{-d}] = 0, \quad t \in (0, 1) \]  
(5.18)
\[ x'(0) = 0, \quad x(1) = \int_{0}^{1} x(s) dA(s), \]  
(5.19)
with \( dA(t) = \frac{1}{8} \sin 2\pi t dt \), \( 0 < a < 1, \quad b > 1, \quad 0 < d < 1 \) and \( \mu > 0 \). If
\[ \mu < \sup_{c \in (0, +\infty)} \frac{\int_{0}^{c} \left(1-c_{0}\right) c^{\frac{s}{1+c}} ds}{c + c^{b+1} + \frac{1}{1-d} c^{1-d}} \]  
(5.20)
then BVP(5.18)-(5.19) has at least two different positive solutions \( x_{0,1}, x_{0,2} \in C^1[0, 1] \cap C^2(0, 1) \).

It is easy to see that all conditions of Theorem 5.1 hold. Then, Theorem 5.1 guarantees that BVP(5.18)-(5.19) has at least two different positive solutions \( x_{0,1}, x_{0,2} \in C^1[0, 1] \cap C^2(0, 1) \).

6. Multiple positive solutions to BVP(1.1)-(1.2) with singularity at \( x' = 0 \) but not at \( x = 0 \)

In this section our nonlinearity \( f \) may be singular at \( x' = 0 \) but not \( x = 0 \) and \( c_0 = \int_{0}^{1} |dA(s)| \).
Theorem 6.1 Suppose \((C_1) - (C_3)\) hold with \(v \in C((0, +\infty), (0, +\infty))\) and \(w(t) \equiv 0\) for all \(t \in [0, +\infty)\) and
\[
\sup_{c \in (0, +\infty)} \frac{(1 - c_0)c}{I^{-1}(h(c) \int_0^1 q(s)ds)} > 1, \quad \text{where}
\]
\[
I(z) = \int_0^z r(u) + v(u) du, \quad z \in (0, +\infty), \quad I(+\infty) = +\infty.
\]
Then BVP\((1.1)-(1.2)\) has at least two positive solutions \(x_{0,1}, x_{0,2} \in C_1[0, 1] \cap C_2(0, 1)\) with \(x_{0,1}(t) \geq 0\) and \(x_{0,2}(t) > 0\) on \((0, 1)\).

Proof. From \((6.1)\) and the continuity of \(I^{-1}\) and \(h\), choose an \(R_1 > 0\), and a \(\varepsilon > 0\) with \(\varepsilon < \frac{R_1}{2}\) with
\[
\frac{R_1(1 - c_0)}{I^{-1}(I(\varepsilon) + h(R_1) \int_0^1 q(s)ds)} > 1.
\]
From \((C_3)\), choose a \(R_2 > \max\{R_1, R_1'\}\) \((R'\) is defined as in \((2.12)\)).

Let \(n_0 \in \{1, 2, \cdots\}\) be chosen so that \(\frac{1}{n_0} < \varepsilon\), and let \(N_0 = \{n_0, n_0 + 1, \cdots\}\).

For each \(n \in N_0\), for \(x \in P\), define
\[
(T_n x)(t) = \alpha[x] + \int_0^1 G(t, s)q(s)f(s, x(s), x'(s) - \frac{1}{n})ds, \quad t \in [0, 1].
\]

Lemma 2.6 guarantees that for each \(n \in N_0\), \(T_n : P \rightarrow P\) is continuous and compact (here \(\gamma_1(t) \equiv 0\) and \(\gamma_2(t) \equiv -\frac{1}{n}\), for \(t \in [0, 1]\)).

Let
\[
\Omega_1 = \{x \in C^1_q \|x\| < R_1\}
\]
and
\[
\Omega_2 = \{x \in C^1_q \|x\| < R_2\}.
\]

An argument similar to that in the proof of \((5.4)\) shows that for each \(n \in N_0\), we have that
\[
\mu T_n x \neq x, \quad \forall \mu \in (0, 1], \quad x \in P \cap \partial \Omega_1,
\]
which together with Lemma 2.2 implies
\[
i(T_n, P \cap \Omega_1, P) = 1, \quad n \in N_0.
\]
Since Lemma 2.7 guarantees that
\[
i(T_n, P \cap \Omega_2, P) = 0, \quad n \in N_0
\]
we have
\[ i(T_n, P \cap (\Omega_2 - \overline{\Omega}_1), P) = -1, \quad n \in N_0. \]
As a result, for each \( n \in N_0 \), there exist \( x_{n,1} \in P \cap \Omega_1 \) and \( x_{n,2} \in P \cap (\Omega_2 - \overline{\Omega}_1) \) such that \( x_{n,1} = T_n x_{n,1} \) and \( x_{n,2} = T_n x_{n,2} \).

An argument similar to that in the proof of (5.10)-(5.12) and (5.14) shows that
\[
\{x_{n,1}(t)\}, \{x_{n,2}(t)\} \text{ are uniformly bounded on } [0, 1],
\]
\[
\{x'_{n,1}(t)\}, \{x'_{n,2}(t)\} \text{ are uniformly bounded on } [0, 1],
\]
\[
\{x_{n,1}(t)\}, \{x_{n,2}(t)\} \text{ are equicontinuous on } [0, 1],
\]
and
\[
\{-x'_{n,1}(t)\}, \{x'_{n,2}(t)\} \text{ are equicontinuous on } [0, 1],
\]
which together with the Arzela-Ascoli Theorem guarantees the existence of a subsequence \( \{n_j\} \) of \( N_0 \) and a function \( x_{0,1} \in C^1[0, 1] \) with
\[ x'_{0,1}(0) = 0 \]
and the existence of a subsequence \( \{n_k\} \) of \( N_0 \) and a function \( x_{0,2} \in C^1[0, 1] \) with
\[ x'_{0,2}(0) = 0 \]
An argument similar to that in the proof of (5.16)-(5.17) shows that \( x_{0,1}(t) \) and \( x_{0,2}(t) \) are two different positive of BVP(1.1)-(1.2) with \( \|x_{0,1}\| < R_1 < \|x_{0,2}\| \).

**Example 6.1.** Consider the boundary value problems
\[
x'' + \mu[1 + |x'|^a + |x'|^{d-1}][1 + x^b] = 0, \quad t \in (0, 1) \quad (6.2)
\]
\[x'(0) = 0, \quad x(1) = \int_0^1 x(s)dA(s), \quad (6.3)\]
with \( dA(t) = \frac{1}{8} \sin 2\pi t dt, \ 0 < a < 1, \ b > 1, \ 0 < d \) and \( \mu > 0 \). If
\[ \mu < \sup_{c \in (0, +\infty)} \frac{\int_0^{(1-c)\epsilon} s^a + \epsilon^{d-1} ds}{1 + \epsilon^d}, \]
then BVP(6.2)-(6.3) has at least two different positive solutions \( x_{0,1}, x_{0,2} \in C^1[0, 1] \cap C^2(0, 1) \).
It is easy to see that all conditions of Theorem 6.1 hold. Then, Theorem 6.1 guarantees that BVP(6.2)-(6.3) has at least two different positive solutions \( x_{0,1}, x_{0,2} \in C^1[0,1] \cap C^2(0,1) \).

7. Multiple positive solutions to BVP(1.1)-(1.2) with singularity at \( x = 0 \) and \( x' = 0 \)

In this section our nonlinearity \( f \) may be singular at \( x = 0 \) and \( x' = 0 \) and \( c_0 = \int_0^1 |dA(s)|. \)

**Theorem 7.1** Suppose \((C_1) - (C_3)\) hold and

\[
\sup_{c \in (0, +\infty)} \frac{(1 - c_0)c}{I^{-1}(\|q\|_0 |ch(c) + \int_0^1 w(s)ds|)} > 1, \quad \text{where} \\
I(z) = \int_0^z \frac{u}{r(u) + v(u)} du, \quad z \in (0, +\infty), \quad I(\infty) = +\infty, \quad \|q\|_0 = \max_{t \in [0,1]} q(t).
\]

Then (1.1) has at least two positive solutions \( x_{0,1}, x_{0,2} \in C^1[0,1] \cap C^2(0,1) \) with \( x_{0,1}(t) \geq 0 \) and \( x_{0,2}(t) > 0 \) on \((0,1)\).

**Proof.** From (7.1) and the continuity of \( I^{-1} \), choose an \( R_1 > 0 \), and a \( \varepsilon > 0 \) with \( \varepsilon < R_1/2 \) with

\[
\frac{R_1(1 - c_0)}{I^{-1}(I(\varepsilon) + (R_1 + \varepsilon)h(R_1 + \varepsilon)\|q\|_0 + \|q\|_0 \int_0^{R_1+\varepsilon} w(s)ds)} > 1.
\]

From \((C_3)\), choose a \( R_2 > \max\{R_1, \frac{R'}{2}\} \) (\( R' \) is defined as in (2.12)).

Let \( n_0 \in \{1, 2, \cdots\} \) be chosen so that \( \frac{2}{n_0} < \varepsilon \), and let \( N_0 = \{n_0, n_0+1, \cdots\} \).

For each \( n \in N_0 \), for \( x \in P \), define

\[
(T_n x)(t) = a[x] + \int_0^1 G(t,s)q(s)f(s,x(s)+\frac{1}{n}(1-s)+\frac{1}{n}x'(s)-\frac{1}{n})ds, \quad t \in [0,1].
\]

Lemma 2.6 guarantees that \( T_n : P \to P \) is continuous and compact (here \( \gamma_1(t) = \frac{1}{n}(1-t) + \frac{1}{n} \) and \( \gamma_2(t) \equiv \frac{1}{n} \), for \( t \in [0,1] \)).

Set

\[
\Omega_1 = \{ x \in C_p \|x\| < R_1 \}
\]

and

\[
\Omega_2 = \{ x \in C_p \|x\| < R_2 \}.
\]
An argument argument to that in the proof in Theorem 6.1 yields two different positive solutions $x_{0,1}(t)$ and $x_{0,2}(t)$ with $x_{0,1} \in \Omega_1 \cap P$ and $x_{0,2} \in (\Omega_2 - \Omega_1) \cap P$.

**Example 7.1.** Consider the boundary value problems

\[ x'' + \mu[1 + |x'|^a + |x'|^b][1 + x^b + x^{-d}] = 0, \quad t \in (0, 1) \quad (7.2) \]

\[ x'(0) = 0, \quad x(1) = \int_0^1 x(s)dA(s), \quad (7.3) \]

with $dA(t) = \frac{1}{8} \sin 2\pi t dt$, $0 < a < 1$, $b > 1$, $0 < d < 1$, $e > 0$ and $\mu > 0$. If

\[ \mu < \sup_{c \in (0, +\infty)} \int_0^{(1-c)/c} \frac{s^{1+c}}{s^a + s^{b+1} + 1 - d} \frac{1}{c + s^{b+1} + 1 - d} \]

then BVP(7.2)-(7.3) has at least two different positive solutions $x_{0,1}, x_{0,2} \in C^1[0,1] \cap C^2(0,1)$.

It is easy to see that all conditions of Theorem 7.1 hold. Now Theorem 7.1 guarantees that BVP(7.2)-(7.3) has at least two different positive solutions $x_{0,1}, x_{0,2} \in C^1[0,1] \cap C^2(0,1)$.
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