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ABSTRACT

Clinical importance of the most common CHEK2 (IVS2+1 G>A, 1100delC, 1157T and del5395) and NBN (R215W and 657del5) gene mutations for breast cancer development in Macedonian breast cancer patients is unknown. We performed a case-control study including 300 Macedonian breast cancer patients and 283 Macedonian healthy controls. Genotyping was done using a fast and highly accurate single-nucleotide primer extension method for the detection of five mutations in a single reaction. The detection of the del5395 was performed using an allele-specific duplex polymerase chain reaction (PCR) assay. We have found that mutations were more frequent in breast cancer patients (n = 13, 4.3%) than in controls (n = 5, 1.8%), although without statistical significance. Twelve patients were heterozygous for one of the analyzed mutations, while one patient had two mutations (NBN R215W and CHEK2 1157T). The most frequent variant was 1157T, found in 10 patients and four controls (p = 0.176) and was found to be associated with familial breast cancer (p = 0.041). CHEK2 1100delC and NBN 657del15 were each found in one patient and not in the control group. CHEK2 IVS2+1G>A and del5395 were not found in our cohort. Frequencies of the studied mutations are low and they are not likely to represent alleles of clinical importance in the Macedonian population.

Keywords: Breast cancer; CHEK2; Macedonian population; Mutations; NBN

INTRODUCTION

Mutations in the BRCA1 and BRCA2 genes account for around 16.0-25.0% of high-risk familial breast cancers. Therefore, 75.0-84.0% of high-risk malignancies are not explained in terms of their genetic determinants. Part of the breast cancer cases could be attributed to genes that have moderate penetrance conferring 6.0-10.0% risk of developing the disease by the age of 60, compared with 3.0% for the general population [1]. Some of these genes encode for proteins that act in concert with each other in the intracellular DNA damage signaling and repair pathways. The CHEK2 and NBN genes belong to this group, and a few years ago were proposed to be attractive candidates for susceptibility genes for breast cancer development.

The CHEK2 gene is located on chromosome 22q12.1 and encodes a G2 checkpoint kinase that plays a critical role in response to DNA damage by phosphorylating tumor suppressor proteins, including p53, Cdc25C, Cdc25A and BRCA1 [2]. The CHEK2 genetic variation in inherited cancer susceptibility was first indicated by Bell et al. [3] in 1999 who discovered CHEK2 1100delC (c.1100delC) germline mutation in patients with Li-Fraumeni syndrome. Ac-
According to the meta-analysis provided by the CHEK2 Breast Cancer Case-Control Consortium, 1100delC is the most common CHEK2 mutation conferring a two-fold increase in risk for breast cancer and 10-fold increase for breast cancer in males. In addition, heterozygotes for the 1100delC allele have a six-fold elevated risk for bilateral breast cancer development [4,5]. There are conflicting results regarding the other rare CHEK2 mutations and their contribution to breast cancer susceptibility. The splice site mutation IVS2+1G>A (c.444+1G>A) results in a severely truncated CHEK2 protein lacking kinase activity, confers a three-fold risk for breast cancer. The mutation was observed to be associated with an increased risk for breast cancer in the Polish population [6], and has also been detected in the German [7,8] and Byelorussian populations as well [7]. Furthermore, a large CHEK2 deletion spanning exons 9 and 10 (del5395) has been described as a Czech founder mutation [9] and was also found in Poland [10]. The I157T (c.470T>C) missense variant, located within the FHA domain, have previously been reported to be pro oncogenic due to the protein inability to bind to downstream targets including BRCA1, Cdc25A, and p53. The frequency of the I157T variant varies between populations and the highest frequency was observed in Slavic populations. It confers around a 1.5-fold risk for breast cancer, but it is unclear whether it is sufficient to recommend testing in clinical practice [11].

The NBN (NBS1) gene is located on the 8q21.3 chromosome and encodes a protein that is a component of the MRE11/RAD50/NBN (MRN) complex involved in DNA double-strand break repair, telomere maintenance, and cell-cycle checkpoint control. Biallelic mutations in NBN are responsible for the majority of patients with Nijmegen breakage syndrome (NBS), a radiation sensitivity disorder characterized by microcephaly, growth retardation, immunodeficiency and a marked susceptibility to cancer [12]. Approximately 90.0% of NBS patients carry the homozygous mutation 657del5 (c.657_661delACAAA) in exon 6, which has been predominantly identified in Slavic populations and confers a three-fold risk for breast cancer development [13]. Association studies of NBN missense alterations in breast cancer series have not generally supported the hypothesis that amino acid substitutions could contribute to breast cancer susceptibility. However, the R215W (c.643C>T) substitution, is proposed to represent a cancer susceptibility allele with low penetrance in breast cancer, conferring around a two-fold risk for breast cancer development in carriers [14].

There are very limited data on the distribution and clinical relevance of CHEK2 and NBN mutations in the Balkan countries. We here report the clinical relevance of the most common mutations in CHEK2 and NBN genes for breast cancer development in Macedonian breast cancer patients. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to explore CHEK2 and NBN mutations in a large Balkan cohort.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Study Participants.** The study population consisted of 300 unselected breast cancer patients. Median age at diagnosis was 50 years, and 30.0% of patients reported a first degree family history of breast cancer. The patient series had been used previously to determine the frequency of 11 selected mutations in BRCA1 and BRCA2 genes [15]. The general population controls included 283 female volunteers. Informed written consent was obtained from each participant. The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Macedonian Academy of Sciences and Arts, Skopje, Republic of Macedonia. Genomic DNA was isolated from peripheral EDTA blood samples following standard phenol-chloroform extraction procedures.

**Genotyping.** Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) primers were designed to give a variety of PCR fragment sizes for multiplex PCR (Table 1). The PCR multiplexes were performed in a final volume of 25 µL, in 1 × B2 reaction buffer, 200 mM dinucleotide triphosphate, 2.5 mM MgCl₂, 10 pmol of each primer, 1 unit of HOT FIRE Pol DNA polymerase (Solis BioDyne, Tartu, Estonia) and 100 ng of genomic DNA. Thirty-three cycles of PCR amplification were performed with denaturation at 95 °C, annealing at 58 °C and extension at 72 °C. An aliquot of the completed reaction was treated with Exonuclease I and Shrimp Alkaline Phosphatase (ExoSAP-IT) (Affymetrix, Santa Clara, CA, USA) to eliminate unincorporated nucleotide triphosphates and excess PCR primers overnight at 37 °C, followed by 15 min. at 85 °C to inactivate the enzyme. The purified PCR products were directly used as templates in a primer extension reaction containing the mutation specific primer mixture (Table 1). The mini sequencing primers were
5′-tailed with poly-C sequences of various sizes to produce extension products of 27-54 nucleotides to allow for separation by capillary electrophoresis. For the extension reaction, we used the ABI PRISM™ SNaPshot Multiplex Kit (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA) following the manufacturer’s instructions. The extension products were treated with Shrimp Alkaline Phosphatase (Affymetrix). An aliquot of the SAP-inactivated single-nucleotide extension reaction was diluted in 12 µl HiDi Formamide (Life Technologies), supplied with GeneScan 120 LIZ Size Standard (Life Technologies), heat-denatured, snap-cooled on ice and loaded onto an ABI PRISM™ 3010 Genetic Analyzer (Life Technologies). Extension products were visualized and called automatically using GeneScan 4.0 (Life Technologies).

Detection of the 5395 bp deletion spanning exons 9 and 10 of CHEK2 was performed using an allele-specific duplex PCR assay [10]. Briefly, two primer pairs were used specifically for detection of the large deletion in a single PCR. The first pair flanked the breakpoint site in intron 8, while the second flanked the breakpoint site in intron 10. The PCR products were analyzed by agarose gel electrophoresis. Mutation-negative cases produced two PCR fragments of 379 and 522 bp from the wild-type allele, while in the presence of the mutation, the forward primer of the first pair and the reverse primer of the second pair amplified a 450 bp long PCR product.

**Statistical Analyses.** Fisher’s exact test (http://vassarstats.net/) was used to determine the significant difference in the mutation frequencies between the two groups. Associations with a p value lower than 0.05 were considered to be significant.

**RESULTS**

We analyzed 300 patient and 283 control individuals for the presence of 1100delC, IVS2+1G>A, I157T, del 5395 in CHEK2 and 657del5, R215W mutations in NBN to determine their frequencies in the Macedonian population. The results are summarized in Table 2. Our primer extension design provides a very fast and highly accurate one-tube

### Table 1. Primers for multiplex PCR and Single-nucleotide primer extension assay.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Mutation</th>
<th>Primer ID</th>
<th>Sequence (5′&gt;3′)</th>
<th>PCR/SnaPshot Size (bp)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CHEK2</td>
<td>I157T T/C</td>
<td>PCR (F)</td>
<td>TTT CGG ATT TTC AGG GTA GG</td>
<td>241</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PCR (R)</td>
<td>ATT CAA AGG AGC GGC TTT TC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SnaPshot (F)</td>
<td>(4)CAG TGG GTC CTA AAA ACT CTT ACA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IVS2+1G&gt;A G/A</td>
<td>PCR (F)</td>
<td>CTA CTG GTT TGG GAG GGA CA</td>
<td>156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PCR (R)</td>
<td>5GA CCA AAT TAC CAG CTC TCC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SnaPshot (F)</td>
<td>(10)CCA AGA AAC ACT TTC GGA TTT TCA GG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>c.1100delC C/T</td>
<td>PCR (F)</td>
<td>GCA AAA TTA AAT GTC CTA ACT TGC</td>
<td>374</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PCR (R)</td>
<td>CCA GTC TGT GCA GCA ATG AA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SnaPshot (F)</td>
<td>(13)CTC CCT TTT GTA CTG AAT TTA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R215W 657del5 C/T</td>
<td>PCR (F)</td>
<td>TGT CAG ATA GTC ACT CCG TTT ACA A</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PCR (R)</td>
<td>ACC TGT TTG GCA TTC AAA AT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SnaPshot (F)</td>
<td>(20)CAA GTA AAA ATG TTG ATC TGT CAG GA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SnaPshot (R)</td>
<td>(28)CAT ATA AAT GTT CCT TCG ATT TGA ATT T</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NBN</td>
<td>R215W C/T</td>
<td>PCR (F)</td>
<td>TGT CAG ATA GTC ACT CCG TTT ACA A</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PCR (R)</td>
<td>ACC TGT TTG GCA TTC AAA AT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SnaPshot (F)</td>
<td>(20)CAA GTA AAA ATG TTG ATC TGT CAG GA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SnaPshot (R)</td>
<td>(28)CAT ATA AAT GTT CCT TCG ATT TGA ATT T</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ID: identification; PCR: polymerase chain reaction; F: forward; R: reverse.

**Table 2. Distribution of CHEK2 and NBN analyzed mutation.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CHEK2</th>
<th>NBN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I157T</td>
<td>IVS2+1G&gt;A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unselected patients</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>10 (3.33%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Controls</td>
<td>283</td>
<td>4 (1.41%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p Value</td>
<td>0.176</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
screening method for detection of five mutations in a single reaction (Figure 1). The identified mutations were validated by Sanger sequencing using BigDye chemistry. The 5395 bp deletion in CHEK2 was assayed by PCR.

The truncation mutation 1100delC in CHEK2 was detected in one patient (0.3%) and in none of the control cohort. The patient was a 31-year-old woman diagnosed with invasive third stage ductal carcinoma, with positive lymph nodes (pN1a), but no metastases. Her mother was diagnosed with breast cancer at age 40 and died 2 years later. Both of her father’s sisters had been diagnosed with breast cancer at ages 50 and 62, and they died a few years after.

DISCUSSION

There is no universal consensus regarding the importance of CHEK2 and NBN mutations in breast cancer development. Our study was aimed to contribute to the knowledge of their role in breast cancer development in patients from Southern Europe by providing the first data for this geographic region. Surprisingly, we found very low frequencies of the selected mutations in the Macedonian population, which were not in agreement with some previously published data on Slavic populations.

Although it is very rare, the 1100delC mutation in CHEK2 is the most studied, showing wide variation in the frequency in different populations. Highest frequencies of this mutations in breast cancer patients have been found in The Netherlands (2.9 in patients vs. 1.6% in controls) [16], Finland (2.0 vs. 1.0%) [4], and lower in Sweden (1.3 vs. 0.6%) [17], Denmark (1.2 vs. 0.5%) [18], USA (1.1 vs. 0.4%) [19], Germany (0.8 vs. 0.5%) [20], Poland (0.5 vs. 0.2%) [10] and Czech Republic (0.4 vs. 0.3%) [21].

We observed only one 1100delC mutation carrier, thus showing that the clinical importance of the mutation for the Macedonian population is minor. It was unexpected that we did not detect the IVS2+1G>A mutation and the 5395 bp deletion in our cohort. Though our results are partially in agreement with the data from a small study of 57 HBOC (high grade breast and ovarian cancer) cases in Serbia, where 1100delC was not observed, and only one 5395bp deletion carrier was identified [22]. IVS2+1G>A was identified as a Polish founder mutation with a frequency of 0.3% in the general population and 1.2% in breast cancer patients [6]. It was also observed in German (0.0-0.4%) [7,8] and Byelorussian populations (0.2%) [7]. The 5395 del was reported as a founder mutation in Poland with 0.4% population frequency [10]. Bogdanova et al. [23] found IVS2+1G>A in Byelorussian (0.9%) and in German breast cancer patients (0.5%).
The studies indicating the relevance of the I157T missense mutation in elevating the risk of developing breast cancer disagreed: either showing an increased risk for breast cancer [7, 24-26] or no association with the disease [8, 27]. I157T was observed with the highest frequency in two separate studies in Poland (9.3 in breast cancer cases vs. 5.8% in controls and 7.6 vs. 5.1%) [24, 25], Poland and Belarus together (5.5 vs. 3.4%) [26], Belarus (5.6 vs. 1.3%) [7]. Less frequent and without any clinical significance, the variant was observed in Germany (1.9 vs. 1.6%) [8] and Czech Republic (2.8 vs. 2.5%) [27]. In our studied population, I157T was twice as frequent in the patient group (3.0 vs. 1.4%), although statistical significance was not reached ($p = 0.176$). When familial vs. non familial breast cancer history patients were compared, the variant was found to be associated with familial breast cancer ($p = 0.041$).

The 657del5 germline mutation in \textit{NBN} accounts for more than 90.0% of all mutant alleles in the gene. The highest frequency of heterozygous carriers was found in the Slavic population from Central Europe with an average frequency of 1/177 [13]. Gorski \textit{et al.} [28] found 657del5 in 0.8% of studied breast cancer cases and in 0.6% of the controls in Poland. Similarly, Steffen \textit{et al.} [29] found the mutation in 1.96% unselected breast cancer patients in central Poland and 0.62% in controls. Bogdanova \textit{et al.} performed large-scale analysis of breast cancer patients and controls from Belarus and Northern Germany. They confirmed that 657del5 was clearly associated with an increased breast cancer risk in both populations, Belorussian (0.9% in patients vs. 0.1% in controls) and German (0.1% in patients and 0% in controls) [14]. The R215W mutation was once considered a polymorphism of \textit{NBN}, and its severe pathogenicity only emerged with the identification of compound heterozygous 657del5/R215W NBS patients. Still there are conflicting opinions whether it does represent a cancer susceptibility allele. Bogdanova \textit{et al.} [14] observed that the R215W substitution may be an allele with lower penetrance for breast cancer development in Northern Germany (0.8 in patients vs. 0.2% in controls), but not in Belorussia (0.6 in patients vs. 0.5% in controls). We did not confirm that 657del5 and R215W represent breast cancer risk alleles and our results are in agreement with the results published by Carlomagno \textit{et al.} [30] and Mateju \textit{et al.} [31]. Carlomagno \textit{et al.} [30] performed a large study in the German population and found one 657 del5 mutation in 477 patients (0.21%) and one in 866 controls (0.12%). In addition, Mateju \textit{et al.} [31] identified two carriers of the 657del5 mutation in 703 analyzed cases (0.28%) and two in 913 controls (0.22%), while they found R215W in three patients (0.43%) and four controls (0.44%) in a Czech cohort. In conclusion, the frequencies of the \textit{NBN} 657del5 and R215W mutations in the Macedonian population are low, and no association of these mutations with breast cancer susceptibility was demonstrated.

In summary, we have analyzed the impact of the most common mutations in \textit{CHEK2} and \textit{NBN} genes on breast cancer development in Macedonian breast cancer patients. We showed that the frequencies of the studied mutations are very low and they do not seem to represent alleles of high clinical importance in our population. Our study is the first to explore \textit{CHEK2} and \textit{NBN} mutations in a large Balkan cohort. It would be of great interest to assess the distribution of these mutations in other Balkan countries. We also established one-tube multiplex PCR for screening all five \textit{CHEK2} and \textit{NBN} mutations in one reaction, which can be used as a fast screening method in populations where these mutations are more common and with clinical relevance.
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