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Abstract: The purpose of this review is to describe and compare selected traditional and modern extraction methods employed in the isolation of isoflavonoids from plants. Conventional methods such as maceration, percolation, or Soxhlet extraction are still frequently used in phytochemical analysis. Despite their flexibility, traditional extraction techniques have significant drawbacks, including the need for a significant investment of time, energy, and starting material, and a requirement for large amounts of potentially toxic solvents. Moreover, these techniques are difficult to automate, produce considerable amounts of waste and pose a risk of degradation of thermolabile compounds. Modern extraction methods, such as: ultrasound-assisted extraction, microwave-assisted extraction, accelerated solvent extraction, supercritical fluid extraction, and negative pressure cavitation extraction, can be regarded as remedies for the aforementioned problems. This manuscript discusses the use of the most relevant extraction techniques in the process of isolation of isoflavonoids, secondary metabolites that have been found to have a plethora of biological and pharmacological activities.
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1 Introduction

Isoflavones are 4-benzopyrone derivatives formed in the shikimic acid pathway. The following are the best-known representatives of isoflavones: genistein, with its precursor formononetin and glycitein, prunetin and purearin, occurring mostly as glycosides (e.g. genistin, daidzin and ononin) (Fig. 1) [1-5].

Isoflavonoids have demonstrated usefulness in the treatment of diabetes, some allergies, inflammation, bacterial and viral infections and high cholesterol and triglyceride levels [1]. Moreover, they may be useful for the treatment of hormone-dependent diseases, as they bind to the estrogen receptor and behave as selective estrogen receptor agonists or antagonists [6-8]. The effects of these compounds on cells are determined by many factors, including concentration, receptor status, presence or absence of endogenous estrogens, and the identity of the target tissue. Conjugated isoflavones are inactive compounds but become active when the glucose residue is removed. Isoflavonoids bioavailability depends, to a large extent, on the intestinal microflora, since bacterial enzymes in the intestines convert isoflavonoids into various metabolites. Of all known phytoestrogens, this group has been studied most extensively [5-7].

Isoflavonoids occur in large quantities in plants belonging to the families Fabaceae and Iridaceae. They are considered a semi-specific chemotaxonomic marker of Fabaceae and Iridaceae, but they are also found in representatives of Cupressaceae, Liliaceae, Gramineae, Polygonaceae, Ranunculaceae, Lamiaceae, Rosaceae and Apiaceae families [9-11].

The extraction of active compounds from plant material is a key step in the development of analytical methods for phytochemistry. The optimal extraction method should be simple, safe, reproducible, inexpensive, and suitable for industrial applications [12-14]. Moreover, the extract should have the same isoflavone composition and profile as the plant sample. The efficient isolation of analytes requires the optimization of many parameters, including temperature, sample amount, time, and type of extraction solvent.

Extraction of isoflavones is performed using similar principles as for other polyphenols. The process is usually performed with methanol, ethanol, acetonitrile, acetone or their mixtures with water [15]. Isoflavonoids are present...
Extraction Methods for the Isolation of Isoflavonoids from Plant Material

In plant material in free forms, called aglycones, but they are present mostly as glycosides. Because glycosides are relatively unstable, the extraction method must be carefully considered in order to preserve the original isoflavone profile. Several studies have shown that isolation at high temperatures causes changes in isoflavone composition due to glycoside decomposition [16-18]. The most common conversions of glycosides occurring during the extraction process are decarboxylation of malonyl glucosides to acetyl glucosides and ester hydrolysis of malonyl and acetyl glucosides to underivatized glucosides. It is also possible for any conjugated isoflavone to generate the aglycone form by cleavage of the glucosidic bond (Fig. 2). Some glycosides, including malonyl and acetyl isoflavones, are particularly unstable [16]. Due to these potential chemical alterations, the use of drastic temperature and pressure conditions and long extraction times may cause degradation of isoﬂavonoids conjugates, changing the isoflavone profile of the samples and limiting the information obtained. In addition, chemical hydrolysis leads to a marked increase in the concentration of aglycones present in the sample at the expense of the glucosides and hence increase in the available amount of aglycones to be extracted. Therefore, in order to extract the conjugated forms of isoﬂavonoids intact, mild extraction techniques, such as maceration or negative pressure cavitation extraction, are often favored. In the case of the extraction of aglycones, however, more drastic methods, such as microwave-assisted extraction or accelerated solvent extraction, may be performed.

The principles of Green Chemistry, formulated in 1998 by Anastas and Warner, involve friendly products and processes. Green analytical chemistry (GAC), which emerged from green chemistry [19,20], involves 12 principles designed to make analytical practices more environmentally friendly. The key challenge of GAC is to reach a compromise between the quality of the results and the environmental friendliness of analytical methods.

The most important areas of GAC associated with the extraction of plant isoﬂavonoids are:
- automation and simplification of the process
- increasing operator safety
- reduction of sample size, solvent volume and waste production
- elimination of toxic reagents
- minimization of energy and time.

Figure 1: The structural formulas of some of the isoﬂavonoids.
The goals listed above were concluded in the agreed upon protocol of GAC. Generally, a significant aspect of greening laboratory practices is the need to compromise between the performance parameters (e.g. accuracy, precision, sensitivity) and GAC requirements [19,20].

This paper aims to provide descriptions and comparisons of traditional and modern extraction methods, applied in the isolation of isoflavonoids from plant material, taking into account the major achievements and important areas of discussion in the reviewed field.

2 Traditional methods of extraction of isoflavonoids

Conventional methods, such as infusion, decoction, percolation or maceration, i.e. direct simple solvent extraction, not supported by any additional source of energy, are still frequently used in phytochemistry laboratories. These techniques, as well as extraction under reflux and Soxhlet extraction, had been the most commonly used methods for the extraction of active compounds from plant material until new extraction methods were developed. As a matter of fact, the Soxhlet method is still the most highly cited extraction technique [21]. This method was used for the extraction of isoflavonoids from Dalbergia oliveri (Fabaceae) to examine a growth disruption of Aedes aegypti caused by extracts and isolated isoflavonoids [22]. For this purpose, the authors extracted air-dried and powdered D. oliveri heartwood using organic solvents in the order hexane, dichloromethane, ethyl acetate, and methanol. As the dichloromethane extract containing isoflavonoids was most active against Aedes aegypti, it was fractionated by column chromatography. In the separated fractions, (+)-medicarpin, (±)-violanone, and formononetin were found.

Another very popular traditional technique is extraction under reflux (hot reflux extraction - HRE). This technique was employed in the isolation of six major isoflavonoids from Radix Astragali (Astragalus membranaceus (Fisch.) Bge. var. mongholicus (Bge.) Hsiao) [23]. The dried roots were heated with methanol and then analyzed by high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC). HRE and methanol containing 0.1% butylated hydroxytoluene and hydrochloric acid (4:1) were used by Lutz et al. for exhaustive extraction of daidzein and genistein from quinoa seeds (Chenopodium quinoa Willd.) [24]. Hot methanol was used for the isolation of isoflavonoids from Pueraria lobata (Willd.) Ohwi root (kudzu, Gegen), an essential plant used in traditional herbal medicines in the treatment of diabetes, cardiovascular diseases and osteonecrosis [25]. Then the plant extract was fractionated using column chromatography. Puerarin, daidzein, genistein, and daidzin were detected by HPLC analysis of the separated fractions.

Several experiments have shown that extraction at high temperatures (e.g. Soxhlet technique, HRE) causes changes in the isoflavone composition due to conversion of the malonyl forms to acetyl forms, glucosides or even to free aglycones [17,18]. Extraction at room temperature is a preferable technique to avoid degradation of thermolabile compounds. This type of extraction process was performed to evaluate the concentration of isoflavones in chickpea (Cicer arietinum L.) [26]. In this experiment, solid samples were suspended in 80% aqueous methanol with an addition of hydrochloric acid and shaken at room temperature. The extracts obtained by centrifugation were treated with ethyl ether and afterward with ethyl acetate, dried, re-suspended in methanol/water, hydrolyzed, and analyzed by HPLC. Fotso et al. [27] applied extraction at room temperature (using dichloromethane with methanol followed by extraction with methanol) to isolate a new isoflavone, seputheisoflavone, from the root of Psycholobium contortum (N.E.Br.) Brummitt. A crude extract was partitioned between n-hexane, chloroform, ethyl acetate, and n-butanol. Extensive column chromatography of ethyl acetate and n-butanol fractions yielded three new isoflavonoids. Moreover, four known compounds, genistein, isouliquiritigenin, thonningiol and (−)-medicarpin, were identified. A simple and efficient isolation of biochanin A and genistein from the leaves of Dalbergia odorifera T. Chen was tested by
Ma et al. [28]. Extraction of target compounds was carried out at room temperature with the use of 80% ethanol, while isoflavonones from *Amorpha fruticosa* L. roots were isolated using acetone [29].

In another study, a new process was designed to obtain puerarin [30], daidzein and a total isoflavones fraction [31] from the stem of *Pueraria lobata* (Willd.) Ohwi by means of a butanol/water two-phase solvent system, alumina column purification, and recrystallization. The findings indicated that this solvent system produced the maximum yield of total isoflavones. Moreover, with the two-phase solvent procedure outlined above, the combination of solid–liquid extraction and liquid–liquid purification is achieved for the separation of daidzein and puerarin. This process, which can be viewed as relatively economically viable, may be adjusted for the production of the analyzed isoflavonones on a larger scale.

Elimination of toxic reagents during the extraction procedure was achieved in experiments conducted by Gao et al. [32] and Wang et al. [33] in which kudzu root was extracted with 70% ethanol at room temperature. To remove impurities and further enrich the active ingredients, the extract was diluted with water and purified on a resin column. Compounds present in aqueous solution were absorbed onto the resin and then desorbed using 70% ethanol. The eluates were collected in fractions, concentrated and analyzed for the content of puerarin, daidzin and daidzein using UV spectroscopy. Another green alternative used for the isolation of isoflavonoids from *P. lobata* roots was water extraction at room temperature with a subsequent purification and concentration of active compounds by a macroporous resin column [34].

One of the principles of GAC is automation and simplification of analytical procedures. Soxtec extraction meets these requirements. Soxtec is an automated and safe solvent extraction unit based on Soxhlet technique. It utilizes the following processes: boiling, rinsing, solvent recovery and auto-shut down [35]. This equipment (Soxtec HT6) was applied in the isolation of isoflavonones (genistein, daidzein, and biochanin A) from *Arachis hypogaea* L. (peanut) [35]. The compounds of interest were extracted from the samples with ethanol. HPLC analysis confirmed that the Soxtec technique isolated significantly higher amounts of isoflavonoids compared to other methods used in the experiment (ultrasound-assisted extraction and stirring methods). Microwave-assisted extraction was the only technique that produced comparable results. The higher yield of isoflavonones obtained with the use of Soxtec may be associated with the conversion of malonyl conjugates to their corresponding β-glucosides or aglycones at higher temperature. However, the total amount of isoflavones extracted was constant [24, 25].

### 3 Modern isoflavonoid extraction techniques

Even though they can be adapted to suit multiple purposes, traditional extraction techniques do not solve every problem in the field of extraction because they consume a lot of energy and time and require large amounts of solvents (often toxic) and relatively high amounts of starting material. Moreover, traditional extraction methods are difficult to automate, produce considerable amount of waste and pose a risk of degradation of thermolabile compounds. Modern extraction methods can be considered a remedy for these problems, as they are easy to automate, require shorter extraction times and smaller amounts of solvents, and enable simultaneous processing of several samples. These benefits and the greater effectiveness of these methods result from the use of an additional source of energy, apart from heating.

The mechanism of modern techniques is different from the mechanism of simple solvent extraction (e.g. Soxhlet and HRE which depends on a series of permeation and solubilization processes to wash the intracellular constituents out of the plant matrix) [36, 16]. For example, during the microwave-assisted extraction of isoflavonones, microwave energy is rapidly delivered to the solvent and the plant matrix. The energy is absorbed by polar substances (e.g. water) inside the matrix. Consequently, the internal temperature of the plant cells increases. The superheating causes water vaporization within the cells, which may rupture the cell walls and plasma membranes. Since isoflavonones are accumulated primarily in the vacuole and less frequently in the cell wall, the cell disruption can facilitate the mass transfer of extractant into the plant matrix and target compounds into solvent, thus allowing effective extraction [36-38].

#### 3.1 Microwave-assisted extraction (MAE)

MAE was first carried out in 1986 by Ganzler et al who extracted fats from food and pesticides from soil. The major advantages of MAE over conventional methods are its high efficiency, shorter time, and reduced use of solvents as well as a high precision and reproducibility [39-41]. This technique was applied, for example, in the simultaneous extraction of isoflavonoids (puerarin-
4-O-glucoside, puerarin-3′-methoxy-4′-O-glucoside, daidzein-4′,7-O-glucoside, puerarin, mirificin, daidzin, 6′-O-xyllosylpuerarin, 3′-methoxypuerarin, genistin, sophoraside A, ononin, daidzein, genistein and formononetin) from the root of Pueraria lobata (Wild.) Ohwi and Pueraria thomsonii Benth [42]. The findings showed that the yield of target compounds, extracted by MAE with 65% ethanol at 100 °C, was higher than those obtained with the use of other methods (accelerated solvent extraction (ASE), HRE and sonication).

Other researchers optimized MAE with the response surface methodology for HPLC-fluorescence determination of puerarin and daidzein in P. thomsonii roots [43]. The optimized extraction procedure was carried out by soaking the sample in 70% methanol for 30 minutes. Next, it was subjected to microwave energy (11 min, 600 W). The proposed method demonstrated good recovery, satisfactory precision, and a good linear relation.

Ecofriendly and economical variants of MAE are microwave-assisted aqueous two-phase extraction (MA-ATPE) and deep eutectic solvent-based microwave-assisted extraction (DES-MAE). MA-ATPE was applied in the isolation of genistin and biochanin A from Dalbergia odorifera T. Chen leaves [44]. Ethanol, three salts (dipotassium hydrogen phosphate/ammonium sulfate/citrate) and deionized water were chosen to construct an aqueous two-phase system (ATPS). A salt was dissolved in deionized water, then ethanol was added and all components were mixed and held until two phases were formed. The formation of an aqueous two-phase system is due to the mutual exclusion of the ions and ethanol and their high affinity for the water molecules. Dipotassium hydrogen phosphate was selected from investigated salts to form the aqueous two-phase system. Compared with traditional MAE and the extraction under reflux, the content of genistin and biochanin A in the extracts increased when the new procedure was applied. Moreover, the phase-forming salt can be recyclable.

The new “green approaches” were investigated for the extraction of genistin, genistein, and apigenin from pigeon pea (Cajanus cajan (L.) Millsp.) roots [45]. The optimum conditions for DES-MAE, proposed by the single factor and Box-Behnken design tests, were as follows: extraction with 30% of water in 1,6-hexanediol/choline chloride (7:1, mol mol⁻¹), temperature of 80 °C, time 11 minutes and microwave power of 600 W. The experiments proved the superiority of DES-MAE over other extraction methods, showing higher extraction efficiency in case of the new approach. Studies have shown the potential of MA-ATPE and DES-MAE as ecological methods for fast and efficient extraction of isoflavonoids from plant samples.

### 3.2 Ultrasound-assisted extraction (UAE)

Ultrasound-assistant extraction is another modern technique that meets the requirements of GAC and offers a high yield of analyzed compounds in a short time as well as simple manipulation, lower energy input and high reproducibility [46]. The enhancement of extraction yield of target compounds during UAE is attributed to cavitation bubbles, which are produced and compressed during sonication. The increase in temperature and pressure, generated by the compression, leads to the degradation of the bubble. Ultrasound energy enhances solvent penetration into cells and increases the surface area of contact between solid and liquid phases. These phenomena combined with the enhanced mass transfer and disruption of cells via cavitation bubble degradation escalate the release of plant metabolites from intracellular structures. Several parameters influence the extraction efficiency. These parameters include temperature and extraction time, polarity and amount of extractant, mass and type of sample, ultrasound frequency and intensity as well as number of pulses applied [37].

Numerous reports demonstrate the application of UAE in the isolation of isoflavonoids from kudzu. For example, Sun et al. [47] employed the ultrasound method with 40% ethanol for extracting puerarin from this material. Huanen et al. [48] obtained isoflavones from the stem of P. lobata. Chen et al. [49] studied the influence of UAE parameters on the extraction rate of puerarin. UAE with ethanol-water mixture was also used to quantify puerarin, daidzin, daidzein and genistein in P. lobatae roots and P. thomsonii roots and to differentiate these two species [50]. Niu et al. [51] isolated isoflavonoids from Radix Puerariae by means of UAE for quality control of plant material.

A comparison of UAE, ASE and traditional solvent extraction to prepare P. lobatae radix extract revealed higher yields of puerarin, daidzin, and daidzein when UAE was used [52]. The extraction yield was higher as the mean size of P. lobatae radix particles decreased while the total accumulated power varied from 20 to 80 MJ at the solid-to-solvent ratios of 1:5 and 1:10 (g ml⁻¹). Another study compared UAE, maceration, and the Soxhlet technique in the extraction of tectoridin, iristectorin B, iristectorin A, tectorigenin, and iristectorigenin A from Iris tectorum [53]. The findings confirmed that, compared with the 18-hour maceration and 6-hour Soxhlet extraction, ultrasound-assisted extraction (with 70% aqueous solution of methanol, at 45 °C, ultrasound power 150 W) produced the highest extraction yields for the five target isoflavones and total isoflavones over 45 minutes. Song et al. [54] isolated eleven major isoflavonoids from the xylem and...
bark of *Radix Astragali* by ultrasonication with methanol. Ultrasound-assisted extraction was also effective during the isolation of genistin glycoconjugates from sour cherry (*Prunus cerasus* L.) [55] and of a complex of isoflavonoids from mung bean (*Vigna radiata*) [56].

A very interesting experiment was conducted by Pananun et al. [57], who used high-power ultrasonication (HPU) to extract soybean isoflavones from defatted soy. This process has not been studied extensively. In initial work, the researchers extracted soy isoflavones using a rather low-power ultrasound system. The equipment used in the experiment was an HPU unit with a maximum power output of 2.2 kW. HPU increased extraction efficiency by reduction in particle size with cell matrix breakup. HPU with aqueous, acidified acetonitrile was found effective in extracting 1.2 - 1.5 times more genistein compared to conventional techniques. Longer exposure to sonication (3 min) was helpful in extracting more genistein at lower amplitudes only. Longer toasting of defatted soy flakes at 150 °C led to higher aglycone concentration, which increased the total phenolic recovery.

In an attempt to reduce the use of toxic solvents during ultrasound-assisted extraction, ethanol or its mixtures with water [47,50] and ionic liquids were suggested. Ionic liquid-based ultrasonic-assisted extraction (ILUAE) was designed for the effective extraction of puerarin from *P. lobatae* radix [58]. When drawing a comparison with the traditional ultrasonic-assisted extraction and HRE, the proposed ILUAE revealed a shorter extraction time and notably higher yield of compounds due to the higher penetration ability and solubility of ionic liquid during cavitation.

Higher efficiencies of extraction in comparison to simpler techniques may be achieved by combination of the various energy sources. A study conducted by Hu et al. [59] proposed a new method to quickly extract isoflavones from *Pueraria lobata* Ohwi by means of the combined microwave-ultrasound experimental setup. Ultrasound extraction was carried out using an ultrasound disintegrator. A microwave oven with a Teflon® evaporating dish was used for drying of the extract. Isolation of isoflavonoids from plant material by ultrasound, together with drying of the extract by means of combined microwave and vacuum technologies, offers an alternative to conventional methods. The time required to extract desired compounds by ultrasonic disruption was 20 times shorter than by extraction under reflux. In addition, the microwave-vacuum method is 10 times faster than the conventional two-step vacuum approach. Moreover, it has been demonstrated that the extraction by ultrasonic disruption and microwave-vacuum drying has no negative influence on the structure and composition of isoflavonoids.

Rostagno et al. [60] examined the extraction efficiency of daidzin, glycitin, genistin, and malonyl genistin from freeze-dried ground soybeans using mix-stirring extraction and ultrasound-assisted extraction while checking different solvents and extraction temperatures. The extraction of soy isoflavones was enhanced by ultrasound, yet still depended on the solvent employed. UAE was carried out in a high-intensity ultrasound probe system of 200 W and 24 kHz with a 2 mm microtip and alternatively in 360 W ultrasonic bath. Three different solvent systems, ethanol, methanol, and acetonitrile at various water contents (between 30 and 70%), and two temperatures (10 and 60 °C) were tested. UAE was proven as a fast and reliable technique and produced better results than the mix-stirring technique. Ultrasonic baths can be replaced by a probe horn as it is yields similar results.

### 3.3 Negative pressure cavitation extraction (NPCE)

Despite the successes of the use of ultrasound in extraction, there are also some disadvantages of ultrasonic cavitation, for example the possibility of degradation of thermolabile compounds due to high temperatures and ultrasound intensity [61–63]. Therefore, a new type of cavitation, appropriate for the isolation of thermolabile plant metabolites, was introduced - negative pressure cavitation extraction (NPCE). During this process cavitation is generated by negative pressure. It is an effective, simple, low-cost and eco-friendly method, because it maintains a constant lower temperature and its efficiency is not poorer than that of ultrasonic cavitation. During NPCE, a continuous stream of nitrogen is introduced into the extraction system. Under negative pressure, nitrogen bubbles appear and ascend among the liquid–solid system, causing the formation of a highly unstable gas–liquid–solid phase [64]. Moreover, degradation of bubbles generates cavitation phenomena. These processes enhance turbulence, collision and mass transfer between the extracting solvent and the solid matrix. As a result, the analytes are effectively isolated from the matrix to the extractant [65]. NPCE displays a potential to be widely applied in industrial production. There is one more benefit of this method: when carried out at room temperature, it helps reduce or prevent the degradation of thermosensitive compounds [66].

NPCE was developed for the isolation of biochanin A and genistein from leaves of *Dalbergia odorifera*
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increased by adding a co-solvent [69]. Fluid solvation power can be adjusted by modifying pressure and/or temperature, thus allowing a relatively high selectivity [70-72]. High solubility of the target substances in the supercritical extractant is the most important factor for efficiency of the extraction process. [69]. Therefore, many parameters influencing solubility, mass transfer of target compounds in the supercritical fluid, and the resulting yield have to be considered [73].

Several studies reported the influence of extraction parameters, such as temperature, pressure, flowrate, modifier composition and concentration as well as sample particle size, on the extraction efficiency of isoflavonoids. Rostagno et al. [16] showed that higher modifier concentrations (80% methanol in water) resulted in enhanced extraction efficiency of soy isoflavones. This result can be explained by the increase of carbon dioxide polarity without significant change of its density. The highest yields of daidzein, genistein, daidzin and genistin were achieved by increasing pressures (raising the density of SC-CO$_2$) and CO$_2$ flow rates (raising the mass of SC-CO$_2$). Increasing the temperature (from 40 to 70 °C) may increase the solubility of other matrix components in the supercritical fluid and reduce the yield of isoflavones. Araújo et al. [70] established the optimum conditions of SFE for daidzein and genistein from soybean hypocotyls subjected to an earlier thermohydration (pH 5.0, temperature 50 °C). The influence of different conditions, such as temperature, pressure and added modifier (acetonitrile, methanol, ethanol), were examined and compared with traditional SLE (solid–liquid extraction) with 80% aqueous methanol. The SC–CO$_2$ results demonstrated that extraction factors producing the highest yield of daidzein and genistein were as follows: temperature 60 °C, pressure 380 bar, 15 minutes, and 10% acetonitrile content. However, the addition of a modifier did not increase the polarity of the extraction phase enough to make it sufficiently powerful to extract isoflavonoid glycosides. HPLC analysis revealed that the content of daidzein and genistein obtained by means of SLE was superior to that found after SFE. For this reason, SFE may be recommended if quantitative extraction of isoflavones is not required.

3.4 Supercritical fluid extraction (SFE)

Supercritical fluid extraction, one of the green extraction alternatives, exhibits minimal environmental impact and produces a low amount of toxic waste. Supercritical solvents represent intermediates between liquids and gases – the characteristic density of the fluid phase improves solubility but the characteristic viscosity of the gas phase enables better transport properties. Supercritical carbon dioxide (SC-CO$_2$) is the most commonly applied solvent in SFE, because of its easy penetration into plant matrices and its high solvent power. Its efficiency with respect to polar analytes may be increased by adding a co-solvent [69]. Fluid solvation power can be adjusted by modifying pressure and/or temperature, thus allowing a relatively high selectivity [70-72]. High solubility of the target substances in the supercritical extractant is the most important factor for efficiency of the extraction process. [69]. Therefore, many parameters influencing solubility, mass transfer of target components in the supercritical fluid, and the resulting yield have to be considered [73].

Several studies reported the influence of extraction parameters, such as temperature, pressure, flowrate, modifier composition and concentration as well as sample particle size, on the extraction efficiency of isoflavonoids. Rostagno et al. [16] showed that higher modifier concentrations (80% methanol in water) resulted in enhanced extraction efficiency of soy isoflavones. This result can be explained by the increase of carbon dioxide polarity without significant change of its density. The highest yields of daidzein, genistein, daidzin and genistin were achieved by increasing pressures (raising the density of SC-CO$_2$) and CO$_2$ flow rates (raising the mass of SC-CO$_2$). Increasing the temperature (from 40 to 70 °C) may increase the solubility of other matrix components in the supercritical fluid and reduce the yield of isoflavones. Araújo et al. [70] established the optimum conditions of SFE for daidzein and genistein from soybean hypocotyls subjected to an earlier thermohydration (pH 5.0, temperature 50 °C). The influence of different conditions, such as temperature, pressure and added modifier (acetonitrile, methanol, ethanol), were examined and compared with traditional SLE (solid–liquid extraction) with 80% aqueous methanol. The SC–CO$_2$ results demonstrated that extraction factors producing the highest yield of daidzein and genistein were as follows: temperature 60 °C, pressure 380 bar, 15 minutes, and 10% acetonitrile content. However, the addition of a modifier did not increase the polarity of the extraction phase enough to make it sufficiently powerful to extract isoflavonoid glycosides. HPLC analysis revealed that the content of daidzein and genistein obtained by means of SLE was superior to that found after SFE. For this reason, SFE may be recommended if quantitative extraction of isoflavones is not required.

3.5 Accelerated solvent extraction (ASE) and pressurized hot water extraction (PHWE)

Accelerated solvent extraction, also known as pressurized liquid extraction (PLE), pressurized fluid extraction and high-pressure solvent extraction, is a procedure that combines elevated temperature (50–200 °C) and pressure (100–140 atm) with liquid solvents to perform fast, safe and efficient extraction of analytes from plant samples. During this method, the recovery of active compounds is enhanced and accelerated by higher temperatures. Moreover, solvent volume can be reduced owing to the high-solute capacity of the heated solvents. Also, high
pressure allows an extraction cell to be filled faster and helps to force liquid into a solid matrix. Elevated temperatures enhance a solvent’s diffusivity, resulting in increased extraction kinetics [74-76].

Several variables have been studied for effective extraction of isoflavones using ASE [76-79]. A study conducted by Zgórka described the use of ASE in the micropreparative isolation of isoflavones from plants representing the *Trifolium* L. genus. The author applied ASE in combination with reversed-phase liquid chromatography (RP-LC) and photodiode-array (PDA) detection for the extraction and determination of target compounds in hydrolyzed extracts obtained from aerial parts of five *Trifolium* L. species [80]. With a view to enhancing the effectiveness of the ASE procedure, the author explored variable parameters of extraction, such as: methanol and acetone (or their aqueous solutions) as extraction solvents, temperatures and the number of static extraction cycles. The optimum extraction efficiency of biochanin A, formononetin, daidzein, and genistein was obtained using methanol–water (75:25, v/v) as an extraction solvent in a 125 °C oven. In this experiment, ASE offered significant benefits, among them the best efficiency at a lower cost of reagents, a high yield of isoflavone aglycones as well as a relatively high precision and accuracy compared with conventional solvent extraction and UAE.

ASE and capillary electrochromatography techniques were developed for the simultaneous determination of selected isoflavonoids in licorice (dried roots and rhizomes of the *Glycyrrhiza* species) [81]. In the opening phase, the dried licorice powder was extracted with ethanol. The validated method was successfully applied to the quantitative analysis of selected isoflavonoids in licorice, which supports the efficacy of this method. Chang et al. [82] examined the influence of the ethanol/water ratio and other parameters, including the effects of pressure, temperature, solvent flow rate, and the feed loading, on the efficiency of hot pressurized fluid extraction of isoflavones from soybean flakes. The results indicated that 95% of isoflavones were recovered from these samples using 80% aqueous ethanol.

Considering an increasing importance of green chemistry approaches, the use of water as an ASE extractant is a topic of intense discussion. The dielectric constant of water is the most important parameter in determining its extraction power for a particular compound. Changes in this parameter at increased temperatures and pressures enhance its usefulness as an extractant. For example, at ambient pressure and temperature, water is a polar solvent, but at 300 °C its dielectric constant decreases from 78 to 27 and becomes similar to that of ethanol (ε = 24 at 25 °C) or acetone (ε = 20.7 at 25 °C) [16, 69].

In spite of numerous advantages, water may be used as extractant only if quantitative extraction of isoflavones is not required. Water, even at elevated temperatures and under moderate pressure, is not as effective as methanol and ethanol (or their mixtures with water) for the isolation of isoflavones [83]. Moreover, degradation of glucoside and malonyl forms was observed at elevated temperatures during pressurized hot water extraction [84, 85].

The use of water as an extractant in the supercritical and subcritical state is also limited because of its high critical temperature and pressure, which contribute to high energy consumption and corrosive properties [86]. Despite the addition of water to the system as a co-solvent it is essential to enhance extraction yield of flavonoids in the course of the various methods [87-90].

### 3.6 Matrix solid-phase dispersion (MSPD)

A popular alternative to the SLE method is matrix solid-phase dispersion (MSPD) [91-93]. This technique is used for preparation, extraction and fractionation of target compounds. The process can perform homogenization, extraction and clean-up stages simultaneously, and it eliminates most of the problems associated with classical methods. Unlike standard extraction techniques, MSPD is quick and inexpensive, requires less manual labor, uses less solvent and is more eco-compatible [94, 95]. A study conducted by Xiao et al. [96] examined MSPD in the isolation of isoflavonoids from *Radix astragali*. Formononetin, ononin, calycosin and calycosin glycoside and three minor isoflavonoids were found in the extract. MSPD shows acceptable reproducibility, recovery and efficiency along with low solvent and time consumption in relation to the Soxhlet technique and ultrasonication.

### 4 Conclusions

The choice of technique for the extraction of a desired metabolite from a specific plant needs to balance the efficiency and reproducibility of extraction, the simplicity of the procedure with the cost, time, safety, and the degree of automation. Isoflavonoids are present in plant material in free forms, as aglycones, but mostly as glycosides. Consideration of the ability of extraction methods to preserve the original isoflavone profile is particularly important since some glycosides have a relatively unstable character.
Traditional extraction techniques are not sufficient to perform every job in the extraction field because they consume a lot of energy and time and require large amounts of solvents (often toxic) and a relatively high amount of sample material.

Modern extraction methods bring numerous advantages, including improved yield and selectivity, optimized extraction time, and increased quality and safety of extracts, ease of translation to an industrial scale, and environmental friendliness. We hope that this review will facilitate the laboratory and commercial applications of the most suitable isolation techniques for the isolation of isoflavonoids from plant samples.
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