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Abstract: The molecular and crystal structure of commercially-available ortho-(trifluoromethyl)phenol were determined by means of single-crystal X-ray diffraction (XRD) and represent the first structural characterization of an ortho-substituted (trihalomethyl) phenol. The unexpected presence of a defined hydrate in the solid state was observed. Intermolecular contacts and hydrogen bonding were analyzed. The compound was further characterized by means of multi-nuclear nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy (\(^{1}\)H, \(^{13}\)C(\(^{1}\)H), \(^{19}\)F) and Fourier-Transform infrared (FT-IR) vibrational spectroscopy. To assess the bonding situation as well as potential reaction sites for reactions with nucleophiles and electrophiles in the compound by means of natural bonding orbital (NBO) analyses, and density functional theory (DFT) calculations were performed for the title compound as well as its homologous chlorine, bromine and iodine compounds. As far as possible, experimental data were correlated to DFT data.

Keywords: Phenols; NMR spectroscopy; X-ray structure determination; DFT calculations; NBO analysis.

1 Introduction

Phenol is among the simplest aromatic alcohols. The Brønsted acidity of its hydroxyl group (pK\(_{a}\) = 9.89) [1] is significantly higher than that of common aliphatic alcohols such as methanol (pK\(_{a}\) = 15.5) or ethanol (pK\(_{a}\) = 15.9) [2] due to possible resonance stabilization of the corresponding alcoholate anion. As is observed for aliphatic alcohols whose acidity constants can be influenced by means of introducing electron-withdrawing and -donating functional groups on the hydrocarbon scaffold – cf. the pK\(_{a}\) values for 2-chloroethanol, 2,2-dichloroethanol and 2,2,2-trichloroethanol of 14.31, 12.89 and 12.24, respectively [2] – the Brønsted acidity of phenol derivatives can also be fine-tuned by the presence of suitable substituents on the aromatic core [3]. In combination with the sterical pretense of the individual substituents or the plain substitution pattern on the aromatic core itself, this changed acidity can increase or decrease the bonding abilities of the respective aromatic alcohol’s hydroxyl group in covalent and dative bonds. Upon variation of the substituents, derivatives of phenol, therefore, may be able to bind to a vast range of non- and (semi-)metals of the main group elements as well as transition metals in molecular and coordination compounds and give rise to interesting bonding patterns. For phenol and phenolato itself, ample structural information about its bonding behaviour – secured and elucidated on grounds of diffraction studies – is apparent in the literature and has shown phenolate to be a remarkably versatile bonding partner. It has been found to act as a strictly monodentate ligand towards main group and transition block elements such as, among others, beryllium [4], aluminium [5], gallium [6,7,8], tin [9], iron [10,11], osmium [12], uranium [13,14,15], neptunium [16], thorium [17], cobalt [18], zirconium [19], samarium [20], molybdenum [21] and tungsten [22,23] as well as lithium [24], aluminium [25], gallium [26], thallium [27], tantalum [28], tin [29], iron [30], titanium [31], copper [32] and mercury [33]. In addition, phenolate has further been confirmed to act as a \(\mu\)-bridging ligand towards elements such as lithium [34] and zinc [35,36] and even as a \(\mu\)-bridging ligand towards sodium [37]. Mixed mono- and bidentate bonding patterns supported by phenolate within one compound have also been reported for compounds based on sodium [38], barium [39], boron [40] and uranium [41]. Furthermore, phenolate has been found capable of acting simultaneously mono-, bi- and tri-dentate within...
one defined compound [42]. Intriguingly, phenol is also capable to act as a neutral as well as an anionic bonding partner simultaneously within one defined compound towards strontium [43] or titanium [44]. Motivated by this highly diverse chemical behaviour shown by plain phenol and phenolate, a research project aimed at elucidating the rules guiding the occurrence of specific bonding patterns in connection with the acidity as well as the steric pretense of substituents on the aromatic nucleus of phenol was initiated. As a starting point, ortho-(trifluoromethyl)phenol was chosen as it is a commercially-available compound that combines the presence of a strongly electron-withdrawing CF$_3$ group with its bulkiness in close proximity to the hydroxyl group that will act as the main reaction center. It was found that – as of today – structural information for ortho-methyl phenol or other ortho-CX$_3$-substituted (with X = F, Cl, Br and I) phenol derivatives is entirely absent from the literature thus precluding comparative studies with regards to the bonding patterns realized by the title compound and its inherent molecular metrical parameters. The only compounds featuring the meta-(trifluoromethyl)phenol moiety bonded to a heteroatom (i.e. no classical organic ethers) whose molecular and crystal structure have been elucidated on grounds of diffraction studies are found for a dinuclear titanium coordination compound [45] as well as two derivatives of phosphorus(V) [46]. Structural information about para-(trifluoromethyl)phenol-derived compounds with the latter moiety bonded to a heteroatom (i.e. no classical organic esters, ethers or oximes) is also scant and only available for two esters of phosphoric acid [47,48] as well as the symmetric ester of boronic acid [49]. A – purely theoretical – minor study about the hydrogen bonding situation and the conformational behaviour of the title compound has been reported earlier [50]. It is pertinent to note that no structural information at all is available in the literature for any derivatives of trichloromethyl-, tribromomethyl- or triiodomethyl-substituted phenols, regardless of the positioning of the halomethyl group on the aromatic core with respect to the hydroxyl group. A single-crystal X-ray analysis of the title compound hinted on the presence of two different reaction centers for nucleophiles and electrophiles. Therefore, due to the scant amount of information present in the literature for ortho-CX$_3$-substituted (with X = F, Cl, Br and I) phenol derivatives in general, DFT calculations were performed to assess the bonding situation in the title compound and its heavier homologues to allow for predictions about potential reaction sites for nucleophiles and electrophiles.

2 Experimental

2.1 Reagents, Instrumentation and Convention

ortho-(Trifluoromethyl)phenol was obtained from Fluorochem Ltd. Crystals suitable for the diffraction studies were obtained upon repeated sublimation of the compound at room temperature.

$^1$H and $^{13}$C($^1$H) NMR spectra were recorded in deuterochloroform on a Bruker Ultrashield 400 Plus spectrometer at 25°C at 400 MHz and 101 MHz, respectively, and are referenced to internal TMS or the solvent residual peak of the deuterated solvent [51].$^{19}$F NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker Ultrashield 400 Plus spectrometer at 25°C at 377 MHz and are referenced to external CFCl$_3$. IR spectra were recorded on a Bruker Tensor 27 spectrometer equipped with a Bruker Platinum ATR unit.

While the calculations have been carried out on pure ortho-(trifluoromethyl)-phenol, all spectroscopic measurements in this study – i.e. each reference to the “title compound” – make use of the actual material that was found to represent a defined hydrate.

2.2 Spectral Data

$^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$, d / ppm): 7.56–7.51 (m, 1H, H$_a$), 7.43–7.39 (m, 1H, H$_b$), 7.03–6.94 (m, 2H, H$_a$, H$_b$), 4.98 (broad, 1H, OH). $^{13}$C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$, d / ppm): 153.7 (d, J 29.9, C$_w$), 124.3 (q, J 273, CF$_3$), 120.7 (s, C$_w$), 117.7 (s, C$_w$), 116.7 (q, J 29.9, C$_w$). $^{19}$F NMR (377 MHz, CDCl$_3$, d / ppm): −61.1.


2.3 DFT Calculations and NBO Analyses

The structure of the title compound as well as its homologues were optimized with Gaussian 09 [52] on the B3LYP/6-311++ G(2d,p) level of theory with an ultrafine...
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integration grid and very tight convergence criteria as singlet molecules under exclusion of symmetry in point group $C_1$ applying a solvent model for chloroform. The starting geometry was obtained by means of GaussView [53]. Frequency analyses were performed on the optimized structures to ensure that these represent minima on the global electronic potential hypersurface. Calculations of NMR shifts were conducted on the PBE1PBE/6-311++G(2d,p) level of theory using the gauge including atomic orbitals (GIAO) method [54] based on the optimized structures. A solvent model for chloroform was used in this step as well. The analysis and visualization of the molecular orbitals was conducted by the Avogadro [55] as well as the Chemcraft program suite [56]. For iodine, a pseudo-potential implemented in the LanL2DZ basis-set was applied throughout all calculations.

2.4 Crystallography

The diffraction studies on single crystals were performed at 200(2) K on a Bruker Kappa APEX-II CCD diffractometer (MoKα, $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å). Structure solutions and refinement procedures were conducted by means of the SHELX program suite [57]. Crystallographic details of the structures are summarized in Table 1. Carbon-bound hydrogen atoms were calculated using the riding-model approximation and were included in the refinement with their $U_{eq}$ values set to 1.2 $U_{eq}$(C). The hydrogen atom of the hydroxyl group was allowed to rotate with a fixed angle around the C–O bond to best fit the experimental electron density (HFIX 147 in the SHELX program suite), with $U$(H) set to 1.5 $U_{eq}$(C). The hydrogen atom of the water molecule was located on a difference Fourier map and was refined freely. Further details are provided in Table 1. Metrical parameters discussed for the crystal structure were obtained using PLATON [58], graphical presentations were prepared using Mercury [59] and ORTEP-III [60]. Crystallographic data of the title compound have been deposited with the Cambridge Structural Database (CCDC: 935348). Copies of the data can be obtained free of charge on application to the Director, CCDC, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK (Fax: int.code+(1223)336-033; e-mail for inquiry: file-server@ccdc.cam.ac.uk).

Ethical approval: The conducted research is not related to either human or animals use.

3 Results and Discussion

3.1 Crystal and Molecular Structure Analysis

The structure of the title compound is shown in Figure 1. The asymmetric unit comprises two molecules of the aromatic compound as well as half a molecule of water on a special crystallographic position. Intramolecular C–C–C angles for both molecules cover a range of 119.06(13)–
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120.51(15)° and 119.51(13)° – 121.03(13)°, respectively, with the largest angle invariably found on the carbon atom in para position to the trifluoromethyl-substituted carbon atom. In each molecule, the trifluoromethyl group adopts a staggered conformation with respect to the hydroxyl group. The least-squares planes defined by the respective carbon atoms of the two phenyl rings enclose an angle of 46.69(7)°. Selected bond lengths are given in the caption of Figure 1.

The two aromatic molecules in the asymmetric unit give rise to two different hydrogen bonding patterns completely independent from each other. While the first molecule forms isolated tetrameric units (Figure 2), the second molecule establishes – in connection with the water molecule present in the crystal structure – infinite chains along the crystallographic c axis (Figure 3). In both patterns, cooperative hydrogen bonding is observed. Details about the hydrogen bonds are summarized in Table 2.

In terms of graph-set analysis \([61,62]\), the descriptor for the hydrogen bonding pattern giving rise to the tetrameric units is \(\text{R}^4_4(8)\) on the unary level, while the infinite chains necessitate a \(\text{D}^2\) descriptor on the same level. The shortest intercentroid distance between two aromatic systems was measured at 4.8077(9) Å in between the two different molecules present in the asymmetric unit and, therefore, rules out π-stacking as a prominent stabilizing feature in the crystal.

The crystal structure of the hydrate of non-substituted phenol has been described earlier and was found to feature chains – alternating between water molecules and phenol – along the crystallographic c axis \([63]\). However, no 3D coordinates have been deposited with the Cambridge Structural Database \([64]\) to allow for a re-assessment of the situation at hand or comparative studies with regards to the title compound.

A comparison of the hydrogen bonding situation encountered in the crystalline states of the title compound and water-free non-substituted phenol reveals an interesting picture. While a pseudo-helical chain-type connection along the crystallographic a axis of the three molecules present in the asymmetric unit gives rise to the pseudo-helical structure, the situation encountered in the title compound is more complex and involves several different hydrogen bonding patterns. Details about the hydrogen bonds are summarized in Table 2.

Figure 1: Molecular structure of the title compound, showing 50% probability displacement ellipsoids and atom labelling. Selected bond lengths (in Å): C1-C2 1.3971(17); C2-C7 1.489(2); C1-O1 1.3720(18); C2-O2 1.3605(15).

Figure 2: Cyclic tetrameric units in the crystal structure of the title compound, showing 50% probability displacement ellipsoids, viewed along \([0 0 1]\). Symmetry operators: \(\text{y}, \text{x}, \text{z}\).
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unit of phenol was reported at ambient pressure [65], a slightly different situation is encountered in a high-pressure polymorph. In the latter case, two different chains – one exclusively made up of one of the three molecules present in the asymmetric unit, the other one alternately connecting the other two molecules present in the asymmetric unit – along the crystallographic b axis were reported [66]. The latter pattern as encountered in the high-pressure polymorph is, therefore, reminiscent of the chains supported by one of the two molecules of the title compound present in the asymmetric unit as well as the water molecule.

The lack of structural information available for comparable compounds in the literature precludes an in-depth comparable study about observable metrical trends with regards to the electronegativity of the halogen as well as the place of substitution.

3.2 Physical and Spectroscopic Properties

A proton NMR spectrum of the compound dissolved in dry deuterochloroform shows – apart from the expected set of aromatic protons – a broad signal around 4.9 ppm. The latter may be attributed to the phenolic hydroxyl group as well as the water molecule present in the title compound. $^{13}$C NMR spectra show marked C-F coupling for the CF$_3$ group. The ipso-carbon atom as well as the two carbon atoms in ortho position to the trifluoromethyl group appear as quartets as well, however, C-F coupling is only weakly developed for the carbon atom bearing the hydroxyl group.

The presence of the different hydrogen bonding patterns in the title compound as established on grounds of the diffraction study based on single crystals is further corroborated by the results of vibrational spectroscopy as two neighbouring bands at 3441 and 3346 cm$^{-1}$ are observed in the range that is typical for hydroxyl-groups. A splitting in this region of an IR spectrum has recently proven the presence of two distinguishable hydrogen bonds in case of the crystalline form of the cyanohydrine of cyclohexanone [67]. While the merging of the two bands in case of the latter compound was observed upon melting it close to room temperature, the same experiment could not be conducted for the title compound as the compound does not melt but rather sublimes at ambient conditions (see next paragraph).

Despite the extensive hydrogen bonding network, the compound is highly volatile. Even big crystals (~ 5 mm per edge) sublime completely within the course of a few hours if placed on a Petri-dish at ambient conditions.

Figure 3: Infinite chains formed by hydrogen bonds in the crystal structure of the title compound, showing 50% probability displacement ellipsoids, viewed along [0 1 0]. For clarity, fluorine atoms as well as all carbon-bound hydrogen atoms were omitted.

Table 2: Hydrogen bonds in the crystal structure of 1 (distances in Å, angles in °).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>D</th>
<th>H</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>D–H</th>
<th>H…A</th>
<th>D…A</th>
<th>$^&lt;D–H…A$</th>
<th>Symmetry</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O1</td>
<td>H1</td>
<td>O1</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>1.97</td>
<td>2.7957(15)</td>
<td>166.3</td>
<td>$-y+1/2, x, -z+1/2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O2</td>
<td>H2</td>
<td>O90</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>1.89</td>
<td>2.6923(12)</td>
<td>158.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O90</td>
<td>H90</td>
<td>O2</td>
<td>0.874(18)</td>
<td>1.904(19)</td>
<td>2.7635(12)</td>
<td>167.7(18)</td>
<td>$-y+1, x+1/2, z-1/2$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Hydrogen bonds in the crystal structure of 1 (distances in Å, angles in °).
3.3 Quantumchemical Calculations

Due to the lack of experimental data available in the literature, it seemed of fundamental importance to assess the bonding situation and NMR characteristics as well as the metrical parameters of the title compound as well as its heavier homologues. Therefore, the structures of ortho-(trichloromethyl)phenol, ortho-(tribromomethyl)phenol and ortho-(triiodomethyl)phenol were modelled by DFT methods. As a benchmark, the title compound was subjected to the same DFT approach and the derived theoretical values were, as far as possible, correlated to experimental data. For all discussions, a labelling scheme as indicated in Figure 4 is used.

3.4 Metrical Parameters

In a first step, the metrical parameters were compared. The individual values for all calculated compounds are listed in Table 3.

As can readily be seen, the calculated values of the title compound show good agreement with the experimentally found ones thus proving the suitability of the chosen method and basis-set for the calculation. The slight differences in between these two kinds of values can be rationalized by taking into account packing effects in the crystal that are not accounted for by the DFT calculations applying a solvent model as well as the influence of the water molecule and its pertaining hydrogen bonding system present in the crystal structure might have. The C–O as well as the C–CX₃ bond lengths remain vastly unaffected by the nature of the halogen substituent present. While the intracyclic angles on the carbon atom bearing the CX₃ group as well as the carbon atom in para position to the latter gradually decrease with

---

Table 3: Comparison of selected (averaged) bond lengths (Å) and angles (°) for ortho-CX₃-substituted phenols (X = F, Cl, Br and I) calculated at the B3LYP/6-311++G(2d,p) level of theory (LanL2DZ in case of iodine) applying a solvent model for chloroform (DFT) with (averaged) experimental values for the fluorine derivative (XRD).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bond lengths / Angles</th>
<th>FₓxFDFT</th>
<th>ClₓxFDFT</th>
<th>BrₓxFDFT</th>
<th>IₓxFDFT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>d(O–1)</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>1.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d(X–7)</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>1.82</td>
<td>2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d(1–2)</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>1.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d(2–3)</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>1.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d(3–4)</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>1.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d(4–5)</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>1.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d(5–6)</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>1.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d(6–1)</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>1.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d(2–7)</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>1.51</td>
<td>1.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>θ(1–2–3)</td>
<td>119.4</td>
<td>119.6</td>
<td>118.1</td>
<td>117.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>θ(2–3–4)</td>
<td>120.4</td>
<td>120.8</td>
<td>121.7</td>
<td>122.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>θ(3–4–5)</td>
<td>119.8</td>
<td>119.3</td>
<td>119.5</td>
<td>119.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>θ(4–5–6)</td>
<td>120.8</td>
<td>120.6</td>
<td>120.0</td>
<td>119.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>θ(5–6–1)</td>
<td>119.8</td>
<td>120.4</td>
<td>120.9</td>
<td>121.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>θ(6–1–2)</td>
<td>120.0</td>
<td>119.3</td>
<td>119.8</td>
<td>120.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4: Labelling scheme applied for discussion of DFT results.
the increasing size of the halogen atoms present on the trihalomethyl moiety, all other intracyclic C–C–C angles invariably grow bigger at the same time. This trend can be explained by the increasing steric bulk of the respective CX3 group with respect to the neighbouring hydroxyl group that will result in an increasing “pull” on the aromatic scaffold. As expected, the respective C–X bonds are getting longer with increasing size of the halogen. It is pertinent to note that the predicted (and, in case of fluorine, found) values are in good agreement with the most common values observed for the respective CX3 groups in compounds whose metrical parameters have been deposited with the Cambridge Structural Database [64] (cf. Figure 5).

While ample structural information is available for compounds with CF3 and CCl3 groups, considerable less studies have been conducted for compounds containing CBr3 substituents. For the heaviest moiety – Cl3 – only iodoform [68] or compounds classifying as co-crystallizes thereof have been reported, the only exceptions being tetraiodomethane [69] and an alkoxidoaluminate salt of the Cl3+ cation [70].

3.6 Natural Charges

To allow for the identification of preferred centers of reactivity of the title compound with regards to reactions with nucleophiles as well as electrophiles, the natural charges of the non-hydrogen atoms of the title compound as well as its heavier homologues were calculated. An overview of the numerical values for all four compounds is given in Table 5. The labelling scheme as outlined in Figure 4 is retained.

It can be seen that – apart from the carbon atom bearing the respective CX3 moiety – the natural charges calculated for the carbon atoms of the aromatic system of the four different ortho-(trihalomethyl) phenols remain vastly unaffected by the nature of the halogen. The slight changes in the natural charge of the carbon atom bearing the CX3 moiety can be explained as a superposition of the electronic situation encountered in the respective CX3 unit as well as the change in the bonding distance between C2

The experimental 13C NMR shifts for the CF3-substituted phenol invariably are found at slightly higher fields than predicted. The deviation from the found values is most pronounced for the carbon atom of the CF3 group as well as the carbon atom bearing the hydroxyl group. For all other carbon atoms the discrepancy between experimental and respective theoretical values is small and in the range of few parts per million. The theoretical data obtained will allow for an assignment of carbon resonances once the chlorine, bromine and iodine homologues of the title compound are accessible experimentally.
The biggest changes in the natural charge are observed within the various \( CX_3 \) groups. In accordance with the differences in electronegativity for carbon and the halogens according to Allen – C: 2.544, F: 4.193, Cl: 2.869, Br: 2.685, I: 2.359 [71] – the natural charge of the carbon atom of the \( CX_3 \) group changes from a markedly positive value in the case of fluorine to a negative value in the case of iodine while the reversed trend is apparent for the respective halogen atoms themselves upon descending from the lightest to the heaviest member of the group.

The early onset of a negative charge for the carbon atom already in the \( CCl_3 \) group despite the still high difference in terms of electronegativity between carbon and chlorine could be attributed to the overall negative inductive effect of the halogen atoms that increases the “electron retaining” ability of the central carbon atom. It is pertinent to note that – as was observed for the carbon atoms of the aromatic scaffold – the natural charge of the oxygen atom also remains unaffected by the nature of the halogen atom present in the ortho-(trihalomethyl) group.

### 3.7 Bonding Situation

To assess the bonding situation in the homologous series of ortho-(trihalomethyl)phenols – especially with regard to the functional groups as well as the carbon atoms bearing the latter – NBO analyses were conducted. For all compounds it was invariably found that the contribution of the carbon atom to the C–O bond is above 33% although this figure slightly decreases with an increasing mass of the halogen atom present in the \( CX_3 \) group. A different picture is obtained examining the respective C–X bonds where the contribution of the carbon atom rises significantly with a decrease of the electronegativity of the halogen atom and spans a range of just 27% in the \( CF_3 \) group up to about 60% in the \( CI_3 \) group. Simultaneously, the p orbital contribution of the carbon atom increases as becomes apparent by its formal hybridization of sp\(^{3.76}\) in the \( CF_3 \) group that grows to sp\(^{4.01}\) in the \( CI_3 \) group. The natural electronic configuration of the carbon atom in the various ortho-(trihalomethyl)phenols is in agreement with the natural charges as discussed in the previous section as it increases from s\(^{0.79}\)p\(^{2.08}\) for the fluorine derivative to s\(^{1.26}\)p\(^{3.35}\) for the iodine derivative. If Rydberg orbitals as well as core-centered electrons are neglected, second order perturbation theory calculations invariably show the interaction of one of the lone pairs on the oxygen atom and the anti-bonding orbital of the C1–C2 bond (labelling according to Figure 4) to be the most stabilizing factor whose energy monotonously decreases from 125 kJ mol\(^{-1}\) for ortho-(trifluoromethyl)phenol to 120 kJ mol\(^{-1}\) for ortho-(triiodomethyl)phenol. If interactions between exclusively anti-bonding orbitals are included into the discussion, a much stronger pronounced trend is observed for the anti-bonding orbitals of the C1–C2 and the C5–C6 bond where a steady decrease in stabilization energy from 729 kJ mol\(^{-1}\) for the \( CF_3 \) derivative to 588 kJ mol\(^{-1}\) for the \( CI_3 \) derivative is calculated.

An inspection of the highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) and the lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO) shows the former to be centered on the trihalomethyl group for all four ortho-(trihalomethyl) phenols while the latter is located on the carbon and

### Table 4: Comparison of \(^{13}\)C NMR shifts for ortho-\( CX_3 \)-substituted phenols (X = F, Cl, Br and I) calculated at the PBE1PBE/6-311++G(2d,p) level of theory (LanL2DZ in case of iodine) applying a solvent model for chloroform (DFT) with experimental values for the fluorine derivative (EXP). All values are given relative to TMS as the reference.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Atom</th>
<th>( F_{\text{exp}} )</th>
<th>( F_{\text{DFT}} )</th>
<th>( Cl_{\text{DFT}} )</th>
<th>( Br_{\text{DFT}} )</th>
<th>( I_{\text{DFT}} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C1</td>
<td>153.7</td>
<td>161.4</td>
<td>161.0</td>
<td>161.2</td>
<td>160.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2</td>
<td>116.8</td>
<td>119.3</td>
<td>132.9</td>
<td>137.2</td>
<td>143.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3</td>
<td>127.0</td>
<td>132.2</td>
<td>132.4</td>
<td>133.4</td>
<td>133.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C4</td>
<td>120.7</td>
<td>124.3</td>
<td>123.5</td>
<td>123.2</td>
<td>122.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C5</td>
<td>133.6</td>
<td>140.2</td>
<td>139.9</td>
<td>140.3</td>
<td>139.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C6</td>
<td>117.6</td>
<td>122.6</td>
<td>123.6</td>
<td>123.8</td>
<td>123.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C7</td>
<td>124.3</td>
<td>135.8</td>
<td>131.3</td>
<td>134.1</td>
<td>114.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 5: Natural charges of the non-hydrogen atoms of ortho-\( CX_3 \)-substituted phenols (X = F, Cl, Br and I) calculated at the B3LYP/6-311++G(2d,p) level of theory (LanL2DZ in case of iodine) applying a solvent model for chloroform (DFT). The labelling scheme as outlined in Figure 4 is used for the carbon atoms, ‘X’ refers to the respective halogen atoms whose charge has been averaged over all three atoms present in the \( CX_3 \) moiety.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Atom</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>Cl</th>
<th>Br</th>
<th>I</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C1</td>
<td>+0.35</td>
<td>+0.35</td>
<td>+0.35</td>
<td>+0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2</td>
<td>−0.23</td>
<td>−0.20</td>
<td>−0.22</td>
<td>−0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3</td>
<td>−0.16</td>
<td>−0.16</td>
<td>−0.16</td>
<td>−0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C4</td>
<td>−0.24</td>
<td>−0.23</td>
<td>−0.23</td>
<td>−0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C5</td>
<td>−0.16</td>
<td>−0.16</td>
<td>−0.16</td>
<td>−0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C6</td>
<td>−0.24</td>
<td>−0.24</td>
<td>−0.24</td>
<td>−0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C7</td>
<td>+1.08</td>
<td>−0.13</td>
<td>−0.34</td>
<td>−0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O</td>
<td>−0.69</td>
<td>−0.69</td>
<td>−0.69</td>
<td>−0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>−0.37</td>
<td>−0.03</td>
<td>+0.10</td>
<td>+0.23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
hydrogen atoms in _meta- _and _para-_position to the respective trihalomethyl entities of the aromatic system. As an example, isocontour plots of the CF₃ derivative are shown in Figure 6.

The energetic gap between these two types of orbitals decreases with increasing mass of the halogen present in the CX₃ group – while a gap of 543 kJ mol⁻¹ is found for _ortho_-(trifluoromethyl)phenol, this value drops in steps of approximately 70 kJ mol⁻¹ for each heavier homologue of fluorine to, eventually, 334 kJ mol⁻¹ in _ortho_-(tritiomethyl)phenol.

4 Conclusions

The crystal and molecular structure of commercially-available _ortho_-(trifluoromethyl)phenol were determined as the first example of an _ortho_-CX₃-substituted phenol derivative and found to be a defined hydrate in the crystalline state. An analysis of the hydrogen bonding system present in the title compound revealed parallels to the crystal structure of the hydrate of non-substituted phenol as well as the crystal structure of a high-pressure polymorph of anhydrous phenol. DFT calculations on the title compound as well as its higher homologues featuring the heavier halogens allowed for an assessment of the bonding situation as well as charge distribution on specific atoms of the individual compounds allowing for the identification of potential reaction sites for attacks of nucleophiles and electrophiles for further derivatization reactions. With this knowledge at hand, concise experimental studies about derivatives of the title compound as well as other _ortho_- (trihalomethyl)phenols can be conducted, not only with regard to their coordination behaviour to main group elements or transition metals but also with regards to further derivatization reactions starting from these materials. The unexpected and surprising presence of a molecule of water in the title compound (turning it into the half-hemi-hydrate) that was confirmed – although neither the compound’s description nor the analytical certificate did specify it – explains the hydrolysis products observed upon reacting it with substrates prone to hydrolysis. This finding further reinforces the notion that one has to remain suspicious about the nature and identity of starting materials regardless of provider or date of purchase.
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