



Computer Law Review International

A Journal of Information Law and Technology

Editorial Board:

Prof. Dr. Thomas Dreier, M.C.J., University of Karlsruhe · Dr. Jens-L. Gaster, principal administrator, Brussels · RA Thomas Heymann, Frankfurt/M. · Prof. Dr. Michael Lehmann, Dipl.-Kfm., Max-Planck-Institute and University of Munich · Prof. Raymond T. Nimmer[†], University of Houston · Attorney at Law Holly K. Towle, J.D., Seattle · Attorney at Law Thomas Vinje, Brussels

Correspondents:

Attorney at Law Sakari Aalto (Finland) · Attorney at Law Jonathan Band (USA) · Prof. Dr. Janusz Barta (Poland) · Abogado Enrique J. Batalla (Spain) · John P. Beardwood (Canada) · Prof. Dr. Jon Bing[†] (Norway) · Prof. DDr. Walter Blocher (Austria) · Prof. Peter Blume (Denmark) · Avvocato Gabriel Cuonzo (Italy) · Dr. Jens-L. Gaster (EU) · Prof. Ysolde Gendreau (Canada) · Dr. Lucie Guibault (Canada/Netherlands) · Avocat Dr. Martin Hauser (France) · Prof. Dr. Rosa Julia-Barcelo (Spain) · Attorney at Law Charles H. Kennedy (USA) · Dr. Stanley Lai (Singapore) · Prof. Ian Lloyd (UK) · RA Prof. Dr. Michail Marinou (Greece) · Prof. Dr. Ryszard Markiewicz (Poland) · Antonio Millé[†] (Argentina) · Ken Moon (New Zealand) · Prof. Raymond T. Nimmer[†] (USA) · Advogado Manuel Oehen Mendes (Portugal) · Prof. Jerome Reichman (USA) · Luis C. Schmidt (Mexico) · Harry Small (UK) · Prof. Alain Strowel (Belgium) · Avvocato Retro Tamburrini (Italy) · Attorney at Law Thomas Vinje (USA, EU) · Prof. Coenraad J. Visser (South Africa) · Prof. Dr. Rolf H. Weber (Switzerland) · J.T. Westermeier (USA) · Neil J. Wilkof (Israel) · Jamie Wodetzki (Australia)

Table of Contents

cr-international.com

Articles

Radina Stoykovar – The Right to Data Portability – Data protection scope and technical feasibility

The right to data portability is innovative genuine data protection right, which defers from the long-established right to access. The analysis examines the scope of the provision in relation to the codified exceptions and its applicability and usability for data subjects and controllers in relation to the requirements for technical feasibility and the implementation of standards to facilitate the data exchange. 65

Ian Lloyd – The Difficulty of Aligning Data Retention with Human Rights Protection – Why the UK has to overhaul Part 4 of the Investigatory Power Act 2016 by November

The article contrasts the use of communications data by law enforcement agencies with legislative requirements compelling providers to retain personal data the most recent of which is the Investigatory Power Act 2016 (I.). The tension between data retention and fundamental rights' protection is brought back to the Data Retention and Investigatory Power Act 2014 (II.) and evaluated in the UK High Court's decision in *Civil Liberties v. SSHD* (III.). Unfortunately, these tensions will continue after Brexit (IV.). 72

Case Law

USA: Legal Standing of Animals – Monkey Selfie

(Court of Appeal for the 9th Circuit, decision of 23 April 2018 by Bea, Circuit Judge, and Robreno, District Judge with Smith, Circuit Judge, concurring in dismissing the case and dissenting on federal jurisdiction – *Naruto v. Slater* – [Case No. 16-15469]). 74

Canada: Reconsidering Enforceability of Court Order for Global Delisting in Google's Search Results	(Supreme Court of British Columbia, decision of 16 April 2018 by Justice Smith – Equustek Solutions Inc. v. Jack – [2018 BCSC 610; Docket S112421])	76
UK: Right to Be Forgotten – Right to Have Information Delisted by Google	(High Court of Justice, Queen's Bench Division, decision of 13 April 2018 by Justice Warby – NT1 and NT 2 v. Google LLC – [2018] EWHC 799 (QB)).....	80
EU: Ban and Criminal Prosecution of UberPop in France	(CJEU (Grand Chamber), decision of 10 April 2018 – C-320/16 by Lenaerts, President, Tizzano, Vice-President, Silva de Lapuerta, Ilešič, Rosas, Malenovský and Levits, Presidents of Chambers, Juhász, Borg Barthet, Šváby (Rapporteur), Jürimäe, Lycourgos and Vilaras, Judges – Uber France SAS)	94
EU: Neither Online-Video Channel Nor Single Promotion Video "Audiovisual Media Service"	(CJEU, ninth chamber, decision of 21 February 2018 – C-132/17 by Vajda (Rapporteur), President of the Chamber, Juhász and Lycourgos, Judges – Peugeot Deutschland GmbH v. Deutsche Umwelthilfe eV)	95
