African violet (Saintpaulia ionantha H. Wendl.): classical breeding and progress in the application of biotechnological techniques
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ABSTRACT

As a result of its domestication, breeding and subsequent commercialization, African violet (Saintpaulia ionantha H. Wendl.) has become the most famous and popular Saintpaulia species. There is interest in producing cultivars that have increased resistance to pests and low temperature, in the introduction of novel horticultural characteristics such as leaf shape, flower colour, size and form, and in improved productivity and enhanced flower duration in planta. In African violet, techniques such as the application of chemical mutagens (ethylmethanesulfonate, N-nitroso-N-methylurea), radiation (gamma (γ)-rays, X-rays, carbon ion beams) and colchicine have been successfully applied to induce mutants. Among these techniques, γ radiation and colchicine have been the most commonly applied mutagens. This review offers a short synthesis of the advances made in African violet breeding, including studies on mutation and somaclonal variation caused by physical and chemical factors, as well as transgenic strategies using Agrobacterium-mediated transformation and particle bombardment. In African violet, Agrobacterium-mediated transformation is affected by the Agrobacterium strain, selection marker, and cutting-induced wounding stress. Somaclonal variation, which arises in tissue cultures, can be problematic in maintaining true-to-type clonal material, but may be a useful tool for obtaining variation in flower colour. The only transgenic African violet plants generated to date with horticulturally useful traits are tolerant to boron (heavy metal) stress, or bear a glucanase-chitinase gene.
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INTRODUCTION

African violet (Saintpaulia ionantha H. Wendl.; Gesneriaceae) is the most famous and popular Saintpaulia species. It has been domesticated, bred and commercialized, and can be vegetatively propagated fairly easily (Teixeira da Silva et al. 2016a). A historical text by Tsukamoto et al. (1982) indicates how the cultivation of Saintpaulia originally started in Europe, but its development as a horticultural plant took place in the United States after World War II. Tsukamoto et al. (1982) also noted that by 1981 there were about 20 species in the genus Saintpaulia, although The Plant List (2017) indicates that there are currently 25 species, including accepted, synonymic and unresolved species.

This review offers a short synthesis of advances made in mutation and somaclonal variation caused by physical and chemical factors, as well as transgenic strategies using Agrobacterium-mediated transformation and particle bombardment. Classical breeding has the ability to transfer a desired trait into different cultivars of any plant species, but if one or more of the parent cultivars used in cross-breeding are poorly adapted, then a back-crossing strategy needs to be implemented to recover the elite type. Moreover, poor combining ability of some parental genotypes may occur and doubled (i.e., multi-whorled) flowers do not produce male or female organs. For example, aromatic rice varieties have poor combining ability, and cross-breeding with non-aromatic varieties will lead to a decrease in aroma and quality (Bourgis et al. 2008). Under such circumstances, the induction of mutations can be advantageous to produce cultivars with desired traits within defined germplasm pools. Mutation breeding thus offers a solution to difficulties encountered in classical breeding. For example, if genes conferring undesirable characters are tightly linked to genes controlling desirable traits, then induction of mutations may result in a cross-over event or isolation of an independent mutation for the desired trait (van Harten 2007). Mutation breeding may be the only acceptable way of classically increasing variability in plant species that do not produce seeds (Ahloowalia and Maluszynski 2001), and to develop novel colours and variations in vegetatively propagated ornamental plant species (Broertjes and van Harten 1988, Datta and Teixeira da Silva 2006, Kondo et al. 2009). Thus, mutation breeding is considered to be a valuable conventional breeding strategy.

Mutation techniques have made significant contributions in ornamental crop improvement. Hundreds of mutant cultivars have been officially released for various traits in horticulture, including the colour and shape of flowers and fruits, and flesh colour (Datta and Teixeira da Silva 2006). However, plant breeders are under continuous pressure to improve existing cultivars or to develop new ones. Therefore, there is a need for newer alternatives or technologies that, when combined with conventional breeding methods, can help create greater variability with desirable novel traits, while reducing the time taken to do so. In vitro culture of plant cells and organs generates genetic variability (‘somaclonal variation’) resulting in ‘somaclones’ (regenerated plants that are not true-to-type) which can be used in sexual hybridisation for introgression of desirable alien genes into crop species, or to generate variants of a commercial cultivar at a high frequency without hybridizing it to other genotypes (Larkin and Scowcroft 1981). Ever since the first formal report of morphological variants in sugarcane plants produced in vitro in 1971, numerous somaclonal variations have been reported in several horticultural crops (Krishna et al. 2016). Somaclonal variation manifests itself as qualitative or quantitative phenotypic changes, sequence changes, and gene activation/silencing (Kaeppler et al. 2000). Epigenetic changes occur via changes in DNA methylation patterns, activation of quiescent transposable elements (TEs) or retrotransposons (Duncan 1997, Huang et al. 2009). In important horticultural crops, DNA methylation patterns are highly variable among regenerants and polymorphisms exist in somaclones (Sahijram 2014, 2015). In African violet, 47% of somaclonal variants from in vitro cultures did not flower. No variation was seen in flower colour in the remaining flowering plants (Jain 1993a, 1993b, 1997a, 1997b), although these studies did not assess genetic alterations.

It is possible to induce variation in flower colour and introduce several other novel traits to ornamental plants using somaclonal variation. Often, a plant regeneration system, particularly callus-mediated plant regeneration, introduces variations that may be heritable (Krishna et al. 2016). The concentration and combination of plant growth regulators (PGRs), as well as subculture frequency and duration, also result in a higher frequency of variation (Matsuda et al. 2014). TE transposition and a combination of factors such as colchicine, gamma (γ)-rays, ion-beams, and PGRs,
may serve as a good set of tools for expanding variations in flower colour in African violet.

**Classical breeding**

1. Self-pollination

*Saintpaulia* flowers are zygomorphic with two upper and three lower lobes. The upper two lobes are smaller than the lower ones. *Saintpaulia* has a very short corolla tube and yellow protruding anthers that are probably associated with buzz pollination (Harrison et al. 1999). The anthers of *Saintpaulia* have only one chamber, the flowers have a distinct disk, the style and stigma usually have the same colour as the petals, and the ovary is exerted slightly to the left or right of the center of the corolla (Harrison et al. 1999). All *Saintpaulia* species are enantiostylos (the style is strongly deflected to the left or right of the main floral axis), a feature often linked to buzz pollination *S. ionantha* is a protandrous species, and the anthers appear to be full of pollen, even during the pistillate phase. The anthers do not wilt, remain yellow and have thecae and stiffened walls (Vogel 1978). Most *Saintpaulia* species can hybridize with their congenerics and produce fertile hybrids (Arisumi 1964).

The diploid chromosome number in African violet was indicated by Vazquez et al. (1977), Espino and Vazquez (1981) and Sun et al. (2010) to be 2n = 28. Farjadi-Shakib et al. (2012) were of the opinion that since African violet had tiny chromosomes with a propensity for sticking together and a centromere difficult to distinguish, the diploid chromosome number in this species was incorrectly described as 2n = 2x = 28 (Sugiura 1936, Adisorn 2004). More detailed cytological analyses indicated that the diploid chromosome number was 2n = 2x = 30 (Ehrlich 1956, Farjadi-Shakib et al. 2012), and 2n = 60 for the tetraploid hort. var. ‘Ionantha Amazon’ (Ehrlich 1958), with a total genome length of 29.995 µm, and a ratio of the longest to the smallest chromosome of 2.77 (Farjadi-Shakib et al. 2012). Using flow cytometry, Loureiro et al. (2007) determined that the nuclear DNA content (i.e., genome size) of diploid *S. ionantha* was 2C = 1.5 pg.

Spontaneous self-pollination has been reported to occur frequently in some commercial African violet cultivars through an abnormal mode of flower development in which the stigma grows into the anther (Anonymous 2002). Self-fertilization and mating between close relatives in small populations may lead to inbreeding depression (Charlesworth and Charlesworth 1987, Kolehmainen and Mutikainen 2006). Kolehmainen et al. (2010) investigated inbreeding depression in 12 populations of a threatened, endemic African violet, *S. ionantha* ssp. *grotei*, using one microsatellite locus, and concluded that inbreeding occurred frequently and led to significant inbreeding depression.

2. Interspecific crosses

*Saintpaulia* is likely to predominantly outcross because it is pollinated by flying insects and because the flowers have two different stylar morphs (i.e., enantiostyly), which has been shown to promote cross pollination (Jensson and Barrett 2002). Crossing experiments have shown that the majority of *Saintpaulia* taxa can hybridize and that hybrid offspring are fertile (Clayberg 1961, Arisumi 1964). However, Afkhani-Sarvestani et al. (2012a) were unable to produce viable progeny, even using embryo rescue, from intergeneric crosses between *Streptocarpus* sub-genus *Streptocarpella* and *S. ionantha*. Afkhani-Sarvestani et al. (2012b) were able to induce callus after fusing protoplasts.

Arisumi (1967) conducted a large breeding experiment in which he selfed and crossed several clones of *Saintpaulia* species that had no anthocyanin in their leaf blades, namely, according to the *Interagency Taxonomic Information System* & World Checklist of Gesneriaceae, *S. amaniensis* E. Roberts [now accepted as *S. ionantha* ssp. *grotei* (Engler) I. Darbysh, taxonomic serial No. (TSN) 832566], *S. confusa* B. L. Burtt. [now accepted as *S. ionantha* ssp. *grotei* (Engler) I. Darbysh, TSN 832554], *S. difficilis* B. L. Burtt. [now accepted as *S. ionantha* ssp. *grotei* (Engler) I. Darbysh, TSN 832556], *S. diplotricha* B. L. Burtt. [now accepted as *S. ionantha* var. *diplotricha* (B.L. Burtt) I. Darbysh., TSN 832560], *S. grandifolia* B. L. Burtt. [now accepted as *S. ionantha* ssp. *grandifolia* (B.L. Burtt) I. Darbysh., TSN 832561], *S. grotei* Engl. [now accepted as *S. ionantha* ssp. *grotei* (Engler) I. Darbysh., TSN 832562], and *S. magungensis* E. Roberts [now accepted as *S. ionantha* ssp. *grotei* (Engler)I. Darbysh., TSN 832616] (Skog and Boggan 2010, ITIS – available online at: https://www.itis.gov). After germinating seeds and growing seedlings according to Arisumi (1964), Arisumi (1967) determined genetic ratios after assigning the symbols *A* and *a*, respectively, to dominant and recessive genes for anthocyanin. Arisumi (1967) found that: a) *A* and *a* segregated in classic Mendelian ratios; b) *S. diplotricha* (syn. *S. ionantha* var. *diplotricha*) was homozygous recessive (*aa*), whereas all the other species were heterozygous.
Grunewaldt (cultivar not specified) with 0.5 M. Except at a lethal dose, Kelly and ‘Ulery’ Datta and thousands of cultivated after treating leaf cuttings with colchicine. A single chimeric polyploid plant from 29 regenerants in the plant. Arisumi and Frazier (1968) induced prevented the formation of anthocyanin anywhere had a recessive gene for white flower mutants (0.7%) might be of multicellular origin.

After intensive breeding over the past century, thousands of cultivated Saintpaulia varieties are mass propagated by the horticulture industry (Baatvik 1993). These cultivars have mainly been bred from two natural species, S. ionantha and S. confusa (S. ionantha ssp. grotei) (Baatvik 1993, Eastwood et al. 1998).

3. Ploidy and polyploidization
Espino and Vazquez (1981) regenerated polyploid and mixoploid plants from leaf explants using caffeine or colchicine, but no polyploidy was detected in regenerants grown on control medium. More specifically, exposure of leaf explants to basal Murashige and Skoog medium containing 500 or 1000 mg l⁻¹ caffeine for 4-16 days resulted in 2-8% polyploid plants (including chimeric plants), whereas exposure to 100-200 mg l⁻¹ colchicine resulted in 22-46% polyploids. Their results were in contrast to the low level of mixoploidy (1-2%) observed by Broertjes (1974) when petioles and leaves were exposed to colchicine. Winkelmann and Grunewaldt (1983) observed that as many as 40% of regenerants from NMU-treated leaf explants showed altered leaf pigmentation. Gaj and Gaj (1996) induced chimeral chimerism (variegated leaves) in 100% of explants when leaves were treated with 5 nM NMU for 1.5 or 2 h. In all of these studies, stable transmission of mutations from one clonal generation to the next was not possible. Leaf blade and petiole cuttings of S. ionantha ‘Ulery’ exposed to thermal neutron irradiation (250-5000 Rad) did not generate any morphologically distinct mutants, although variation in peroxidase levels was observed.

4. Mutation breeding and chimeras
Periclinal chimeras were observed in pinwheel flowering African violet varieties (Lineberger and Druckenbrod 1985) and several breeding-oriented mutations have been reported in Saintpaulia. Several techniques are available to induce mutations in ornamental plants, including chemical and radiation (γ- or X-ray irradiation, ion-beam treatment) or TE activation (Datta and Teixeira da Silva 2006). Sparrow et al. (1960) suggested that chimeras originating from a low percentage of S. ionantha mutants (0.7%) might be of multicellular origin.

4.1. Chemical mutagenesis
Warfield (1973) produced 13% mutations after treating petioles of leaf cuttings of S. ionantha (cultivar not specified) with 0.5 M ethylmethanesulfonate (EMS) for 1 h, including leaf-patterning mutants, leaf colour variants, and dwarf plants. Except at a lethal dose, Kelly and Lineberger (1981) found that thermal neutron irradiation (250, 1000 and 5000 Rad of thermal neutrons) of cuttings reduced root emergence and induced changes in peroxidase profiles, but did not induce any morphological mutants. By applying 400 mg l⁻¹ N-nitroso-N-methylurea (NMU) to in vitro leaves (Geier 1983), leaf albinism and mottling could be induced; this could be increased to 50% when 0.1-1.0% dimethyl sulfoxide was added. In the same study, exposure to 97.45 or 292.36 mM EMS produced different levels of shoot inhibition and chlorophyll-deficient shoots relative to controls and NMU-treated leaves (Tab. 1). Grunewaldt (1983) observed that as many as 40% of regenerants from NMU-treated leaf explants showed altered leaf pigmentation. Gaj and Gaj (1996) induced chlorophyll chimerism (variegated leaves) in 100% of explants when leaves were treated with 5 nM NMU for 1.5 or 2 h. In all of these studies, stable transmission of mutations from one clonal generation to the next was not possible. Leaf blade and petiole cuttings of S. ionantha ‘Ulery’ exposed to thermal neutron irradiation (250-5000 Rad) did not generate any morphologically distinct mutants, although variation in peroxidase levels was observed.

4.2. Physical mutagenesis (radiation)
Using an unnamed cultivar, Seneviratne and Wijesundara (2007) reported a change in flower colour pattern (white petals with wide, pink margins) by coupling 15 Gy of γ irradiation with a dip treatment of leaves bearing 2.5 cm long petioles in 0.06% colchicine for 22.5 h. The same treatment resulted in a change in plant architecture, measured as reduced petiole length (3.9 cm vs 8 cm in the control), reduced leaf surface area (14.7 cm² vs 34.8 cm² in the control), smaller flower diameter (2.1 cm vs 3.8 cm in the control) and shorter inflorescence height (3.7 cm vs 6.4 cm in the control). In their experiment, all the plants died after γ irradiation of 20 Gy. Wongpiyasatid et al. (2007a, 2007b) tested γ-ray (0-100 Gy) treatment of leaf cuttings of two unnamed cultivars of African violet (Wongpiyasatid et al. 2007a) or ‘Optima Hawaii’ (Wongpiyasatid et al. 2007b). The LD₁₀₀₀₀₀₀
which indicates 50% dead leaves at 60 days after irradiation, was 56 Gy, and the highest frequency of mutation (18.33%) occurred when 60 Gy was applied; irradiation exceeding 80 Gy caused the death of all leaves. Vegetative and floral mutations induced changes in plant canopy width, number of leaves, number of inflorescences per plant, number of flowers per inflorescence, and flower form, colour and size. For example, at 60 Gy, 24.9 leaves/plant were formed versus 20.5 in the control. In a few cases (Wongpiyasatid et al. 2007a), there was conversion from a vegetative meristem into a floral meristem and subsequent formation of an inflorescence in place of leaves. According to Wongpiyasatid et al. (2007a), there was conversion from a vegetative meristem into a floral meristem and subsequent formation of an inflorescence in place of leaves. According to Wongpiyasatid et al. (2007a), detached leaves exposed to X-rays and fast neutrons also showed dose-dependent mutagenesis (Broertjes 1968, 1971, 1972). Zhou et al. (2006a, 2006b) irradiated adventitious shoots in vitro with carbon ion beams and X-rays, and found that 49.6% and 43.3% of ‘Mauve’ and ‘Indikon’ shoots, respectively, were malformed in response to carbon ion beams, but only 3.7% and 11.3% following X-ray irradiation. Semi-double and double flower types were more common than the single flower type, showing 81% normal (mixed) colour vs. pink, red or white (19% of variants) (Paek and Hahn 1999). Their results indicate that the use of homogenate cell clusters was not a proper method for true-to-type propagation of African violet. In Saintpaulia ‘Thamires’ (Saintpaulia sp.), flower colour variants in tissue culture-derived regenerants were formed due to the excision of a TE (Sato et al. 2011a, 2011b). Much of the variability seen in micropropagated plants may be either the result of, or may be related to, oxidative-stress damage in plant tissues during in vitro culture (Matsuda et al. 2014). Simply by culturing leaf explants in vitro, Shajiee et al. (2006) were able to induce variegated leaves in a maximum of 0.78% of regenerants in one of the studied genotypes.

Table 1. Key results from Geier (1983) (modified) showing the impact of 1 h exposure of two chemical mutagens, N-nitroso-N-methylurea (NMU) and ethylmethanesulfonate (EMS), on shoot inhibition and production of chlorophyll-deficient shoots in ‘Rhapsodie 26’ at 73 days of treatment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>Rinse (H₂O) (min.)</th>
<th>Number of shoots per explant</th>
<th>Inhibition of shoot formation (%)</th>
<th>Chlorophyll-deficient mutants (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control*</td>
<td></td>
<td>43.3</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1% (97.45 mM)</td>
<td>3 × 2</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>59.35</td>
<td>1.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3 × 20</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>45.03</td>
<td>0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3% (292.36 mM)</td>
<td>3 × 2</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>87.99</td>
<td>1.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3 × 20</td>
<td>20.1</td>
<td>53.58</td>
<td>1.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td></td>
<td>38.0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400 mg l⁻¹ (3.88 mM)</td>
<td>3 × 2</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>71.91</td>
<td>12.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3 × 20</td>
<td>19.6</td>
<td>49.48</td>
<td>8.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>800 mg l⁻¹ (7.76 mM)</td>
<td>3 × 2</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>90.98</td>
<td>20.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3 × 20</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>80.41</td>
<td>18.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td></td>
<td>51.6</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200 mg l⁻¹ (1.94 mM)</td>
<td>Undefined</td>
<td>38.3</td>
<td>25.78</td>
<td>4.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400 mg l⁻¹ (3.88 mM)</td>
<td>Undefined</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>46.51</td>
<td>8.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>600 mg l⁻¹ (5.82 mM)</td>
<td>Undefined</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>81.00</td>
<td>14.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>800 mg l⁻¹ (7.76 mM)</td>
<td>Undefined</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>88.37</td>
<td>20.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*No chemical mutagen treatment

resulting plants showed variations in leaf colour (67% of variants) and leaf shape (19% of variants), but no difference in protein profiles was observed using SDS-PAGE. Semi-double and double flower types were more common than the single flower type, showing 81% normal (mixed) colour vs. pink, red or white (19% of variants) (Paek and Hahn 1999). Their results indicate that the use of homogenate cell clusters was not a proper method for true-to-type propagation of African violet. In Saintpaulia ‘Thamires’ (Saintpaulia sp.), flower colour variants in tissue culture-derived regenerants were formed due to the excision of a TE (Sato et al. 2011a, 2011b). Much of the variability seen in micropropagated plants may be either the result of, or may be related to, oxidative-stress damage in plant tissues during in vitro culture (Matsuda et al. 2014). Simply by culturing leaf explants in vitro, Shajiee et al. (2006) were able to induce variegated leaves in a maximum of 0.78% of regenerants in one of the studied genotypes.

In Saintpaulia, somaclonal variation is considered problematic when clonal (true-to-type) material is desired, as indicated by the studies above, but is also very useful for improving traits. Sato et al. (2011b) identified a hAT superfamily TE (VGs1) in the flavonoid 3', 5' hydroxylase (F3'5'H) promoter region and found that when the TE was
excised from this region, flower colour changed from pink to purple (Fig. 1). In *Saintpaulia* ‘Thamires’, Sato et al. (2011a) concluded that the origin of somaclonal variation could be mainly attributed to 'newly induced mutations'. Matsuda et al. (2014) tried to identify factors involved in the induction of transposition of *VGs1*, and plant growth regulators added to the culture medium were candidate factors for the induction of somaclonal variation.

**Molecular breeding**

1. **Molecular and biochemical regulation of flowering**

The genetic control of flower initiation and flower development, and the molecular mechanisms that are responsible for the regulation of these processes have been studied in detail (reviewed in Stewart et al. 2016). *Saintpaulia* has been included in some of these studies. Wang et al. (2006) isolated two CYC-like genes, *SiCYC1A* and *SiCYC1B*, from zygomorphic and actinomorphic cultivars respectively, of *S. ionantha*, using mTAIL-PCR. The two *SiCYC1A* genes contained the entire regulatory domains (i.e., TCP and R domains) that were functional in establishing floral symmetry, and these were homologous with the *Antirrhinum majus* CYC gene. Unexpectedly, the two *SiCYC1B* genes from the actinomorphic cultivar had a sequence identical to genes from the zygomorphic cultivar. Comparative analysis of molecular alterations in CYC-like genes responsible for morphological transformation from zygomorphy to actinomorphy indicated that the two closely related *SiCYC1A* and *SiCYC1B* genes were perhaps regulated by a common, upstream regulator. Change in this regulator could result in the silencing of both *SiCYC1A* and *SiCYC1B*, thus
controlling the development of the adaxial and lateral organs in a flower.

Pei et al. (2012) reported that the range of pH values in cellular sap of the linguoid petal in blue and white flower *S. ionantha* cultivars was 3.0 to 7.0. The pH value (6.21) of the blue flower cultivar was higher than that of the white flower cultivar (pH 3.32) on the first day of flowering and increased when the flowering period was extended from 1 to 20 days. The changes were greater in the white cultivar than in the blue cultivar. On the 20th day of flowering the pH value was 6.64 in the blue cultivar and 4.21 in the white cultivar.

2. Genetic modification

Genetic modification of ornamental plants is an important means of introducing new characters such as modified flower colour, leaf shape or plant architecture (Teixeira da Silva et al. 2016b). The technology for genome editing has progressed considerably in recent years, and using techniques such as CRISPR/Cas9 (Samanta et al. 2016) it is now possible to knock out a specific gene or to target a specific position on the genome for genetic modification. Now in its infancy, artificial chromosome technology offers an opportunity for multiple gene transfer in the future as part of a genetic engineering strategy (Yu et al. 2016). It seems that genetic transformation could also help create plants resistant to *Melodogyne arenaria* Thamesii, a root-knot nematode known to infect the roots of potted *S. ionantha* plants (Goidanich and Garavini 1959).

2.1. Transformation of *Saintpaulia*

Several researchers have reported the production of transgenic *Saintpaulia* plants. Kushikawa et al. (2001) succeeded in *Agrobacterium*-mediated transformation of *S. ionantha*. After testing *Agrobacterium* strains LBA4404 (plasmid pTOK233), EHA101 (pIG121 hygromycin) and LBA4404 (pIG121 hygromycin), positive results were obtained for LBA4404. In their experiment, a suspension culture of *Saintpaulia* ‘Pink Veil’ was exposed to LBA4404 for 48 h, and after 4 months of culture on selection medium containing 50 mg l⁻¹ hygromycin, hygromycin-resistant callus was obtained. Transgenic plants harbouring the *gusA* gene were confirmed by PCR and Southern blot analysis, although the number of transgenic plants produced and the transformation efficiency were not indicated. No transformants were derived directly from leaves but only via callus. Using the same plasmid constructs as in their 2001 study, Kushikawa et al. (2002) were able to increase the number of GUS foci by co-cultivating leaf explants with 300 µM acetosyringone. Mercuri et al. (2000) obtained transgenic *Saintpaulia* ‘Rhapsody’ plants using *A. tumefaciens* oncogenic strain A281 after infecting petiole slices (3-10 mm thick), but no plants were recovered from co-cultivated leaves. No success was obtained when the disarmed strain EHA105 was used. In their protocol, 30-min. co-culture with *Agrobacterium* followed by the culture of explants in the dark on selection medium containing 100 mg l⁻¹ kanamycin resulted in high (90% of explants) transient GUS gene expression after 3 days, reduced expression (30% of explants) after 15 days, and no expression after 25 days. The GUS gene was not detected by PCR in all the GUS-positive plants tested, but the nptII gene was detected in Southern blot analysis, suggesting either loss of the transgene, or transgene silencing. Ram and Mohandas (2003) used LBA4404 harbouring pBINAR carrying a glucanase-chitinase gene to transform *Saintpaulia* ‘Sailors Delight’ leaves after co-cultivation for 5 min., and following culture in the dark in the presence of 70 mg l⁻¹ kanamycin. A high percentage (75%) of putative transformants showed a signal for the glucanase gene in Southern blot analysis. Ohki et al. (2009) suggested that *Saintpaulia* varieties ‘Heavens A-calling’, ‘Kris’, and ‘New Mexico’ leaf explants dipped in a solution of *A. tumefaciens* (pIG121HM) should be cultured at a minimum of 30 mg l⁻¹ hygromycin for selection. Ye et al. (2014) genetically transformed the *AtTIP5;1* gene (a highly expressed *Arabidopsis* pollen-specific gene related to aquaporin) into the leaves of an unspecified *S. ionantha* cultivar using *A. tumefaciens* strain GV3101. The authors found that pre-culture for 2 d on non-selective shoot induction medium, infection for 15 min., co-culture for 2 d with 100 µmol l⁻¹ acetosyringone and selection with 40 g l⁻¹ hygromycin were the optimal transformation conditions. After screening with PCR and RT-PCR, 17 transformed plants containing the *AtTIP5;1* transgene were obtained. Transformed *AtTIP5;1* plants showed improved tolerance to boron stress.

In addition to the *Agrobacterium* strain and the selection marker used, the stress caused by cutting the explants is important for *Agrobacterium* infection since *S. ionantha* plants are very sensitive to local wounding of leaves (Yang et al. 2002). Wounding of leaves induces a hypersensitive state in explants, such as the leaves of the species of the Acanthaceae and Gesneriaceae, including...
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Saintpaulia sp., resulting in the browning of unwounded areas within 30 min. as a result of secondary wounding (Yang et al. 2002, 2003, 2006). Therefore, leaf wounding, such as that caused during the preparation of leaf segments, may have a negative effect on Agrobacterium infection. Ghorbanzade and Ahmadabadi (2015) used particle bombardment to genetically transform a local Iranian cultivar of African violet with the GUS gene. An endochitinase gene was then introduced and stable integration was confirmed by PCR and RT-PCR in 4 out of 7 lines.

2.2. Flower colour modification in transgenic Saintpaulia

Depending on the variety, Saintpaulia flowers produce delphinidin-, cyanidin- and/or pelargonidin-based anthocyanins (Griesbach 1998, Tatsuzawa et al. 2012, Tatsuzawa and Hosokawa 2016). Cyanidin-based anthocyanins accumulate in the leaves of some varieties, imparting a deeper, but less green colour to the leaves (Arisumi 1967). Chalcone-based flavonoids have been shown to be the yellow pigment present in yellow flowers (Deguchi et al. 2016).

Molecular analysis has shown that there are two distinct chalcone synthase (CHS) genes in the Saintpaulia genus, SaCHSA and SaCHSD (Caro et al. 2006). CHS codes for the first enzyme in the flavonoid production pathway. Using phylogenetic analysis, the CHS genes have been used to differentiate several Saintpaulia species [S. grandifolia (S. ionantha ssp. grandifolia), S. grotei (S. ionantha ssp. grotei), S. intermedia (S. ionantha ssp. pendula (B.L. Burtt) I. Darbysh. TSN 832528), S. ionantha and S. orbicularis (S. ionantha ssp. orbicularis (B.L. Burtt) I. Darbysh. TSN 832596] (Caro et al. 2006). Jiao et al. (2014) cloned the flavonoid 3',5'-hydroxylase (F3’5’H) gene from S. ionantha (cultivar not indicated) and found maximum homology among S. ionantha cultivars, and also with Antirrhinum kelleggi and Torenia hybrida. Sense F3’5’H expression vectors were transformed into tobacco using A. tumefaciens strain LBA4404. In transgenic tobacco, the flavonoid content detected using HPLC was 4.0-16.3% higher than that in the wild type (1.76%), and flowers were light purple.

Despite the large palette of colours available amongst African violet varieties, there are still colour modifications that would be very valuable.
in *Saintpaulia* and which can only be achieved through genetic engineering. Broadly, the first strategy would be to increase the colour range in a single, very high quality variety, as was done using genetic engineering in torenia (Nishihara et al. 2013). The second strategy would be to use genetic engineering for the generation of colours not found in the species, such as a true red (van Schaik and Newlands 1963) or deep yellow. Aside from an undetailed report of a European field trial in 2001 (Cadic and Widehem 2001), flower colour modification by genetic engineering has not yet been demonstrated in *Saintpaulia*, although there are multiple theoretical avenues for flower colour modification in this genus, as illustrated in Figure 2. In summary, avenues that may be explored alone or in combination are:

- In white-flowered varieties, including coloured varieties in which the anthocyanin pathway has been down-regulated, transfer of betalain pigment biosynthesis genes for the production of novel yellow aurone-based pigments (Ono et al. 2006).
- Up and/or down regulation of the flavonoid hydroxylase genes on the existing anthocyanin pathway to alter the type and/or ratio of anthocyanins accumulated. As an example of such a strategy, to produce a ‘true red’ variety, the anthocyanin pathway could be manipulated to produce varieties that only accumulate red cyanidin-based anthocyanins.
- Manipulation (through methylation or glycosylation) of the secondary structure moieties of existing anthocyanins to alter spectral qualities and thus flower colour (Tatsuzawa and Hosokawa 2016).
- Down-regulation of endogenous chalcone synthase genes to block anthocyanin biosynthesis. With suitable promoters this strategy could also be used to alter leaf colour by inhibiting anthocyanin accumulation in the leaves of affected varieties.

CONCLUSIONS

Radiation- or colchicine-induced mutagenesis has served as a useful tool to broaden genetic diversity in African violet, a vegetatively propagated ornamental species. As described in several papers in this review, at the research level mutation breeding and tissue-culture induced somaclonal variation have led to several changes in African violet plant architecture, including petiole length, surface area, flower diameter, inflorescence height and number of leaves and inflorescences per plant. Several economically desirable traits such as flower colour pattern, chlorophyll chimerism and dwarfing have also been observed in African violet using radiation and chemical mutagens. These traits can be stably propagated through vegetative means, including leaf culture (Teixeira da Silva et al. 2016a). African violet plants are very sensitive to alteration in light intensity, temperature, and humidity, and minor changes can lead to the development of leaf spot disease (Yun et al. 1997, Yang et al. 2001, Chen and Henny 2009). Plants resistant to biotic and abiotic stresses will need to be developed using transgenic strategies, and this requires robust and reproducible genetic engineering and tissue culture protocols to regenerate transformants. These techniques are now available. *Agrobacterium*-mediated genetic transformation has been shown to alter *Saintpaulia* genetic makeup by the introduction of a glucanase-chitinase gene (Ram and Mohandas 2003). Transformants with improved tolerance to boron stress have also been obtained (Ye et al. 2014). Genetic modification is also a tool that can be used to generate novel flower colours in *Saintpaulia*. However, to date, transgenic varieties of African violet have not been commercialised.

Given the complexity of the regulatory processes associated with the release of transgenic plants, our expectation is that conventional breeding methods, including mutagenesis, will thus continue to dominate the product pipeline for African violet. The conservation of wild *Saintpaulia* species will continue to be a priority, and the best way to achieve this is through *in vitro* propagation (Teixeira da Silva et al. 2017). Molecular methods to classify germplasm (Teixeira da Silva et al. 2007) will need to be developed for African violet. To date, the use of 5S nuclear ribosomal DNA non-transcribed spacer sequences or internal transcribed spacer sequences to clearly differentiate *Saintpaulia* species in conservation studies (Möller and Cronk 1997, Lindqvist and Albert 1999, 2001) allows germplasm to be accurately classified, propagated and preserved.
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