

Chordal Graphs¹

Broderick Arneson
University of Alberta
Edmonton, Canada

Piotr Rudnicki
University of Alberta
Edmonton, Canada

Summary. We are formalizing [9, pp. 81–84] where chordal graphs are defined and their basic characterization is given. This formalization is a part of the M.Sc. work of the first author under supervision of the second author.

MML identifier: CHORD, version: 7.8.01 4.70.946

The terminology and notation used here are introduced in the following articles: [18], [21], [3], [16], [22], [5], [6], [4], [1], [8], [19], [2], [12], [11], [10], [7], [14], [17], [20], [15], and [13].

1. PRELIMINARIES

One can prove the following propositions:

- (1) For every non zero natural number n holds $n - 1$ is a natural number and $1 \leq n$.
- (2) For every odd natural number n holds $n - 1$ is a natural number and $1 \leq n$.
- (3) For all odd integers n, m such that $n < m$ holds $n \leq m - 2$.
- (4) For all odd integers n, m such that $m < n$ holds $m + 2 \leq n$.
- (5) For every odd natural number n such that $1 \neq n$ there exists an odd natural number m such that $m + 2 = n$.
- (6) For every odd natural number n such that $n \leq 2$ holds $n = 1$.
- (7) For every odd natural number n such that $n \leq 4$ holds $n = 1$ or $n = 3$.
- (8) For every odd natural number n such that $n \leq 6$ holds $n = 1$ or $n = 3$ or $n = 5$.

¹This work has been partially supported by the NSERC grant OGP 9207.

- (9) For every odd natural number n such that $n \leq 8$ holds $n = 1$ or $n = 3$ or $n = 5$ or $n = 7$.
- (10) For every even natural number n such that $n \leq 1$ holds $n = 0$.
- (11) For every even natural number n such that $n \leq 3$ holds $n = 0$ or $n = 2$.
- (12) For every even natural number n such that $n \leq 5$ holds $n = 0$ or $n = 2$ or $n = 4$.
- (13) For every even natural number n such that $n \leq 7$ holds $n = 0$ or $n = 2$ or $n = 4$ or $n = 6$.
- (14) For every finite sequence p and for every non zero natural number n such that p is one-to-one and $n \leq \text{len } p$ holds $p(n) \leftrightarrow p = n$.
- (15) Let p be a non empty finite sequence and T be a non empty subset of $\text{rng } p$. Then there exists a set x such that $x \in T$ and for every set y such that $y \in T$ holds $x \leftrightarrow p \leq y \leftrightarrow p$.

Let p be a finite sequence and let n be a natural number. The functor $p.\text{followSet}(n)$ yields a finite set and is defined as follows:

(Def. 1) $p.\text{followSet}(n) = \text{rng}\langle p(n), \dots, p(\text{len } p) \rangle$.

The following three propositions are true:

- (16) Let p be a finite sequence, x be a set, and n be a natural number. Suppose $x \in \text{rng } p$ and $n \in \text{dom } p$ and p is one-to-one. Then $x \in p.\text{followSet}(n)$ if and only if $x \leftrightarrow p \geq n$.
- (17) Let p, q be finite sequences and x be a set. If $p = \langle x \rangle \wedge q$, then for every non zero natural number n holds $p.\text{followSet}(n+1) = q.\text{followSet}(n)$.
- (18) Let X be a set, f be a finite sequence of elements of X , and g be a FinSubsequence of f . If $\text{len Seq } g = \text{len } f$, then $\text{Seq } g = f$.

2. MISCELLANY ON GRAPHS

Next we state a number of propositions:

- (19) Let G be a graph, S be a subset of the vertices of G , H be a subgraph of G induced by S , and u, v be sets. Suppose $u \in S$ and $v \in S$. Let e be a set. If e joins u and v in G , then e joins u and v in H .
- (20) For every graph G and for every walk W of G holds W is trail-like iff $\text{len } W = 2 \cdot \text{card}(W.\text{edges}()) + 1$.
- (21) Let G be a graph, S be a subset of the vertices of G , H be a subgraph of G with vertices S removed, and W be a walk of G . Suppose that for every odd natural number n such that $n \leq \text{len } W$ holds $W(n) \notin S$. Then W is a walk of H .

- (22) Let G be a graph and a, b be sets. Suppose $a \neq b$. Let W be a walk of G . If $W.\text{vertices}() = \{a, b\}$, then there exists a set e such that e joins a and b in G .
- (23) Let G be a graph, S be a non empty subset of the vertices of G , H be a subgraph of G induced by S , and W be a walk of G . If $W.\text{vertices}() \subseteq S$, then W is a walk of H .
- (24) Let G_1, G_2 be graphs. Suppose $G_1 =_G G_2$. Let W_1 be a walk of G_1 and W_2 be a walk of G_2 . If $W_1 = W_2$, then if W_1 is cycle-like, then W_2 is cycle-like.
- (25) Let G be a graph, P be a path of G , and m, n be odd natural numbers. Suppose $m \leq \text{len } P$ and $n \leq \text{len } P$ and $P(m) = P(n)$. Then $m = n$ or $m = 1$ and $n = \text{len } P$ or $m = \text{len } P$ and $n = 1$.
- (26) Let G be a graph and P be a path of G . Suppose P is open. Let a, e, b be sets. Suppose $a \notin P.\text{vertices}()$ and $b = P.\text{first}()$ and e joins a and b in G . Then $(G.\text{walkOf}(a, e, b)).\text{append}(P)$ is path-like.
- (27) Let G be a graph and P, H be paths of G . Suppose $P.\text{edges}()$ misses $H.\text{edges}()$ and P is non trivial and open and H is non trivial and open and $P.\text{vertices}() \cap H.\text{vertices}() = \{P.\text{first}(), P.\text{last}()\}$ and $H.\text{first}() = P.\text{last}()$ and $H.\text{last}() = P.\text{first}()$. Then $P.\text{append}(H)$ is cycle-like.
- (28) For every graph G and for all walks W_1, W_2 of G such that $W_1.\text{last}() = W_2.\text{first}()$ holds $(W_1.\text{append}(W_2)).\text{length}() = W_1.\text{length}() + W_2.\text{length}()$.
- (29) Let G be a graph and A, B be non empty subsets of the vertices of G . Suppose $B \subseteq A$. Let H_1 be a subgraph of G induced by A . Then every subgraph of H_1 induced by B is a subgraph of G induced by B .
- (30) Let G be a graph and A, B be non empty subsets of the vertices of G . Suppose $B \subseteq A$. Let H_1 be a subgraph of G induced by A . Then every subgraph of G induced by B is a subgraph of H_1 induced by B .
- (31) Let G be a graph and S, T be non empty subsets of the vertices of G . If $T \subseteq S$, then for every subgraph G_2 of G induced by S holds $G_2.\text{edgesBetween}(T) = G.\text{edgesBetween}(T)$.

The scheme *FinGraphOrderCompInd* concerns a unary predicate \mathcal{P} , and states that:

For every finite graph G holds $\mathcal{P}[G]$

provided the parameters meet the following condition:

- Let k be a non zero natural number. Suppose that for every finite graph G_3 such that $G_3.\text{order}() < k$ holds $\mathcal{P}[G_3]$. Let G_4 be a finite graph. If $G_4.\text{order}() = k$, then $\mathcal{P}[G_4]$.

We now state two propositions:

- (32) For every graph G and for every walk W of G such that W is open and path-like holds W is vertex-distinct.

- (33) Let G be a graph and P be a path of G . Suppose P is open and $\text{len } P > 3$. Let e be a set. If e joins $P.\text{last}()$ and $P.\text{first}()$ in G , then $P.\text{addEdge}(e)$ is cycle-like.

3. SHORTEST TOPOLOGICAL PATH

Let G be a graph and let W be a walk of G . We say that W is minimum length if and only if:

- (Def. 2) For every walk W_2 of G such that W_2 is walk from $W.\text{first}()$ to $W.\text{last}()$ holds $\text{len } W_2 \geq \text{len } W$.

The following propositions are true:

- (34) For every graph G and for every walk W of G and for every subwalk S of W such that $S.\text{first}() = W.\text{first}()$ and $S.\text{edgeSeq}() = W.\text{edgeSeq}()$ holds $S = W$.
- (35) For every graph G and for every walk W of G and for every subwalk S of W such that $\text{len } S = \text{len } W$ holds $S = W$.
- (36) For every graph G and for every walk W of G such that W is minimum length holds W is path-like.
- (37) For every graph G and for every walk W of G such that W is minimum length holds W is path-like.
- (38) Let G be a graph and W be a walk of G . Suppose that for every path P of G such that P is walk from $W.\text{first}()$ to $W.\text{last}()$ holds $\text{len } P \geq \text{len } W$. Then W is minimum length.
- (39) For every graph G and for every walk W of G holds there exists a path of G which is walk from $W.\text{first}()$ to $W.\text{last}()$ and minimum length.
- (40) Let G be a graph and W be a walk of G . Suppose W is minimum length. Let m, n be odd natural numbers. Suppose $m + 2 < n$ and $n \leq \text{len } W$. Then it is not true that there exists a set e such that e joins $W(m)$ and $W(n)$ in G .
- (41) Let G be a graph, S be a non empty subset of the vertices of G , H be a subgraph of G induced by S , and W be a walk of H . Suppose W is minimum length. Let m, n be odd natural numbers. Suppose $m + 2 < n$ and $n \leq \text{len } W$. Then it is not true that there exists a set e such that e joins $W(m)$ and $W(n)$ in G .
- (42) Let G be a graph and W be a walk of G . Suppose W is minimum length. Let m, n be odd natural numbers. If $m \leq n$ and $n \leq \text{len } W$, then $W.\text{cut}(m, n)$ is minimum length.
- (43) Let G be a graph. Suppose G is connected. Let A, B be non empty subsets of the vertices of G . Suppose A misses B . Then there exists a path P of G such that

- (i) P is minimum length and non trivial,
- (ii) $P.first() \in A$,
- (iii) $P.last() \in B$, and
- (iv) for every odd natural number n such that $1 < n$ and $n < \text{len } P$ holds $P(n) \notin A$ and $P(n) \notin B$.

4. ADJACENCY AND COMPLETE GRAPHS

Let G be a graph and let a, b be vertices of G . We say that a and b are adjacent if and only if:

(Def. 3) There exists a set e such that e joins a and b in G .

Let us note that the predicate a and b are adjacent is symmetric.

Next we state several propositions:

- (44) Let G_1, G_2 be graphs. Suppose $G_1 =_G G_2$. Let u_1, v_1 be vertices of G_1 . Suppose u_1 and v_1 are adjacent. Let u_2, v_2 be vertices of G_2 . If $u_1 = u_2$ and $v_1 = v_2$, then u_2 and v_2 are adjacent.
- (45) Let G be a graph, S be a non empty subset of the vertices of G , H be a subgraph of G induced by S , u, v be vertices of G , and t, w be vertices of H . Suppose $u = t$ and $v = w$. Then u and v are adjacent if and only if t and w are adjacent.
- (46) For every graph G and for every walk W of G such that $W.first() \neq W.last()$ and $W.first()$ and $W.last()$ are not adjacent holds $W.length() \geq 2$.
- (47) Let G be a graph and v_1, v_2, v_3 be vertices of G . Suppose $v_1 \neq v_2$ and $v_1 \neq v_3$ and $v_2 \neq v_3$ and v_1 and v_2 are adjacent and v_2 and v_3 are adjacent. Then there exists a path P of G and there exist sets e_1, e_2 such that P is open and $\text{len } P = 5$ and $P.length() = 2$ and e_1 joins v_1 and v_2 in G and e_2 joins v_2 and v_3 in G and $P.edges() = \{e_1, e_2\}$ and $P.vertices() = \{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$ and $P(1) = v_1$ and $P(3) = v_2$ and $P(5) = v_3$.
- (48) Let G be a graph and v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4 be vertices of G . Suppose that $v_1 \neq v_2$ and $v_1 \neq v_3$ and $v_2 \neq v_3$ and $v_2 \neq v_4$ and $v_3 \neq v_4$ and v_1 and v_2 are adjacent and v_2 and v_3 are adjacent and v_3 and v_4 are adjacent. Then there exists a path P of G such that $\text{len } P = 7$ and $P.length() = 3$ and $P.vertices() = \{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4\}$ and $P(1) = v_1$ and $P(3) = v_2$ and $P(5) = v_3$ and $P(7) = v_4$.

Let G be a graph and let S be a set. The functor $G.adjacentSet(S)$ yields a subset of the vertices of G and is defined as follows:

(Def. 4) $G.adjacentSet(S) = \{u; u \text{ ranges over vertices of } G: u \notin S \wedge \bigvee_{v: \text{vertex of } G} (v \in S \wedge u \text{ and } v \text{ are adjacent})\}$.

One can prove the following propositions:

- (49) For every graph G and for all sets S , x such that $x \in G.\text{adjacentSet}(S)$ holds $x \notin S$.
- (50) Let G be a graph, S be a set, and u be a vertex of G . Then $u \in G.\text{adjacentSet}(S)$ if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:
- (i) $u \notin S$, and
 - (ii) there exists a vertex v of G such that $v \in S$ and u and v are adjacent.
- (51) For all graphs G_1, G_2 such that $G_1 =_G G_2$ and for every set S holds $G_1.\text{adjacentSet}(S) = G_2.\text{adjacentSet}(S)$.
- (52) For every graph G and for all vertices u, v of G holds $u \in G.\text{adjacentSet}(\{v\})$ iff $u \neq v$ and v and u are adjacent.
- (53) For every graph G and for all sets x, y holds $x \in G.\text{adjacentSet}(\{y\})$ iff $y \in G.\text{adjacentSet}(\{x\})$.
- (54) Let G be a graph and C be a path of G . Suppose C is cycle-like and $C.\text{length}() > 3$. Let x be a vertex of G . Suppose $x \in C.\text{vertices}()$. Then there exist odd natural numbers m, n such that $m + 2 < n$ and $n \leq \text{len } C$ and $m = 1$ and $n = \text{len } C$ and $m = 1$ and $n = \text{len } C - 2$ and $m = 3$ and $n = \text{len } C$ and $C(m) \neq C(n)$ and $C(m) \in G.\text{adjacentSet}(\{x\})$ and $C(n) \in G.\text{adjacentSet}(\{x\})$.
- (55) Let G be a graph and C be a path of G . Suppose C is cycle-like and $C.\text{length}() > 3$. Let x be a vertex of G . Suppose $x \in C.\text{vertices}()$. Then there exist odd natural numbers m, n such that
- (i) $m + 2 < n$,
 - (ii) $n \leq \text{len } C$,
 - (iii) $C(m) \neq C(n)$,
 - (iv) $C(m) \in G.\text{adjacentSet}(\{x\})$,
 - (v) $C(n) \in G.\text{adjacentSet}(\{x\})$, and
 - (vi) for every set e such that $e \in C.\text{edges}()$ holds e does not join $C(m)$ and $C(n)$ in G .
- (56) For every loopless graph G and for every vertex u of G holds $G.\text{adjacentSet}(\{u\}) = \emptyset$ iff u is isolated.
- (57) Let G be a graph, G_0 be a subgraph of G , S be a non empty subset of the vertices of G , x be a vertex of G , G_1 be a subgraph of G induced by S , and G_2 be a subgraph of G induced by $S \cup \{x\}$. If G_1 is connected and $x \in G.\text{adjacentSet}(\text{the vertices of } G_1)$, then G_2 is connected.
- (58) Let G be a graph, S be a non empty subset of the vertices of G , H be a subgraph of G induced by S , and u be a vertex of G . Suppose $u \in S$ and $G.\text{adjacentSet}(\{u\}) \subseteq S$. Let v be a vertex of H . If $u = v$, then $G.\text{adjacentSet}(\{u\}) = H.\text{adjacentSet}(\{v\})$.

Let G be a graph and let S be a set. A subgraph of G is called an adjacency graph of S in G if:

(Def. 5) It is a subgraph of G induced by $G.\text{adjacentSet}(S)$ if S is a subset of the vertices of G .

Next we state two propositions:

- (59) Let G_1, G_2 be graphs. Suppose $G_1 =_G G_2$. Let u_1 be a vertex of G_1 and u_2 be a vertex of G_2 . Suppose $u_1 = u_2$. Let H_1 be an adjacency graph of $\{u_1\}$ in G_1 and H_2 be an adjacency graph of $\{u_2\}$ in G_2 . Then $H_1 =_G H_2$.
- (60) Let G be a graph, S be a non empty subset of the vertices of G , H be a subgraph of G induced by S , and u be a vertex of G . Suppose $u \in S$ and $G.\text{adjacentSet}(\{u\}) \subseteq S$ and $G.\text{adjacentSet}(\{u\}) \neq \emptyset$. Let v be a vertex of H . Suppose $u = v$. Let G_5 be an adjacency graph of $\{u\}$ in G and H_3 be an adjacency graph of $\{v\}$ in H . Then $G_5 =_G H_3$.

Let G be a graph. We say that G is complete if and only if:

(Def. 6) For all vertices u, v of G such that $u \neq v$ holds u and v are adjacent.

We now state the proposition

- (61) For every graph G such that G is trivial holds G is complete.

One can check that every graph which is trivial is also complete.

Let us note that there exists a graph which is trivial, simple, and complete and there exists a graph which is non trivial, finite, simple, and complete.

The following propositions are true:

- (62) For all graphs G_1, G_2 such that $G_1 =_G G_2$ holds if G_1 is complete, then G_2 is complete.
- (63) For every complete graph G and for every subset S of the vertices of G holds every subgraph of G induced by S is complete.

5. SIMPLICIAL VERTEX

Let G be a graph and let v be a vertex of G . We say that v is simplicial if and only if:

(Def. 7) If $G.\text{adjacentSet}(\{v\}) \neq \emptyset$, then every adjacency graph of $\{v\}$ in G is complete.

The following propositions are true:

- (64) For every complete graph G holds every vertex of G is simplicial.
- (65) For every trivial graph G holds every vertex of G is simplicial.
- (66) Let G_1, G_2 be graphs. Suppose $G_1 =_G G_2$. Let u_1 be a vertex of G_1 and u_2 be a vertex of G_2 . If $u_1 = u_2$ and u_1 is simplicial, then u_2 is simplicial.
- (67) Let G be a graph, S be a non empty subset of the vertices of G , H be a subgraph of G induced by S , and u be a vertex of G . Suppose $u \in S$ and $G.\text{adjacentSet}(\{u\}) \subseteq S$. Let v be a vertex of H . If $u = v$, then u is simplicial iff v is simplicial.

- (68) Let G be a graph and v be a vertex of G . Suppose v is simplicial. Let a, b be sets. Suppose $a \neq b$ and $a \in G.\text{adjacentSet}(\{v\})$ and $b \in G.\text{adjacentSet}(\{v\})$. Then there exists a set e such that e joins a and b in G .
- (69) Let G be a graph and v be a vertex of G . Suppose v is not simplicial. Then there exist vertices a, b of G such that $a \neq b$ and $v \neq a$ and $v \neq b$ and v and a are adjacent and v and b are adjacent and a and b are not adjacent.

6. VERTEX SEPARATOR

Let G be a graph and let a, b be vertices of G . Let us assume that $a \neq b$ and a and b are not adjacent. A subset of the vertices of G is said to be a vertex separator of a and b if:

- (Def. 8) $a \notin S$ and $b \notin S$ and for every subgraph G_2 of G with vertices S removed holds there exists no walk of G_2 which is walk from a to b .

Next we state several propositions:

- (70) Let G be a graph and a, b be vertices of G . Suppose $a \neq b$ and a and b are not adjacent. Then every vertex separator of a and b is a vertex separator of b and a .
- (71) Let G be a graph and a, b be vertices of G . Suppose $a \neq b$ and a and b are not adjacent. Let S be a subset of the vertices of G . Then S is a vertex separator of a and b if and only if $a \notin S$ and $b \notin S$ and for every walk W of G such that W is walk from a to b there exists a vertex x of G such that $x \in S$ and $x \in W.\text{vertices}()$.
- (72) Let G be a graph and a, b be vertices of G . Suppose $a \neq b$ and a and b are not adjacent. Let S be a vertex separator of a and b and W be a walk of G . Suppose W is walk from a to b . Then there exists an odd natural number k such that $1 < k$ and $k < \text{len } W$ and $W(k) \in S$.
- (73) Let G be a graph and a, b be vertices of G . Suppose $a \neq b$ and a and b are not adjacent. Let S be a vertex separator of a and b . If $S = \emptyset$, then there exists no walk of G which is walk from a to b .
- (74) Let G be a graph and a, b be vertices of G . Suppose $a \neq b$ and a and b are not adjacent and there exists no walk of G which is walk from a to b . Then \emptyset is a vertex separator of a and b .
- (75) Let G be a graph and a, b be vertices of G . Suppose $a \neq b$ and a and b are not adjacent. Let S be a vertex separator of a and b , G_2 be a subgraph of G with vertices S removed, and a_2 be a vertex of G_2 . If $a_2 = a$, then $(G_2.\text{reachableFrom}(a_2)) \cap S = \emptyset$.

- (76) Let G be a graph and a, b be vertices of G . Suppose $a \neq b$ and a and b are not adjacent. Let S be a vertex separator of a and b , G_2 be a subgraph of G with vertices S removed, and a_2, b_2 be vertices of G_2 . If $a_2 = a$ and $b_2 = b$, then $(G_2.\text{reachableFrom}(a_2)) \cap (G_2.\text{reachableFrom}(b_2)) = \emptyset$.
- (77) Let G be a graph and a, b be vertices of G . Suppose $a \neq b$ and a and b are not adjacent. Let S be a vertex separator of a and b and G_2 be a subgraph of G with vertices S removed. Then a is a vertex of G_2 and b is a vertex of G_2 .

Let G be a graph, let a, b be vertices of G , and let S be a vertex separator of a and b . We say that S is minimal if and only if:

- (Def. 9) For every subset T of S such that $T \neq S$ holds T is not a vertex separator of a and b .

Next we state several propositions:

- (78) Let G be a graph, a, b be vertices of G , and S be a vertex separator of a and b . If $S = \emptyset$, then S is minimal.
- (79) For every finite graph G and for all vertices a, b of G holds there exists a vertex separator of a and b which is minimal.
- (80) Let G be a graph and a, b be vertices of G . Suppose $a \neq b$ and a and b are not adjacent. Let S be a vertex separator of a and b . Suppose S is minimal. Let T be a vertex separator of b and a . If $S = T$, then T is minimal.
- (81) Let G be a graph and a, b be vertices of G . Suppose $a \neq b$ and a and b are not adjacent. Let S be a vertex separator of a and b . Suppose S is minimal. Let x be a vertex of G . If $x \in S$, then there exists a walk W of G such that W is walk from a to b and $x \in W.\text{vertices}()$.
- (82) Let G be a graph and a, b be vertices of G . Suppose $a \neq b$ and a and b are not adjacent. Let S be a vertex separator of a and b . Suppose S is minimal. Let H be a subgraph of G with vertices S removed and a_1 be a vertex of H . Suppose $a_1 = a$. Let x be a vertex of G . Suppose $x \in S$. Then there exists a vertex y of G such that $y \in H.\text{reachableFrom}(a_1)$ and x and y are adjacent.
- (83) Let G be a graph and a, b be vertices of G . Suppose $a \neq b$ and a and b are not adjacent. Let S be a vertex separator of a and b . Suppose S is minimal. Let H be a subgraph of G with vertices S removed and a_1 be a vertex of H . Suppose $a_1 = b$. Let x be a vertex of G . Suppose $x \in S$. Then there exists a vertex y of G such that $y \in H.\text{reachableFrom}(a_1)$ and x and y are adjacent.

7. CHORDAL GRAPHS

Let G be a graph and let W be a walk of G . We say that W is chordal if and only if the condition (Def. 10) is satisfied.

- (Def. 10) There exist odd natural numbers m, n such that
- (i) $m + 2 < n$,
 - (ii) $n \leq \text{len } W$,
 - (iii) $W(m) \neq W(n)$,
 - (iv) there exists a set e such that e joins $W(m)$ and $W(n)$ in G , and
 - (v) for every set f such that $f \in W.\text{edges}()$ holds f does not join $W(m)$ and $W(n)$ in G .

Let G be a graph and let W be a walk of G . We introduce W is chordless as an antonym of W is chordal.

Next we state a number of propositions:

- (84) Let G be a graph and W be a walk of G . Suppose W is chordal. Then there exist odd natural numbers m, n such that
- (i) $m + 2 < n$,
 - (ii) $n \leq \text{len } W$,
 - (iii) $W(m) \neq W(n)$,
 - (iv) there exists a set e such that e joins $W(m)$ and $W(n)$ in G , and
 - (v) if W is cycle-like, then $m = 1$ and $n = \text{len } W$ and $m = 1$ and $n = \text{len } W - 2$ and $m = 3$ and $n = \text{len } W$.
- (85) Let G be a graph and P be a path of G . Given odd natural numbers m, n such that
- (i) $m + 2 < n$,
 - (ii) $n \leq \text{len } P$,
 - (iii) there exists a set e such that e joins $P(m)$ and $P(n)$ in G , and
 - (iv) if P is cycle-like, then $m = 1$ and $n = \text{len } P$ and $m = 1$ and $n = \text{len } P - 2$ and $m = 3$ and $n = \text{len } P$.
- Then P is chordal.
- (86) Let G_1, G_2 be graphs. Suppose $G_1 =_G G_2$. Let W_1 be a walk of G_1 and W_2 be a walk of G_2 . If $W_1 = W_2$, then if W_1 is chordal, then W_2 is chordal.
- (87) Let G be a graph, S be a non empty subset of the vertices of G , H be a subgraph of G induced by S , W_1 be a walk of G , and W_2 be a walk of H . If $W_1 = W_2$, then W_2 is chordal iff W_1 is chordal.
- (88) Let G be a graph and W be a walk of G . Suppose W is cycle-like and chordal and $W.\text{length}() = 4$. Then there exists a set e such that e joins $W(1)$ and $W(5)$ in G or e joins $W(3)$ and $W(7)$ in G .
- (89) For every graph G and for every walk W of G such that W is minimum length holds W is chordless.

- (90) Let G be a graph and W be a walk of G . Suppose W is open and $\text{len } W = 5$ and $W.\text{first}()$ and $W.\text{last}()$ are not adjacent. Then W is chordless.
- (91) For every graph G and for every walk W of G holds W is chordal iff $W.\text{reverse}()$ is chordal.
- (92) Let G be a graph and P be a path of G . Suppose P is open and chordless. Let m, n be odd natural numbers. Suppose $m < n$ and $n \leq \text{len } P$. Then there exists a set e such that e joins $P(m)$ and $P(n)$ in G if and only if $m + 2 = n$.
- (93) Let G be a graph and P be a path of G . Suppose P is open and chordless. Let m, n be odd natural numbers. If $m < n$ and $n \leq \text{len } P$, then $P.\text{cut}(m, n)$ is chordless and $P.\text{cut}(m, n)$ is open.
- (94) Let G be a graph, S be a non empty subset of the vertices of G , H be a subgraph of G induced by S , W be a walk of G , and V be a walk of H . If $W = V$, then W is chordless iff V is chordless.

Let G be a graph. We say that G is chordal if and only if:

- (Def. 11) For every walk P of G such that $P.\text{length}() > 3$ and P is cycle-like holds P is chordal.

Next we state two propositions:

- (95) For all graphs G_1, G_2 such that $G_1 =_G G_2$ holds if G_1 is chordal, then G_2 is chordal.
- (96) For every finite graph G such that $\text{card}(\text{the vertices of } G) \leq 3$ holds G is chordal.

One can verify the following observations:

- * there exists a graph which is trivial, finite, and chordal,
- * there exists a graph which is non trivial, finite, simple, and chordal, and
- * every graph which is complete is also chordal.

Let G be a chordal graph and let V be a set. One can check that every subgraph of G induced by V is chordal.

Next we state several propositions:

- (97) Let G be a chordal graph and P be a path of G . Suppose P is open and chordless. Let x, e be sets. Suppose $x \notin P.\text{vertices}()$ and e joins $P.\text{last}()$ and x in G and it is not true that there exists a set f such that f joins $P(\text{len } P - 2)$ and x in G . Then $P.\text{addEdge}(e)$ is path-like and $P.\text{addEdge}(e)$ is open and $P.\text{addEdge}(e)$ is chordless.
- (98) Let G be a chordal graph and a, b be vertices of G . Suppose $a \neq b$ and a and b are not adjacent. Let S be a vertex separator of a and b . If S is minimal and non empty, then every subgraph of G induced by S is complete.
- (99) Let G be a finite graph. Suppose that for all vertices a, b of G such that

$a \neq b$ and a and b are not adjacent and for every vertex separator S of a and b such that S is minimal and non empty holds every subgraph of G induced by S is complete. Then G is chordal.

- (100) Let G be a finite chordal graph and a, b be vertices of G . Suppose $a \neq b$ and a and b are not adjacent. Let S be a vertex separator of a and b . Suppose S is minimal. Let H be a subgraph of G with vertices S removed and a_3 be a vertex of H . Suppose $a = a_3$. Then there exists a vertex c of G such that $c \in H.\text{reachableFrom}(a_3)$ and for every vertex x of G such that $x \in S$ holds c and x are adjacent.
- (101) Let G be a finite chordal graph and a, b be vertices of G . Suppose $a \neq b$ and a and b are not adjacent. Let S be a vertex separator of a and b . Suppose S is minimal. Let H be a subgraph of G with vertices S removed and a_3 be a vertex of H . Suppose $a = a_3$. Let x, y be vertices of G . Suppose $x \in S$ and $y \in S$. Then there exists a vertex c of G such that $c \in H.\text{reachableFrom}(a_3)$ and c and x are adjacent and c and y are adjacent.
- (102) Let G be a non trivial finite chordal graph. Suppose G is not complete. Then there exist vertices a, b of G such that $a \neq b$ and a and b are not adjacent and a is simplicial and b is simplicial.
- (103) For every finite chordal graph G holds there exists a vertex of G which is simplicial.

8. VERTEX ELIMINATION SCHEME

Let G be a finite graph. A finite sequence of elements of the vertices of G is said to be a vertex scheme of G if:

(Def. 12) It is one-to-one and $\text{rng it} = \text{the vertices of } G$.

Let G be a finite graph. Note that every vertex scheme of G is non empty.

The following three propositions are true:

- (104) For every finite graph G and for every vertex scheme S of G holds $\text{len } S = \text{card}(\text{the vertices of } G)$.
- (105) For every finite graph G and for every vertex scheme S of G holds $1 \leq \text{len } S$.
- (106) For all finite graphs G, H and for every vertex scheme g of G such that $G =_G H$ holds g is a vertex scheme of H .

Let G be a finite graph, let S be a vertex scheme of G , and let x be a vertex of G . Then $x \leftarrow S$ is a non zero element of \mathbb{N} .

Let G be a finite graph, let S be a vertex scheme of G , and let n be a natural number. Then $S.\text{followSet}(n)$ is a subset of the vertices of G .

Next we state the proposition

(107) Let G be a finite graph, S be a vertex scheme of G , and n be a non zero natural number. If $n \leq \text{len } S$, then $S.\text{followSet}(n)$ is non empty.

Let G be a finite graph and let S be a vertex scheme of G . We say that S is perfect if and only if the condition (Def. 13) is satisfied.

(Def. 13) Let n be a non zero natural number. Suppose $n \leq \text{len } S$. Let G_6 be a subgraph of G induced by $S.\text{followSet}(n)$ and v be a vertex of G_6 . If $v = S(n)$, then v is simplicial.

One can prove the following propositions:

(108) Let G be a finite trivial graph and v be a vertex of G . Then there exists a vertex scheme S of G such that $S = \langle v \rangle$ and S is perfect.

(109) Let G be a finite graph and V be a vertex scheme of G . Then V is perfect if and only if for all vertices a, b, c of G such that $b \neq c$ and a and b are adjacent and a and c are adjacent and for all natural numbers v_5, v_6, v_7 such that $v_5 \in \text{dom } V$ and $v_6 \in \text{dom } V$ and $v_7 \in \text{dom } V$ and $V(v_5) = a$ and $V(v_6) = b$ and $V(v_7) = c$ and $v_5 < v_6$ and $v_5 < v_7$ holds b and c are adjacent.

Let G be a finite chordal graph. One can check that there exists a vertex scheme of G which is perfect.

The following propositions are true:

(110) Let G, H be finite chordal graphs and g be a perfect vertex scheme of G . If $G =_G H$, then g is a perfect vertex scheme of H .

(111) For every finite graph G such that there exists a vertex scheme of G which is perfect holds G is chordal.

REFERENCES

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek. Cardinal numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):377–382, 1990.
- [2] Grzegorz Bancerek. The fundamental properties of natural numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):41–46, 1990.
- [3] Grzegorz Bancerek. The ordinal numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):91–96, 1990.
- [4] Grzegorz Bancerek and Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Segments of natural numbers and finite sequences. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):107–114, 1990.
- [5] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):55–65, 1990.
- [6] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):153–164, 1990.
- [7] Czesław Byliński. Some basic properties of sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):47–53, 1990.
- [8] Agata Darmochwał. Finite sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):165–167, 1990.
- [9] M. Ch. Golumbic. *Algorithmic Graph Theory and Perfect Graphs*. Academic Press, New York, 1980.
- [10] Gilbert Lee. Trees and Graph Components. *Formalized Mathematics*, 13(2):271–277, 2005.
- [11] Gilbert Lee. Walks in Graphs. *Formalized Mathematics*, 13(2):253–269, 2005.
- [12] Gilbert Lee and Piotr Rudnicki. Alternative graph structures. *Formalized Mathematics*, 13(2):235–252, 2005.
- [13] Yatsuka Nakamura and Piotr Rudnicki. Vertex sequences induced by chains. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(3):297–304, 1996.

- [14] Piotr Rudnicki and Andrzej Trybulec. Abian's fixed point theorem. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(**3**):335–338, 1997.
- [15] Andrzej Trybulec. Subsets of complex numbers. *To appear in Formalized Mathematics*.
- [16] Andrzej Trybulec. Domains and their Cartesian products. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(**1**):115–122, 1990.
- [17] Andrzej Trybulec. Enumerated sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(**1**):25–34, 1990.
- [18] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(**1**):9–11, 1990.
- [19] Michał J. Trybulec. Integers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(**3**):501–505, 1990.
- [20] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Pigeon hole principle. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(**3**):575–579, 1990.
- [21] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(**1**):67–71, 1990.
- [22] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(**1**):73–83, 1990.

Received August 18, 2006
